

THE WORKS OF THE REV. JOHN WESLEY: IN TEN VOLUMES VOLUME V.10



The Works of the Rev. John Wesley: in ten volumes Volume v.10

Wesley, John, 1703-1791



WORKS

OF THE-

REV. JOHN WESLEY.

IN TEN VOLUMES.

VOLUME X.

CONTAINING,

TRACTS AND LETTERS ON VARIOUS SUBJECTS.

FIRST AMERICAN EDITION.

New=Fort:

PRINTED AND SOLD BY J. & J. HARPER, NO. 327 PEARL-STREET.

1827.

CONTENTS OF VOLUME X.

¥	A Dominio Classelle 12 To 1 17 14	PAGE	
1.	A Roman Catechism, with a Reply thereto -	9	
	Popery calmly considered	50	
III.	The Advantages of the Members of the Church of		
	England over those of the Church of Rome -	64	
IV.	A short Method of converting all the Roman Catho-		
	lies in the Kingdom of Ireland	. 70	
V	A Letter to the Printer of the Dublin Public Adver-		
	tiser, occasioned by the late Act passed in fa-		
	vour of Popery	. 74	
37 T	Two Letters to the Editors of the Freeman's Jour-		
¥ 1.		. 77	
70.73	nal	-	
	The Origin of Image-Worship among Christians	84	
VIII.	A Disavowal of Persecuting Papists	85	
IX.	Reusons against a Separation from the Church of		
	England	- 98	
Χ.	Thoughts on Separation from the Church	· ·95	93
	On the Church in a Letter to the Rev. Mr	- 94	
	Thoughts on the Consecration of Churches and Bu-		
	ial Grounds	- 97	
XIII	An Answer to an Important Question	- 98	
	Free Thoughts on the present State of Public Af-		
22.7 1 .	fairs	100	
VV		. 115	
VVI	Thoughts upon Liberty		
AVI.	Thoughts upon the Origin of Power	125	
XVII.		- 130	-
7 V 1111.	How far is it the Duty of a Christian Minister to		
	preach Politics?	137	

PIECES ON VARIOUS SUBJECTS, FROM THE METHODIST MAGAZINES

XIX.	Thoughts on Salvation by Fath	_			138
XX	Further Thoughts on Necessity	-	-	-	141
XXI.	On hearing Ministers who oppose the	Truth			145
XXII.	Thoughts concerning Gospel Minister	8	-	-	146

XXIII.	Thoughts upon Methodism	PAGE
XXIV	Thoughts on the Manner of Dil	- 148
		150
XXVI	An Answer to Mr. Dodd	- 153
XXVI.		- 156
XXVII.	A Thought upon Marriage	- 158
XXVIII.	Thoughts upon Dissipation	- 160
XXIX.	A clear and concise Demonstration of the Divine In	1-
	spiration of the Holy Scriptures -	- 162
XXX	1 Thessalomans v. 23, elucidated -	
XXXI	Thoughts on Suicide	- 162
XXXII		- 164
	Thoughts upon Taste	- 164
XXXIII.	Thoughts on the Power of Music	- 168
XXXIV	Thoughts upon Jacob Behmen	- 171
XXXV.	Thoughts upon Montesqueu's Spirit of Laws	- 175
XXXVI	Thoughts on the Character and Writings of M	r.
	Prior	- 177
XXXVII.	Thoughts on Nervous Disorders	- 182
XXXVIII.	Thoughts on Genius	1 186
XXXIX.	Thoughts on Memory	- 188
XL.	A Letter to the Rev. Mr. Hervey	
		- 188
	Second Letter to Ditto	- 203
XLII.		- 204
XLIII	Second Letter to Ditto	- 206
XLIV	Thard to Ditto	- 209
XLV	Fourth to Ditto	- 212
XLVI.	Letter from the Rcv. Mr. Wesley to his Father,	on
	the Origin of Evil	- 214
XLVII	Second Ditto to his Father, on the same subject	- 215
XLVIII	To Dr. Robertson, on Ramsay's Principles of Re	
ALVIII		- 218
WT 132	gion	- 222
	To Mr. —, on the Unction of the Holy One	
L.		e 223
LI		- 225
LII.	To Miss -, on possessing the Mind of Christ	- 227
LIII.	To the Monthly Reviewers, on their improper Tree	it-
	ment of the Methodists	- 227
LIV.	Second Ditto to the Reviewers	- 228
I.V.	To the Rev Mr. Clark, on a Catholic Spirit	- 231
TAI	To the Rev Mr. Clark, on a Catholic Spirit To a Friend, on Public Worship -	- 232
7 7711	To Miss H, on Christian Perfection -	- 234
LYII.	T- Mr. on Honory and Schiom	- 237
LVIII	To Mr, on Heresy and Schism -	
LIX.	From Mr T. Adam to Mr. Wesley, on Leaving th	040
	Church	- 240
LX.	Mr Wesley's Answer	- 241
LXI	To the Rev. Mr. G, on condemning the Metho	a-
	ists for holding Doctrines they utterly abhor	245
LXII.	To the Rev. Mr. D_, on Union among t	he
344244	Evangelical Cleran	- 244

		AGE
LXIII.	10 UM. Donne Tremounts on the timps of	45
LXIV	Second Ditto to Mr. Trembath 2	46
LXV	TO to I I totally one to I deposite one tree different	247
LXVI.	To Mr - on Lay Preaching 2	248
LXVII.	To the Rev. Mr. Furly, on a good Style 2	252
LXVIII	To the Rev. Mr Venn, on Umon among Gospel	
		254
LXIX		256
LXX.		257
LXXI.		258
LXXII.	To Mr S. F, on the Necessity of the Atoning	
2002000		260
LXXIII.	Four Letters to Mrs. S R, on various branches	
13/12/2		260
LXXIV.	To Lidy -: the Backslider invited to return to	
LIZEZEK V.		263
TXXV		264
		265
LXXVII.	To the Rev. Mr Plendeleith, Remarks on Mr.	~00
LAAVII.		266
LXXVIII.	To Mr S, at Armugh: Directions to the Itin-	200
LAAVIII.		268
LVVIV	To on Union among the Ministers of the	200
LXXIX.		oca
TVVV	Gospel To the Rev. Mr L, on Unfaithfulness in Gos-	269
LAAA.		081
TVVVI		271
LXXXI.	Six Letters to Wiss A, on various branches of	
* *******	Christian Erperience and Practice -	272
LXXXII.		275
LXXXIII.	Two Letters to the Rev William Law, on Justifica-	
T WW CITT	tion by Faith	277
LXXXIV.	To Mr Fletcher, on too close Insumacy with luke-	
TWVVC	nearm Professors	279
LXXXV.		280
LXXXVI.	The state of the state	
*********	ment	282
LXXXVII.		283
XXXVIII.	of bustiffe	
* *******	cation ·	283
LXXXIX.		284
XC.	To the Rev. George Whitefield	285
XCI	To Mrs S. R Gentle, but important Re-	
	proofs	256
XCII.	To Mr Valton, on the Necessity of an Inward	
-	Change	287
XCIII	Thirty-seven Letters, written by Mr. Wesley to a	
	Member of his Society, on experimental and	
		287
		200

XCIV.	To the Rev. Mr. L			to.			PAGE 311
XCV.	. To Mr C	on a	Frie	nd bu	abraci:	100	311
	Popery -		-	-	TOT INCE	5	312
XCVI.	To Miss Fuller, exhortn	ng to S	teadfa	stness	,		313
XCVII.	Two Letters to Miss I	3~,	on F	ideliti	i to t	he	
£7.69.79	Grace of God	-	-	_	-		313
XCVIII.		of Phil	ladelpi	hia		-	315
XCIX.					enco		
	raged Seven Letters to Miss B		- '	•	-		315
			•	-	-		316
	Eight Letters to Miss L			- r r	*		324
CIU.	Twenty-seven Letters to . Sixteen Letters to Lady	Manna	ennis;	oj L	amerre		
CIV	Four Letters to Miss Bi	inuxwe	ll	-	•		341
CV	- Miss Per	rggs 	-	•	-		353
CVI	To Mr Charles Perrone	1 01626	-	•	-		354 355
CVII	Two Letters to the Rev.	u Mr. Du	ckanen		•		355
	Seven Letters to Mr. Re		_	16	•		356
CIX	To the Preachers in Ame	PICIL		_			857
CX	To the Preachers in Ame Two Letters to Mr Dem	nster	_	_	35		360
CXI.	To Mr John King	-	_	_	_		361
CXII.	Six Letters to Miss B-	_		_	_		362
CXIII				_			369
	Twenty-two Letters to M.						377
	Fifteen Letters to Miss I			-		_	388
CXVI.	To Miss Patty Chapman			-	-	-	396
CXVII.		40	•	-	-	-	397
CXVIII	To Mr Alexander, jun	•			-	-	397
CXIX	Thirty-sia Letters to Mr Eight Letters to Miss Co	Benso	n		-	_	398
CXX.	Eight Letters to Aliss Co	ooke		-	•	-	415
CXXI	Twelve Letters to M. A			-	-	-	120
CXXII.	Four Letters to Mr Dow	nes	-	•	•	-	425
CXXIII	Twenty-three Letters to A	Miss Fu	ırly -		-		127
CXXIV.	Eight Letters to Mrs Ci	rosby			-		438
CXXV	Nineteen Letters to a You	ing Dis	cipte		-		442
CXXVI	To the Stewards of the F	'oundry		•			451
CXXVII.	To certain Persons in Du	ıblın :					151
XXVIII	To the Printer of the Dul	blin Ch	onicle				152
	Six Letters to Mr. Samu	iel IVes	ley ·	-			455
CXXX.	To Lady		•	-			164
CXXXI	To Mr John Booth	1 (3	TES	-			164
XXXII.	Modern Christianity exer	nplified	at W	eanesi	านาร		165
XXXIII.	Answer to Mr. R. II.'s T	ract, en	nonne	" Im	postur	9	120
*******	Detected" -	•					188 189
AAAIV.	Thoughts on Slavery	-			-	- 4	KO:

MISCELLANEOUS WORKS.

A ROMAN CATECHISM:

WITH A REPLY THERETO.

THE PREFACE.

IT has been a frequent complaint among some of the Romash church, that the Protestants have misrepresented the doctrine of their church: on the other side, the Protestants accuse the writers in that church, of concealing, disguising, and palliating their doctrines. The latter justify their charge by producing such authors as have in several ages not only taught that doctrine, but taught it as the doctrine of then church, the former deny the charge, by appealing from particular authors, to a higher authority, to councils, and public acts and decrees, to missals, bieviaires, and catechisms. Now though those Protestants are not to be blamed, when the authors they quote have been first licensed and approved in that church, and were never afterward condemned by it, yet in composing this Catechism, to avoid contention as much as I can, I have generally observed their directions, and have seldom made use of particular authors, but when it is for the explication of a doctrine that is not sufficiently explained, or for confirmation of a doctrine generally received I am very confident that the quotations throughout are true, having again and again examined them; and I have been as careful as I could, not to mistake the sense of them, that I might rightly understand and truly represent the doctume which I profess to censure for without a faithful and impartial examination of an error, there can be no solid confutation of it.

A ROMAN CATECHISM,

CARTHFULLY DRAWN OUT OF THE ALLOWED WRITINGS OF THE CHURCH OF ROME.

SECTION I .- Of the Church, and Rule of Faith.

Q. 1. WHAT is the Church of Rome?

A. The Church of Rome is that society of Christians, which prolesses it necessary to salvation* to be subject to the Pope of Rome. as the alone visible head of the church. †

* Dicimus, definimus, promunciamus, absolute necessarium ad salutem, omni humano creatures subesse Romano Pontifici, Extravag. c. Unum emiciam de majoritate et obt-

We say, define, and pronounce, that it is absolutely necessary to salvation, for every mate

to be subject to the Pope of Rome.

† Bellarm. de Eccles, milit. l. 3. c. 2. ss. Nostra autem sententia et cap. 5. ss. respondeo neminent.

Q. 2. How comes subjection to the Pope to be necessary to salvation, and an essential note of the church?

1. Because the Pope is Christ's vicar, St. Peter's successor, and hath the supreme power on earth over the whole church, † "The church is called one, as it has one invisible head, Christ; and one visible, who doth possess the chair at Rome, as the lawful successor of St. Peter, prince of the aposites." (Catech. Rom. par. 1. c. 10. a. 11.)

* Concil. Teld. Sess. 6. Decret. de Reform. cap. 1. Bulli Pit. 4. sup. form. Juram. † Con. Trid. Sess. 14. c. ?.

Q. 3. What authority doth the church of Rome challenge?

.1. She declares that she is the mother and mist ess of all churches, and that to believe her so to be, is necessary to salvation. † Pope Innocent III. thus decreed, As God is called universal Lord, because all things are under his dominion: so the church of Rome is called catholic or universal, because all churches are subject unto her. Apud Brovium Annal. 1199.

* Concil. Later. 4 Can. 2 Concil. Trid. Sess. 7, de Bapt. Can. 3, &c. 4 Bulla Pii 3, super form. Jur.

THE REPLY

TO

THE ROMAN CATECHISM.

SECTION I -Of the Church, and Rule of Faith.

R 1 CHRIST is the Head, from whom the whole body is filly joined together. And the holding to that head, (Col. ii. 19,) is the one great note of the church, given by St Austin de unit Eccles c. 3, 4 But there is neither in Scripture, nor antiquity, any evidence for a visible head, and much less for the visible head the pope, and least of all, that it is necessary to salvation to be subject to him

If it is necessary to salvation to be subject to him, it is necessary to know who is the pope, but that the world hath often been divided about, when there were sometimes three, and for about forty years together two popes. Vid Theod Niem de Schism. Univers.

R. 2 If Christ gave no such power to St Peter, or the pope be not St Peter's successor, then the pope has no pretence to this Now we read that 'Christ gave some apostles, and some prophets, for the work of the ministry and the edifying the body? (Ephes iv. 11, 12) But that he gave one apostle pre-eminence above the rest, much less absolute power over them, we read not, This power they were forbidden to attempt or desire, (Matt. xx 26,) and St Paul was so far from acknowledging it, that he challenged an equality with the rest of the apostles, (Gal i. 15, 17,) and upon occasion withstood St Peter (Gal. n 11.)

To this we may add the judgment of St Cyprian " The other apostles are the same St Pour was, endowed with an equal fellow-ship of honour and power." Epist. de unit. Eccles

R 3. As it was foretold, (Isaiah ii 3, so it was fulfilled, Out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem' There the church began, and therefore in the synodical epistle of the second general council of Constantinople, Jerusalem is called "the mother of all churches." Baron, A D. 382, p. 461.

If she is the mistress, because she is the mother, (as pope Innocent the First would have it, Epist. 1, Concil. Tom 4, p. 5,) then Jerusalem was the mistress. If the mistress, because she was once the imperial city, then Constantinople was so likewise; and accordingly it was decreed in the 4th general council, that of Chalcedon, Can 28, "That the church of Constantinople should have equal privileges with that of Rome, because she is the imperial seat."

Q 4. What use doth she make of this authority?

A. She requires all persons, upon her sole authority, to receive and believe the doctrines she proposes to be received and believed,4 and without the belief of which she declares there can be no salva-

* Concil. Trid Scs. 13, Decret de Euchar Sacrosancta synodus omnibus Christi fidelibus intendicit, ne postbac de sanctissima Fucharistia aliter credere, docerc aut prædicere audeant, quam ut est hoe præsenti decreto explicatum, atque definitum. So again, Scsa 25, Decret, de Purgatorio And there are above one hundred Anathemas

The too near in point of doctrine against such as do not so believe.

† Bulla Pi. 4 super form Juram Hane veram Catholican fidem, extra quam nemo salons esse potest. 1. o This is the true Catholic faith, without which no man can be

Q. 5. Doth not the church of Rome acknowledge the holy Scrip-

ture to be a sufficient rule for faith and manners?

A No. For there are some doctrines proposed by that church as matters of faith, and some things required as necessary duty, which are by many learned men among themselves confessed not to be contained in Scripture.

This is confirmed by Pope Pius II. (when a cardinal) Epist. 288. p. 802, who saith, "that before the time of the Nicene council, little

regard was had to the church of Rome."

Q 6. What doctrines of faith and matters of practice are thus

acknowledged not to be in Scripture?

A. The doctrines of transubstantiation,* of the seven sacraments, of purgatory, t of the practice of half-communion, worshipping of saints and images, indulgences, and service in an unknown fongue.**

9 Scotus in 4 sent dist 11, q 3, et Yribarn in Scot

Bellaim 1 2, de effectu Sacram e 25, ss. secunda probatio.

Roffens, contr. Luther art. 18.

Thomas, Court Education and To.

Concil Constan Sess 13, Cassander Art. 22

Bellarm. de cult Sanct. l. 3, c. 9, ss. præterea. Cassand. Consult Art. 21, ss. 4

Polyd Virg. de invent. lib 8, c. 1.

**Bellarm. de verb. Dei. li. 2, c. 26

Q. 7. What doth the church of Rome propound to herself as an

entire rule of faith?

A Scripture with tradition; and she requires that the traditions be received and reverenced with the like pious regard and veneration as the Scriptures; and whosoever knowingly contemns them, is declared by her to be accursed. Concil. Trid. Sess. 4, Decret de can. Script.

Q. 8. What do they understand by tradition?

A. Such things belouging to faith and manners as were dictated by Christ or the Holy Ghost in the apostles, and have been preserved by a continual succession in the catholic church, from hand to hand without writing. Concil. Trid. ibid.

And if she claims this sovereign authority upon any other reason,

she never had, nor can ever prove a right to it

R. 4. Gal i. 11, 12, 'The gospel which was preached of me, is not after man; for I neither received it of man, neither was I taught it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ.'

Verse 8, 9, 'Though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you, than that which we have preached, let him.

be accursed.'

The church of Rome cannot avoid St. Paul's Anathema, when she requires to bow down before an image, which the Scripture forbids: and forbids to read the Scripture, which it requires.

And without doubt the text of the apostle holds as much againse

any other, as against himself or an angel from heaven.

R. 5. We read in Scripture of 'the farth once delivered to the saints' Jude 3, and 2 Tim. iii 16, 17. 'All' [or the whole] 'Scripture is profitable for doctime, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in lighteousness, that the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works.

The Scripture, therefore, is a rule sufficient in itself and was by men divinely inspired once delivered to the world, and so neither

needs, nor is capable of any further addition

So Tertulian, "Let Hermogenes show that this thing is written If it be not written, let him lear the wo pronounced against them that add to, or take from Scripture" Contr. Hermog. c. 22.

- R. 6 On the contrary: St. Augustin, lib contr. Petil. 1. 3, c. 6. writes, "If any one concerning Christ and his church, or concerning any other things which belong to faith or life, I will not say if we, but (which St. Paul hath added) if an angel from heaven preach unto you besides what ye have received in the law and evangelical writings, let him be accursed." For as all faith is founded upon divine authority, so there is now no divine authority but the Scriptures, and, therefore, no one can make that to be of divine authority, which is not contained in them. And if transubstantiation and purgatory, &c. are not delivered in Scripture, they cannot be doctrines of faith.
- R. 7. Matt. xv. 9, 'In vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrine the commandments of men,' forbidding that as unlawful which God hath not forbidden, and requiring that as necessary duty which God hath not required

So St. Hierom, in cap. 1, Aggai, "The sword of God [his word] doth smite those other things, which they find and hold of their own accord, as by apostolical tradition, without the authority and testi-

mony of Scripture."

R. S. But St Cyril affirms, "It behooveth us not to deliver, no not so much as the least thing of the holy mysteries of faith, without the holy Scripture That is the security of our faith, not which is from our own inventions, but from the demonstration of the holy Scriptures." Cateches, 5.

Q. 9. What are those traditions which they profess to have re-

ceived from Christ and his apostles?

A. The offering the sacrifice of the mass for the souls in purgatory, the mystical benedictions, incensings, garments, and many other things of the like kind, † salt, spittle, exorcisms, and wax candles used in baptism, ‡ &c. the priests shaving the head after the manner of a crown. &

* Conc. Trid. Sees. 22, c. 2. 1 Catech. Rom. par. 2, c. 2, n. 59, 65, &c. § Ibid. c. 7, n. 14.

Q. 10. Doth the church of Rome agree with other churches in

the number of canonical books of Scripture?

A. No: for she hath added to the canonical books of the Old Testament, Tobit, Judith, Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, Baruch, Esdras. the two books of Maccabees,* and a new part of Esther and Daniel; which whole books, with all their parts,† whosoever rejects as no canonical, is accursed. Concil. Trident. Sess. 4, Decret. de Scriptur.

* These books are so sacred, as that they are of infallible truth. Bellarm. de rerbo

7. 1, c. 10, so. Ecclesia vera.

† Wherefore doth the council add with all their parts ? those parts also, about which there was some time a dispute, belong to the sacred canon of the bible. Ibid. c. 7, ss.

Q. 11. Are the people of the church of Rome permitted to read

the Scripture in a tongue vulgarly known?

- A. No: they were for a time permitted to read it, under the caution* of a license, where it could be obtained; but since they are forbid it, or to have so much as any summary or historical compendium of it in their own tongue. †
 - * Reg. Ind. libr. prohib. Reg. j Index libr. prohib. auctor. Sixti V. Clem. VIII. observat. circa 4, Regulam-
- Q. 12. For what reason is the Scripture thus prohibited among them?
- A. Because, (say they,) if it be permitted to be read every where, without difference, there would more prejudice than profit proceed from it. Reg. Ind. libr. prohib. Reg. 4.

Q. 13. Since the Scripture may be misunderstood, have they no

judge to determine the sense of it?

A. They say, it belongs to the church (of Rome) to judge of the sense of Scripture, and no one may presume to interpret the Scripture, contrary to the sense which Mother Church hath held and doth hold. Concil. Trid. Sess. 4, Decret. de Edit. & usu Script.

15 THE REPLY.

R. 9. Mark vii. 8, 'Laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men.

St. Basil in Reg. brev. Reg. 95. "It is necessary even for novices to learn the Scriptures, that the mind may be well confirmed in piety, and that they may not be accustomed to human traditions."

The church of Rome hath no more to show for their holy water, and incensings, and salt, and spittle, &c. than the Pharisees for their traditions. and since they no less unpose them as divine than

the other, they are alike guilty with them.

R. 10. These apocryphal books were written after prophecy and divine inspiration ceased, and so were not received by the Jewish church, (to whom 'were committed the oracles of God,' Rom. iii. 2.) nor the Christian church, as the 60th canon of the council of Laodicea shows, where there is a catalogue of the canonical books, without any mention of these.

St. Jerom in prologo proverb. "As therefore the church doth read Tobias, Judith, and the books of the Maccabees, but doth not receive them into the canonical Scriptures: so it doth read the two volumes of Wisdom and Ecclesiasticus for the edification of the people, not to establish the authority of ecclesiastical principles."

See Bellarm. de verbo, l. 1. c. 10, init.

R. 11. Under the law, the people had the Scriptures in a tongue vulgarly known; and they were required to read the law, and to be conversant in it, Deut. vi. 6, 'These words which I command thee this day shall be in thine heart,' &c. and accordingly our Saviour sends them thither, John v 39, 'Search the Scriptures' So St. Paul requires that his 'epistle be read to all the brethren,' 1 Thess. v. 27, and if so, it was written in a language they understood. And so it was in the primitive church, therefore St. Chrysostom exhorts his hearers, though secular men, to provide themselves Bibles, the medicines of their souls, to be their perpetual instructers. ment, in Colos. m. 16.

R. 12. In the Apostles' times there were some that 'wrested the Scriptures to their own destruction:' and yet the Apostle thought of no other expedient than to give the Christians a caution, that they were 'not also led away with the error of the wicked.' 2 Pet. iii. 16, 17. The way to prevent this, therefore, is, not to keep the Scriptures from the people, (which were written for our learning, Rom. xv. 4,) but to exhort them to a diligent perusal of them.

Matt. xxu. 29, 'Ye err, not knowing the Scriptures.'

"The sheep should not cast away their skin, because wolves sometimes hide themselves under it." St. Austin de Serm. Dom. in

R 13 While the apostles were alive, the churches of Christ m matters of dispute, applied themselves to them, as in the point of circumcision, Acts xv 2; but since they of the church of Rome can never prove the like infallibility in their church, nor direct us where it is, we think ourselves as well in our church, as they can be in theirs; and that as long as we have the Scripture, the church is

It cannot be called the church of God where the legitimate successor of St. Peter in the Roman chair, and the undoubted vicar of Christ doth not preside:—What the church doth teach is the express word of God, and what is taught against the sense and consent of the church, is the express word of the Devil. Cardinal Hosius de expresso Dei verbo, p. 642, 643.

SECTION II .- Of REPENTANCE and OBEDIENCE.

Q. 14. What doth the church of Rome teach concerning repentance?

A. 1. It teacheth that contrition, (which is a sorrow for sins past, and a purpose of not committing it for the future,) though perfected with charity, is not sufficient to reconcile a person to God without penance, or confession to a priest either in act or desire. Concil. Trid. Sess. 14, c. 4, Catech. Rom. pars 2, de Sacrament. ponit. n. 38.

A. 2. She teacheth that attrition, or imperfect contrition, proceeding merely from the fear of hell, is equivalent to contrition, by virtue of confession: and that attrition doth dispose to receive the grace of the sacrament of penance, and leads to justification.*

* Sess. 14, cap. 4, Bellarm. de pænit. 1. 2, c. 18, ss. Sed sciendum est. [See Quest. 77.]

Q. 15. What is the judgment of the church of Rome as to good works?

A. The church of Rome doth assirm that the good works of justified persons do truly deserve eternal life;* and if any one say that such works do not truly deserve an increase of grace here, and an eternal life hereafter, let him be accursed.†

"Our good works do merit eternal life, not only by virtue of God's covenant and acceptation, but also by reason of the work itself." †

* Concil. Trid. Sess. 6, c. 16. 1 Ibid. Can. 32. 1 Bellarm. de Justif. 1. 5, c. 17.

Q. 16. But is there no allowance for such as have not good works of their own, sufficient to metit for themselves?

A Yes: there are includences to be obtained, by which persons may be discharged from the punishment of sin here and in purgatory: and if any affirm these includences to be useless, or that the church hath no power to grant them, he is accursed. Concil. Trid. Sess. 25, Decret, de indulg.

The popes and prelates of the church are judges appointed by

to be referred to the Scripture, and not the Scripture to the church; and that as the Scripture is the best expounder of itself, so the best way to know whether any thing be of divine authority, is to apply ourselves to the Scripture.

If I would have the church demonstrated, it is not by human teachings, but by the divine oracles. St. Aug. de unt. Eccles.

cap. 3.

"The way for understanding the Scriptures, is to demonstrate out of themselves, concerning themselves." Clem. Alex. Strom 1. 7, p. 757.

SECTION II.—Of REPERTANCE and OBEDIENCE.

- R. 14. Contrition is but another word for repentance, and repentance is a qualification for pardon and reconciliation. 'A broken and contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise.' Psalm li. 17. 'Repent, and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out.' Acts iii. 19. The same texts which make contrition sufficient, without confession to the priest, make attrition insufficient without there be contrition. And as the former doctrine of the insufficiency of contrition without confession, makes that necessary which God liath not made necessary: so this latter of the sufficiency of attrition upon confession to the priest, without contrition, makes that unnecessary which God hath made necessary.
- R. 15. Truly to deserve, is to make God our debtor; 'to him that worketh,' (i. e. that meriteth,) 'is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt' Rom. iv. 4. 'But can a man be profitable to God?' Job iv. 4. Our Saviour teaches us otherwise, Luke xvii. 10. 'When ye shall have done all those things which are commanded you, say, we are unprofitable servants: we have done that which was our duty to do' A command to do it, and grace to obey that command, and a 'far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory,' as a reward, (2 Cor. iv. 17,) will shame the pretence of real ment, and turn the anathema upon themselves. And they may as soon reconcile light and darkness, as the grace of God and merit of Christ to this doctrine
- R. 16. What God binds, no person can untie; and what he unties, no man can bind But this course of indulgence, still upheld in the church of Rome, doth untie what God doth bind; it makes sin easy and cheap, and prostitutes the strict rules of Christianity to the basest purposes. For when a person can have a pleuary indulgence for so trivial a satisfaction as the standing before the doors of St. Peter's church at Rome, when the Pope blesses the people at Easter, a makes sin as easy to be committed as pardoned.

God to remit faults and punishments in his name by an indulgence, if so be justice be satisfied through the application of the satisfaction of Christ and his saints. Bellarm. de Indulg. l. c. 1. 5, ss. Jam

Q. 17. How far do those indulgences extend?

- A. Sometimes to days, sometimes to years, nay some of them were plenary* indulgences; some were for a discharge from punishments here, others from the pains of purgatory,† and some granted an eternal reward.
- * Bellarm, de Indulget 1 1, e 9, unit Plenary indulgence doth take away all the puneshment due to sin Ibid. ss. Indulgentia Quadragen † lbid. c. 7, ss. Et quidem.
- Q. 18 Upon what terms were those indulgences to be obtained? A By money,* pilgrimages,† assisting the pope, a reciting certain prayers &
- "This is implied Concil Trid. Sess 21, c 9, though it is called by the soft name of alms.
- So many are granted to particular churches in Rome, for the benefit of pilgrims.

- † So many are granted to particular churches in Rome, for the benefit of pigrims.
 † So those, that upon his motion took up arms against the Albiguines, had by an indugence the promise of an eternal reward. Barm ad A. D. 1179, n. 7.
 § So Pope Alexander VI granted to those that recited this prayet to the blessed Yugin, and St. Ann her mother, 30,000 years' indulgence.

 ** Hail, Many, full of grace, the Lord be with thee, thy grace with me. Blessed be thou among women: and blessed be St. Ann thy mother, from whom, O I ngin Mary, thou hast proceeded without sin and spot but of thee hath Jesus Christ been born, the Son of the hung God. Amen." Thesaur. Var Exercit. in grat Sodal. B. V. M. Bruxel. Edit.

 2 An 148 2 p. 287. 2 An. 1658, p. 287.
- Q 19. Upon what pretence or reason is the doctrine and practice of indulgences founded?
- A. Upon works of supererogation,* that is, the overplus of the catisfactions of Christ and the saints, which is a treasury committed to the church's custody, ‡ and to be disposed of as she sees meet. §
- * That supererogation is necessary to indulgence, no one can deny, suith Bellarm. de Indulg 1 1, c 2, init.

 † Concil Trid. Sess 21, c 9.

J So Pape Clem. VI. Constit. extrav. in Bellarm. ibid § ult. & Bellarm, ibid. c. 3.

Q. 20 Whither do the souls of those go that die in a state of grace, but are not sufficiently purged from their sins, or have not had a plenary indulgence for the remission of them?

A Such go to purgatory, a place of torment in the other world, near to hell,* where they are to continue till they have made full satisfaction for their sins, and are thoroughly purged and prepared for heaven, whereinto no unclean thing can enter.

^{*} Bellarm. de Purg. 1 2, c. 6, SS. Quinta est-† Catech. Rom. par. 1, c. 6, n. 3.

R. 17, 18. The scandal given by them was so notorious, that order was given by the council of Trent for reforming the abuses of them, but when the fathers thought fit not to discover those abuses, and only forbad wicked gain,* they left a large scope for making a gain of them. And two of the popes under whom that council sat (viz. Paul III. and Julius III.†) proceeded in the same course as their predecessors, if they did not exceed them: for by their bulls, there is granted to all such of the fraternity of the holy altar, as visit the church of St. Hilary of Chartres, during the six weeks of Lent, 775,700 years' pardon, besides fourteen or lifteen plenary indulgences. And since that, Urban VIII.; and Clement X.5 have granted by their indulgence a plenary remission of sins.

* Sess. 25, Decret. de Indulg.
† A ball of indulgence to the fraternity of the altar, Paris 1550, v B Taylor's defence part. 2, 1 2, p. 8.

§ A. D. 1671. Bull upon the canonization of five saints. 1 Bullar, to, page 74.

R 19. Romans vini. 18, 'The sufferings of this present time, are not worthy to be compared with the glory that shall be revealed in us .? so 2 Cor. iv. 17 'Every one of us shall give an account of himself to God.' Rom. xiv 12. If there be no comparison between the reward and our sufferings for it, then no one has ment to transfer to another: and if every man must give an account of himself, then no man can be saved by the merits of another But suppose there is a super-abundance of satisfactions in the saints, yet what need is there of them, when there is such an infinite value in the sufferings of Christ, who by one offering hath for ever perfected them that are sanctified? Heb. x. 14. Or who gave the church the power so to apply them?

R 20, 21 That those that die in a state of grace, are yet in a state of torment, and are to be purged in the other world, is contrary to Scripture and Antiquity. Rom. viii. 1, 'There is no con-demnation to them which are in Christ Jesus.' Verse 30, 'Whom he justified, them he also glorified.' Verses 33, 34, 'Who shall lay any thing to the charge of God's elect? It is God that justifieth, Who is he that condemneth?' As justification and condemnation are here opposed by the apostle, so are condemnation and glorification, and he that is justified upon the same reason that he cannot be condemned, shall be glorified. Now the elect are justified before

Q. 21 How come those persons to be punished in the other world, who depart in a state of grace out of this?

A. Because they have not here fulfilled the penance imposed upon them, or due from them to God *

Q. 22. Of what continuance is the punishment of that state?

A It is but for an appointed time; and the person is to continue in it till he is purged from his sin, and has suffered the punishment due to it.*

- Q 23. Is there no way by which the souls of those that are in purgatory may be delivered out of that prison, and their time of torment shortened?
- A. They may be helped and delivered by the suffrages* of the faithful that are alive, that is, by prayers, alms, and masses.† and other works of piety, such as indulgences.‡
 - * Concil. Trid Sess. 25, Decr. de Purgat T Sess. 22, Can 3-‡ Bellarm. de Purgat 1, 2, c. 16, SS, Ad hac-

Q 24 Is the doctrine of purgatory a matter of faith, and neces rary to be believed?

^{*} Bellarm. de Indulg 1. 1, c. 6, 7. (See Quest. 91.)

^{*} Catch, ibid. Belloim. de purg 1 2, c. 8, SS. Quantum ad primum-

they go out of this world; and consequently shall have nothing laid

to their charge in the next

"The servants of God then have peace, then enjoy quiet rest and security, when being drawn from these storms of the world, we arrive at the haven-of our everlasting habitation and security; when this death being ended, we enter into immortality." St. Cypr. de Mortal. SS 2.

Luke xxiii. 43 'To-day shalt thou be with me in paradise.' Paradise is acknowledged to be the seat of the blessed * Now if there was a purgation necessary for sinners he that believed and repented not till the last moment of his life, might be well supposed to need it, and should have been sent rather to purgatory than paradise.

"After the night of this life there is no purgation; and it is better to be corrected and purged now, than to be sent to the torment there, where the time of punishing is, and not of purging" Greg. Nazianz

Orat 15. in plag.

R. 22 The state that believers immediately enter upon after death, is said to be life for the comfort, and everlasting for the continuance of it.

John v 24. 'He that believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life, and shall not come into condemnation; but is passed from death unto life.'

So St Cyprian, "The end of this life being completed, we are divided into the habitations of everlasting either death or immortality" Ad Demetr sec. 16

R. 23 Luke xvi 26, 'Between us and you there is a great gulf fixed, so that they which would pass from hence to you [to relieve you] cannot'

As the state in which Abraham and Lazarus were, needed no relief, so that in which the rich man was, could not obtain it 'After death is no help to be gotten by godliness or repentance. Lazarus doth not there go to the rich man, nor the rich man unto Lazarus. For the garners are sealed up, and the time is fulfilled." Epiphanius contr. Cather Har. 59.

And if a man's own repentance cannot help him, much less can

another's good works profit hun.

So St Jerom: "While we are in this world, we may be able to help one another, either by our prayers, or by our counsels: but when we shall come before the judgment-seat of Christ, neither Job, nor Daniel, nor Noah, can entreat for any one, but every one must bear his own builden." And he elsewhere saith, "What shall be to all in the day of judgment, this is accomplished to every one at the day of death."

^{*} Bellarm, de Sanct Beat. l. 1. c. 3. Testim. 4.

^{*} Lib. 3. Com. in Galat. c. 6.

[†] In Joel c. 2.

- A Yes: for whosoever shall say, that there is no debt of temporal punishment to be paid, either in this world or pungatory, before there can be an admission into heaven, is accursed.* And whosoever shall say, the sacrifice of the mass is not to be used for the dead, is accursed.† This is one of the principles, without the belief of which there is no salvation ‡
 - * Concil Trid Sean 6 Can 30. ct Sess 24 Decret de Purg. † Ibid Sess. 22 Can. 3 † Bulla Pis. 4ti

Q. 25 In what place were the souls of the patriarchs, and other

good men, before the coming of Christ ?

- A. Before the death and resurrection,* or ascension of Christ,† the gates of heaven were open to none; and the souls of good men departed, were detained in a certain place called Limbus Patrum, which is the uppermost part of hell: the lowermost being the place of the damned; next above that, purgatory; next to that limbus infantum; above that limbus patrum;
 - * Catch Rom par 1 c.6 1.3 6. † Bellarm de Christ l.4 c 11. ‡ Bellarm de Porg. L 2. c. 6. SS Quod autem
- Q 26 In what condition were they while thus detained in limbo? A. They are not agreed in the nature and condition of the place; for the Catechism saith, N 3, "They were sustained by hope, and were without any sense of grief" And presently, N 4, That "although they were without other sense of grief, yet being kept in suspense, they were to mented with the hope of that blessed glory which they did expect."

Q 27 How and when were they delivered thence?

- A. They were delivered by Christ at his descent into hell; * so that, ever since, that place remains empty.†
 - * Catch. Rom. ibid. n. 3, 6. | | Bellarm. de Purg. | 2 c 6. sec octava est.

Q. 28. What use do they make of this doctrine?

- .A. Hereby they give a reason why there is neither precept nor example in the Old Testament for the invocation of saints departed, because they were for their punishment enclosed in this place, and were there held bound by the devils,† till delivered by Christ And so the people of those times only prayed to God, and did not use to say, holy Abraham, pray for me.‡
 - * Bellarm, de Sanct bear i. 1. c. 19. see. Item Exodit Catch, Rom. ibid n 5. I Bellarm, ibid.

SECTION III. - Of Divine Worship

Q. 29. Or what doth the service in the Roman church consist?
A. It consists of prayers and hymns offered to God, angels, and

tion of purgatory among the ancients.* It is then no little encroachment on the Christian world, to make it now a doctrine of faith, and to require it upon pain of damnation to be believed.

* Roffens Luthers confut. Art. 18. et Polyd. Virg de Invent. I. 8. c. 1-

R. 25 We read that Elijah was taken up into heaven, 2 Kings ii. 11 and he and Moses appeared in glory, Luke ix. 31. Matt xvii. 2. And Abraham is represented (Luke xvi 32) as in Paradise, the blessed abode of good men in the other world.

So St. Austin expounds it, "The bosom of Abraham is the rest of the blessed poor, whose is the kingdom of heaven, into which after

this life they are received." Quest. Evangel. l. 2. c. 38.

R. 26 But the Scripture tells us, that the state where Abraham was, was not only a state of rest, but also of comfort Luke xvi. 25.

R. 27. The Scripture says not one word of this,

R 28. There is neither precept nor example for the invocation of saints in the New Testament, and if that be the reason for a *limbus* before Christ, it may be a reason for a *limbus* still: and they may as well exclude the saints from heaven now as then, if there be no more for their invocation in the New Testament than was in the Old. Thus Salermo, (a learned disputant in the council of Trent,) "Invocations of saints have no express ground in all the Scriptures."

Ad. 1. Tim. u. Disp. 7. sec. Sed cum aute et nec obstat.

SECTION III -Of Dwine Worship.

R. 29 CARDINAL Quignonius at the instance of Pope Paul III. reformed the breviary; and instead of legends, set scriptures for

saints; of lessons taken out of the Scriptures, and legends; and of profession of faith in the creeds.

Q 30 In what language is their service performed?

A It is performed in all places among them in the Latin tongue, which is in no place vulgarly understood

Q 31 Is the having the service in an unknown tongue enjoined

in the church of Rome?

- A. Yes it is required that it should be celebrated in the Latin tongue; and whosoever shall say that it ought only to be administered in the vulgar tongue, is accursed * Hence when of late years the missal, or mass-book, was translated into French, it was declared by Pope Alexander VII to be a seed-plot of disobedience, rashness, and schism, and he calls them that did it, sons of perdution, and doth condemn, reprobate, and forbid that missal
 - * Concil Trid. Sess 23. s. S. and Can. 9.
 - Q 3.2 What are the objects of worship in the church of Rome?
 Q. Besides the blessed Trimty, angels, the Viigin Mary, and saints
 - Q 33 What honour do they give to the angels?
- A 1 The church of Rome teaches that angels are to be worshupped,* invoked, and prayed to † And they have litanies and prayer composed for this purpose ‡
- * Catech-Rom par 3 c. 2 n 8, 3, reneran, adorare, colore † Ibid n 10 1 Litania ss Angelorum, vid. Horologium Futelaris Augen a Drexeho p 84. Cuac 1693
- A 2 They teach, that as every particular person hath a guardian angel from his birth,* so it is fit to commit themselves more particularly to him;† after this manner, "Blessed angel! to whose care out loving Creator hath committed me, defend me this day, I beseech you from all dangers, and direct me in the way I ought to walk.";†
 - * Catech Rom par 4. eap 9 sec. 1. n. 4. 6. † Hotolog. Drex p. 108 † The Child's Catechism. 1678-

23

the lessons But Pope Pius Quintus, who afterward undertook to reform it also, prohibited that of Quignonius,* and instead of the scriptures, placed legends again, and so it continues

* Dulla prefixa Breviar. Rom. Jussa Pu V Edit.

R. 50, 31. In divine worship (as in all other actions) the first thing to be considered is the end, and the next thing is the means conducing to that end. The end is the honour of God, and the edification of the church, and then God is honoured, when the church is edified. The means conducing to that end, are to have the service so administered, as may inform the mind, engage the affections, and increase devotion. But that cannot be done, where the tongue it is celebrated in, is not understood.

Thus we are taught by the Apostle, 1 Cor. xiv 2 'He that speaketh in an unknown tongue, speaketh not unto men.' Verse 11, 'If I know not the meaning of the voice, he that speaketh shall be a barbarian unto me.' Verse 16, 'If thou shalt bless with the Spirit [by the gift of an unknown tongue] how shall he that occupieth the 100m of the unleaned say, Amen, at thy giving of thanks?' How can the people be attentive to the lessons, answer at the responses, be devout in the prayers, profess their faith in the creeds, when they do not understand what is read, prayed, or professed? Thus St. Ambrose on 1 Cor xiv "It is evident that the mind is ignorant where the tongue is not understood. The unskilful person hearing what he doth not understand, knows not the conclusion of the prayer, and doth not answer Amen"

R 32 Matt iv. 10, our Saviour says, 'Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve' As divine worship is due to God; so it is not lawful to give it to any other.

The church of Rome doth acknowledge this, but says, The worship they give to saints and angels is not of that kind. Catech. Rom,

Part 3 c 2 n S. Part 4. c 6 n. 3

But what worship is peculiar to God, if prayer is not? So thought St. Ambrose, "Thou only art to be invocated" De obitu Theodos For God alone can receive our prayer, or can give what we pray

for, or be the object of our faith and trust.

R 33 We honour the holy angels, as they are God's ministers, and are 'sent forth to minister unto them that shall be herrs of salvation Heb i 14. But to worship or pray to them we dare not, as it is what they themselves refuse and abhor, Rev vix. 10, and the Scripture doth condemn as 'a sign of a fleshly mind, vainly intruding into those things which we have not seen 'Col ii 18 Theodoret upon this text saith, That the practice of worshipping angels continued a long time in Phrygia and Pisidia: wherefore the Synod of Laodicea doth forbid praying to angels: "For Christians ought not to forsake the church of God, and depart aside and invocate angels, which are forbidden." Cont. Laod. Can. 35.

Q 34. What religious honour do they give to the saints?

A They pray to them as their intercessors, make confessions to them, offer incense, and make vows to them; venerate their images and relics

Q 35 For what reason do they pray to saints?

- A That by their help they may obtain benefits from God,* who doth confer many favours upon mankind, by their ment, and grace and intercession †
 - * Concil-Tiid Sess 25 de invocat † Catech Rom- par- 3 e- 2- sec 12 Missal Rom- proprium Missarum de sanctis.

Q 36 After what manner do they pray to saints?

A They pray to them as favourites with God, that they would take them into their protection, and would obtain those things of God for them which they want. Therefore they plead that they have two different forms of prayer; for God they properly say, Have mercy upon us, hear us: to a saint, Pray for us Catech Rom par 4 c 6 n 3

Q 37 But have they not those forms in their missals, breviaries and common books of devotion, which are particularly and imme-

diately applied to the saints for obtaining what they want?

A Yes; it is too manifest to be denied; and though they have been more sparing of late years, yet nothing formerly more frequent. As for instance, in a missal printed at Paris, An. 1520, fol. 51, there is this prayer to St Agnes.

O Agnes, woman of the Lamb, do thou enlighten us within. Destroy the roots of sin, O excellent Lady, after the grievances of the world do thou translate us to the company of the blessed."

Q. 38 What is the worship they give to the Virgin Mary?

A. They flee unto her as the advocatrix of the faithful, the mother of God, that by prayer to her they may obtain help, through her most excellent merits with God

Q 39 Have they not some singular forms of devotion to her?

A. Yes for apprehending her to be in glory superior to all created beings, they offer a service to her, beyond what they give either to angels or saints

Q. 40. After what manner do they apply themselves to her?

A According to some missals, they ask her to command her Son by the right and authority of a mother; or, as it is in the breviaries used at this day, "Show thyself a mother" They pray to her, that she would loose the bands of the guilty, bring light to the blind, would make them mild and chaste, and cause their hearts to burn in flove to Christ!

* Missal Paris Anno 1520, Folio 65

† Brev. Rom Fest. Assum

† Officium. B M in the hymnicalled Planetus B. M. Antwerp, 1641.

In a book printed lately in London, the author shith, that "whate

R 34, 35, 36, 37 'There is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus, who gave himself a ransom for all '1 Tim. n 5, 6.

Rom viii 34 'Who is he that condemneth? It is Christ that died, yea, rather, that is risen again, who is even at the right-hand of God,

who also maketh intercession for us?

As there is but one God to us, though there are gods many, and lords many, (1 Cor vin 5.) so to us there is but one Mediator, or Intercessor, though we should grant there are many intercessors and mediators. For though the angels and saints may intercede for us in heaven, that no more makes them such intercessors as we may pray to, than because there are gods many, we may pray to them as we do to the true God.

The Scripture knows no difference between a Mediator of intercession and redemption, he alone makes intercession for us, that died and rose, and is at the right-hand of God. And he alone has a right

to our prayers, and to him alone we may address them.

So Origen. "All prayers and supplications, and thanksgivings, are to be sent up to God the Lord of all, by that High Priest, who is above all angels, being the Living Word of God." L 5 cont. Cels. p 233, 239

So again: 'We ought to pray only to the God over all, and his only Son the first-born of every creature, who as our High Priest, offers his prayers to his God, and our God" Lib 8 p 395 402.

To have other mechators and intercessors, is not to hold the head, (Colos ii 19) in the judgment of the Apostle, and the council of Laodicea, where it is said, "Christians ought not to forsake the church, and invocate angels—If any man therefore be found to give himself to this privy idolatry, let him be anothema, because he hath forsaken our Lord Jesus, the Son of God, and betaken himself to adolatry."

R 38 We honour this blessed ungin as the mother of the holy Jesus and as she was a person of eminent piety; but we do not think it lawful to give that honour to her, which belongs not to a creature.

and doth equal her with her Redeemer

R 39, 40 We cannot but wonder at the applications made to the blessed Virgin in the church of Rome, whose acts on earth, and whose power in heaven, the Scripture doth very sparingly relate, or is altogether silent in We read nothing there of her bodily assumption into heaven, nor of her exaltation to a throne above angels and archangels.* We read nothing there of her being the mother of grace and mercy, the queen and gate of heaven, the advocatrix of sinners, 1 and of her power in destroying all heresies in the world, § and being all things to all.

When we read so much of the blessed Virgin in books of this kind.

ever gifts are bestowed upon us by Jesus, we receive them by the mediation of Mary. No one being gracious to Jesus that is not devoted to Mary. That the power of Mary in the kingdom of Jesus, is suitable to her materinty "—" And though the condition of some great sinners may be so deplorable, that the limited excellency and merits cannot effectually bend the mercies of Jesus to reheve them; yet such is the acceptableness of the mother of Jesus to Jesus, that who soever is under the verge of her protection, may confide in her intercession to Jesus" "That the person devoted to her, is to beg of her to accompany him as his sacred guide, advocate, and champion, against the assaults of sin and sensuality"*

* Contemplations of the life and glory of holy Mary, &c p. 7, S, 9, 14. Printed Auno 1685

Much after the fore-cited manner did the council of Constance invoke the blessed Viigin, as other councils used to do the Holy Ghost, calling her the mother of grace, the fountain of mercy: and they call on her for light from heaven.

Q 41. What external representations or memorials have they in the church of Rome, which they give veneration and worship to?

A. They have the relics and images of the Vingin Mary and saints Concil Trid Sess. 25. de myoc

Q. 42 What do they mean by relics?

A. The bodies or remainders of them, or particular things belonging or relating to them when alive, as an arm, or thighs, bones, or ashes;* and the part in which they suffered,† or the things by which they suffered, as the chains with which St. Peter was bound ‡

* Ex Decret Regist- Præfix Brev. Rom-† Catech Rom par. 3 c 2 n 15.

Brev Rom pat. Æstiv Aug Fest. Petri ad vinc

Q 43 For what cause do they show this regard to relics?

.4. By the veneration of them they obtain the help of the saints, whom the relics relate to; and many benefits are thereby conferred by God upon mankind; for by these the dead have been raised the infirm cured, and devils cast out.

* Concil. Trid. Sess 25 de invocat.
† Catech. Rom ibid Breviar. Rom. ibid.

and so little of her in the divine writings, we cannot but reflect upon what is said by Epiphanius, of a certain sect of women that in his time, offered cakes to the Virgin Mary, which he calls an impious thing, and altogether "contrary to the doctrine of the Holy Ghost." And he further adds: "This the Holy Ghost doth wain us of, in that Christ saith, Woman, what have I to do with thee? Where he calls her woman, and as it were prophesying, to refute those schisms and heresies which he knew would arise in the world, and that no one, being moved by a certain admiration of the blessed Virgin, might turn himself to those dotages of heresies." And he adds, 'Let the Virgin Mary be honoured, but the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, he adored." Much more hath that Father there to this purpose

* Hæres 78 p 1054 Par. 1622

† Hares 79 N 4- 7, &c

But what would this Father have said, if, instead of a chair adorned and set forth in honour of the Virgin Mary, (as those women did,) he had found her advanced to a throne of a mediatix in heaven? What if instead of cakes, there had been litanies and prayers offered to her, and that in more abundance than to Christ himself? What if he had found them praying, O holy mother succour the miserable, help the weak, comfort those that mourn? (Breviar Rom Æstiv Suffragia.) I doubt not but he would have said of this, what he doth of the other, that they would obtrude her upon us for God, and have called it heresy and idolatry

R. 41. 42. Deut *** Exxiv 6 'He [God by Michael] buried Moses, but no man knoweth of his sepulchre unto this day' S Barradas the Jesuit upon the place suith. "It is the common opinion of Lyra, Abulensis, Cajetan, and others, that the sepulchre was hid, lest the Israelites, who were inclined to the worship of idols, should worship Moses as God. For they say that when the Devil would for that reason have showed the grave and the body of Moses to the Israelites St Michael hindered; and this was the contention spoken of, Jude 9" Seb Barrad Itmerar Fil Israel

They could give no greater honour to the body of Moses, than is given to relies in the church of Rome; and if that was idolatry, and Moses's body was concealed to prevent it, then there is as much reason to think it unlawful now in this case, as it was then in that

R 43 We read of Hezekiah, 2 Kings xviii 4, that he brake in pieces the biazen serpent that Moses had made and the reason was, because the children of Israel did burn incense to it. The brazen serpent was of God's own institution. Numb xxi 8 By looking up to which, the people were formerly cured. And though it was preserved as a memorial of that divine operation; yet when abused to idolatry, he cut it in pieces. And were these truly relies of saints, and did work those minacles they pretend, it would be no reason for that reverence and worship they give to them; but the reverence and worship given to them should, according to good king Hezekiah's practice, be a reason to give them a decent interment.

Q 44. What kind of reverence or worship is required to be given

to images and pictures in the church of Rome?

A They kiss them, uncover the head, and fall down before them; offer incense, and pray to them, and use all such postures of worship as they would do to the person or persons thereby represented, (whether Christ, the Virgin Mary, or other saints,) if they were present. and whosoever doth think otherwise is accursed.* And accordingly the priest is to direct the people to them, that they may be worshipped.†

* Concil Trid. Sess. 25. de invocat Catech. Rom. Par 4 c 6. p. 4.

† Colantur Catech. Rom. Par. 3. c. 2. n. 31.

Q. 45. What do they profess, is their intention in the reverence

they give to images and pictures?

They declare that the honour given to images and pictures, is referred to the prototypes,* or the persons represented by them, whether God the Father, Christ, angels, or saints: and when they fall down before the image or picture, they worship God, or Christ, the angel, or saint.

* Concil. Trid. Ibid.

Q. 46. What regard have they to the material cross or crucinx?

- A. 1 They ascribe peculiar virtue to it, and pray that God would make the wood of the cross to "be the stability of faith, an increase of good works, the redemption of souls " Pontificale in bened. novæ Crucis.
- 2 They use all expressions of outward adorations, by kissings, and prostrations, &c *

3. They pray directly to it, to "increase grace in the godly, and

blot out the sins of the guilty."

4. They give latria to it, which is the sovereign worship that is neculiar to God. ‡

* Missale Fer 6 in Parasc. † Ibid. sub ante Domin. pass & Fest. invent. crucis. ‡ Pontif. Bom. ordo ad recep. Imper. Rubr. 1. & Gretser de cruse, l. 1. c. 49.

- R. 44. On the contrary, the second commandment teaches us, Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, &c. Thou shalt not bow down to them, nor serve them' In which there are two words to be considered, the one, pesel, which we rightly translate graien image; for it properly signifies anything carved and cut out of wood or stone; and so it is about forty times rendered in the Greek translation (γλυπθον) a graven thing. So that an idol and an image are there forbidden. The next word is theniunah, which doth properly signify a similitude or likeness, (as is confessed,) and is always so translated. And thus it was understood by the fathers. So Justin Martyr when he recites this law, saith, God forbad every image and similatude. [sinova was outsimpe.] And therefore Cassander grants that the ancient Christians abhorred all veneration of images. Consult Art. 21, dc Imagin. Indeed the command is so express against this practice, that there has been a kind of self-condemnation in the church of Rome, whilst they commonly either altogether leave out this commandment,* or render it imperfectly, and by halves, Thou shalt not make to thee an idol.
 - * The Children's Catechism, printed 1678
- R. 45. If an image be a representation of a divine person, and worship be due to the image for the sake of the person represented in it, then such as the person is, such must the worship be that is due to his image, and what is due to the person if present, is due to the image in his absence. For to give one honour to the person, and another to the image, a superior to the person, and an inferior to the image, is to terminate the worship in the image, and not pass it from thence to the person, as Grester the Jesuit argues * but if it be to pass from the image unto the person, then we know what they do when they kiss, and uncover their heads, and how down to, and worship an image ' and have reason to remember the apostle's advice, 1 John v. 21, "Keep yourselves from idols."
 - * De cruce, l. 1. c. 49. § Secundo staque
- R. 46. The church of Rome, though without any authority from Scripture, (which uses the words promiscuously,)* makes a distinction between latria and doulia, the former is the worship they give to God, the latter the worship they give to saints. Now they grant, that to give latria, or sovereign worship to any besides God, is idolatry, and that were not the host the very body and blood of Christ, it would be no less than idolatry to give that honour to the host, which they give to Christ, we understand then how to call that worship they give to the cross; they themselves call it latria; so we may by their leave call it idolatry. For whatever, the host is, the cross is but a representation, and not the person worshipped

^{*} Gal iv. 8 ideaevour, Ne did service to them that were no gods. Rom. i 25 enargevour, They served the creature.

Q 47. Do they think it lawful to represent God and the blessed

Trimty by pictures and images, and to worship them?

A. Such pictures are not only almost every where received in the church of Rome, but universally tolerated,* and are both recommended as expedient for the people,† and proposed to them to be worshipped ‡

* Bellarm de Imag | 2 c 8, § ultimo probatur

t Concil Trid- bess 25 de sacr Imag Catech. Rom par 3, c. 2 n. 20.

† Cajetan. in Aquin. q 25, art 3.

Q. 48. Upon what pretence do they make such representations of God?

A 'They say, they hereby represent not God, but some of his properties and actions, after the manner they are described in Scripture: as when Dan vin. 9 10, 'The Ancient of days' is said 'to sit on a throne, having the books opened before him:' thereby signifying his eternity and infinite wisdom.*

" Catech. Rom. Ibid.

Q 49 But are not such descriptions of God, the way to represent him, as if he was like unto one of us?

A. Such pictures are not without danger to be exposed to such as cannot read the Scriptures, if they are not taught that they are to be taken metaphorically.*

" Bellarm. de Imag 1 2 c. 8 SS. Respondent.

SECTION IV -Of the Sacraments.

Q. 50 What is a Sacrament?

A Sacrament is a sensible thing which, by the institution of God, hath a power, as well of causing as of signifying holiness and rightcoursess.*

Q 51 How many sacraments are there in the church of Rome?

A. There are seven, viz Baptism, Confirmation, Euchanst, Penance, Extreme Unction, Orders, and Matrimony †

Q 52. Is this number determined to be a matter of faith?

A. Whosoever saith, that there are more or fewer than seven instituted by Christ, or that any of the seven are not truly and properly sacraments, is accursed ‡

* Catech Rom. par. 2 cap. 1. n 11. † Concil. Trid. Sess. 7. Can. 1. ibid.

1 Ibid.

R. 47. There is nothing more expressly forbidden in Scripture, than the making any image or representation of God, Deut. iv. 15, 16, 'Take ye good heed unto yourselves, (for ye saw no manner of similitude,) lest ye corrupt yourselves; and make you a graven image, the smilitude of any figure.' If it had been acceptable to God, he would have chosen a similitude to appear in; but seeing he did not, they were not to presume to make one for him. This is to change his glory, Rom. 1. 21, &c. And "to place such an image in a Christian temple is abominable," saith St. Austin.* And "thus to describe the Trinity, is a deformation of it," saith Cassander. †

* De Fide & Symb. c 7-

† Art- 21 § de Imag.

R. 48. But what is this to those images and pictures used by them, which have no resemblance in Scripture, such are their descriptions of the Trinity in Unity, as of God the Father like an old Man, having the Son lying in his bosom, the Holy Ghost over his head like a dove?

2. God himself never appeared in any form, and so the resemblance in Daniel was only a prophetical scheme, and did no more belong to God, than the eyes and cars that are ascribed to him in

Scripture.

3. God cannot be represented at all, but by such properties and effects · but if an image of God be forbidden to be worshipped, then the image, even by such properties and effects, is forbidden to be

worshipped.

R 49. Cassander south, "I wish those from whom this information is to be received, were not the authors of these superstitions;" and he adds, "That the teaching is not enough, without the occasions be removed." This he saith of all images, but more especially of such as are made to represent God. Art. 21 de Imag.

SECTION IV .- Of the Sacraments.

R. 50, 51, 52. Cassander saith, that we shall not easily find any before Peter Lombard, who lived about 1139, that did define the number of the sacraments.* And St. Austin is very positive that there are but two of divine institution. \ Now that there should be sacraments of divine institution, that are neither instituted in the gospel, nor known to be so, till 1100 years after our Saviour, nor be made a matter of faith till 1500, may be a doctrine received in the church of Rome, but will not easily be believed by any out of it,

* Art. 13. § de num. Sacrum.

[†] Epist ad Januar. 118. Our Lord Jesus Christ, saith he, hath knit Christians to-gether, toth sacraments most few in number, most easy to be kept, most excellent in signs-fication, as are Baptism and the Lord's Supper.

Q. 53. What are the parts of a sacrament?

A The parts of a sacrament are the matter or clement, and the form of words of consecration so the matter in Baptism is water, the form is, I baptize thee, &c.

Q 54. Of what virtue are the sacraments?

A. The sacraments contain the grace which they signify, and confer grace, (ex opere operato,) by the work itself, upon such as do not put an obstruction.* For these sensible and natural things work by the almighty power of God in the sacraments, what they could not do by their own power.†

* Concil. Trid. ibid. Can 6. & 8.

† Catech. Rom. ibid. n 27.

Q 55. What is necessary to a sacrament on the part of those that officiate?

A. It is absolutely necessary that those that make and consecrate the sacraments, have an intention of doing at least what the church doth, and doth intend to do Conc. Trid. ibid. can. 11.

Of Baptism.

Q. 56. Who may administer the sacrament of Baptism?

A It chiefly belongs to bishops, priests, and deacons,* but in case of necessity men or women, Jews, infidels, or heretics† may do it, if they intend to do what the church doth.

* Concil Trid Sess. 2. de bapt. can. 4. † Catech Rom par. 2 c 2 n 24.

- Q. 57 What ceremonies are used in the administration of Bartism in the church of Rome?
- A. Before Baptism, there is, 1. Chrism or oil mixed with water in the consecration of it *
- 2. Exorcism composed of certain words, prayers, and actions, for driving away the Devil† out of the child, and the salt, &c. And the priest is to blow in the face of the child, after the form of a cross, saving. Go out of him, Satan, &c.‡

saying, Go out of him, Satan, &c.‡
3. The forehead, eyes, breast, &c. are to be crossed, to show that by the mystery of baptism, the senses are opened to receive God, and to understand his commands. Cate. n. 67. Pastor ibid.

4. Then some exorcised salt is to be put into the mouth, to signify a deliverance from the putrefaction of sin, and the savour of good works. And the priest in putting it into the mouth, saith, N. Take the salt of wisdom, and let it be a propiliation for thee to elematifie. Amen

* Catech ibid. n. 11. & 60 † Catech. lbid. n. 65 † Catech. ibid. n. 66. | Pastor ibid

- R. 53. That a sacrament should consist of matter and form, and yet either have no form, as Confirmation and extreme Unction; or have neither matter nor form, of divine institution, as Penance and Matrimony, is to make them sacraments, and to be none. Our church rightly affirms of the additional sacraments, they have not any visible sign ordained of God. Article 25.
- R. 54. It is not sufficient that adult persons have no indisposition to receive the grace of the sacraments; for there is also required a mind well instructed, a sound belief, and a heart well inclined for that purpose. 2. The virtue in the sacraments doth not proceed from the mere elements and words, but from the blessing of God in consequence of his promise to such only as rightly partake of them, and are qualified for it.
- R. 55. From hence it follows, that if there be no intention, the sacraments are none. And so there is no certainty whether the priest be a priest, or whether in the eucharist the elements continue not elements after consecration, and what is taken for the host be no other than bread. For without the intention, neither is the priest ordained, nor are the elements consecrated.

Of Baptism.

- R. 56. Our Saviour gave commission to the apostles and their successors in the office of the ministry, 'to teach all nations, baptizing them.' Matt. xxviii. 19, 20 So that women, Jews, and infidels, have no more a power to administer baptism, than they have to teach, or to be priests.
- R 57 It is pleaded for these coremonies, that they are appointed for the majesty of divine worship, and that the benefits contained in the sacraments, may be better imprinted on the mind. Catech. part 2. c. 2, n. 59.

But can we think it for the majesty of baptism to have it dressed up like a form of conjuration, that the child must be supposed to be possessed with the Devil, and the priest must blow in his face three times, with get thee out, Satan, before he can say, Peace be with thee, and that he must cross him half a score times or more, from part to

part, in preparation to baptism?

Can we think it for the majesty of it, to have salt exorcised in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; and to be put into the mouth of the child, for a propulation unto eternal life? Can we think it for the majesty of this ordinance, that the priest should put some of his own spittle in his left hand, and then taking it thence with the thumb and fore-finger of his right hand, touch both ears of the infant, and say, Ephphatha, be thou opened and then his nostrils, and say, For the odour of sweetness; but thou O Devil fly: and then on his

5. Then the mose and cars are to be anointed with spittle, and then the child is to be brought to the water, as the blind man to Siloam, to signify it brings light to the mind Catech ibid. n. 60

After baptism, 1. The priest amoints the top of the head with chrism,* and he adds, Let him anoint thee with the chrism of salvation. †

2. He puts a white garment on the baptized, saying, Tuke this white garment, which thou mayest bring before the judgment seat of Christ, that thou mayest have life eternal. Catech and in 73.

3. A lighted candle is put into the hand, to show a faith inflamed with charity, and nourished with good works. *Ibid n.* 74.

" Cateck. ibid. n. 72.

† Pastor. ibid.

Of Confirmation.

Q. 58. Is Confirmation a sacrament?

A. It is properly and truly, and whosoever holds otherwise is accurated.*

" Conc. Trid. Ses 7. de confirm. can. 1.

Q. 59. What is the matter of the sacrament of confirmation?

A. The matter is chrism, which is an outment compounded of oil olive, and balsam, and consecrated by the bishop, upon Maunday Thursday.

* Catech. Rom. par. 2. c 3. n 2 7. and 27. † Sum of Christian doctrine, Lond. 1656.

Q. 60. What is the form of consecuation?

A. The form is the words used by the bishop, when he crosses the forehead with the chrism, viz. "I sign thee with the sign of the cross, and I confirm thee with the chrism of salvation, in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost." Catech. n. 2. & 11.

Q. 61. What ceremonics are used in confirmation?

right hand, after the manner of a cross, saying, N I deliver unto thee, the mark of our Lord Jesus Christ, † that thou mayst drive the adver-

sary from thee on every side, and have life eternal?

And what are the benefits imprinted on the mind by these fantastical ceremonies? Or when is it such benefits are promised as these are said to signify? Is it not rather a debasing of it, to have such rites and prayers introduced into it, as signify that which baptism was never appointed for? To give an instance in the salt used in it.

The Exorcism of the Salt.

"I exorcise thee, O creature of salt, in the name of the Father † omnipotent, and in the love of our Lord Jesus † Christ, and in the virtue of the Holy † Spirit. I exorcise thee by the living † God, by the true † God, by the holy † God, who hath created thee for the safeguard of mankind, and hath commanded it to be consecrated by his servants for the people that come to believe, that in the name of the holy Trinity thou be'st made a wholesome sacrament to put the enemy to flight. Therefore we pray thee, O our Lord God, that in sanctifying † thou dost sanctify this creature of salt, and in blessing † thou dost bless it, that it may be a perfect medicine to all that take it."

N. B. Where this mark † stands, the sign of the cross is made.

Of Confirmation

R. 58. The Roman catechism saith, That sacraments cannot be instituted by any but God * And yet the great schoolman, Alex. Ales, saith, "Christ did not institute nor declare confirmation to be a sacrament."† So by their own confession it is none

* Catech. ibid. n. 6

† Par. 4. Q. 4. M. 1.

R 59. That chrism is either of divine institution or the matter of a sacrament may be said, but cannot be proved.

R. 60. It is said that Christ instituted the matter and form of confirmation from the authority of pope Fabian; * but Alex. Ales saith, it was ordained by the Meldensian council.† And indeed the Roman catechism, after some pretence to divine institution, thinks it safest to resolve it into the authority of the church.

* Par. 4 Q 3. M. 2 N. 3 & Q. 9. M. 1. † Catech. Rom. ibid. N. 6. & 12. & Bellarminus de confirm. c. 2.

R. 61. Whether we consider the far fetched significations of D 2

A. In the anointing, the bishop dips the tip of his finger in the chrism, and making a cross, saith, I sign thee, &c *

2. After confirmation, he strikes the person slightly on the cheek, that he may remember he is to suffer all injuries for the name of

Christ, with patience and courage. †

- 3 Then the person to be confirmed setting his foot upon the right foot of the godfather, ‡ is to have his head bound with a clean head-band for some days, more or less, with reverence to the holy chrisin; which done, the band is to be preserved in the sacrarium, or other clean place till the following Ash-Wednesday, to be burnt to holy ashes. §
 - * Pontif. de confirm. † Catech. n. 25. ‡ Pontif. ibid. § Pastorale.

Of the Eucharist.

Q. 62. What is the Eucharist?

- A. It is a sacrament wherein is truly and substantially contained whole Christ, God-man, body and blood, bones and nerves,* soul and divinity, under the species or appearance of bread and wine †
 - * Catech Rom. par. 2 c. 4. n. 33. † oncil. Trid. Sess. 13. de real. præs. c. 1.

Q. 62. How do they attempt to prove this?

- A. From the words of our Saviour, This is my body: which, say they, clearly demonstrate, that the same body which was born of the Virgin, and is now in heaven, is in the sacrament.* Catech. par. 2. c. 4. n. 26.
 - * A Sum of Christian Doctrine, printed 1686-
- Q 63. What becomes of the bread and wine after consecution?

 A Upon consecration there is a conversion of the whole substance of the bread, into the substance of Christ's body, and of the whole substance of the wine, into the substance of Christ's blood; which conversion is usually called transubstantiation. C. Trid. ibid. c. 4. Concil. Later. 4. Can. 1.
- Q. 64. What is then that, which is seen and tasted in the eucharist?
- A. The things seen and tasted are the accidents only of bread and wine, there is the savour, colour, and quantity of bread and wine, without any of their substance, but under those accidents there is only the body and blood of Christ. Catech Rom. n. 87 & 44.

Q. 65 Is the body and blood of Christ broken, when the host is

broken and divided?

these ceremonies, or the virtue put in them; the abuse is intolerable; as for instance, that in consecration of the chrism, the bishop blows upon it, to signify the descent of the Holy Ghost for the sanctification of it,* and that it hath a power of sanctification as the instrument of God t So the bishop prays in the consecration of it, "That God in bestowing spiritual grace upon this ointment, would pour out the fulness of sanctification, and that it may be to all that are to be anomited with it, for the adoption of sons of the Holy Spirit. # Amen"

* Bellarm de confirm 1 2 c. 13. § Tertio habet-† Ibid. § Quarta cæremonia. † Pontif. Rom.

Of the Eucharist

R. 62, 63. No such change of the substance of the bread; (1.) into the substance of Christ's body, can be inferred from our Saviour's words, This is my body. Matt. xxvi. 26, for it is not said this is turned into my body, but This is my body; which if to be taken literally, would rather prove the substance of the bread to be his body. Therefore cardinal Cajetan acknowledges it is no where said in the gospel, that the bread is changed into the body of Christ, but they have it from the authority of the church. Cajet. in Agum. 3 par. Q. 75 art. 1

2 It is farther evident that the words are not to be taken in their proper sense, for it is called bread as well after consecration as before it, 1 Cor. x 17 xi 26, 27, 28 So that what was called his

body was also bread at the same time.

3. The mystical relation which the bread by consecration has to Christ's body, is sufficient to give it the name of his body. For it is the usual way of Scripture, to call things of a sacramental nature, by the name of those things they are the figure of.* So circumcision is called the covenant, Gen. xvii. 13 And the killing, dressmg, and eating the Lamb, is called the passover, Exodus xii 11. And after the same manner is the bread in the sacrament Christ's body; that is, as circu neision was the covenant, and the Lamb the passover, by signification and representation, by type and figure. And so the elements are called by the fathers, The images, the symbols, the figure, of Christ's body and blood.

† Orig. dial. 3. contr Marcion. * Aug. Epist. 23. Luseb dem. Evang. l. 1 e & ult. § Aug. contr. Adimant. c. 12

R. 64. Our Saviour appealed to the senses of his disciples, Luke xxiv. 39. 'Handle and see me, for a spirit hath not flesh and bones as you see me have.' Take away the certainty of sense, and there is no discerning a body from a spirit; and grant transubstantiation, and we take away the certainty of sense

R. 65. If every particle of the host is as much the whole body of Christ, as the whole host is before it be divided, then a whole may

- A. No, because Christ is impassable,* and besides there is whole and entire Christ under either species or element, under the species of bread, and under every particle of it, under the species of wine, and under every drop of it.†
 - * Abridgment of Christ. Doctrine, c. 11. § Euchar. † Cone Trid. ibid. c. 3.
- Q. 66. Do they administer the sacrament in both kinds of bread and wine?
- A. No, the people are permitted to receive it only in one kind, and are denied the cup. Trid. Sess. 21. c. 1.

Q. 67. For what reason doth the church of Rome deprive the

people of what our Lord is granted to have instituted?

A. For just and weighty causes,* such as these, 1. Lest the blood of Christ should be spilt upon the ground. 2 Lest the wine by being kept for the sick, should grow eager. 3 Because many cannot bear the taste or smell of wine 4 Because in many countries, there is such a scarcity of wine as is not to be had without great charge and tedious journeys. 5 To disprove those that deny whole Christ to be contained under each species †

* Con. Trun. ibid. c. 2.

† Catech. Rom. sbid. n. 66.

Q. 68. What is the mass?

A. In the sacrifice of the mass, the same Christ is contained, and unbloodily offered, who bloodily offered himself upon the altar of the cross Conc. Trid Sess. 22 e 1

Q 69. Of what virtue is the sacrifice in the mass?

A. It is truly a propitiatory sacrifice, and is available, not only for the sins, punishments, and satisfactions of the living, but also for those of the souls in purgatory Ibid.

Q 70 Is this necessary to be beheved?

A. Yes, and whosoever demes any of this is accursed,* and incapable of salvation.†

* Concil. Trid. Sess. 22 Can. 1

† Bulla Pu. 4.

Q. 71. May the priest communicate alone, though there be none besides to communicate ?

A. Yes, the church of Rome doth approve and commend solitary masses, and accounts them a communion, partly, because the people do spuritually communicate in it, and partly because it is celebrated

be divided into wholes; for divide it and sub-divide it, it is still whole. Whole it is before the division, whole it is in the division, and whole it is after it. Thus unreasonable, as well as false, is the doctrine of transubstantiation.

R 66. It is acknowledged, that our Saviour instituted and delivered the sacrament in both kinds.* And that it so continued even in the church of Rome for above 1000 years after.† And yet with a non obstante to both, they forbid the people to drink of it; and declare, whoever thinks it necessary to receive in both kinds, is accursed !‡

* Concil Constant Sess. 13 — Trid. Sess. 21. c. 1, 2 † Consult. Cassandri. Art. 22. † Concil Trid. ibid. Can 1.

R. 67. These are the just and weighty causes for their over-ruling the plain precept of our Saviour, Matt. xxvi. 27 Drink ye all of this; and yet whosoever shall say they are not just and sufficient reasons, is accursed * As if it was sufficient to forbid wine in the sacrament to all, because some few cannot bear the taste or smell of it; and it was a just cause to deprive all countries of it, because some have not wine, or cannot obtain it without difficulty!

* Concil. Trid. ibid. Can, 2.

R 68, 69, 70. The Scripture, when it extols the perfection and infinite value of Christ's sacrifice, doth infer from it, that there needed not therefore any repetition of it. Heb. vii. 27, 'He needeth not daily, as those high-priests, to offer up sacrifice, &c. for this he did once, when he offered up himself.' But if the same Christ is offered in the mass as was on the cross, and that unbloody sacrifice is alike propitiatory as the bloody, there is then a repetition of the same sacrifice, and he is daily offered. And what is it to say the one was bloody and the other is unbloody, when the unbloody is of the same virtue, and is applied to the same end as the bloody? So that as if Christ had again been bloodily offered up, there had been a repetition of that sacrifice; so there is a repetition of it when he is offered up unbloodily. To have then a perfect sacrifice daily repeared, and a sacrifice without suffering, and a propitiation and remission without blood, are alike irreconcilable to the apostle, Heb. ix. 22, 25, &c

R 71. The apostle calls the Lord's supper a communion, and saith, 'all are partakers of that one bread,' 1 Cor. x. 16, 17. And Cassander saith, "It cannot properly be a communion unless many partake of it;" and adds from the council of Nantz, That it is absurd to say, "Lift up your hearts," when there is none communicates

by a public minister, not only for himself, but also for the people. Conc. Trid ibid. cap. 6.

Q. 72. What honour is to be given to the consecrated host?

A. Latria, or the same sovereign worship which is due only to God,* adore it,† pray to it ‡ And whosoever holds it unlawful or idolatrous so to do, is accursed.

* Concil. Trid. Sess 13. cap. J # Mi ‡ Brev Rom. Hym in F. Corp. Ch. § Cor

† Missale Rom. Can. Missæ. 5 Concil. Trid. ibid Can. o

Q 73. What are the ceremonies used in the mass?

A The ceremonies in the mass, respect either things, actions, or words, among the things, are garments, places, time, vessels, cloths, incense, lights, &c Bellarm. Doc. Trid. de Sacr. Miss.

Q 74. What are the garments used by the priests in the mass,

and what is their signification?

A 1 The annee or white veil, which he puts over his head, signifies mystically, either the divinity of Christ, covered under his humanity, or the crown of thorns, and morally, contemplation or hope. In putting it on, he saith, "Put on, O Lord, the helmet of salvation upon my head, that I may overcome all diabolical temptations."

2. The alb or long white garment, signifies mystically, the white robe put on our Savioui, and, morally, faith and innocency. In putting it on, he saith, "Make me white, O Lord, and cleanse my heart, that being whitened in the blood of the Lamb, I may enjoy

everlasting gladness."

3. The girille signifies mystically the cords wherewith our Saviour was bound; and morally, (being turned up on both sides,) the two means to preserve chastity, viz. fasting and prayer. When he puts it about him, he prays, "Giril me, O Lord, with the girile of purity, and quench in my loins the humour of lust, that there may remain in me the virtue of continency and chastity." The like account is given of the maniple, vestment, and stole, and of the divers colours of the furniture used in the several seasons, in the manual of the poor man's devotion, Chap. Of the Ornaments of the Mass.

Of Penance.

Q. 75. What is the matter and form of the sacrament of penance?

A The matter is contrition, confession, and satisfaction. The form is, I absolve. Catech. Com. par. 2 c. 5 n. 14 & 15

with the priest.* And yet the council of Trent declares, whosoever shall say such masses are unlawful, and to be abrogated, is accursed.†

* Consult. Art. 24. de solit. Miss.

† Sess. 22 Can. 8.

R. 72. We freely own that Christ is to be adored in the Lord's supper, but that the elements are to be adored, we deny. If Christ is not corporally present in the bost, they grant their adoration to be idolatry.* And that he is not corporally present any where but in heaven, we are taught, Acts 1 11 m. 21, whither he went, and where he is to continue till his second coming to judgment.

* Coster. Enchir. c. 8, n 10

R. 73, 74. The council of Trent saith, that the ceremonies of the mass, such as mystical benedictions, lights, incensings, garments, &c. are from apostolical tradition, * or as others, were instituted by the Holy Ghost '† and that they serve for the majesty of that sacifice, and to raise the mind to the contemplation of the divine things concealed in it—so none of them are superfluous and vain. ‡

Sess. 22. c. 5. † Bellarm cap de Sacr. Mis. ‡ Catech par. 2 c. 4. n. 81.

But how shall we reconcile this to the numerous crossings and sprinklings, used in the celebration of the mass? For example, When the priest is clothed with the garments, rehearsed before, he comes to the altar, and standing on the lowest step just against the middle of it, he makes a profound reverence to the altar and crucifix. Then he ascends, and having placed the books, &c. in order, he descends to the lowest step, and turning himself to it, with his hands joined before his breast, and making a reverence to the altar or crucifix, he begins the mass standing upright, and drawing with his right hand (his left hand laid on his breast) the sign of the cross from his forehead to his breast. Then he joins his hands before his breast; the minister standing on his left-hand behind him bowing, saith, Ad Deum, &c. Then the priest with the minister say the psalm, Judica me, with Gloria Patis, at which he is to bow his head to the cross. Then he repeats the Introibo, making with his right hand the sign of the cross from the forehead to the breast. Then he bows his head and body to the altar, and there he stands bowing till the minister saith miscreatur. When he saith mea culpa, he snutes thrice upon his breast with the right hand; and thus the missal proceeds in its ceremonies in all the remaining parts of the service.

Of Penance.

R. 75. We are told, that the matter of a sacrament is somewhat sensible:* then how is penance a sacrament which has no such matter? For where is the matter that is sensible in contrition? The council to avoid this, call it Quasi materia, a matter after a sort.†

* Catech. Rom par. 2 c. 1. n 11.

† Concil. Trid. Sess. 14. cap. 3.

Q. 76. What is confession?

A Confession is a particular discovery of all mortal sins to the priest, with all their circumstances that increase or diminish the sin, as far as can be called to mind, * without which, neither forgiveness nor salvation is to be obtained †

* Concil Trid Sess 14. c 5. and Catech ibid, n. 48. f Trid. ibid Can. 6, 7. Catech. n. 44.

Q. 77 Of what kind is the absolution, which the priest grants

upon confession?

A The absolution is not only declarative, but judicial, and the sentence pronounced by the priest, is as if pronounced by the Judge himself;* he perfecting what God causes. †

* Concil. Tiid- ibid c 6 & Can. 9

† Catech. par. 2. 5. n. 17.

Q 78. What is the benefit of absolution?

A. Although a somer is not so affected with such grief for his sin, as may be sufficient to obtain pardon, yet when he has rightly confessed to a priest, all his sins are pardoned, and an entrance is opened into heaven. Catech. ibid. n. 38.

Q. 79. What is satisfaction?

- A. It is a compensation made to God, by prayer, fasting, alms,* &c. for all offences committed against him; t so that the offender is thereupon purged from the defilement of sin, and discharged from all temporal punishments due to him, either here or in purgatory.

† Bellai minus de Sucisiae... † Catech Rom ibid. n. 65, 66. Bellarminus de Satisfact. Bellarm. de Indulg. l. 1. c. 7. § Quarta. propositio.

Q. 80. How do these works become thus satisfactory?

A. They are meritorious and satisfactory, as they are united to the satisfaction of Christ |

Catech ibid n. 72, 73.

Bellarm. de forma. satisfact. Bellarm. de Indul. l. i. c. 4. ss. Respons. non cet auidem.

The Sacrament of Extreme Unction.

Q. 81. What is the matter of the sacrament of Extreme Unction? A. Oil of olive-berries* consecrated by a bishop, which aptly sigmfies the grace of the Spirit with which the soul of the sick is mvisibly anointed †

* Catech. Rom. par. 2. c 6 p. 5. † Concil. Trid Sess. 14 be Ex. Unct. c. 1.

Q. 82. What is the form of it?

A. The form is, "By this holy anointing, God pardon thee, by

R. 76. We grant confession to men to be in many cases of use, public in case of public scandal; private to a spiritual guide for disburthening of the conscience, and as a help to repentance. But to make auricular confession, or particular confession to a priest, necessary to forgiveness and salvation, when God has not so made it, is apparently to teach for doctrine the commandment of men; and to make it necessary in all cases, is to make of what may be a useful means, a dangerous snare, both to the confessor and those that confess.

R. 77. To pardon sin, and absolve the sinner judicially, so as the conscience may rest firmly upon it, is a power reserved by God to himself. So 1 John i. 9, 'If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.' And, therefore, the authority of the priest is only ministerial, declarative, and conditional. "Men show a ministry in the forgiveness of sins, but do not exercise a right of power. They pray, but it is God forgives," saith St. Ambrose, de Spir. 1. 3. c. 19.

R. 78. The grief which is sufficient to obtain pardon, is contrition; and so the grief which is not sufficient to obtain pardon, must be attrition; and the meaning then is, that attrition with absolution,

is as effectual as contrition.

R. 79, 80. The giving satisfaction to the church in case of scandal, and the imposing penances upon notorious offenders, is an useful part of ecclesiastical discipline. But to make that a satisfaction to God which is given to the church; and to make our works to satisfy, though but as an appendant to the satisfaction of Christ, we can by no means allow. Not the former, because it is derogatory to the justice of God; not the latter, because it is derogatory to the ments of our Saviour. For what can make a satisfaction to God, but the obedience and suffering of his Son? Or what need is there of another satisfaction after that of our Saviour? Heb. x. 14, 'By one offering he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified?

Of Extreme Unction.

R. S1, &c. We read, Mark vi. 13, when the twelve apostles were sent forth, they 'anointed with oil many that were sick, and healed them,' making use of that anointing, not as a natural means, but as a mystical sign of the miraculous cure to be wrought by the power of Christ. And as long as this power continued in the church, so long there was a reason for continuing this rite. Accordingly the apostle directs, Jam. v. 14, 15, 'Is any sick! Let him call for the elders of the church, and let them pray over him, anointing him with oil in the name of the Lord. And the prayer of faith shall save the sick.' But when the power ceased, there was no reason for the con-You. 10.—E

whatever thou hast offended, by the fault of the eyes, nose, or touch." Catech, ibid n. 6.

Q 83. What are the parts anointed?

A The eyes because of seeing, the ears because of hearing, the mouth because of tasting or speech, the hands because of touching, the feet because of motion, the reins because the seat of lust. Catech. ibid. n 10.

Q. 84. When is this anomiting administered?

- A. It is to be administered only when persons are supposed to be near the point of death,* whence it is called Extreme Unction †
 - * Concil Trid. ibid c. 3 Bellarm. Extr. Unct. l. 1. c. 2 ss. Accedit. l Catech. ibid. n. 2, & 14.

Of the Sacrament of Orders.

Q. S5. Is ordination a sacrament?

- A. It is truly and properly a sacrament, and doth confer grace; and whose denies this is accursed Concil. Trid. Sess 7. Can. 1. and 23. cap. 3. and Can. 3.
- Q 86. What are the several orders instituted for the service of the church?
- A The orders always received by the Catholic Church are seven, the greater and less, the greater are the priest, deacon, and subdeacon. the less are the acolythus, who is to carry the candle and assist the subdeacon, the exorcist, who is to attend, and pray over them that are possessed with the Devil; the reader, and the ostianus, or door-keeper. Catech. par. 2. c. 7. n. 12, 15, &c.

Of the Sacrament of Marriage.

Q. 87. Is marriage truly and properly a sacrament?
A. Yes, and whosoever denies it so to be, is accursed. Concil Trid. Sess. 24. Can. 1.

tinuance of this sign. And yet this ceremony of anointing is not only continued in the church of Rome without any pretence to the power, but the nature and the use of it is wholly perverted from what it was in apostolical times. For, 1. This rite was then used in curing the sick, but was not necessary to it, for we find them also cured by imposition of hands, Mark xvi. 18. Acts in 17. or by a word, Acts in 34. But in the church of Rome it is made absolutely necessary. 2 In apostolical times it was a mere rite, but in the church of Rome it is made a sacrament, and whosoever saith it is a mere rite, is accursed.* 3. It was used in apostolical times properly for corporal maladies; but in the church of Rome properly for the soul, and but accidentally for the body † 4. It was used then for the recovery of the sick; but here it is to be applied only to those that are judged to be past it.

* Conc Trid Sess. 14. can. 1. † Bellarm. de Ext. Unct. 1. 1. c 2. § Probo igitur.

Of Orders.

R. 85. We account ordination to be of divine institution, and that by it a ministerial commission is conveyed, but how necessary soever this office is to the church, and grace for the exercise of it, yet as that grace is not promised to it, we cannot admit it to be properly

and truly a sacrament.

R 86 We know of no authority there is for any order under a deacon, so as to another attree them that do not receive them.* We know of no authority for the forms used in the ordination of those lower orders; as when the bishop admits any to that of exorcists, he reaches to them a book in which the exorcisms are contained, and saith, "Receive, and commit to memory, and take the power of laying on of hands upon the possessed, or baptized, or catechumens".

* Concil. Trid ibid Can 2. " | Catech. ibid m. 17.

We know of no authority for this kind of procedure, for those torms of conjuration contained in those books, or for the use of those rites therein prescribed, for exorcising persons, houses, cattle, milk, butter, fruits, &c. infested with the Devil See the Pastorale Meddin, and the Manual of Exorcisms, Antwerp, 1626.

Of Marriage.

R 87 St Austin saith, that signs when applied to religious things, are called sacraments.* And in this large sense he calls the sign of the cross a sacrament,† and others give the same name to washing the feet,‡ and many other mysteries. But then matrimony doth no more confer grace, than washing the feet, or using the sign of the cross; which Bellarmin, after all the virtue he ascribes to it, will not allow to be properly and truly a sacrament. δ

* Epist. 5. † în Psal. 141. ‡ Cypr. de lotione pedum. § De Imag. l. 2. c. 30. ss. Dices ergo.

Q. 88: May those that are in-holy orders marry, or those that are married be received into orders in the church of Rome?

A. No, these that are married may not be admitted,* those that are admitted may not marry, and those that being admitted do marry, are to be separated.

* Concil Later. 1. Can. 21, and Later. 2. Can. 6.

Q. 89. If marriage is a sacrament, and so confers gace, how comes it to be denied to those that are in holy orders? Catech. Rom par. 2 c. 8. n. 17

A. Those in holy orders are the temple of God, and it is a shameful thing that they should serve uncleanness. Later. Concil 2.

R. 88, 89. The apostle, on the contrary, saith, 'Marriage is honourable in all,' Heb. xiii. 4, and gives a hard character of that doctrine which forbids it 1 Tim. iv 1—3 And how lawful it was the direction of the apostle about it, 1 Tim. iii. 2, doth show. And how convenient it is, is manifest from the mischiefs attending the prohibition of it in the Romish church, which wise men among themselves have lamented.*

* Polyd. Virg. de invent. l. 3. e. 4. and Cassander. Consult. Art. 23.

THE CONCLUSION.

I might have added the 5th section about jurisdiction, which the church of Rome challenges over princes, and about their canonization of saints, their consecration of Agnus Dei, and beads, &c. and the use these and the like are applied to. I might have further considered their notes of a church, and showed how many of them are not true, or however do not belong to the church of Rome: but that would be too large a subject to enter upon: and what has been said will be sufficient to show how far that church hath erred from truth and reason. For if we set their councils, missals, breviaries, rituals, and catechisms on one side, and Scripture and antiquity on the other, we shall find their doctrines and practices as truly opposite to those as they are opposite to ours: and may be assured that persons may sooner lose their eyes, than find there such a primacy of St. Peter as they contend for, or their vicarship of the Pope, the invocation of saints, the worship of images, service in an unknown tongue, transubstantiation, purgatory, and the rest that we contend against. Scripture and indubitable antiquity are the authority we appeal to, thither we refer our cause, and can heartily conclude with that of Vincentius Lyrin, That is to be held, which hath been believed every where, always, and by all. Contr. Hær. c. 3

POPERY

CALMLY CONSIDERED.

TO THE READER.

IN the following Tract I propose, First, To lay down and examine the chief Doctrines of the Church of Rome: Secondly, To show the natural tendency of a few of those Doctrines: and that with all the planness and all the calmness 1 can.

SECTION I .- Of the Church, and the Rule of Faith.

1. The Papists judge it necessary to salvation, to be subject to

the pope, as the one visible Head of the Church.

But we read in Scripture that Christ is the Head of the church, "from whom the whole body is fitly joined together," Col. ii. 19. The Scripture does not mention any visible head of the church much less does it mention the pope as such and least of all does it say, that it is necessary to salvation, to be subject to him.

2. The Papists say, The pope is Christ's vicar, St. Peter's successor, and has the supreme power on earth over the whole church.

We answer, Christ gave no such power to St Peter himself. He gave no apostle pic-enunence over the rest. Yea, St. Paul was so far from acknowledging St Peter's supremacy, that he withstood him to the face, (Gal ii 11,) and asserted himself, "not to be behind the chief of the apostles"

Neither is it asserted that St. Peter was the bishop of Rome. no.

nor that he ever was there.

But they say, "Is not Rome the mother, and therefore the mis-

tress of all churches ?"

We answer, No. The word of the Lord went forth from Jerusalem There the church began. She, therefore, not the church of Rome, is the mother of all churches.

The church of Rome, therefore, has no right to require any per-

son to believe what she teaches on her sole authority.

3. St. Paul says, "All Scripture is given by inspiration of God. and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works."

The Scripture therefore being delivered by men divinely inspired, is a rule sufficient of itself: so it neither needs, nor is capable of any

farther addition.

Yet the papists add tradition to Scripture, and require it to be received with equal veneration. By traditions they mean, "Such points of faith and practice as have been delivered down in the church from hand to hand without writing." And for many of these they have no more Scripture to show, than the Pharisees had for their traditions

4. The church of Rome not only adds tradition to Scripture, but several entire books; namely, Tobit and Judith, the Book of Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, Baruch, the two books of Maccabees, Esdras, and a new part of Esther and of Daniel. "Which whole books," says the church of Rome, "whoever rejects, let him be

accursed."

We answer, We cannot but reject them We dare not receive them as part of the Holy Scriptures. For none of these books were received as such by the Jewish church, "to whom were committed the Oracles of God," Rom iii. 2. Neither by the ancient Christian church, as appears from the sixtieth canon of the council of Laodicea, wherein is a catalogue of the books of Scripture, without any mention of these.

5. As the church of Rome, on the one hand, adds to the Scriptures, so, on the other, she forbids the people to read them. Yea, they are forbid to read so much as a summary or historical compen-

dium of them in their own tongue.

Nothing can be more mexcusable than this Even under the law, the people had the Scriptures in a tongue vulgarly known And they were not only permitted, but required to read them; yea, to be constantly conversant therein, Deut. vi. 6, &c. Agreeably to this, our Lord commands to "Search the Scriptures:" and St. Paul directs, that his "Epistle should be read in all the churches." I Thess. v. 27. Certainly this epistle was written in a tongue which all of them understood.

But they say, "It people in general were to read the Bible, it would do them more harm than good." Is it any honour to the Bible to speak thus? But supposing some did abuse it, is this any sufficient reason for forbidding others to use it? Surely not Even in the days of the apostles, there were some unstable and ignorant men, who wrested both St Paul's Epistles and the other Scriptines to their own destruction. But did any of the apostles, on this account, forbid other Christians to read them? You know they did not. they only cautioned them, "Not to be led away by the error of the wicked." And certainly the way to prevent this is, not to keep the Scriptures from them: (for "they were written for our learning:") but to exhort all to the diligent perusal of them, lest they should "terr, not knowing the Scriptures."

6 "But seeing the Scripture may be misunderstood, how are we to judge of the sense of it? How can we know the sense of any

scripture, but from the sense of the church ?"

We answer, 1. The church of Rome is no more the church in general, than the church of England is. It is only one particular branch of the Catholic, or Universal church of Christ, which is the

whole body of believers in Christ, scattered over the whole earth. 2. We therefore see no reason, to refer any matter in dispute to the church of Rome, more than any other church; especially as we neither know the bishop nor the church of Rome, to be any more infallible than ourselves. 3. In all cases, the church is to be judged by the Scripture, not the Scripture by the church. And Scripture is the best expounder of Scripture. The best way therefore to understand it is, carefully to compare scripture with scripture, and thereby learn the true meaning of it.

Section II .- Of Repentance and Obedience.

1. The church of Rome teaches, "That the deepest repentance or contrition, avails nothing without confession to a priest: but that with this, attrition, or the fear of hell, is sufficient to reconcile us to God."

This is very dangerously wrong, and flatly contrary to Scripture. For Scripture says, "A broken and contrite heart, thou, O God, wilt not despise." Ps. li. 17. And the same texts which make contrition sufficient without confession, show that attrition even with it, is insufficient. Now as the former doctrine of the insufficiency of contrition without confession, makes that necessary which God has not made necessary; so the latter, of the sufficiency of attrition with confession, makes that unnecessary which God has made necessary.

2. The church of Rome teaches, "That good works truly merit eternal life."

This is flatly contrary to what our Saviour teaches; "When ye have done all those things that are commanded you, say, We are improfitable servants: we have done that which was our duty to do," Lake xvii. 10. A command to do it, grace to obey that command, and a fur more exceeding and eternal weight of glory, must for ever cut off all pretence of merit from all human obedience.

3. That a man may truly and properly merit hell, we grant; although he never can merit heaven. But if he does merit hell, yet, according to the doctrine of the church of Rome, he need never go there. For "the church has power to grant him an indulgence, which remits both the fault and the punishment."

Some of these indulgences extend to so many days, some to so many weeks, but others extend to a man's whole life; and this is called a *Plenary Indulgence*.

These indulgences are to be obtained, by going pilgrimages; by reciting certain prayers: or (which is abundantly the most common way) by paying the stated price of it.

Now can any thing under heaven be imagined more horrid, more execuable than this? Is not this a manifest prostitution of religion to

the basest purposes? Can any possible method be contrived, to make sin more cheap and easy? Even the Popish council of Trent acknowledged this abuse, and condemned it in strong terms. But they did not in any degree remove the abuse which they acknowledged. Nay, two of the Popes under whom the council sat, Pope Paul III. and Julius III proceeded in the same course with their predecessors, or rather exceeded them For they granted to such of the fraternity of the holy altar, as visited the church of St Hilary of Chartres, during the six weeks of Lent, seven hundred and seventy-five thousand, seven hundred, years of pardon

4 This miserable doctrine of indulgences is founded upon another bad doctrine, that of works of supererogation. For the church of Rome teaches, That there is "an overplus of merit in the saints; and that this is a treasure committed to the church's custody, to be

disposed of as she sees meet."

But this doctrine is utterly irreconcileable with the following scriptures "The sufferings of the present time are not worthy to be compared with the glory that shall be revealed in us." Rom. viii. 18. And "every one of us shall give an account of himself to God" 2 Cor. iv. 17. For if there be no comparison betwixt the reward and the sufferings, then no one has ment to transfer to another every one must give an account of himself to God, then no one can be saved by the merit of another. But suppose there were a superabundance of merits in the saints, yet we have no need of them, seeing there is such an infinite value in what Christ hath done and suffered for us: seeing he alone hath, "by one offering, perfected for ever them that are sanctified." Heb. x. 14.

5. But where do the souls of those go after death, who die in a state of grace, but yet are not sufficiently purged from \sin , to enter the kingdom of heaven ?

The church of Rome says, "They go to purgatory, a purging fire near hell, where they continue till they are purged from all their

sins, and so made meet for heaven"

Now, that those who die in a state of grace, go into a place of torment, in order to be purged in the other world, is utterly contrary to Scripture. Our Lord said to the penitent thief upon the cross, "To-day shalt thou be with me in Paradise." Now if a purgation in another would were necessary for any, he that did not repent and beheve till the last hour of his life, might well be supposed to need it: and consequently ought to have been sent to purgatory, not to Paradise.

6. Very nearly akin to that of purgatory is the doctrme of Limbus Patrum. For the church of Rome teaches, that, "Before the death and resurrection of Christ, the souls of good men departed, were detained in a certain place, called *Limbus Patrum*, which is the uppermost part of hell. The lowermost, they say, is the place of the damned: next above this, is purgatory, next to that Limbus infontum, or the place where the souls of infants are."

It might suffice to say, there is not one word of all this in Scripture. But there is much against it We read that Elijah was taken up into beaven, 2 Kings ii. 11. And he and Moses appeared in glory, Matt xvii. 2. And Abraham is represented as in Paradise, Luke xvii 22, the blessed abode of good men in the other world. Therefore none of these were in the Linbus Patrum. Consequently, if the Bible is true, there is no such place.

SECTION III .- Of Divine Worship.

1. The service of the Roman church consists of prayers to God,

augels, and saints, of lessons and of confessions of faith

All their service is every where performed in the Latin tongue, which is no where vulgarly understood. Yea, it is required, and a curse is denounced against all those who say it ought to be performed.

in the vulgar tongue

This irrational and unscriptural practice destroys the great end of public worship. The end of this is, the honour of God in the edification of the church. The means to this end is, to have the service so performed, as may inform the mind and increase devotion. But this cannot be done by that service which is performed in an un-

known tongue.

What St. Paul judged of this, is clear from his own words. "It I know not the meaning of the voice, (of him that speaks in a public assembly,) he that speaketh shall be a barbarian to me," I Col. xiv. 11 Again, "If thou shalt bless by the Spriit, (by the gift of an unknown tongue,) how shall the unlearned say, An en?" ver 16 How can the people be profited by the lessons, answer at the responses, be devout in their prayers, contess their faith in the creeds, when they do not understand what is read, prayed, and contessed? It is manifest then, that the having any part of divine worship in an unknown tongue, is as flatly contrary to the Word of God, as it is to reason.

2 From the manner of worship in the church of Rome, proceed we to the objects of it. Now the Romanists worship, besides angels, the Virgin Mary, and other saints. They teach, that angels, in particular, are to be "worshipped, invoked, and prayed to." And they have litanies and other prayers composed for that purpose.

In flat opposition to all this, the words of our Saviour are, "Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve." To evade this, they say, "The worship we give to angels is not of the same kind with that which we give to God." Vain words! What kind of worship is peculiar to God, if prayer is not? Surely God alone can receive all our prayers, and give what we pray for. We honour the angels, as they are God's ministers; but we dare not worship or pray to them. It is what they themselves refuse and abhor. So, when "St. John fell down at the feet of the angel to worship him, he said, See thou do it not I am thy fellow-servant: worship God!" Rev. xix. 10.

3. The Romanists also worship saints. They pray to them as their intercessors. They confess their sins to them: they offer incense and make vows to them. Yea, they venerate their very images and relies

Now all this is directly contrary to Scripture. And, first, the worshipping them as intercessors. For as "there is but one God to us, though there are gods many, and lords many " so, according to Scripture, there is but one intercessor or Mediator to us (1 Corvii. 5) And suppose the angels or saints intercede for us in heaven, yet may we no more worship them, than because there are gods many on earth, we may worship them as we do the true God.

The Romanists allow, "there is only one Mediator of Redemption" but say, "there are many mediators of intercession" We answer, The Scripture knows no difference between a Mediator of Intercession and of Redemption. He alone who died and rose again for us, makes intercession for us at the right hand of God. And he alone has a right to our prayers, nor dare we address them to any

other.

4 The worship which the Romanists give to the Virgin Mary, is beyond what they give either to angels of other saints. In one of their public offices, they say, "Command thy son by the right of a mother." They pray to her, to "loose the bands of the guilty, to bring light to the blind, to make them mild and chaste, and to cause their hearts to burn in love to Christ."

Such worship as this cannot be given to any creature, without gross, palpable idolativ. We honour the blessed Virgin, as the mother of the Holy Jesus, and as a person of eminent piety. But we dare not give worship to her, for it belongs to God alone.

Meantime we cannot but wonder at the application which the church of Rome continually makes to her, of whose acts on earth the Scripture so sparingly speaks. And it says nothing of, (what they so poinpously celebrate,) her assumption into heaven, or of her exaltation to a throne above angels or archangels. It says nothing of her being "the mother of Grace and Mercy, the Queen of the gate of heaven," or of her "power to destroy all heresies," and be-

ing "all things to all."

5. The Romanists pay a regard to the relies of the saints also, which is a kind of worship. By relies they mean the bodies of the saints, or any remains of them, or particular things belonging or relating to them when they were alive; as an arm or thigh, bones of ashes; or the place where, or the things by which they suffered. They venerate these, in order to obtain the help of the saints. And they believe "by these, many benefits are conferred on mankind: that by these relies of the saints, the sick have been cured, the dead raised, and devils cast out."

We read of good king Hezekiah, that "he brake in pieces the brazen serpent which Moses had made." 2 Kings xviii. 4. And the reason was, because the children of Israel burnt incense to it. By looking up to this, the people bitten by the fiery serpents had been

And it was preserved from generation to generation, as a memorial of that divine operation. Yet when it was abused to idolatry, he ordered it to be broken in pieces. And were these true relics of the saints, and did they truly work these miracles, yet that would be no sufficient cause for the worship that is given them. Rather this worship would be a good reason, according to Hezekiah's prac-

tice, for giving them a decent interment.

6. Let us next consider, what reverence the church of Rome requires to be given to images and pictures. She requires "to kiss them; to uncover the head; to fall down before them, and use all such postures of worship as they would to the persons represented if present "And accordingly "the priest is to direct the people to them, that they may be worshipped." They say, indeed, that "in falling down before the image, they worship the saint or angel whom it represents" We answer, 1. We are absolutely forbidden in Scripture, to worship saints or angels themselves: Secondly, We are expressly forbidden "to fall down and worship any image, or likeness, of any thing in heaven or earth," whomsoever it may re-This, therefore, is flat idolatry, directly contrary to the commandment of God.

7. Such likewise, without all possibility of evasion, is the worship they pay to the cross. They pray, that God may make the wood of the cross, to "be the stability of faith, an increase of good works,

the redemption of souls "

They use all expressions of outward adoration, as kissing and falling down before it. They pray directly to it, to "increase grace in the ungodly, and blot out the sins of the guilty." Yea, they give Latria to it And this they themselves say, "is the sovereign worship that is due only to God"

But, indeed, they have no authority from Scripture, for their distinction between Latria and Dulia; the former of which they say, is due to God alone, the latter that which is due to samts. But here they have forgotten their own distinction. For although they own Latria is due only to God, yet they do in fact give it to the cross.

This, then, by their own account, is flat idolatry.

8. And so it is to represent the blessed Trinity by pictures and unages, and to worship them. Yet these are made in every Romish country, and recommended to the people to be worshipped: although there is nothing more expressly forbidden in Scripture, than to make any image or representation of God. God himself never appeared in any bodily shape. The representation of "the Ancient of Days," mentioned in Daniel, was a mere prophetical figure, and did no more literally belong to God, than the eyes or ears that are asembed to him in Scriptures

Section IV.—Of the Sacraments

1. THE church of Rome says, "A sacrament is a sensible thing instituted by God himself, as a sign and a means of grace"

"The sacraments are seven, baptism, confirmation, the Lord's sup-

per, penance, extreme unction, orders and marriage."

"The parts of a sacrament are, the matter, and the form or words of consecration. So in baptism, the matter is water, the form, 'I baptize thee,' &c"

On this we remark, Peter Lombard lived about 1110 years after Christ. And he was the first that ever determined the sacraments to be seven. St. Austin (a greater than he) positively affirms, "that there are but two of Divine institution."

Again, To say, That a sacrament consists of matter and form, and vet either has no form, as confirmation and extreme unction, (neither of which is ever pretended to have any form of words, instituted by God himself,) or has neither matter nor form, as penance, or mairiage, is to make them sacraments and no sacraments. For they do not answer that definition of a sacrament which themselves have

2 However, they teach, that "all these seven confer grace ex opere operato, by the work itself, on all such as do not put an obstruction." Nay, it is not enough, that we do not put an obstruction to our receiving grace, there is also required previous instruction, true repentance, and a degree of faith. And even then, the grace does not spring merely ex opere operato: it does not proceed from the mere elements, or the words spoken: but from the blessing of God, in consequence of his promise to such as are qualified for it.

Equally erroneous is that doctrine of the church of Rome, that "'in order to the validity of any sacrament, it is absolutely necessary the person who administers it, should do it with a holy intention. For it follows, that wherever there is not this intention, the sacrament is null and void. And so there is no certainty, whether the priest, so called, be a real priest I For who knows the intention of him that ordained him? And if he be not, all his ministrations are of course null and void. But if he be, can I be sure that his intention was holy in administering the baptism or the Loid's supper? And if it was not, they are no sacraments at all, and all our attendance on them is lost labour.

\3. So much for the sacraments in general, let us now proceed to

"Baptism, say the Romanists, may, in case of necessity, be administered by women, yea, by Jews, infidels, or heretics." No: our Lord gave this commission only to the apostles, and their successors in the ministry.

The ceremoules which the Romanists use in bantism are these: Before baptism, 1. Chrism, that is, oil mixed with water is to be consecrated. 2. Exorcism, that is, the priest is to blow in the face of the child, saying, "Go out of him Satan!" 3. He grosses the Vol. 10.-F

forehead, cycs, breast, and several other parts of the body. 4. He puts exorcised salt into his mouth, saying, "Take the salt of wisdom." 5 He puts spittle into the palm of his left hand, puts the forefinger of his right hand into it, and anoints the child's nose and ears therewith, who is then brought to the water.

After baptism, first, he anoints the top of the child's head with chrism, as a token of salvation: secondly, he puts on him a white garment, in token of his innocence: and, thirdly, he puts a lighted

candle into his hand, in token of the light of faith.

Now what can any man of understanding say, in defence of these idle ceremonies, utterly unknown in the primitive church, as well as unsupported by Scripture? Do they add dignity to the ordinance of God? Do they not rather make it contemptible?

4. The matter of confirmation is the chrism, which is an ointment consecrated by the bishop. The form is, the words he uses in crossing the forehead with the chrism, namely, "I sign thee with the sign of a cross, and confirm thee with the chrism of salvation, in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost."

Then the person confirmed, setting his right foot on the right foot of his godfather, is to have his head bound with a clean headband: which, after some days, is to be taken off and reserved till the next

Ash-Wednesday, to be then burnt to holy ashes.

The Roman Catechism says, "Sacraments cannot be instituted by any besides God." But it must be allowed, Christ did not in-

stitute confirmation, therefore it is no sacrament at all

5. We come now to one of the grand doctrines of the church of Rome, that which regards the Lord's supper. This therefore we would wish to consider with the deepest attention. They say, "In the Lord's supper, whole Christ is really, truly, and substantially contained; God-man, body and blood, bones and nerves, under the appearance of bread and wine."

They attempt to prove it thus. "Our Lord lumself says, This is my body. Therefore, upon consecration, there is a conversion of the whole substance of the bread, into the whole substance of Christ's body, and of the whole substance of the wine into the substance of

his blood. and this is usually termed Transubstantiation.

"Yet we must not suppose, that Christ is broken, when the host (or consecrated bread) is broken; because there is whole and entire Christ, under the species of every particle of bread, and under the

species of every drop of wine."

We answer, No such change of the bread into the body of Christ, can be inferred from his words, "This is my body." For it is not said, "This is changed into my body," but, "This is my body:" which, if it were to be taken literally, would rather prove the substance of the bread to be his body. But that they are not to be taken literally is manifest from the words of St Paul, who calls it bread, not only before but likewise after the consecution, 1 Cor x 17. chap. xi. ver. 26, 27, 28. Here we see, that what was called his body, was bread at the same time. And accordingly these

elements are called by the fathers, the images, the symbols, the

figure of Christ's body and blood

Scripture and antiquity then are flatly against transubstantiation. And so are our very senses. Now our Lord himself appealed to the senses of his disciples, Luke xxiv. 39, "Handle and see me, for a spirit hath not flesh and hones, as ye see me have." Take away the testimony of our senses, and there is no discerning a body from a spirit But if we believe transubstantiation we take away the testimony of all our senses.

And we give up our reason too. For if every particle of the host is as much the whole body of Christ, as the whole host is before it is divided, then a whole may be divided, not into parts, but into wholes. For, divide and subdivide it over and over; and it is whole still! It is whole before the division, whole in the division, whole after the division! Such nonsense, absurdity, and self-contradiction,

all over, is the doctrine of transubstantiation !

6. An evil practice attending this evil doctrine is, The depriving the laity of the cup in the Lord's supper. It is acknowledged by all, that our Lordinstituted and delivered this sacrament in both kinds: giving the wine as well as the bread, to all that partook of it: and that it continued to be so delivered in the church of Rome for above a thousand years. And yet, notwithstanding this, the church of Rome now forbids the people to dimk of the cup! A more insolent and barefaced corruption cannot easily be conceived.

Another evil practice in the church of Rome, utterly unheard of in the ancient church, is, that when there is none to receive the Lord's supper, the priest communicates alone. (Indeed it is not properly to communicate, when only one receives it.) This likewise is

an absolute innovation in the church of God.

But the greatest abuse of all in the Lord's supper 15, the worshipping the consecrated bread. And this the church of Rome not only practises, but positively enjoins. These are her words: "The same sovereign worship which is due to God, is due to the host. Adore it. Pray to it. And whosoever holds it unlawful so to do, let him be accursed."

The Romanists themselves grant, that if Christ is not corporally present in the Lord's supper, this is idolatry. And that he is not corporally present any where but in heaven, we learn from Acts is 11. ch iii. 21. "Thither he went, and there he will continue till the time of the restitution of all things."

7. Consider we now what the Romanists hold, concerning the

sa crament of penance.

"The matter of the sacrament of penance is, contrition, confes-

sion, and satisfaction, the form, I absolve thee."

We object to this: You say, "The matter of a sacrament is something sensible," perceivable by our senses. But if so, penance is not a sacrament. For surely, contrition is not something perceivable by the outward senses!

Again; they say, " Confession is a particular discovery of all mor-

tal sins to a priest, with all their circumstances, as far as they can be called to mind, without which there can be no forgiveness or salvation."

We answer, Although it is often of use, to confess our sins to a spiritual guide, yet to make confessing to a priest necessary to forgiveness and salvation, is, "teaching for doctrines the commandments of men." And to make it necessary in all cases, is to lay a dangerous snare both for the confessor and the confessed.

They go on, "The sentence pronounced by the priest in absolution, is pronounced, by the judge himself. All the sins of the sinner are thereby pardoned, and an entrance opened into heaven."

We cannot allow it We believe the absolution pronounced by the priest, is only declarative and conditional. For judicially to pardon sin and absolve the sinner, is a power God has reserved to himself.

Once more: You say, "Satisfaction is a compensation made to

God by alms, &c. for all offences committed against him "

We answer, 1. It cannot be, that we should satisfy God, by any of our works. For, 2. Nothing can make satisfaction to him, but the obedience and death of his Son.

8 We proceed to what they call, "The sacrament of extreme unction" "The matter," they say, "of extreme unction is, oil consecrated by the bishop, and applied to the eyes, ears, mouth, hands, feet, and reins, of a person supposed to be near death." The form is, "By this holy anointing, God pardon thee for whatever thou hast

offended by the eyes, ears, mouth, or touch "

We reply. When the apostles were sent forth, "they anomted with oil many that were sick, and healed them," (Mark vi. 13,) using this as a sign of the miraculous cures to be wrought. And St. James accordingly directs, "Is any sick among you? Let him call for the cluers of the church, let them pray over him, anoming him with oil in the name of the Loid. And the prayer of faith shall save the sick," (ch. v. ver. 14, 15) But what has this to do with the extreme unction of the church of Rome? In the first church this anoming was a mere rite: in the church of Rome it is made a sacrament; it was used in the first church for the body it is used in the church of Rome for the soul. It was used then for the recovery of the sick; now, for those only that are thought past recovery. It is easy, therefore, to see, that the Romish extreme unction has no foundation in Scripture.

9 We are now to consider, what the church of Rome delivers concerning Ordination. "This," says she, "is properly a sacrament.

He that denies it, let him be accursed "

"The orders received in the church of Rome, are seven the priest, the deacon, the sub-deacon, the acolythus to carry the candle, the exorcist to cast out devils, the reader, and the door-keeper."

On this we observe, it is not worth disputing, whether ordination should be called a sacrament or not. Let the word then pass; but we object to the thing; there is no divine authority for any order under a deacon. Much less is there any scriptural authority, for

the forms of conjuration prescribed to the exorcists, or for the rites prescribed in exorcising not only men, women, and children, but likewise houses, cattle, milk, butter, or fruits, said to be infested with the Devil.

10. The next of their sacraments, so called, is marriage: concerning which they pronounce, "Marriage is truly and properly a sacrament. He that denies it so to be, let him be accursed."

We answer, in one sense it may be so. For St Austin says, "Signs, when applied to religious things, are called sacraments." In this large sense he calls the sign of the cross a sacrament: and others give the name to washing the feet. But it is not a sacrament according to the Romish definition of the word for it no more "confers grace," than washing the feet, or signing with the cross.

A more dangerous error in the church of Rome is, the forbidding the clergy to many. "Those that are married may not be admitted into orders those that are admitted may not marry, and those that

being admitted, do marry, are to be separated."

The apostle on the contrary, says, "Marriage is honourable in all," Heb. xin 4, and accuses those who forbid to marry, of "teaching doctrines of devils." How lawful it was for the clergy to marry, his directions concerning it show, 1 Tim. iv. 1—3. And how convenient, yea, necessary in many cases it is, clearly appears from the innumerable mischiefs, which have, in all ages, followed the prohibition of it in the Church of Rome. which so many wise and good men, even of her own communion, have lamented.

I have now fairly stated, and calinly considered most of the particular doctrines of the church of Rome Permit me to add a few

considerations of a more general nature

That many members of that church have been holy men, and that many are so now, I firmly believe. But I do not know, that any of them who are dead, were more holy than many Protestants, who are now with God: yea, than some of our own country, who were very lately removed to Abraham's bosom. To instance only in one, (whom I mention the rather because an account of his life is extant,) I do not believe, that many of them of the same age, were more holy than Thomas Walsh And I doubt, if any among them living now, are more holy than several Protestants now alive.

But be this as it may. However, by the tender mercies of God, many members of the Church of Rome, have been and are now holy men, notwithstanding their principles, yet I fear many of their principles have a natural tendency to undermine holiness, greatly to hinder if not utterly to destroy, the essential branches of it, to destroy the love of God, and the love of our neighbour with all justice,

and mercy, and truth

I wish it were possible to lay all prejudice aside, and to consider this calmly and impartially. I begin with the love of God, the fountain of all that holiness, without which we cannot see the Lord. And what is it that has a more natural tendency to destroy this than idolatry? Consequently, every doctrine which leads to idolatry natural.

rally tends to destroy it. But so does a very considerable part of the avowed doctrine of the Church of Rome. Her doctrine, touching the worship of angels, of saints, the Virgin Mary in particular, touching the worship of images, or relies, of the cross, and above all the host, or consecrated wafer, leads all who receive it to practise idolatry, that, palpable idolatry, the paying that worship to the creature which is due to God alone.—Therefore, it has a natural ten-

dency to hinder, if not utterly destroy the love of God.

Secondly, the doctrine of the Church of Rome has a natural tendency to hinder, if not destroy, the love of our neighbour. By the love of our neighbour, I mean universal benevolence, tender goodwill to all men For in this respect, every child of man, every son of Adam, is our neighbour; as we may easily learn from our Loid's history of the good Samantan Now the Church of Rome by asserting that all who are not of her own church, that is, the bulk of mankind, are in a state of utter rejection from God, despised and hated by him that made them, and by her bitter (I might say, accursed) anathemas, devoting to absolute, everlasting destruction, all who willingly or unwillingly differ from her, in any jot or tittle, teaches all her members to look upon them with the same eyes as she supposes God to do: to regard them as mere fire-brands of hell, vessels of wrath fitted for destruction. And what love can you entertain for such ? No other than you can believe God to have for them. Therefore, every anathema denounced by the Church of Rome, against all who differ from her, has a natural tendency, not only to hinder, but utterly destroy the love of our neighbour

Thirdly, the same doctime which devotes to utter destruction so vast a majority of mankind, must greatly indispose us for showing them the Justice which is due to all men. For how hard is it to be just to them we hate! To render them their due, either in thought, word, of action! Indeed, we violate justice by this very thing, by not loving them as ourselves. For we do not render unto all their due, seeing love is due to all mankind. If we owe no man any thing beside, do we not owe this, to love one another? And where love is totally wanting, what other justice can be expected? Will not a whole train of injurious tempers and passions, of wrong words and actions naturally follow? So plain, so undemably plain it is, that this doctrine of the Church of Rome, (to instance at present in no more,) That "all but those of their own church are accursed," has a natural tendency to

hinder, yea, utterly to destroy justice.

Fourthly, Its natural tendency to destroy Mercy, is equally glaring and undemable. We need not use any reasoning to prove this only cast your eyes upon matter of fact! What terrible proofs of it do we see, in the execuable clusades against the Albigenses! In those horrible wars in the Holy Land, where so many rivers of blood were poured out! In the many millions that have been butchered in Europe, since the beginning of the Reformation: not only in the open field, but in prisons, on the scaffold, on the gibbet, at the stake! For how many thousands of lives, barbarously taken away, has Philip II. to give an account to God? For how many thousands, that infamous,

perfidious butcher, Charles IX. of France? To say nothing of our own bloody Queen Mary, not much inferior to them. See in Europe, in America, in the uttermost parts of Asia, the dungeons, the racks, the various tortures of the Inquisition, so unhappily styled the House of Mercy! Yea, such mercy as is in the fiends of hell! Such mercy as the natives of Ireland in the last century, showed to myriads of their Protestant countrymen! Such is the mercy which the doc-

trine of the Church of Rome very naturally inspires!

Lastly, the doctrine of the Church of Rome has a natural tendency to destroy Truth from off the earth. What can more directly tend to this, what can more incite her own members to all lying and false-hood, than that precious doctrine of the Church of Rome, That "no faith is to be kept with heretics?" Can I believe one word that a man says, who espouses this principle? I know it has been frequently affirmed, that the Church of Rome has renounced this doctrine. But I ask, When or where? By what public and authentic act, notified to all the world? This principle has been publicly and openly avowed by a whole council, the ever-renowned council of Constance: (an assembly never to be paralleled, either among Turks or Pagans, for regard to justice, mercy, and truth!) But when and where was it as publicly disavowed? Till this be done in the face of the sun, this doctrine must stand before all mankind as an avowed principle of the Church of Rome.

And will this operate only toward heretics? Toward the supposed enemies of the Church? Nay, where men have once learned not to keep faith with heretics, they will not long keep it towards Catholics. When they have once overleaped the bounds of truth, and habituated themselves to lying and dissimulation, toward one kind of men, will they not easily learn, to behave in the same manner toward all men? So that instead of putting away all lying, they will put away all truth! And instead of having no guile found in their mouth, there will

he found nothing else therein!

Thus naturally do the principles of the Romanists tend to banish truth from among themselves. And have they not an equal tendency to cause lying and dissimulation among those that are not of their communion, by that Romish principle, that force is to be used in matters of heligion? That if men are not of our sentiments, of our church, we should thus compel them to come in? Must not this, in the very nature of things, induce all those over whom they have any power, to dissemble, if not deny those opinions, who vary ever so httle from what that church has determined? And if a habit of lying and dissimulation is once formed, it will not confine itself to matters of heligion. It will assuredly spread into common life, and fincture the whole conversation.

'Again, Some of the most entinent Roman casuists (whose books are duly heensed by the heads of the church) lay it down as an undoubted maxim, That although malicious hes are sins, yet "officious lies, that is, lies told in order to do good, are not only innocent, but mentorious." Now what a flood-gate does this open for falsehood of

every kind! Therefore, this doctrine likewise has a natural tendency to banish truth from the earth

One doctrine more of the Romish church, must not here be passed over, I mean that of Absolution by a priest, as it has a clear, direct tendency to destroy both justice, mercy, and truth, yea, to drive all virtue out of the world. For if a man (and not always a very good man) has power to forgive sins. if he can, at pleasure, forgae any violation, either of truth, or mercy, or justice, what an mesistible temptation must this be to men of weak or corrupt minds! Will they be scrupulous with regard to any pleasing sin, when they can be absolved upon easy terms? And if after this, any scruple remain, is not a remedy for this provided ? Are there not Papal Indulgences to be had? Yea, Plenay Indulgences? I have seen one of these which was purchased at Roine, not many years ago This single doctrine of papal indulgences strikes at the root of all religion And were the church of Rome ever so faultless in all other respects, yet till this power of forgiving sins, whether by Priestly Absolution, or Papal Indulgences is openly and absolutely disclaimed. and till these practices are totally abolished, there can be no security in that church, for any morality, any religion, any justice, or mercy. or truth.

THE ADVANTAGES

OF THE

MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND,

OVER THOSE

OF THE CHURCH OF ROME.

1 LAY this down as an undoubted truth, "The more the Doctime of any church agrees with the Scripture, the more readily ought it to be received." And on the other hand, "The more the Doctrine of any church differs from the Scripture, the greater cause we have to doubt of it."

2 Now it is a known principle of the Church of England, that nothing is to be received as an article of faith, which is not read in the holy Scripture, or to be inferred therefrom, by just and plain consequences. Hence it follows, that every Christian has a right to know and read the Scripture, that he may be sure what he hears from his teachers agrees with the revealed Word of God.

3. On the contrary, at the very beginning of the Reformation, the Church of Rome began to oppose this principle, that all articles of faith must be proveable from Scripture, (till then received throughout the whole Christian world,) and to add, if not prefer, to holy Scripture, tradition, or the doctrine of fathers and councils, with the decrees of popes. And soon after she determined in the council of Trent, "That the Old and New Testament, and the traditions of the church, ought to be received pai pietuis affectu ac revenenta, (with equal piety and reverence,") and that "it suffices for laymen, whether they understand the ground of that doctrine and practice or not."

4. How plain is it, that this remedy was found out, because they themselves observed, that many doctrines, practices, and ceremonies of their church, not only could not be proved by Scripture, but were

flatly contradictory thereto?

As to the fathers and councils we cannot but observe, that in a hundred instances they contradict one another: consequently, they can no more be a rule of faith to us, than the papal decrees, which are not grounded on Scripture.

5. But the Church of Rome does not stop here. She not only makes tradition of equal authority with the Scripture, but also take-away the Scripture from the people, and denies them the use of it.

For soon after her writers began to teach, yea and assert in entire

volumes,

"That the Scripture is obscure, and hard to be understood;

"That it gives a handle to error and heresies;
"That it is not a perfect or sufficient rule of life;

"That it ought to be understood no otherwise than the church, (that is, the Pope) explains it,

"That consequently the reading the Scripture is of more huit

than use to the generality of Christians"

And, in fact, they not only publicly spoke against the reading the holy Scriptures, but in most countries absolutely forbad the laity to read them, yea and the clergy too, till they were ordered to preach. And if any did read them without a particular license, they condemned and punished it as a great crime.

6 Thus the case stands to this day: yea, the late controversies in France, make it undenably plain, that the Church of Rome does now labour more earnestly than even, to take away the use of the Scriptures, even from those who have hitherto enjoyed them.

Seeing, therefore, the Church of England contends for the word of God, and the Church of Rome against it, it is easy to discern on which side the advantage lies, with regard to the grand principle of

Christianity

7. But that it may more clearly appear, how widely the church of Rome differs from the holy Scriptures, we have set down a few-instances, wherein they flatly contradict the written word of God.

Thus the Church of Rome, after acknowledging that the Apostle

terms concupiscence sin, yet scruples not to add immediately, "The Catholic church never understood, that this is truly and properly sin: and if any think the contrary, let him be accursed." Conc. Trid. Sess. 5.

Thus, although Christ himself says to all his disciples, Without me ye can do nothing, yet the church of Rome condemns this very proposition, as false and heretical, "The grace of Jesus Christ, the effectual principle of all good, is necessary to every good word. Not only nothing good is done without it, but nothing can be done." In the

bull Unigenitus.

S. In like manner, the Church of Rome does not scruple to impose upon the consciences of inen, in the doctime of the mass, valuous traditions, that have no authority from holy writ: and also takes away the cup in the Lord's supper from the laity, contrary to the plain institution of Christ, as well as to the acknowledged custom of the primitive church. Whence it manifestly appears, that it is not the design of the Roman Church to conform itself to the rule of the written Word.

9. Again, the Church of Rome pronounces all those accursed.

(Con. Trid. Sess. 7,) who say,

"That baptism, confirmation, the Lord's supper, penance, extreme unction, orders, and matrimony, are not sacraments instituted by Christ himself: or,

"That there are more or fewer sacraments than seven; or,
"That any of these is not truly and properly a sacrament: or,
"That they do not confer grace barely by the work done"

Now whereas these positions cannot be proved by Scripture, and yet are enjoined to be believed under pain of an Anathema, it is hence also plain, that the Church of Rome does purposely teach, and also maintain by open force, things which partly are not founded on hely Writ, partly are contrary thereto.

10. As to the sacraments in particular, it is easy to show, that they require in each of them such doctrines and customs to be received, as are wholly unsupported by, if not also contrary to, the

word of God.

For example They teach, that

In baptisin, "The right intention of the minister is so indispensably necessary, that if it be wanting, the baptized receives no benefit (ibid) that

"Confirmation was a true and proper saciament from the begin-

ning (ibid.) that

"In the Lord's support he bread and wine are converted into the natural body and blood of Christ: (Sess. 13, and 22.)

"That every particle of what is consecrated is no longer bread, but the entire body of Christ:

"That it ought to be worshipped and adored . and

"That the laity ought not to receive the cup."
In penance, "That a full confession of all our sins to the priest absolutely necessary, or they cannot be pardoned: (Sess. 14)

A That the penances imposed, (such as pilgrimages, whipping themselves, and the like,) do mentoriously co-operate toward the forgiveness of sins:

"That this forgiveness is obtained, not through the merits of Christ alone, but also through the merits and intercession of the

Virgin Mary and other Saints. that

"Extreme unction is a true and proper sacrament instituted by Christ . (abid.)

"That the oil, blessed by the bishop, eases the soul of the sick,

and preserves him from the temptations of the Devil: that

"Ordination is a true and proper sacrament, instituted by Christ (Sess. 23.)

"That an indelible character is given thereby."

"That there were from the beginning those seven orders in the church, priests, deacons, sub-deacons, acolythists, exoreists, readers, and door-keepers:

"That the proper business of a priest is, to consecrate and offer the body and blood of Christ, and to remit or retain sins in the chair

of confession: that

"Marriage is a true and proper sacrament, instituted by Christ. "That nevertheless marriage may be dissolved by either party's entering into a convent, even against the consent of the other:

"That it is unlawful for any of the clergy to marry"

11. Now seeing all these doctrines are unsupported by, if not also contrary to, the Word of God, which yet the Church of Rome requires to be received as true, and pronounces all accursed who do not receive them, we cannot but conclude, that the Church of England enjoys an unspeakable advantage over the Church of Rome, with respect to her doctrines, which are wholly agreeable to, and founded on, the written word of God

12. The advantage of the Church of England, over the Church

of Rome, is equally great with regard to public worship.

For it is manifest, that the public worship of the Roman Church is wholly degenerated from the nature of Christ's kingdom and the

simplicity of the first Christians:

That at present it consists in magnificent buildings, altars, images, ornaments, and habits; in splendid ceremonies; in processions, and pilgrimages, and prayers in an unknown tongue; and in reciting the Creed, the Lord's prayer, and the Ave Maria, over and over according to the number of their beads:

That they are not instructed to worship God in spirit and in truth as their loving and most beloved Father, and to praise him, and comfort one another, with psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs;

That their souls are not edified by sermons and catechising out of the word of God, the Scripture being cited very sparingly in their sermons, and generally in a strained and allegorical sense;

That they are not permitted to search the Scriptures at home, and

seek food for their souls therein;

That the common people are by this means purposely kept in the grossest ignorance and superstition.

13. It is manifest also, that they are held in doubt as to the salvation both of the living and the dead, by the doctrine of purgatory.

That hereby the minds of those who want to be assured of the

state of their souls, are disquicted and disturbed

That pardon of sins, release from punishment due thereto, and redemption from purgatory by masses and indulgences, either for

the living or dead, are daily sold for money.

14. It is no less manifest, that their trust in Christ alone, the one Mediator between God and man, is hindered so much the more, the more the people are referred to the ments and intercession of the blessed Virgin, and other Saints

The more they are taught to adore their images and relies, to make yows to them, and to implore their help in any trouble:

Yea, and to place therein a very considerable part of their wor-

ship and devotion.

As well as in a bare outward observance of saints-days, and other festivals of the church, and in the abstaining from some particular

kinds of meat, on what they call fast-days.

15 All these practices, wholly unsupported by Scripture, the Church of Rome retains to this day; at the same time that she rejects and pronounces accursed all, whether practices or doctrines, that make against her, be they ever so plainly contained in, and grounded on the word of God.

Our reformers seeing this, judged it needful to inquire whether it

could be proved by holy writ,

That the bishop of Rome is the successor of St. Peter.

That he is Christ's vicar upon carth, and the visible head of the

I That he has a right of interpreting the Word of God according to his own pleasure,

To introduce and prohibit doctimes, besides and against the written Word:

To license things which the Scriptures forbid.

To exercise a spiritual, and, in many cases, a secular power, over all Christians, kings and emperors not excepted:

To anothematize all that oppose his will, depose princes, and ab-

solve subjects from their allegiance:

To denounce heretics; to cuise, kill, torture, and burn alive, all who do not submit to him in every point.

16. Some of the reasons they had to doubt of these things, were

those which follow:

That neither St Peter, nor any of the ancient bishops, had the same doctrine or manner of governing the church, which the bishop of Rome now has, as is clear both from the epistles of St Peter, from the Acts of the Apostles, and the ancient ecclesiastical history:

That Christ alone is made of God, Head over all things to the church,

Eph. i. 21, iv. 15, Col. i. 18. Who is with it always, even to the end of the world.

That the kingdom of Christ, being not of this world, hears no resemblance to the hierarchy and monarchy of the papal kingdom

That the possessing the see of Rome no more proves the pope to be the successor of St. Peter, than the possessing the city of Constantinople proves the Great Turk, to be the successor of Constantine the Great.

That if the pope were the vicar of Christ, (which is not yet proved) still he would have no authority to change or abrogate the laws of his Lord and King; much less to make laws just contrary to them or to exempt any from obeying the laws of Christ:

That attempts of this kind denote an adversary, rather than a

faithful and upright vicar of Christ.

17. They doubt of these things the more, because the primitive church knew of no such thing as an universal head:

Because no bishop was acknowledged as such, at the time of the council of Nice:

Because Gregory the Great declared, "He should account any

man to be antichrist, who called himself by such a title:"

Because it is apparent that Boniface III. the next pope but one to him, about the year 606, was the first to whom the title of Universal Bishop was given, as a reward for his absolving the tyrant Phocas, after he had murdered his master, the emperor Mauritius, with his empress, and eight children:

Because the succeeding popes acquired one part of their power

after another, by various methods, either of fraud or force:

Because many of them have been notoriously wicked men, and encouragers of all manner of wickedness. notwithstanding all which men are required to believe that they were all enlightened by the Holy Ghost, in so extraordinary a manner, as to be rendered infallible, although one pope was continually contradicting another, and reversing the decrees which his predecessors had most solemnly established

18. When the Romanists are desired to prove by Scripture, that the pope is the head of the church, they urge, that Christ said to St Peter, 1 I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven. 2 Feed my lambs; feed my sheen.—Therefore—

Feed my lambs; feed my sheep.—Therefore—
We answer, These texts by no means prove that Christ made St
Peter hunself his vicar; much less that he gave that dominion to the

pope, which he now usurps over the consciences of men.

And hence we are the more clearly convinced, That the papal power is not of divine original:

And that we have great cause to bless God, whom the pope haeveluded from his communion, and thereby restored to that unshaken liberty of conscience, wherein, by the grace of God, we shall alwaystand.

9. In the proper use of this liberty every member of our church, Vol. 10.—G

of he gives himself up to the guidance of God's Holy Spirit, may learn the foundation of his faith from the written word of God,

May read and meditate therein day and night,

May devoutly pray in the spirit of adoption, like the holy men of ancient times;

May comfort and quicken himself and others with psalms, and hymns, and spuitual songs;

May enjoy all the ordinances of Christ, according to his own in-

stitution;

May be assured of the remission of his sins, and of his justification through faith in Christ, the Spuit of God witnessing with his spuit, that he is a child of God,

May study to have a conscience void of offence, both toward God

and toward man;

May freely enjoy every blessing which God hath bestowed upon our church; and

May make advantage of whatever good the providence of God has

still preserved in the church of Rome:

He may cheerfully look for a happy death, and a blessed eternity, And, at length, by resting on Christ alone, and patiently partaking of his sufferings, he may, with a certain hope of a resurrection to eternal life, without any fear either of purgatory or hell, resign his spirit into the hand of God, and so be ever with the Lord

A SHORT METHOD

OF CONVERTING ALL THE ROMAN CATHOLICS, IN THE KINGDOM OF IRELAND.

Humbly proposed to the Bishops and Clergy of that Kingdom.

I. IT is a melancholy consideration to those who love the Protestant interest, that so small a part of this nation is yet reformed from Popery. They cannot observe, without a very sensible concern, that in many parts of the kingdom, there are still ten, nay, fifteen, perhaps upwards of twenty Papists to one Protestant. Nor can they see any prospect of its being otherwise, few Papists being brought over to our church, notwithstanding all the methods which have been used, while many Protestants are seduced from it.

II. Yet they cannot but carnestly desire, that all the Papists were convinced of their errors. How much would this redound to the glory of God, who willeth all to come to the knowledge of his truth! How greatly would it advantage their own souls both in this world and in the world to come! What an advantage would it be to the

kingdom in general to be no longer divided against itself, to have that grand cause of contention removed, and all its inhabitants of one heart and one mind! And how highly would it advance both the honour and interest of our gracious Sovereign, to have all his subjects cordially united together, thinking and speaking the same thing?

III. Why then is not this desirable end pursued with a vigour preportionable to its importance? Is it because we despair of any success? Because we think it impossible to be attained? But why should we unagine it to be impossible? A common and plausible answer is, because the Papists are so bigotted to their clergy; believing all they affirm, however contrary both to Scripture and reason, and doing all that they direct, whom they generally believe to be the

holiest and wisest of men

IV. Undoubtedly this is a considerable difficulty in the way. And yet I cannot think it is insurmountable. Still I conceive it is possible to convince all the Papists, provided there are proper instruments for And what instruments are so proper as the clergy? Not only as they are in every place, distributed through the whole nation, and always ready on the spot for the work: but likewise as it more immediately belongs to them, as it is no inconsiderable branch of then business who are peculiarly set apart to watch over the souls of men as they that must give account.

V But what way can the clergy take, with any probability of success? There is one way, and one only, one that will (not probably, but) infallibly succeed. If this way is taken, I am willing to stake my life upon the success of it. And it is a plain, simple way, such as may be taken by any man, though but of a small capacity For it requires no pecuhar depth of understanding, no extraordinary height of learning, but only a share of common sense, and an honest,

upright heart.

VI. It was observed, that the grand difficulty of the work hes, in the strong attachment of the Papists to their clergy Here therefore we are to strike at the root. And if this bigotily be but removed, whatever error or superstition is built upon it, will of course

fall to the ground.

Now this may be effectually done thus The Papists themselves allow that one set of clergy were holier and wiser even than their own, namely, the apostles. They allow these both to have hved and preached better than the present clergy even of the Roman church.

Here therefore is the short and sure method. Let all the clergy of the church of Ireland only live like the apostles, and preach like

the apostles, and the thing is done.

The Romans, on the same ground that they prefer the apostles before their own clergy, will then prefer ours before them. And when they once do this, when we have carried this point, when their attachment to our clergy is stronger than that to their own, they will be convinced by hundreds, till there is not a Roman left in the kingdom of Ireland.

VII. If it be asked, But how did the apostles live and preach? I answer, (not to descend to particulars,) as to their inward hie, (if I may so speak,) they lived the life which is hid with Christ in God. "They were crucihed with Christ. Nevertheless they hved, yet not they, but Christ lived in them." So that each of them could say, "The hie which I now hve in the flesh," even in this mortal body, "I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved ME, and gave himself for ME."

And this faith continually wrought by love, that love of God which was shed abroad in their hearts, and was a perennial fountain of water,

springing up min everlasting life.

By this loving faith their hearts were purified from anger, from pride, from all tile affections, from the love of money, of power, of pleasure, of ease, from the desire of the flesh, the desire of the eye, and the pride of life all their "affections being set on things above, not on things of the earth." In a word, that mind was in them which was in Christ Jesus

Let but this mind be in every clergyman of our church, and

Popery will vanish out of the kingdom.

VIII. As to the outward life of the apostles, it was in general, holy and unblamable in all things. Herein did they exercise their selves day and hight, with negard to every word and action, "to have a conscience void of offence, toward God and man." And their continual ground of "rejetcing was this, the testimony of their conscience, that in simplicity and godly sincerity they had their conversation in the world."

They were temperate in all things. They demed themselves, and took up their cross daily. They "kept under their bodies and brought them into subjection," even in the midst of distresses and persecutions; "lest by any means, after they had preached to others,

they themselves should become cast-aways."

They were in every respect burning and shining lights, they went about doing good as they had opportunity, doing good of every kind, and in every possible degree, to all men. They abstained from all appearance of evil. They overcame evil with good if their enemy hungered, they fed him, if he thirsted, they gave him drink; and, by patiently continuing so to do, "heaped coals of fire upon his head," and melted his hardness into love.

In fine, it was their meat and drink, to do the will of their Father which was in heaven And hence whatsoever they did, whether in

word or deed, they did all to the glory of God

Let every clergyman of our church live thus, and, in a short time.

there will not be a Papist in the nation.

IX. As to the preaching of the apostles, with regard to the matter of it, they preached Jesus, "the Author and Finisher of our faith, having determined not to know any thing, save Jesus Christ and him crucined" They preached Jesus Christ as "of God made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sapetification, and redemption." They declared "Other foundation" of morality, religion, holiness.

happiness, "can no man lay." All they spoke either in public or private, centred in this one point, "Jesus Christ, the same yesterday, to-day, and for ever"

More particularly, they preached, that "a man is justified by faith, without the works of the law," that "to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for

righteousness."

X They preached farther, that "except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God." except he be "born from above," born not only of water, but "of the Holy Ghost:" and that the present "kingdom of God is not meats and drinks," (lies not in externals of any kind,) but righteousness, the image of God on the heart, peace, even a peace that passeth all understanding, and joy in the Holy Ghost, whereby they rejoiced with joy unspeakable and full of glory.

They declared, that he that is thus "born of God doth not commit sin," that "he that is begotten of God, keepeth himself, and the wicked one toucheth him not," but that as Christ who hath called

him is holy, so is he holy in all manner of conversation.

XI. As to the manner of their preaching, they spoke with authority, as speaking not their own word, but the word of him that sent them, and "by manifestation of the truth, commending themselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God." They were "not as many that corrupt the word of God," debase and adulterate it with foreign mixtures, "but as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God, spake they in Christ" They approved themselves the ministers of God, "in much patience, in labours, in watchings, in fastings by pureness, by knowledge," knowing all their flock by name, all their circumstances, all their wants: "by long-suffering, never weary of well-doing, by kindness, by love unfergned: by the word of truth, by the power of God attending it, by the aimour of righteousness on the right hand, and on the left." Hence they were "instant in season, out of season," being never afraid of the faces of men, never ashamed of Christ or of his words, even before an adulterous and simul generation. They went on unmoved through "honour and dishonour," through "evil report and good report." They regarded not father or mother, or wife or children, or houses or lands. or ease or pleasure: but having this single end in view, to save their own souls, and those that heard them, they "counted not their lives dear unto themselves, so that they might make full proof of their ministry, so they might finish their course with joy, and testify the gospel of the grace of God."

Let all the right reverend, the bishops, and the reverend the clergy, only walk by this rule: let them thus live, and thus testify with one heart and one voice, the gospel of the grace of God; and every Papist within these four seas, will soon acknowledge the truth

as it is in Jesus.

A LETTER

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

OCCASIONED BY THE LATE ACT PASSED IN PAVOUR OF POPERT.

TO WHICH IS ADDED,

A DEFENCE OF IT, IN TWO LETTERS

TO THE EDITORS OF THE FREEMAN'S JOURNAL, DUBLIN

A Letter to the Printer of the Public Advertiser.

SIR.

SOME time ago, a pamphlet was sent me, entitled, "An Appeal from the Protestant Association, to the People of Great Britain" A day or two since, a kind of answer to this was put into my hand, which pronounces "its style contemptible, its reasoning futile, and its object malicious." On the contrary, I think the style of it is clear, easy, and natural, the reasoning (in general) strong and conclusive; the object, or design, kind and benevolent. And in pursuance of the same kind and benevolent design, namely, to preserve our happy constitution, I shall endeavour to confirm the substance

of that Tract, by a few plain arguments.

With persecution I have nothing to do. I persecute no man for his religious principles. Let there be as "boundless a freedom in religion," as any man can conceive. But this does not touch the point: I will set religion, true or false, uiterly out of the question. Suppose the Bible, if you please, to be a fable, and the Koran to be the Word of God. I consider not, whether the Romeh religion be true or false, I build nothing on one or the other supposition. Therefore away with all your common-place declamation about intolerance and persecution for religion. Suppose every word of Pope Pius's creed to be true; suppose the Council of Trent to have been infallible: yet, I insist upon it, That no government not Roman Catholic, ought to tolerate men of the Roman Catholic persuasion

I prove this by a plain argument. (let him answer it that can)—That no Roman Catholic does or can give security for his allegiance or peaceable behaviour, I prove thus. It is a Roman Catholic maxim, established not by private men, but by a public council, that "No faith is to be kept with heretics" This has been openly avowed by the Council of Constance, but it never was openly disclaimed. Whether private persons avow or disavow it, it is a fixed maxim of the church of Rome. But as long as it is so, nothing can

be more plain, than that the members of that church can give no reasonable security to any government of their allegiance or peaceable behaviour. Therefore, they ought not to be tolcrated by any

government, Protestant, Mahometan, or Pagan.

You may say, "Nay, but they will take an oath of allegiance." True, five hundred oaths, but the maxim, "No faith is to be kept with heretics," sweeps them all away as a spider's web. So that still, no governors that are not Roman Cathohes, can have any security for their allegiance.

Again. Those who acknowledge the spiritual power of the Pope can give no security of their allegiance to any government; but all Roman Catholics acknowledge this therefore, they can give no se-

curity for their allegiance.

The power of granting pardons for all sins, past, present, and to come, is and has been for many centuries one branch of his spiritual

power.

But those who acknowledge him to have this spiritual power, can give no security for their allegiance. since they believe the Pope can pardon rebellions, high treason, and all other sins whatsoever

The power of dispensing with any promise, oath, or vow, is another branch of the spiritual power of the Pope. And all who acknowledge his spiritual power, must acknowledge this. But whoever acknowledges the dispensing power of the Pope can give no security of his allegiance to any government.

Oaths and promises are none, they are light as air, a dispensation

makes them all null and void.

Nay, not only the Pope, but even a priest has power to pardon sins!—This is an essential doctrine of the church of Rome—But they that acknowledge this, cannot possibly give any security for their allegiance to any government. Oaths are no security at all, for the priest can pardon both perjury and high treason

Setting their religion aside, it is plain, that upon principles of reason, no government ought to tolerate men, who cannot give any security to that government for their allegiance and peaceable behaviour. But this no Romainst can do, not only while he holds, that "No faith is to be kept with heretics," but so long as he acknowledges either priestly absolution, or the sprilual power of the Pope.

"But the late Act," you say, "does not either tolerate or encourage Roman Catholics." I appeal to matter of fact. Do not the Romanists themselves understand it as a toleration? You know they do. And does it not already, (let alone what it may do by-and-by,) encourage them to preach openly, to build chapels, (at Bath and elsewhere,) to raise seminaries, and to make numerous converts day by day to their intolerant, persecuting principles? I can point out, if need be, several of the persons. And they are increasing daily.

But "nothing dangerous to English liberty is to be apprehended from them." I am not certain of that. Some time since, a Romish priest came to one I knew, and after talking with her largely, broke out, "You are no heretic! You have the experience of a real Christian!" "And would you," she asked, "burn me alive?" He said, "God forbid!—Unless it were for the good of the church!"

Now what security could she have had for her life, if it had depended on that man? The good of the Church would have burst all ties of truth, justice, and mercy. Especially when seconded by the absolution of a priest, or (if need were) a papal pardon.

If any one please to answer this, and to set his name, I shall probably reply.—But the productions of anonymous writers, I do not

promise to take any notice of.

I am, Sir, your humble servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

City-Road, Jan. 21, 1780.

TO THE READER.

Several months since, Father O'Leary, a Capuchin Friar, in Dublin, published Remarks upon this Letter in the Freeman's Journal. As soon as these were sent to me, I published a Reply in the same Paper. When I read more of his Remarks, printed in five succeeding Journals, I wrote a second Reply; but did not think it worth while to follow, step by step, so wild, rambling a writer.

Mr O'Leary has now put his Six Letters into One, which are reprinted in London, with this title, "Mr O'Leary's Remarks on the Rev Mr W.'s Letters in defence of the Protestant Associations in

England: to which are prefixed Mr. Wesley's Letters"

Is it by negligence or by design, that there are so many mistakes even in a titlepage?

1. "To which are prefixed Mr. W.'s Letters" No: the second

of those Letters is not mine. I never saw it before.

2. But where are the two Letters published in the Freeman's Journal? Why is a spurious Letter palmed upon us, and the genuine

ones suppressed ?

3. "Letters in defence of the Protestant Associations in England" Hold! In my first Letter I have only three lines in defence of a Tract published in London But I have not one line "in defence of the Associations," either in London or elsewhere.

If Mr. O'Leary will seriously answer the two following Letters, he may expect a serious Reply. But if he has only drollery and low wit to oppose to argument, I shall concern myself no further about him.

London, Dec. 29, 1780.

LETTER I.

To the Editors of the Freeman's Journal.

GENTLEMEN.

1. Mr O'Leary does well to entitle his Paper Remarks; as that word may mean any thing or nothing; but it is no more an answer to my Letter, than to the Bull Unigentus. He likewise does wisely in prefacing his Remarks with so handsome a compliment. this may naturally incline you to think well of his judgment, which is no small

point gained

2. His manner of writing is easy and pleasant, but might it not as well be more serious? The subject we are treating of is not a light one; it moves me to tears rather than to laughter. I plead for the safety of my country, yea, for the children that are yet unborn. "But cannot your country be safe, unless the Roman Catholics are persecuted for their religion?" Hold! Religion is out of the question, but I would not have them persecuted at all. I would only have them hindered from doing huit. I would not put it in then power, (and I do not wish that others should,) to cut the throats of their quiet neighbours. "But they will give security for their peaceable behaviour." They cannot while they continue Roman Catholics, they cannot while they are members of that church which receives the decrees of the Council of Constance, which maintains the spiritual power of the Bishop of Rome, or the doctrine of priestly absolution

3 This I observed in my late Letter; whoever therefore would remark upon it to any purpose, must prove these three things: 1. That the decree of the Council of Constance publicly made, has been publicly disclaimed. 2. That the Pope has not power to pardon sins, or to dispense with oaths, yows, and promises and, 3. That no priest has power to pardon sins. But has Mr. O'Leary proved these three points? Has he proved any one of them? He has indeed said something upon the first. He denies such a decree

was ever made.

4. I am persuaded Mr. O'Leary is the first man that ever made the important discovery. But before he is quite sure, let him look again into Father L'Abbe's Concilia Maximu, printed at Paris in the year 1672. The last volume contains a particular account of the Council of Constance one of whose decrees, page 169, is, "That Heretics ought to be put to death, Non obstantibus saliis conductibus Imperatoris, Regum, &c." notwithstanding the public faith engaged to them in the most solemn manner. Who then can affirm that no such doctrine or violation of faith with Heretics is authorized by this Council? Without putting on spectacles, (which, blessed be God, I

do not wear,) I can read a little Latin still. And while I can, I must fix this horrid doctrine on the Council of Constance.

5. But supposing the Council of Constance had never advanced this doctrine, or the church of Rome had publicly disclaimed it, my conclusion stands good till it is proved, 1. That no priest has a power of pardoning sins and, 2. That the Pope has neither a power of pardoning sins, or of dispensing with oaths, vows, promises, &c.

Mr O'Leary has proved neither of these, and what has he proved? It is hard to say. But if he proves nothing, he either (directly or indirectly) asserts many things. In particular, he asserts, 1. Mr. Wesley has arraigned in the jargon of the Schools: Heigh-day! What has this to do here? There is no more of the jargon of the Schools in my letter, than there is of Arabic: "The Catholics all over the world are hars, perjurers, &c." Nay, I have not arraigned one of them This is a capital mistake I arraign the doctrines, not the men. Either defend them, or renounce them.

"I do renounce them," says Mr. O'Leary Perhaps you do. But the Church of Rome has never renounced them. "He asperses our communion in a cruel manner." I do not asperse it at all, in saying, these are the doctrines of the church of Rome. Who can

prove the contrary?

2 "M: O'Leary did not even attempt to seduce the English soldiery." I believe it, but does this prove any of these three points? "But Queen Elizabeth and King James roasted Heretics in Smith-

field?" In what year? I doubt the fact.

3. "Mr Wesley is become an apologist of those who burned the chapel in Edinburgh." is not this said purely ad movendam invidiam? To inflaine the minds of the people? For it has no shadow of truth. I never yet wrote, nor spoke one word in their defence. "He urged the tabble to light that fire." No more than he urged them to dethrone the King

4. "Does Mr Wesley intend to sound Alecto's hoin, or the warshell of the Mexicans." All this is cruel aspersion indeed; designed merely to inflame! What I intend, is neither more nor less than this, to contribute my mite to preserve our Constitution both in

Church and State.

5. "They were the Scotch and English regicides who gave rise to the Itish massacre!" The Irish massacre!!! Was there ever any such thing? Was not the whole account a mere Protestant lie? O no! It was a melancholy truth, written in the blood of many thousands. But the regicides no more gave rise to that massacre than the Hottentots. The whole matter was planned several years, and executed before the King's death was thought of. "But Mr. Wesley is sowing the seeds of another massacre." Such another as the massacre of Paris!

6 "Was he the trumpeter of persecution, when he was persecuted himself?" Just as much as now Cruel aspersions still! Designed and calculated only to inflame. "Did he then abet persecution on the score of conscience?" No, nor now. Conscience is out of

the question. "His Letter contains all the horrors, invented by blind misguided zeal, set forth in the most bitter language." Is this gentleman in his senses? I hope not. Else I know not what excuse to make for him. Not one butter word is in my letter. I have learned to put away all bitterness, with all malice. But still this is wide of the mark, which of those three points does it prove?

7. "In his second letter, he promises to put out the fire which he has already kindled in England!" Second letter! What is that? I know nothing of it. "The fire which he has kindled in England."—When?—Where? I have kindled no fire in England any more than in Jamaica. I have done, and will do, all that is in my power,

to put out that which others have kindled.

8. "He strikes out a creed of his own for Roman Catholics. This fictitious creed he forces upon them." My words are these "Suppose every word of Pope Pius's creed to be true." I say not a word more of the matter. Now, I appeal to every reasonable man, "Is this striking out a creed of my own for Roman Catholics? Is this forcing a fictitious creed on them, like the Frenchman and the blunderer in the comedy?" What have I to do with one or the other? Is not this dull jest quite out of season? And is the creed, composed by the Council of Trent, and the Bull of Pope Pius IV. a fictitious one? Before Mr. O'L. asserts this again, let him look into the Concilia Maxima once more, and read there, "Bulla Pii Quarti super forma Juramenti professionis fider." This forma professionis fider, I call Pope Pius's creed. If his "stomach revolts from it," who can help it?

9. Whether the account given by Philip Melancthon of the words spoken (not in Hebrew, but in Latin,) be true or false, it does not at all affect the account of Miss Duchesne, which I gave in her own words. And I cannot but observe, that after all the witticisms which he has bestowed upon it, Mr. O'Leary does not deny that the Priest might have burnt her, "had it been for the good of the church."

10 "Remark a missionary inflaming the rabble, and propagating black slander."—Remark a San Benito Cap, painted with devils; but let him put it on, whom it fits. It does not fit me. I inflame no labble: I propagate no slander at all. But Mr. O'Leary does. He propagates a heap of slander in these his Remarks. I say too, "Let the Appeal be made to the Public and their impartial reason." I have nothing to do with the "jargon or rubbish of schools," lugged in like the jargon of Schools before. But I would be glad if Mi O'Leary would tell us what these two pretty phrases mean?

The whole matter is this I have without the least bitterness, advanced three reasons, why I conceive it is not safe to tolerate the Roman Catholics. But still I would not have them persecuted: I wish them to enjoy the same liberty, civil and religious, which they enjoyed in England, before the late Act was repealed. Meantime, I would not have a sword put into their hands; I would not give them liberty to hurt others. Mr. O'Leary with much archness and pleasantry has nibbled at one of these three reasons, leaving the other

two untouched If he chooses to attack them in his next, I will endeavour to give him a calm and serious answer.

I am, Gentlemen, your obedient servant,

JOHN WESLEY

Manchester, March 23, 1780.

LETTER II.

To the Editors of the Freeman's Journal.

GENTLEMEN,

Some time ago, in a letter published at London, I observed, "Roman Catholics cannot give those whom they account Heretics, any sufficient security for their peaceable behaviour:"

1. Because it has been publicly avowed in one of their general Councils, and never publicly disclaimed, That faith is not to be kept with Heretics:

2. Because they hold the doctrine of priestly absolution: and,

3. The doctrine of papal pardons and dispensations.

Mr O'Leary has published Remarks on this letter: nine parts in ten of which are quite wide of the mark, not that they are wide of his mark, which is to introduce a plausible panegyric upon the Roman Catholics, mixed with keen invectives against the Protestants, whether true or false it matters not. All this is admirably well calculated to inspire the reader with aversion to these Heretics, and to bring them back to the holy, harmless, much-injured church of Rome! And I should not wonder, if these six papers should make six thousand converts to her.

Close arguing he does not attempt, but he vapours, and skips to and fro, and rambles to all points of the compass, in a very lively and entertaining manner.

Whatever has the face of an argument in his first letter, I answered before. Those of the 14th, 16th, 18th, and 21st instant, I pass over at present: I have now only to do with what he advances

in your Journal of March 12

Here I read, "For Mr. Wesley's Second Letter, see the last page." I have seen it, but I can find no more in the Second Letter in the last page, than in the first. It would be strange if I did; for that second Letter was never heard of but in Mr. O'Leary's Remarks. "But why then does he mention it over and over?" Truly I cannot tell

He begins, "Fanaticism"—Hold! There is no fanaticism in my Letter, but plain, sober reason. I "now expect" (they are his own

words) "a serious answer to a serious charge"

My argument was, The Council of Constance has openly avowed violation of faith with Heretics. But it has never been openly disclaimed. Therefore those who receive this Council, cannot be

trusted by those whom they account Heretics.—This is my immediate conclusion. And if the premises be admitted, it will infallibly follow.

On this, Mr. O'Leary says, "A Council so often quoted, challenges peculiar attention. We shall examine it with all possible precision and impartiality. At a time when the broachers of a new doctrine" (as new as the Bible) "were kindling the fire of sedition, and shaking the foundations of thrones and kingdoms"-Big words, but entirely void of truth, - "was held the Council of Constance. To this, was cited John Huss, famous for propagating errors tending to—wrest the sceptre from the hands of kings."—Equally true. "He was obnoxious to Chuich and State." To the Church of

Rome not to the State in any degree.

"Protestant and Catholic Legislators enacted laws for burning Heretics " How wisely are these jumbled together! And the Protestants placed first! But pray what Protestant Legislator made such laws, either before or after the Catholic ones?-I know one man, Servetus, was burnt at Geneva, but I know not that there was any law for it And I know one woman, Joan Bocher, was burnt in Smithfield, much against the mind of King Edward. But what is this to the numbers who were inhumanly butchered by Queen Mary 1 To say nothing of her savage husband. "But the same laws were executed by Queen Elizabeth and King James." How! Did either of these burn Heretics? Queen Elizabeth put two Anabaptists to death! But what was this to the achievements of her sister?

He adds a well-devised apology for the Romish persecutions of the Protestants, as necessarily resulting from the nature of things, and not from any wrong principles. And this he illustrates by the treatment formerly given to the Methodists, whose Love-feasts and Watch-nights "roused the vigilance of the magistrate, and influenced the rage of the rabble." Indeed they did not. Not only no magistrate ever objected either to one or the other, but no mob, even in the most turbulent times, ever interrupted them.

But to the Council. "Huss strikes at the root of all temporal power and civil authority. He boldly asserts, That all princes, magistrates, &c in the state of mortal sin, are deprived, ipso facto, of all power and jurisdiction. And, by broaching these doctrines, he makes Bohemia a theatre of intestine wai. See the Acts of the Council of

Constance in L'Abbe's Collection of Councils."

I have seen them, and I can find nothing of all this therein. But

more of this by and by.

"He gave notice that he would stand his trial. But he attempted to escape." No, never: this is pure invention. "He is arrested at Constance," whence he never attempted to escape-" and confined, His friends plead his safe-conduct. The Council then declared, No safe-conduct granted by the Emperor or any other Princes, to Heretics, ought to hinder them from being punished as Justice shall require. And

Vol. 10.—H

the person who has promised them security, shall not be obliged to keep his promise, by whatever tie he may be engaged"

And did the Council of Constance declare this? Yes, says Mr. O'Leary. I desire no more. But before I argue upon the point.

permit me to give a little fuller account of the whole affair.

The Council of Constance was called by the Emperor Sigismund, and Pope John the 23d, in the year 1414. Before it began, the Emperor sent some Boheman gentlemen, to conduct John Huss to Constance, solemnly promising, That he should "come and return freely, without fraud or interruption."

But before he left Prague, he waited on the bishop of Nazareth. Papal Inquisitor for that city and diocess, who, in the presence of

many witnesses gave him the following testimonial -

"We, Nicholas,-do by these presents, make known to all men, That we have often talked with that honourable man, Master John Huss, and in all his sayings, doings, and behaviour, have proved him to be a faithful man, finding no manner of evil, smister, or erroneous doings in han, unto this present." Prague, August 30, 1414.

This was attested by the hand and seal of the public notary, named

Michael Pruthatictz.

After this, Conrade, Archbishop of Prague, declared before all the Barons of Bohemia, That "he knew not that John Huss was

culpable or faulty, in any crime or offence whatever"

So neither the Inquisitor nor the Archbishop knew any thing of "his making Bohemia a theatre of intestine war!" In October he began his journey, accompanied with two noblemen, Wencelat de Duba, and John de Clum. On Nov. 3d, he came to Constance, and was treated with great respect. But not long after, he was suddenly arrested and cast into a noisome prison. Here he quickly fell sick. During his sickness, his accusers exhibited twelve articles against him. But none of them charge him with sedition. They relate purely to the Church.

May 14, 1415, the Nobles of Bohemia complained to the Council, "When Master John Huss came to the Council, under the Empe-10r's safe-conduct, he was, in violation of the public faith, imprisoned before he was heard." They add, "And he is now gnevously ton-nented, both with fetters, and with hunger and thirst"

June 8, his accusers brought thirty-nine articles more, and afterward twenty-six others. But both the former and the latter relate

wholly to the Church

Seven more were brought next. The first of these is, "If the Pope, Bishop, or Prelate, be in deadly sin, he is then no Pope, Bishop, or Prelate." But this he himself explains in the same tract whence it is taken. "Such, as touching their deserts, are not worthily Popes or Pastors before God vet as touching their office, are Popes and Pastors."

After these, six more articles were exhibited, but all relate to the Church, as do ninetcen more that followed them. In fine, nineteen thers were preferred by the Chancellor and University of Paris.

One of these was, "No man being in deadly sin, is a true Pope, Prelate, or Loid." This seems to be the same with the preceding charge, only they have mended it by adding the word Loid. Another was, "Subjects ought publicly to reprove the vices of their

rulers." It does not appear, that ever he held this.

In the seventeenth session, the sentence and condemnation of John Huss was read and published. The emperor then commanded the Duke of Bavaria to deliver him to the executioners; for which glorious exploit he was thus addressed by the bishop of Landy, in the name of the Council. "This most holy and godly labour was reserved only for Thee, O most noble Prince! Upon thee only doth it lie, to whom the whole rule and ministration of justice is given. Wherefore thou hast established thy praise and rerown, even by the mouths of babes and sucklings thy praise shall be celebrated for evermore."

From this whole transaction we may observe, 1. That John Huss was guilty of no crime, either in word or action, even his enemies, the archbishop of Prague, and the Papal inquisitor, being judges

2 That he never preached or wrote any thing tending to sedition neither was there in fact any sedition, much less intestine war in Bo-

hemia, while he ministered there.

3. That his real fault, and his only one was, opposing the Papal

usurpations.

4. That this "most noble Prince" was a bigotted, cruel, perfidious murderer, and that the fathers of the council deserve the same praise, seeing they uiged him to imbrue his hands in innocent blood, in violation of the public faith, and extolled him to the skies for so doing. And, seeing they have laid it down as a maxim, That the

most solemn promise, made to a heretic, may be broken.

But says Mr O'L "This regards the peculiar case of safe-conducts granted by princes to heretics" If you mean, they took occasion from a particular case, to establish a general rule, this is true. But what then? If the public faith with heretics may be violated in one instance, it may be in a thousand "But can the rule be extended faither?" It may; it must; we cannot tell where to stop. Away then with your withcisms on so awful a subject. What, do you sport with human blood? I take burning men alive to be a very

scrious thing. I pray, spare your jests on the occasion.

But you have another plea. "Signsmund only promised to guard him from any violence in going to the council." Why this was just nothing. What man in his wits would have moved a step upon such a promise as this? "But this was all it was in his power to do." It was not. It was in his power to have told the council, "My own honour, and yours, and that of the empire, are at stake. I will not upon any account suffer the public faith to be violated: I will not make myself infamous to all generations. My name shall not stink to all future ages. I will rather part with my empire, with my life." He could have taken John Huss out of their hands, and have sent him safe to his own country. He would have done it, had he been

an honest man, had he had either honour or conscience. I ask Mr. O'L. Would not you have done it, had you been in Sigismund's place? If you say, "No," a Protestant ought not to trust you, any more than he would trust a wild bull.

I am afraid, this is the case, for you strangely add, "It was nugatory in Sigismund, to grant him a safe-conduct. For neither king nor emperor could deprive the bishops of their right of judging," (add, and murdering heretics.) It is plain, Sigismund thought he could, that he could screen Huss from all dangers, else he had been both a fool and a knave to promise it; especially by a public instrument which pledged his own honour and that of the whole empire

for his safety.

Now for flourish. "Thus the superannuated charge of violation of faith with heretics"—No more superannuated now than it was while John Huss was in the flames——"vanishes way."—No, not ever will. It still stares us in the face, and will do so, till another general council publicly and explicitly repeals that infamous determination of the Council of Constance, and declares the burning of John Huss to have been an open violation of all justice, mercy, and truth. But flourish on! "The foundation then of Mr. W.'s erial fabric being sapped,"—not at all,—"the superstructure falls of course, and his long train of false and unchristian assertions."—What can this mean? I know of no long train of assertions, whether true or false! I use three arguments and no more, in proof of one conclusion.

"What more absurd, than to insist on a general council's disclaiming a doctime which they never taught?" They did teach it: and that not by the by, not incidentally; but they laid it down as a stated rule of action, dictated by the Holy Ghost. I quote chapter and verse I say too, "See L'Abbe's Councils," printed at Paris, in 1672. Yea, and they were not ashamed to publish this determination to all the Christian world! And to demonstrate their sincerity therein, by burning a man alive. And this M1. O'L. humorously compares, to the roasting a piece of beef! With equal tenderness I suppose he would compare, the "making the beards of hereties," (that is, thrusting a burning furze-bush in their face) to the singing a fowl-before it was roasted.

"It is sufficient to disclaim it, when it is fixed upon us." Then disclaim it without delay; for it is fixed upon you, to all intents and purposes. Nay, and you fix it upon yourselves, in every new edition of the councils, in all of which this council stands in aternam rei memoriam, and this very determination, without the least touch of blame! It must therefore stand as an avowed doctrine of the Church of Rome, that "Heretics ought to be condemned and executed, notwithstanding the most solemn assurances to the contrary:" in other words, that, "The public faith, even that of kings and emperiors and the least with heretics."

rors, ought not to be kept with heretics."

What security then for my life can any man give me, till he utterly renounces the Council of Constance? What security can any Romanist give a Protestant, till this doctrine is publicly abjured? It Mr. O'Leary has any thing more to plead for this Council, I shall follow him step by step—But let him keep his word, and "give a serious answer to a serious charge." "Drollery may come in, when we are talking of roasting fowls," but not when we talk of "roast-

ing men?

Would I then wish the Roman Catholics to be persecuted? I never said or hinted any such thing. I abhor the thought: it is foreign to all I have preached and written for these fifty years. But I would wish the Romanists in England, (I had no others in view,) to be treated still with the same lenity that they have been these sixty years: to be allowed both civil and religious liberty, but not permitted to undermine ours. I wish them to stand just as they did, before the late Act was passed not to be persecuted or hurt themselves, but gently restrained from hurting their neighbours

I am, Gentlemen, your obedient servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

Chester, March 31, 1780.

A DISAVOWAL OF PERSECUTING PAPISTS.

I have read a tract lately sent me, and will now give my free

thoughts upon the subject.

I set out early in life with an utter abhorrence of persecution in every form, and a full conviction that every man has a right to worship God according to his own conscience. Accordingly, more than fifty years ago, I preached on those words, "Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of: for the Son of Man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them." And I preached on the same text, in London, the fifth of last November. And this I extend to members of the Church of Rome, as well as to all other men.

I agree not only that many of these in former ages were good men, (as Thomas à Kempis, Francis Sales, and the Marquis de Renty,) but that many of them are so at this day I believe I know some Roman Catholics, who sincerely love both God and their neighbour, and who steadily endeavour to do unto every one, as they wish him to do

unto them

But I cannot say, this is a general case: nay, I am fully convinced, it is not. The generality of Roman Catholics wherever I have been, are of the same principles, and the same spirit with their forefathers. And indeed, if they held the same principles, it could not be doubted, but they would be of the same practice too, if opportunity should serve.

Those principles, openly avowed by their forefathers, of priestly absolution, Papal indulgences, and No faith to be kept with heretics, have never been openly and authoritatively disavowed, even unto this day. And until they are, a Roman Catholic, consistent with his principles, cannot be trusted by a Protestant.

For the same principles naturally tend to produce the same spirit, and the same practice. Very lately, a person seeing many flocking to a place, which she did not know was a Roman chapel, innocently said, What do all these people want? And was answered by one of them, with great vehemence, "We want your blood And we

will have it soon."

On Friday last I dined with a gentlewoman, whose father, living in Dublin, was very intimate with a Roman Catholic gentleman. Having invited him to dinner one day, in the course of conversation, Mrs Gr—asked him, "Sir, would you really cut my husband's throat, if your priest commanded you?" He answered honestly. "Madam, Mr. Gr-is my friend. And I love him well: but I must obey the church." "Sir," said she "I beg I may never more see you within my doors"

But still, be their principles what they will, I would not persecute So persecution is utterly out of the question. I know no one that pleads for it Therefore the writing or talking against it, is time

lost: it is proving what no one denies

And the Romanists never have been persecuted in England since I remember. They have enjoyed a full toleration. I wish them to

emoy the same toleration still; neither more nor less.

I would not hurt a hair of their head Meantime I would not put it into their power to hurt me, or any other persons whom they believe to be heretics I steer the middle way I would neither kill, nor be killed. I would not use the sword against them; nor put it into their hands, lest they should use it against me: I wish them well. but I dare not trust them.

But still I say, persecution is out of the question And I look on all vague declamations upon it, which have been lately poured out, as either mere flourishes of persons who think they talk prettily, or artful endeavours to puzzle the cause, and to throw dust into the eyes of

honest Englishmen

Bristol. March 18, 1782.

THE

ORIGIN OF IMAGE-WORSHIP AMONG CHRISTIANS

WHEN Christianity was first preached in the world, it was supported by such miraculous assistance of the divine power, that there was need of little or no human aid to the propagation of it Not only the Apostles, who first preached it, but even the lay-believers were sufficiently instructed in all the articles of faith, and were inspired

with the power of working miracles, and the gift of speaking in lan-

guages unknown to them before.

But when the gospel was spread, and had taken root through the world, when kings and princes became Christians, and when temples were built and magnificently adorned for Christian worship; then the zeal of some well-disposed Christians brought pictures into the churches, not only as ornaments, but as instructers of the ignorant; and from thence they were called libri laicorum, the books of the people. Thus the walls of the churches were beset with pictures, representing all the particular transactions mentioned. And they, who did not understand a letter of a book, knew how to give a very good account of the gospel, being taught to understand the particular passages of it in the pictures of the church. Thus, as hieroglyphics were the first means of propagating knowledge, before writing by letters and words was invented; so the more ignorant people were taught compendiously by pictures, what, by the scarcity of teachers, they had not an opportunity of being otherwise fully instructed in.

But these things, which were at first intended for good, became, by the Devil's subtlety, a snare for the souls of Christians Christian princes, and the rich and great, vied with one another who should embellish the temples with greatest magnificence, the pictures upon the walls were turned into gaudy images upon the altars; and the people being deceived by the outward appearance of the priest's bowing and kneeling (before those images) as the different parts of their devotion led them, they imagined that those gestures were designed to do honour to the images, before which they were performed (which they certainly were not;) and so from admiring, the people came to adore them. Thus, what were at first designed as monuments of edification, became the instruments of superstition. being a fatal oversight in the clergy, at first, neglected, or winked at, by degrees, (as all errors have crept into the church,) gathered strength; so that, from being in the beginning, the dotage of the ignorant vulgar, the poison infected those of better rank, and by their influence and countenance, brought some of the priests over to their opinion, or rather those priests were the occasion of deceiving the rich and powerful, especially the female sex, for ends not very reputable or agreeable to the integrity of their profession. But so it was, that what the priests at first winked at, they afterwards gave countenance to; and what they once countenance?, they thought themselves obliged in honour to defend; till at last, superstition came to be preached from the pulpits, and gross idolatry obtruded upon the people for true devotion.

It is true, there were many of the sacred order, whose sound hearts and clear heads, were very averse to this innovation; who both preached and wrote against the worship of images, showing both the wickedness and folly of it. But the disease was so far spread, and the poison had taken such root, that the consequence of opposition was the dividing the church into parties and schisms, and at last proceeded to blood and slaughter.

N B. Is it not marvellous that what was so simple in the beginning should degenerate into such idolatry as is scarcely to be found in the Heathen world! While this, and several other errors, equally contrary to Scripture and reason, are found in the church, together with the abominable lives of multitudes who call themselves Christians: the very name of Christianity must stink in the nostrils of the Mahometans, Jews, and Infidels.

REASONS AGAINST A SEPARATION

TROM

THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

WHETHER it be lawful or not, (which itself may be disputed, being not so clear a point as some may imagine,) it is by no means expedient for us to separate from the established Church.

1. Because it would be a contradiction to the solemn and repeated declarations which we have made in all manner of ways, in preaching, in print, and in private conversation

2 Because, (on this as well as on many other accounts,) it would

give huge occasion of offence to those who seek and desire occasion, to all the enemies of God and his truth

3 Because it would exceedingly prejudice against us many who fear, yea, who love God, and thereby hinder their receiving so much. perhaps any further, benefit from our preaching:

4 Because it would hinder multitudes of those who neither love

nor fear God, from hearing its at all:

5 Because it would occasion many hundreds if not some thousands of those who are now united with us, to separate from us; yea, and some of those who have a deep work of grace in their souls:

6 Because it would be throwing balls of wild-fire among them that are now quiet in the land. We are now sweetly united togethem love. We mostly think and speak the same thing. But this would occasion inconceivable strife and contention, between those who left and those who remained in the Church, as well as between those who left us, and those who remained with us: nay, and between those very persons who remained, as they were variously inclined one way on the other:

7 Because, whereas controversy is now asleep, and we in a great measure live peaceably with all men, so that we are strangely at leisure to spend our whole time and strength in enforcing plain, practical, vital religion, (O what would many of our forefathers have given, to have enjoyed so blessed a calm!) This would utterly banish peace

from among us, and that without hope of its return It would engage me for one, in a thousand controversies, both in public and private; (for I should be in conscience obliged to give the reasons of my conduct, and to defend those reasons against all opposers,) and so take me off from those more useful labours, which might otherwise employ the short remainder of my life.

8 Because to form the plan of a new church would require infinite time and care, (which might be far more profitably bestowed,) with much more wisdom and greater depth and extensiveness of

thought, than any of us are masters of:

9. Because from some having barely entertained a distant though? of this, evil fruits have already followed, such as prejudice against the clergy in general; and aptness to believe ill of them; contempt (not without a degree of bitterness) of clergymen as such, and a sharpness of language toward the whole order, utterly unbecoming

either gentlemen or Christians:

10. Because the experiment has been so frequently tried already, and the success never answered the expectation. God has, since the reformation, raised up from time to time many witnesses of pure religion. If these lived and died (like John Arndt, Robert Bolton, and many others,) in the churches to which they belonged, notwithstanding the wickedness which overflowed both the teachers and people therein; they spread the leaven of true religion far and wide, and were more and more useful, till they went to paradise. But if upon any provocation or consideration whatever, they separated, and founded distinct parties, their influence was more and more confined, they grew less and less useful to others, and generally lost the spirit of religion themselves in the spirit of controversy.

11. Because we have inclancholy instances of this, even now before our eyes. Many have in our memory left the Church, and formed themselves into distinct bodies. And certainly some of them from a real persuasion, that they should do God more service. But have any separated themselves and prospered? Have they been either

more lioly, or more useful than they were before?

12. Because by such a separation we should not only throw away the peculiar glorying, which God has given us, that we do and will suffer all things for our brethren's sake, though the more we love them, the less we be loved: but should act in direct contradiction to that very end, for which we believe God hath raised us up. The chief design of his providence in sending us out, is undoubtedly to quicken our brethren. And the first message of all our preachers is, to the lost sheep of the Church of England. Now would it not be a flat contradiction to this design, to separate from the Church? These things being considered, we cannot apprehend, (whether it be lawful in itself or not.) that it is lawful for us. were it only on this ground, that it is by no means expedient.

II. It has indeed been objected, that till we do separate, we cannot

be a compact, united body

It is true, we cannot till then be a compact, united body, if you mean

by that expression, a body distinct from all others. And we have no desire so to be

It has been objected, secondly. "It is more cowardice and fear of persecution which makes you desire to remain united with them"

This cannot be proved. Let every one examine his own heart,

and not judge his brother.

It is not probable We never yet, for any persecution, when we were in the midst of it, either turned back from the work, or even

slackened our pace

But this is certain: that although persecution many times proves an unspeakable blessing to them that suffer it yet we ought not wilfully to bring it upon ourselves. Nay, we ought to do whatever can lawfully be done, in order to prevent it We ought, to avoid it so far as we lawfully can, when persecuted in one city, to flee into another. If God should suffer a general persecution, who would be able to abide it, we know not. Perhaps those who talk loudest might flee first. Remember the case of Dr. Pendleton

III Upon the whole, one cannot but observe, how desirable it is, that all of us who are engaged in the same work, should think and speak the same thing, be united in one judgment, and use one and

the same language

Do we not all now see ourselves, the Methodists (so called) in gene-

1al, the Church, and the clergy in a clear light?

We look upon ourselves, not as the authors, or ringleaders of a particular sect or party, (it is the farthest thing from our thoughts) but as messengers of God, to those who are Christians in name, but Heathens in heart and in life, to call them back to that from which they are fallen, to real, genuine Christianity We are therefore debtors to all these, of whatever opinion or denomination, and are consequently to do all that in us lies, to please all, for their good to edification.

We look upon the Methodists (so called) in general, not as any particular party. (this would exceedingly obstruct the grand design. for which we conceive God has raised them up) but as living witnesses in, and to every party, of that Christianity which we preach which is hereby demonstrated to be a real thing, and visibly held out

We look upon England as that part of the world, and the Church as that part of England, to which all we, who were born and have been brought up therein, owe our first and chief regard. We feel in ourselves a strong Erogya, a kind of natural affection for our country, which we apprehend Christianity was never designed either to root out, or to impair We have a more peculiar concern for our brethren, for that part of our countrymen, to whom we have been joined from our youth up, by ties of a religious as well as a civil nature it is, that they are in general, without God in the world. So much the more do our bowels yearn over them. They do he in durkness and the shadow of death. The more tender is our compassion for them And when we have the fullest conviction of that complicated wickedness which covers them as a flood, then do we feel the most_(and we

desire to feel yet more) of that inexpressible emotion, with which our blessed Lord beheld Jerusalem, and wept and lamented over it. Then are we the most willing to spend and to be spent for them, yea

to lay down our lues for our brethren.

We look upon the clergy, not only as part of these our brethren, but as that part whom God by his adorable providence, has called to be watchmen over the rest, for whom therefore they are to give a shict account. If these then neglect their important charge, if they do not watch over them with all their power, they will be of all men most miscrable, and so are entitled to our deepest compassion. So that to feel, and much more to express either contempt or bitterness toward them, betrays an utter ignorance of ourselves and of the spirit which we especially should be of.

Because this is a point of uncommon concern, let us consider it a

little farther

The clergy, wherever we are, are either friends to the truth, or neu-

ters, or enemies to it

If they are friends to it, certainly we should do every thing, and omit every thing we can with a safe conscience, in order to continue

and if it be possible, increase their good-will to it

If they neither further nor hinder it, we should do all that in us lies both for their sakes and for the sake of their several flocks, to give their neutrality the right turn, that it may change into love rather than hatred

If they are enemies, still we should not despair of lessening, if not removing their prejudice. We should try every means again and again. We should employ all our care, labour, prudence, joined with fervent prayer, to overcome evil with good, to melt their hardness into love.

It is true, that when any of these openly wrest the Scriptures, and deny the grand truths of the gospel, we cannot but declare and defend, at convenient opportunities, the important truths which they deny. But in this case especially we have need of all gentleness and meckness of wisdom. Contempt, sharpness, bitterness, can do no good. The wrath of man worketh not the righteousness of God. Harsh methods have been tried again and again, (by two or three unsettled tailers,) at Wednesbury, St. Ives, Cork, Canterbury. And how did they succeed? They always occasioned numberless evils, often wholly stopped the course of the gospel. Therefore, were it only on a prudential account, were conscience unconcerned therein, it should be a sacred rule to all our preachers, No contempt, no bitterness to the clergy.

2 Might it not be another (at least prudential) rule, for every Methodist preacher, Not to frequent any dissenting meeting? (Though we blame none who have been always accustomed to it.) but if see do this, certainly our people will. Now this is actually separating from the Church. If therefore it is (at least) not expedient to separate, neither is this expedient. Indeed we may attend our assemblies, and the Church too; because they are at different bours. But we

cannot attend both the meeting and the Church, because they are at the same hours.

If it be said, "But at the Church we are fed with chaff, whereas at the meeting we have wholesome food:" we answer, 1. The prayers of the Church are not chaff: they are substantial food for any who are alive to God. 2. The Lord's Supper is not chaff, but pure and wholesome for all who receive it with upright hearts. Yea, 3. In almost all the sermons we hear there, we hear many great and important truths. And whoever has a spiritual discernment, may easily separate the chaff from the wheat therein. 4. How little is the case mended at the meeting! Either the teachers are new-light-men, denying the Lord that bought them, and overturning his gospel from the very foundations: or they are Predestinarians, and so preach predestination and final perseverance, more or less. Now whatever this may be to them who were educated therein, yet to those of our brethren who have lately embraced it, repeated experience shows it is not wholesome food: rather to them it has the effect of deadly poison. In a short time it destroys all their zeal for God. They grow fond of opinions and strife of words. They despise self-denial and the daily cross; and, to complete all, wholly separate from their

- 3. Nor is it expedient for any Methodist preacher, to imitate the dissenters in their manner of praying, either in his tone: all particular tones both in prayer and preaching should be avoided with the utmost care: nor in his language: all his words should be plain and simple, such as the lowest of his hearers both use and understand: or in the length of his prayer, which should not usually exceed four or five should we sing, like them, in a slow, drawling manner: we sing swift, both because it saves time, and because it tends to awake and enliven the soul.
- 4. Fourthly. If we continue in the Church not by chance, or for want of thought, but upon solid and well-weighed reasons, then we should never speak contemptuously of the Church, or any thing pertaining to it. In some sense it is the mother of us all, who have been brought up therein. We ought never to make her blemishes matter of diversion, but rather of solemn sorrow before God. We ought never to talk ludierously of them; no, not at all, without clear necessity. Rather, we should conecal them, as far as ever we can, without bringing guilt upon our own consciences. And we should all use every rational and scriptural means, to bring others to the same temper and behaviour. I say, all: for if some of us are thus minded, and others of an opposite spirit and behaviour, this will breed a real schism among ourselves. It will of course divide us into two parties; each of which will be liable to perpetual jealousies, suspicions, and animosities against the other. Therefore on this account likewise, it is expedient in the highest degree, that we should be tender of the Church to which we belong.

5. In order to secure this end, to cut off all jealousy and suspicion from our friends, and hope from our enemies, of our having any de-

sign to separate from the Church, it would be well for every Methodist preacher, who has no scruple concerning it, to attend the service of the Church as often as conveniently he can. And the more we attend it, the more we love it, as constant experience shows. On the contrary, the longer we abstain from it, the less desire we have to

attend it at all.

6. Lastly, Whereas we are surrounded on every side, by those who are equally enemies to us and to the Church of England; and whereas these are long practised in this war, and skilled in all the objections against it: while our brethren on the other hand are quite strangers to them all, and so on a sudden know not how to answer them: it is highly expedient for every preacher to be provided with sound answers to those objections, and then to instruct the societies where he labours, how to defend themselves against those assaults. It would be therefore well for you carefully to read over the Preservative against unsettled Notions in Religion, together with Serious Thoughts concerning Perseverance, and Predestination calmly considered. And when you are masters of them yourselves, it will be easy for you to recommend and explain them to our societies: that they may no more be tost to and fro by every wind of doctrine, but being settled in one mind and one judgment, by solid scriptural and rational arguments, may grow up in all things into hun who is our head, even Jesus Christ.

JOHN WESLEY.

I THINK myself bound in duty to add my testimony to my brother's His twelve reasons against our ever separating from the Church of England, are mine also I subscribe to them with all my heart. Only with regard to the first, I am quite clear, that it is neither expedient, nor lawful for me to separate: and I never had the least inclination or temptation so to do. My affection for the Church is as strong as ever. and I clearly see my calling: which is, to live and to die in her communion. This, therefore, I am determined to do, the Loid being my helper

CHARLES WESLEY.

Thoughts on Separation from the Church

MY DEAR FRIEND.

The question properly refers (when we speak of a separation from the Church) to a total and immediate separation, such was that of Mr Ingham's people first, and afterwards that of Lady Huntingdon's, who all agreed to form themselves into a separate body without delay: to go to church no more, and to have no more connexion with the Church of England, than with the Church of Rome.

Such a separation I have always declared against, and certainly it will not take place (if ever it does) while I live. But a kind of

Yor. 10.-I

separation has already taken place and will inevitably spread, though by slow degrees. Those ministers, so called, who neither live nor preach the gospel, I dare not say, are sent of God. Where one of these is settled, many of the Methodists dare not attend his ministry; so if there be no other church in that neighbourhood, they go to church no more. This is the case in a few places already, and it will be the case in more, and no one can justly blame me for this, neither is it contrary to any of my professions

J. W.

Bristol, Sept. 20, 1788.

On the Church in a Letter to the Rev

Plymouth Dock, Aug. 19, 1785.

REV. SIR,

I WILL tell you my thoughts with all simplicity, and wait for hetter information. If you agree with me, well: if not, we can (as Mr. Whitefield used to say,) agree to disagree.

For these forty years I have been in doubt concerning that question: "What Obedience is due to Heathensh Priests and Mitred

Infidels ?"

I have from time to time proposed my doubts to the most pious and sensible Clergymen I knew. But they gave me no satisfaction: rather they seemed to be puzzled as well as I. Some obcdence I always paid to the Bishops, in obedience to the laws of the land. But I cannot see, that I am under any obligation to obey them far-

ther than those laws require.

It is in obedience to those laws, that I have never exercised in England the power which I believe God has given me I firmly believe, I am a scriptural Exioning as much as any man in England of in Europe. (For the Unmterrupted Succession I know to be a fable, which no man ever did or can prove.) But this does in nowise interfere with my remaining in the Church of England: from which I have no more desire to separate than I had fifty years ago attend all the Ordinances of the Church, at all opportunities. And I constantly and earnestly desire all that are connected with me so to do. When Mr. Smyth pressed us to "separate from the Church," he meant, "Go to Church no more." And this was what I meant seven and twenty years ago, when I persuaded our brethren "Not to separate from the Church." But here another question occurs, "What is the Church of England?" It is not "all the people of England." Papists and Dissenters are no part thereof. It is not all the people of England except Papists and Dissenters. Then we should have a glorious Church indeed! No: according to our twentieth article, a particular Church is "a congregation of faithful people (Catus credentium, the words in our Latin edition,) among whom the Word of God is preached, and the sacraments duly administered." Here is a true logical definition, containing both the essence and the properties of a Church. What then, according to this definition, is the Church of England? Does it mean all the behevers in England, (except the Papists and Dissenters) who have the Word of God and the sacraments duly administered among them?" I fear this does not come up to you idea of "The Church of England" Well, what more do you include in that phrase? "Why, all the behevers that adhere to the doctrine and discipline established by the Convocation under Queen Elizabeth." Nay, that discipline is well high vanished away, and the doctrine both you and I adhere to.

All those icasons against a separation from the Church in this sense, I subscribe to still. What then are you frightened at I I no more separate from it now, than I did in the year 1758. I submit still (though sometimes with a doubting conscience,) to Mitred Infidels. I do indeed vary from them in some points of doctrine and in some points of discipline, (by preaching abroad, for instance, by praying extempore, and by forming societies.) But not a hair's breadth further than I believe to be meet, right, and my bounden duty. I walk still by the same rule I have done for between forty and fifty years. I do nothing rashly. It is not likely I should. The high-day of my blood is over. If yo i will go hand in hand with me, do. But do not hinder me, if you will not help. Perhaps if you had kept closely to me, I might have done better. However, with or without help, I creep on. And as I have been hitherto, so I trust I shall always be,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

Firther Thoughts on Separation from the Church.

1. From a child I was taught to love and reverence the Scriptures, the Oracles of God: and next to these, to esteem the Primitive Fathers, the writers of the three first centuries. Next after the Primitive Church, I esteemed our own, the Church of England, as the most scriptural, national Church in the world. I therefore, not only assented to all the doctrines, but observed all the rubric in the Liturgy; and that with all possible exactness, even at the peril of my life.

2. In this judgment, and with this spirit, I went to America, strongly attached to the Bible, the Primitive Church, and the Church of England, from which I would not vary in one jot or tittle on any account whatever. In this spirit I returned as regular a clergyman as any in the three kingdoms—till after not being permitted to preach in the Churches, I was constrained to preach in the open air.

3. Here was my first uregularity. And it was not voluntary, but constrained The second was extemporary prayer. This likewise

I believed to be my bounden duty, for the sake of those who desired me to watch over their souls. I could not in conscience refram from it: neither from accepting those who desired to serve me

as sons in the gospel.

4. When the people joined together, simply to help each other to heaven, increased by hundreds and thousands, still they had no more thought of leaving the Church than of leaving the kingdom. Nay, I continually and earnestly cautioned them against it; reminding them that we were a part of the Church of England, whom God had raised up not only to save our own souls, but to enliven our neighbours, those of the Church in particular. And at the first meeting of all our Preachers in Conference, in June 1744, I exhorted them to keep to the Church, observing that this was our peculiar slory, Not to form any new sect, but abiding in our own Church, to do to all men all the good we possibly could

5. But as more Dissenters joined with us, many of whom were much prejudiced against the Church, these, with or without design, were continually infusing their own prejudices into their brethren. I saw this, and gave warning of it from time to time, both in private and in public. And in the year 1758, I resolved to bring the matter to a fair issue. So I desired the point might be considered at large, Whether it was expedient for the Methodists to leave the Church? The arguments on both sides were discussed for several days; and at length we agreed, without a dissenting voice, "It is by no means expedient that the Methodists should leave the Church of England"

6. Nevertheless, the same leaven continued to work in various parts of the kingdom. The grand argument (which in some particular cases must be acknowledged to have weight) was this: "The minister of the parish wherein we dwell, neither lives nor preaches the Gospel. He walks in the way to hell himself, and teaches his flock to do the same. Can you advise them to attend his preaching ?" I cannot advise them to it "What then can they do, on the Lord's-Day, suppose no other Church be near? Do you advise them to go to a dissenting Meeting? Or to meet in their own Preaching-house?" Where this is really the case, I cannot blame them if they do. Although, therefore, I carnestly oppose the general separation of the Methodists from the Church, yet I cannot condemn such a partial separation, in this particular case. I believe to separate thus far from these miserable wretches, who are the scandal of our Church and nation, would be for the honour of our Church, as well as to the glory of God.

7. And this is no way contrary to the profession which I have made above these fifty years. I never had any design of separating from the Church. I have no such design now. I do not believe, the Methodists in general design it, when I am no more seen. I do, and will do, all that is in my power to prevent such an event. Nevertheless, in spite of all that I can do, many of them will separate from it, (although I am apt to think, not one half, perhaps not a third of them.) These will be so bold and injudicious, as to form

a separate party, which consequently will dwindle away, into a dry, dull, separate party. In flat opposition to these, I declare once more, that I live and die a member of the Church of England. and that none who regard my judgment or advice, will ever separate from t.

JOHN WESLEY.

Lo idon, Dec 11, 1789.

Thoughts on the Consecration of Churches and Burial-Grounds.

1. IT has been a custom for some ages, in Roman Catholic countries, to have a particular form of consecration, for all Churches and Chapels: and not for these only, but for every thing pertaining to them, such as fonts, chalices, bells, sacerdotal vestments, and church-yards in particular. And all these customs universally prevailed in England, as long as it was under the papal power.

2 From the time of our Reformation from Popery, most of these customs fell into disuse. Unconsecrated bells were rung without scruple, and unconsecrated vestments worn. But some of them remained still—the consecration of churches and church-yards in particular, and many scrupled the performing divine service in an aumonsecrated church—and could not consent that their bodies should

be buried in unconsecrated ground.

- 3. Accordingly the consecrating of churches and church-yards has been practised in England ever since. But it is a thing purely indifferent, being neither forbidden, nor established by law. The case is different in Ireland. While the Earl of Stafford was lord-lieutenant of that kingdom, a law was made for the consecration not only of churches, but of church-yards also. And a form of consecration for both was inserted in the Common Prayer-book, which is used at this day: much resembling that which Archbishop Laud used, in the consecration of St. Katherine-Creed's Church, in I ondon.
- 4. But such a law has never passed in England, much less been inserted in our Common Prayer-book. However, such consectation has been generally practised, though not authorized by the Legislature. "Is it then illegal?" That word is capable of a two-fold meaning—It may mean, either without any law in its favour, or against law—I do not conceive it to be illegal, in the latter sense. Perhaps it is in the former: I do not know any law that enjoins, or even permits it.
- 5. And certainly as it is not enjoined by the law of the land, so it is not enjoined by the law of God. Where do we find one word in the New Testament enjoining any such thing? Neither do I remember any precedent of it in the purestages of the Church. It seems to have entered, and gradually spread itself, with the other uniovations and superstitions of the Church of Rome. "Do you think it

then a superstitious practice ?" Perhaps it is not, if it be practised as a thing indifferent. But if it be done as a necessary thing, then

it is flatly superstitious

6. For this reason I never wished that any bishop should consecrate any chapel or burnal-ground of mine. Indeed I should not date to suffer it; as I am clearly persuaded, the thing is wrong in itself, being not authorized either by any law of God, or by any law of the land. In consequence of which I conceive, that either the clerk or the sexton may as well consecrate the Church, or the Church-yard, as the bishop

7. With regard to the latter, the church-yard, I know not who could answer that plain question: you say, "This is consecrated ground, so many feet broad, and so many long." But pray, how deep is the consecrated ground?—"Deep! What does that signify "O, a great deal! for if any grave be dug too deep, I may happen to get out of the consecrated ground! And who can tell, what unhappy

consequences may follow from this!

8. I take the whole of this practice to be a mere relic of Romish superstition. And I wonder that any sensible Protestant should think it right to countenance it: much more that any reasonable man should plead for the necessity of it! Surely it is high time now, that we should be guided, not by custom, but by Scripture and Reason.

J. W.

Dumfries, May 14, 1788.

An Answer to an Important Question.

Armagh, June 18, 1787.

DEAR SIR,

You ask, "Why do not the Clergy, whether in England or Ireland, avail themselves of the Methodist Preachers?" You say, "You wonder they do not thankfully accept of their assistance, who desire no pay for their service, in repressing error and wickedness of every kind, and propagating truth and religion?" You inquire, "upon what rational principles can this be accounted for?"

To give a complete answer to this question, would require a whole reatise I have not leisure for this: but I will give as full an an-

swer as my time will permit.

Only before I answer, I must observe, that many both of the English and Irish Clergy, are entirely out of the question. They are not only learned, but truly religious men, and, as such, are an honour to their profession. I speak only of those that are of a different character, be they many or few. Let them wear the cap whom it fits. That is no concern of mine.

This premised, I think it easy to be accounted for, even upon hea-

then principles. Horace observed long ago,

Oderunt hilarem tristes, tristemque jocasi, Vinosi pori ecta negantem pocula—

Accordingly, grave and solemn men (though too few are guilty of this fault) dishke many of the Methodist Preachers for having nothing of that gravity or solemnity about them. Jocose Clergymen, on the other hand, cannot but dishke those who are steadily serious. And those that love to take a cheerful glass, are not fond of such as are strictly temperate. You need go no farther than this consideration to have a clear answer to the question, "Why do many of the Clergy refuse to have any assistance from the Methodist Preachers?"

But this may be more fully accounted for upon Christian principles. What says our Lord to the first Preachers of the Gospel, and in them to all their successors? (John xv. 18, and seq) "If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you. If ye were of the world, the world would love its own; but because ye are not of the world, therefore the world hateth you. These things will they do unto you, because they know not him that sent

me."

Does not this give us sufficient reason to expect, that if we are not of the world, all the world, all who know not God, whether Clergy or Laity, will be so far from accepting our assistance, that they will sincerely hate us, and openly or privately persecute us, so far as God permits? We have, therefore, reason to wonder, not that they do not desire any union or coalition with us, but that they bear with, yea, and on many occasions, treat us with courtesy and civility. This is a peculial instance of the providence of God, causing in some measure the scandal of the cross to cease.

"But do not many Clergymen, who are not pious men, acknowledge that the Methodists do good, and encourage them to persevere therein?" They do but observe how far they would have them go They wish them to repress outward sm: to reclaim the people trom cursing, and swearing, and drunkenness, and sabbath-breaking, (unless the squire gains by it) They are well pleased, that their parishioners grow more diligent and honest, and are constant attendants on the Church and Sacrament. Nay, they are glad that they are brought to practise both justice and mercy; in a word, to be moral men.

But the truth is, the Methodists know and teach, that all this is nothing before God: that whoever goes thus far and no farther, is building upon the sand. that he who would worship God to any purpose, must worship him in spirit and in truth: that true religion is righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost: even giving God our hearts: the seeking and finding happiness in him. Here then they divide from the Methodists, whom they judge to be going too tar. They would have their parishioners moral men; that is, in plain terms, honest heathens, but they would not have them pious men, men devoted to God, Bible Christians. If therefore the Methodist Picachers would stop here, would preach outward religion and no more, many Clergymen would not only encourage them therein,

hut likewise cordially join them But when they persuade men, not to be almost, but altogether Christians, to maintain a constant fellowship with the Father, and his Son Jesus Christ to be transformed into that image of God, wherein they were created, and thenceforth to live that life which is lad with Christ in God let them not expect, that any will give them the right-hand of fellowship, but those God hath chosen out of the world

I am. your's, &c

J W.

FREE THOUGHTS

ON THE

PRESENT STATE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

IN A LETTER TO A FRIEND.

Periculosæ plenum opus aleæ Tractas, et incedis per ignes Suppositos cineri doloso.

Hor

YOU desire me to give you my thoughts freely on the present state of public affairs. But do you consider? I am no politician politicis he quite out of my province. Neither have I any acquaintance, at least no intimacy, with any that bear that character. And it is no easy matter to form any judgment concerning things of so complicated a nature. It is the more difficult, because in order to form our judgment, such a multitude of facts should be known, few of winch can be known with tolerable exactness by any but those who are eye-witnesses of them. And how few of these will relate what they have seen precisely as it was, without adding, omitting, or altering any circumstance, either with or without design! And may not a slight addition or alteration give a quite different colour to the whole?

And as we cannot easily know with any accuracy, the facts on which we are chiefly to form our judgment, so much less can we expect to know the various springs of action which gave use to those tacts, and on which more than on the bare actions themselves, the characters of the actors depend. It is on this account that an old writter advises us to judge nothing before the time, to abstain as far as possible from judging peremptority, either of things or persons, till the time comes, when the hidden things of darkness, the facts now conscaled, will be brought to light, and the hidden springs of action will be discovered, the thoughts and intents of every human heart

Perhaps you will say, "Nay, every Englishman is a politician; we suck in politics with our mother's milk. It is as natural for us to talk politics as to breathe we can instruct both the king and his council We can in a trice reform the state, point out every blunder of this or that minister, and tell every step they ought to take to be arbiters of

all Europe."

I grant, every cobler, tinker, porter, and hackney-coachman, can do this But I am not so deep-learned. While they are sure of every thing, I am in a manner sure of nothing; except of that very little which I see with my own eyes, or hear with my own ears. However, since you desire me to tell you what I think, I will do it with Only please to remember, I do not take upon me to all openness dictate either to you or to any one. I only use the privilege of an Englishman, to speak my naked thoughts. setting down just what appears to me to be the truth, till I have better information.

At present, indeed, I have not much information, having read little upon this head but the public papers And you know, these are mostly on one side; in them little is to be seen on the other side. And that little is seldom written by masterly writers. How few of

them have such a pen as Junius!

But supposing we have ever so much information, how little can one rely on it! On the information given by either party! For is not one as warm as the other? And who does not know how impossible it is for a man to see things right, when he is angry? Does not passion blind the eyes of the understanding, as smoke does the bodily eyes? And how little of the truth can we learn from those, who see nothing but through a cloud!

This advantage then I have over both parties, the being angry at So that if I have a little understanding from nature or experience, it is (in this instance at least) unclouded by passion. I wish the same happiness which I wish to myself, to those on one side and on the other I would not hurt either, in the least degree; I would

not willingly give them any pain

I have likewise another advantage, that of having no bias one way or the other. I have no interest depending . I want no man's favour, having no hopes, no fears from any man. and having no particular attachment of any kind, to either of the contending parties.

But am I so weak as to imagine, that because I am not angry at them, they will not be angry at me? No, I do not imagine any such thing. Probably both will be angry enough; that is, the warm men on both sides, were it only for this, that I am not as warm as themselves. For what is more insufferable to a man in a passion, than to see you keep your temper? And is it not a farther provocation, that I do not behave as he does to his opponent? That I call him no ill names? That I give him no ill words? I expect therefore to be abused on all sides; and cannot be disappointed, unless by being treated with common humanity.

This premised, I come to the point, to give you my Free Thoughts on the present State of Public Affairs, the causes and consequences of the present commotions. But permit me to remind you, that I say

nothing peremptorily. I do not take upon me to affirm, that things are thus or thus I just set down my naked thoughts, and that with-

out any art or colouring.

"What then do you think is the direct and principal cause of the present public commotions, of the amazing ferment among the people, the general discontent of the nation?" which now rises to a higher degree than it has done in the memory of man: insomuch that I have heard it affirmed with my own ears, "King George ought to be treated as King Chailes was." Is it the extraordinary bad character of the king? I do not apprehend it is Certainly if he is not, as some think, the best prince in Europe, he is far from being the toors! One not greatly prejudiced in his favour, does not charge him with want of virtue (of this he judges him to have more than enough.) but with wanting those royal vices, which (with Machavel and the ingenious Doctor Mandeville) he supposes would be public benefits

"But does he not likewise want understanding " So it has been boldly affirmed. And it must be acknowledged this charge is supported by facts, which cannot be denied. The first is, he believes the Bible the second, he fears Gon, the third, he loves the queen. Now suppose the first of these, considering the prejudice of education, might consist with some share of understanding, yet how can this be allowed with regard to the second? For although in the times of ignorance and barbarism, men imagined, the fear of God was the beginning of wisdom, our enlightened age has discovered it is the end of it that whenever the fear of God begins wisdom is at an end And with regard to the third, for a man to love his wife, unless perhaps for a month or two, must argue such utter want of sense, as most men of rank are now ashamed of. But after all, there are some who, allowing the facts, deny the consequence Who still beheve, and that after the most accurate inquiry, from such as have had the best means of information, that there are few noblemen or gentlemen in the nation, (and we have many not inferior to most in Europe) who have either so good a natural understanding, or so general a knowledge of all the valuable parts of learning.

"But suppose something might be said for his majesty's understanding, what can be said in excuse of his bad actions? As first, his pardoming a murderer?" I really think something may be said on this head also Can you or I believe, that the king knew him to be such? Understood him to be a wilful murderer? I am not sure of it at all: neither have you any rational proof: (even supposing this to have been the case, which is far from being clear) And if he did not know or believe him to be such, how can he be blamed for pardoning him? Not to have pardoned him in this case, would have been meacusable

before God and man

"But what can be said in excuse of his being governed by his mother, and fixing all his measures at Carlton house?" It may be said, that if it was so, it is past, and so is no matter of present complaint But who informed you that it was? Any eye and ear witness? "O, it is in every body's mouth." Very well but every body is nobody so this proof is no proof at all. And what better proof have you, or

any man, of his fixing any of his measures there? This has been affirmed a hundred times, but never was proved yet "Nay, but is it not an undeniable fact, that he spent hour after hour with her? And especially when he was hard pressed, and knew not which way to turn?" And what then? Who loves him better than his parent? And whom has he a right to love better than her? Who is more faith ful to him, more steadily desirous of his welfare? And whom can he trust better? Suppose then it was true, (which is more than any man can prove.) that he did consult her on all occasions, and particularly when he was in trouble and perplexity, who can blame him for so doing?

"Well, be this as it may, who can help blaming him for giving so many pensions?" This is a thing which I do not understand, and can therefore neither praise nor blame. Some indeed, I think, are well bestowed, on men eminent in their several professions. All, I believe, are well designed, particularly those given to men who are removed from public employments. Yet, I fear, some of these are ill bestowed, on those who not only fly in the face of their benefactor, but avail themselves of his favours to wound the deeper. "For were he not in the wrong, these would never turn against him!" What pitty they should enjoy them another day, after such foul and flagrant ingra-

titude !

This fault (if it were really such) would argue too great easiness of temper—But this is quite the reverse of what is commonly objected, inflexible stubbornness. "Nay, what else could occasion the settled disregard of so many petitions and remonstrances, signed by so many thousands of hands, and declaring the sense of the nation." The sense of the nation." Who can imagine this, that knows the manner wherein nine in ten, I might say, ninety-nine in a hundred, of those petitions are procured? A lord or squire (sometimes two or more) goes or sends his steward, round the town where his seat is, with a paper, which he tells the honest men is for the good of their king and country. He desires each to set his name or mark to this And who has the hardiness to gainsay; especially if my lord keeps open house? Meantime the contents of it they know nothing about.

I was not long since in a town in Kent, when one of these petitions was carrying about. I asked one and another, "Have you signed the petition?" And found none that had refused it. And yet not one single person to whom I spoke, had either read it or heard it

read.

Now I would ask any man of common sense, what stress is to be laid on these petitions? And how do they declare the sense of the nation? Nay, of the very persons that have signed them? What a shocking insult is it then on the whole kingdom, to palm these petitions upon us, of which the very subscribers have not read three lines, as the general sense of the nation?

But suppose they had read all that they have subscribed, what judges are they of these matters? To put this beyond dispute, let us only propose one case out of a thousand. Step back a few years, and suppose Mr. Pitt at the head of the administration. Here comes up a

petition from Newcastle-upon-Tyne, signed by five hundred hands, begging his majesty to dismiss that minister, who was taking such measures as tended to the utter ruin of the nation. What could Mr. Pitt say to this? Would he not ask, "How came these colliers and keelmen to be so well acquainted with the affairs of state? How long have they been judges of public administration? Of naval and military operations! How came they to understand the propriety or impropriety of the measures I take? Do they comprehend the balance of Europe? Do they know the weakness and strength of its several kingdoms? The characters of the monarchs and their ministers? The springs of this and that public motion? Else, why do they take upon them to scan my conduct? Ne sutor ultra crepidam? Let them mind their own work, keep to their pits and keels, and leave state affairs to nie.

"But surely you do not place the citizens of London on a level with the colliers of Newcastle?" I do not. And yet I suppose they were equally incompetent judges of the measures which Mr. Pitt took. And i doubt they are fully as incompetent judges of the measures taken by the present ministry. To form a tolerable judgment of them, requires not only a good understanding, but more time than common tradesmen can spare, and better information than they can possibly procure. I think, therefore, that the encouraging them to pass their verdict on ministers of state, yea, on king, lords, and commons, is not only putting them out of their way, but doing them

more mischief than you are aware of.

"But the remonstrance! Surely the king ought to have paid more regard to the remonstrance of the city of London." Consider the case: the city had presented a petition, which he could by no means approve of, as he judged it was designed not so much to inform him, as to inflame his subjects. After he had rejected this, as mildly as could be done, whilst he viewed it in this light, they present a remonstrance to the same effect, and (as he judged) with the same design. What then could be do less than he did? Could be seem to approve what he did not approve? If not, how could he testify his full disapprobation in more inoffensive terms?

As to the idle, shameless tale of his bursting out into laughter at the magistrates, any who know his majesty's temper, would as soon believe that he spit in their faces, or struck them a box on the ear.

His majesty's character then, after all the pains which have been taken to make him odious, as well as contemptible, remains unimpeached; and therefore cannot be in any degree the cause of the present commotions. His whole conduct, both in public and private, ever since he began his reign, the uniform tenor of his behaviour, the general course both of his words and actions, has been worthy of an Englishman, worthy of a Christian, and worthy of a king.

"Are not then the present commotions owing to his having extraordinary bad ministers? Can you say that his ministers are as blameless as himself?" I do not say this: I do not think so. But I think they are not one jot worse than those that went before them: nor than any set of ministers who have been in place for at least thirty years last past. I think they are not a jot worse than then opponents, than these who bawl the loudest against them, either with regard to intellectual or moral abilities, with regard to sense or honesty. Set twenty against twenty, or ten against ten; and is there a pin to choose?

"However, are not these commotions owing to the extraordinary bad measures they have taken? Surely you will not attempt to defend all their measures!" No indeed. I do not defend general warrants. But I observe, 1. The giving these, be it good or bad, is no extraordinary measure. Has it not been done by all ministers for many years, and that with little or no objection? 2. This ordinary measure is of exceedingly little importance to the nation in general so little, that it was never before thought worthy to be put into the list of public grievances: so little, that it never deserved the hundredth

part of the outcry, which has been made concerning it

I do not defend the killing of Mr. Allen But I would have the By the best information I can gain, I believe fact truly represented it stands just thus: About that time the mob had been very turbulent. On that day they were likely to be more insolent than ever It was therefore judged proper to send a party of soldiers, to prevent or repress their violence. Their presence did not prevent it the mob went so far as to throw stones at the soldiers themselves One of them hit and wounded a soldier: two or three pursued him, and fired at one whom, being in the same dress, they supposed to be the same man. But it was not; it was Mr. Allen Now though this cannot be excused, yet, was it the most horrid villany that ever was perpe-Surely not. Notwithstanding all the tragical exclamations which have been made concerning it, what is this to the killing a man in cool blood? And was this never heard of in England?

I do not defend the measures which have been taken relative to the Middlesea election. But let it be remembered, 1st, That there was full as much violence on the one side as on the other 2dly, That a right of expulsion, of putting a member out of the House, manifestly implies a right of exclusion, of keeping him out; otherwise that right amounts to just nothing at all: 3dly, That consequently a member expelled is incapable of being re-elected, at least during that session, as incapable as one that is disqualified any other way. It follows, 4thly, That the votes given for this disqualified person, are null and void, being in effect given for nobody. Therefore, 5thly, If the other candidate had two hundred votes, he had a majority of two hundred

Let it be observed farther, if the electors had the liberty of choosing any qualified person, it is absolute nonsense to talk of their "being deprived of the liberty of choosing," because they were not permit-

ted to choose a person utterly unqualified.

But suppose a single borough or county were deprived of this in a single instance. (which undoubtedly is the case, whenever a person duly elected does not sit in the House:) How is this "depriving the good people of England, the nation of their birth-right?" What an insult upon common sense in this wild way of talking! If Middlesex is wronged (put it so) in this instance, how is Yorkshue or Cumberland affected by it? Or twenty counties and forty boroughs

Vor.. 10 -K

besides? Much less all the nation? O, but they "may be affected

by and by." Very true! And the sky may fall!

To see this whole matter in the clearest light, let any one read and consider the speech of Lord Chief Justice Mansfield, on a motion made by Lord Chatham, "To repeal and rescind the resolutions of the House of Commons, in regard to the expulsion and incapacitation of Mi Wilkes"

"In this debate, though it has already been spoken to with great eloquence and perspicuity, I cannot content myself with only giving a single vote; I feel myself under a strong necessity of saying something more. The subject requires it, and though the hour is late. [It being then near ten o'clock,] I shall demand your indulgence,

while I offer my sentiments on this motion.

"I am sure, my lords, many of you must remember, from your reading and experience, several persons expelled the House of Commons without ever this house once pretending to interfere, or call in question by what authority they did so. I remember several myself, [here his lordship quoted several cases,] in all which, though most of the candidates were sure to be re-chosen, they never once applied, resting contented with the expulsatory power of the House, as the

only self-sufficient dernier resort of application.

"It has been echoed on all sides, from the partizans of this motion, that the House of Commons acted illegally, in accepting Col Luttrel, who had but 296 votes, in preference to Mr. Wilkes, who had 1143. But this is a mistake of the grossest nature imaginable, and which nothing but the intemperature of the people's zeal could possibly transport them to, as Mr. Wilkes had been previously considered by the laws as an unqualified person to represent the people in parliament, therefore it appears very plainly, that Col. Luttrel had a very great majority, not less than 296, Mr. Wilkes being considered as nobody in the eye of the law, consequently Col. Luttrel

had no legal opposition.

"In all contested elections, where one of the parties think themselves not legally treated, I should be glad to know to whom it is they resort? Is it to the freeholders of the borough, or the county they would represent? Or is it to the people at large? Who cannot see, at once, the absurdity of such a question? Who so ignorant of our laws, that cannot immediately reply and say, 'It is the House of Commons who are the only judges to determine every nicety of the laws of election; and from whom there is no appeal, after they have once given their determination? All the freeholder has to do, is to determine on his object, by giving him his vote, the ultimate power hes with the House of Commons, who is to judge of his being a legal object of representation in the several branches of his qualifications. This, my lords, I believe, is advancing no new doctrine, nor adding an iota to the privilege of a member of the House of Commons, more than what the constitution long ago has given him; yet here is a cry made, in a case that directly applies to what I have been speaking of, as if it was illegal, arbitrary, and unprecedented.

"I do not remember, my lords, in either the course of my reading or observation, ever to have known an instance of a person's being re-chosen, after being expelled, till the year 1711, then, indeed, my memory serves me with the case of Sir Robert Walpole. He was expelled the House of Commons, and was afterwards rechosen; but this last event did not take place till the meeting of the next parliament, and during that interval, I find no debate about the illegality of his expulsion, no interference of the House of Lords, nor any addresses from the public, to decry that measure by a dissolution

of parliament.

"Indeed, as for the precedent of one House interfering with the rules, orders, or business of another, my memory does not serve me at present with the recollection of a single one. As to the case of Titus Oates, as mentioned by the noble lord in my eye, (Lord Chatham,) he is very much mistaken in regard to the mode; his was a trial in the King's-Bench, which, on a writ of error, the House of Commons interfered in, and they had an authority for so doing. A judge certainly may be mistaken in points of law, the wisest and the best of us may be so at times, and it reflects no discredit; on the contrary, it does particular honour, when he finds himself so mistaken, to reverse his own decree. But for one House of Parliament interfering with the business, and reversing the resolutions of another, it is not only unprecedented, but unconstitutional to the last degree.

"But suppose, my lords, that this house coincided with this motion; suppose we all agreed nem con to repeal and rescind the resolutions of the House of Commons, in regard to the expulsion and incapacitation of Mr. Wilkes;—Good God! What may be the consequence! The people are violent enough already, and to have the superior branch of legislation join them, would be giving such a public encouragement to their proceedings, that I almost tremble, while

I even suppose such a scene of anarchy and confusion."

"What then can we think of the violent outery, That the nation is oppressed, deprived of that liberty which their ancestors bought with so much treasure and blood, and delivered down through so many generations?" Do those who raise this cry believe what they say? If so, are they not under the highest infatuation? Seeing that England, from the time of William the Conqueror, yea, of Julius Cæsar, never enjoyed such liberty, civil and religious, as it does at this day. Nor do we know of any other kingdom or state in Europe, or in the world, which enjoys the like.

I do not defend the measures which have been taken with regard to America. I doubt whether any man can defend them, either on the foot of law, equity, or prudence. But whose measures were these? If I do not mistake, Mr. George Grenville's. Therefore the whole merit of these measures belong to him, and not to the present

ministry.

"But is not the general dissatisfaction owing, if not to any of the preceding causes, to the extraordinary bad conduct of the parliament,

particularly the House of Commons " This is set in so clear a hight by a late writer, that I need only transcribe his words.

"The last recess of parliament was a period filled with unprecedented troubles: and the session opened in the midst of tumults. Ambitious men, with a perseverance uncommon in indolent and luxurious times, rung all the changes of popular noise, for the purpose of intimidation. The ignorant, who could not distinguish between real and artificial clamours, were alarmed, the lovers of their own ease wished to sacrifice the just dignity of the House of Commons to a temporary relief, from the grating sound of seditious scurrility.

**Hence the friends of the constitution saw the opening of the session with anxiety and apprehension. They were afind of the timidity of others, and dreaded nothing more than that panie to which popular assemblies, as well as armies, are sometimes subject. The event has shown that their fears were groundless: the house supported its decisions against the current of popular piejudice; and, in defending their own judicial rights, secured the most solid part

of the liberties of their constituents.

"Their firm adherence to their resolutions was not more noble, than their concessions in the matter of their own rights was disinterested and generous. The extensive privileges, which, in a series of ages, had accumulated to the members of both houses, were certainly inconsistent with the impartial distribution of justice. To sacrifice these privileges was not only diametrically opposite to the idea of self-interest, with which some asperse the legislature, but it has also thrown a greater weight into the scale of public freedom than any other act passed since the revolution. And it has reflected honour on the present Administration, that a bill, so very favourable to the liberty of the subject, was brought in and carried through by them

"The arbitrary manner of determining petitions about elections, has been a serious complaint and of long continuance. I shall not deny to Mr. Grenville the merit of bringing in a bill for remedying this grievance, but its passing as it did is a certain proof that the pretended influence of Administration over a majority of the House is a mere bugbear, held forth for private views by the present op-

position

"During the whole Session, the House of Lords behaved with that dignity and unalterable firmness which became the first assembly in a great nation. Attacked with impertment scurribity, they smiled upon rage, and treated the ravings of a despotic tribune with contempt. When with an infamous perversion of his pretended love to freedom, he attempted to extend the control of the Peers to the resolutions of the representatives of the people, they nobly rejected the golden bait, and scorned to raise the dignity of their house upon the ruins of the other. They, in short, throughout the session, showed a spirit that disdained to be braved: a magnanimity that diminished their own personal power for the ease and comfort of the inferior subject.

"If the conduct of Parliament is in any instance blameable, it is in a lenity that is inconsistent with the vulgar idea of political counage. They have been attacked with scurrility in the Lower House; in the Upper they have been treated with indecency and disrepect. Their prudence and love for the public peace prevailed over their resentment. They knew that legal punishment is in these times the load to popularity, and they were unwilling to raise insignificant men into a consequence that might disturb the state."

So far we have gained. We have removed the imaginary causes of the present commotions. It plainly appears, they are not owing to the extraordinary badness, either of the King, of his Parliament, of his ministers, or of the measures which they have taken. To what then are they owing? What are the real causes of this amazing

ferment among the people ?

Before I say any thing on this subject, let me remind you once more, that I do not dictate: I do not take upon me to affirm any thing, but simply tell you what I think. I think the first and principal spring of the whole motion is French gold. "But why do you

ilnnk so ?" I will tell you as plainly as I can.

A person of a complete uniform character, encumbered with nereligion, with no regard to virtue or morality, squanders away all that he has. He applies for a place, but is disappointed. He is thoroughly exasperated, abuses the munistry, asperses the King's mother in the grossest manner, is prosecuted, (not for this, but other achievements,) and retires to France. After some time, he suddenly returns to London, sets up for a patriot, and vehemently inveighs against evil counsellors, grievances, and male-administration. The cry spreads: more and more espouse his cause, and second him with all their might. He becomes the head of the party, and not only the vulgar, but the world runs after him. He drives on with still increasing numbers, carrying all before him, inflaming the nation more and more, and making their minds evil-affected, in appearance towards the ministers of state, but in reality towards the king. can any reasonable man believe, that the French are ignorant of all this? Or that they have no hand at all therein, but are mere unconcerned spectators? Do they not understand their own interest better? If they do not kindle the fire, will they not use all means to prevent its going out? Will they not take care to add fuel to the flame? Will they not think forty or fifty thousand Louisd'ors, well bestowed on so important an occasion?

I cannot but think this is (at least) one principal spring of all the present commotions. But may not other causes likewise concur? As, 1st, Covetousness, a love of Erglish as well as of French gold Do not many hunger after the lucrative employments which their neighbours enjoy? They had rather have them themselves. And will not those that are hungry naturally cry for food? 2dly, Ambition. How many desire honour, perhaps more than they do money itself? And how various are the shapes which they will put on, in order to attain it? 3dly, Those who are not so much under the

power of these, are yet susceptible of pride, or envy; and frequently of both together. To these we may, 4thly, add resentment Many doubtless look upon themselves as injured, were it only on this account, that they are not regarded, yea and recompensed as then ments or services deserve. Others are angry because they are disappointed; because, after all their schemes, which they imagined could not fail of success, they are not able to carry their point

Now all these, united by these various motives, some encouraged by good pay in hand, (and perhaps by promises of more,) others animated by covetousness, by ambition, by envy, pride, and resentment, by every means animate all they have access to. They treat both rich and poor (according to their rank) with all elegance and profuscness. They talk largely and vehemently. They write abundantly, having troops enough in their service. They publish addresses, petitions, remonstrances, directed nominally to the king, (otherwise they would not answer the end;) but really to the people. Herein their orators make use of all the powers of rhetoric bring forth their strong reasons, the very best which the cause will They set them off with all the beauty of language, all the poignancy of wit. They spread their writings in pamphlets, newspapers, magazines, &c. to every corner of the land. They are indelatigable in their work, they never stop to take breath; but as they have tongues and pens at command, when one has done, another begins, and so on and on with a continuance By this means the flame spreads wider and wider; it runs as fire among the stubble. The madness becomes epidemic, and no medicine hitherto has availed The whole nation sees the State in danger, as they did the Church sixty years ago and the world now wanders after Mr. Wilkes, as it did then after Dr Sacheverel

One means of increasing the ferment is, the suffering no contradiction: the hooting at all who labour for peace, and treading them down like dut the using them just as they do the king, without either justice or mercy. If any writes on that head, presently the cry is raised, "O, he only writes for pay." But if he does, do not those on the other side too? Which are paid best, I do not know: but doubtless both are paid, a very few old-fashioned mortals excepted, who having nothing to hope, and nothing to fear, simply consider the good of their country.

"But what do you think the end will be?" It is easy to foreser this. Supposing things to take their natural course, they must ga from bad to worse.

In stipulam velu'i cum flamma furentibus austris Incidit, aut rapidus montano flumine torrens Exat, oppositasque coicit gurgite moles

The people will be inflamed more and more: the torrent will swell higher and higher, till at length it bursts through all opposition, and overflows the land. The consequences of these commotions will be, (unless a higher Hand interpose,) exactly the same as those of the like commotions in the last century. First, the land will become a

field of blood: many thousands of poor Englishmen will sheathe their swords in each others bowels, for the diversion of their good neighbours. Then either a commonwealth will ensue, or else a second Cromwell. One must be; but it cannot be determined which, King

W___, or King Mob.

"But that case is not parallel with this." It is not, in all particulars. In many respects it is widely different. As, 1st, With regard to the king lumself. Few will affirm the character of king Charles, (even allowing the account given by Lord Clarendon, to be punctually true in every respect,) to be as faultless as that of king George. But other passions, as well as love, are blind. So that when these are raised to a proper height, especially when Junius has thrown a little more of his magic dust into the eyes of the people, and convinced them, that what are virtues mothers, are mere vices in him, the good patriots will see no manner of difference, between a king George and king Charles—or even a Nero.

The case is also widely different, 2dly, with regard to the Ministry. King George has no such furious drivers about him, as poor King Charles had But a skilful painter may easily add a few features, either to one or the other, and by a little colouring make Lord North the very picture of Lord Stafford, and Archbishop Corn-

wallis of Archbishop Laud.

How different likewise is the case, 3dly, With regard to the administration of public affairs? The requiring tomage and poundage, the imposing ship-money, the prosecutions in the Bishops Courts, in the High Commission-Court, and in the Star-chamber, were real and intolerable grievances. And what is there in the present administration which bears any resemblance to these? Yet, if you will view even such an affair as the Middlesex Election, through Mr. Horne's magnifying glass, it will appear a more enoimous instance of oppression than a hundred star-chambers put together

The parallel does not hold, 4thly, With regard to the opposers of the King and his Ministry Is Mr Burke the same calm, wise, disinterested man, that Mr. Hampden was? And where shall we find twenty noblemen and twenty gentlemen (to name no more) in the present opposition, whom any impartial man will set on a level with the same number of those that opposed King Charles and his

Ministry.

Nor does the parallel hold, 5thly, in this respect. That was in a great measure a contest about Religion at least about rites and ceremonies, and opinions, which many supposed to be religion. But all religion is out of the question now: this is generally allowed, both by the one side and the other, to be so very a trifle, that they do not give themselves the least concern about it.

In one circumstance more there is an obvious difference. The Parliament were then the King's enemies. Now they are his firmest friends. But indeed this difference may easily be removed. Let the King only take Mr. Wilkes's advice and dissolve the Parliament The Parliament of 1640, (the first which sat after the troubles be-

gan,) although many therein were much dissatisfied with the measures which had been taken, yet would never have been prevailed upon, to join in the schemes which afterwards prevailed. But when that Parhament was so seasonably dissolved, and a few men, wise in their generation, practising with unweared industry on the heated spirits of the people, had procured a new Parhament to be chosen after their own heart, then it was not long ere the train took fire.

and the whole constitution was blown up

But notwithstanding the disparity between the present and past times, in the preceding respects, yet how surprisingly does the parallel hold in various particulars! 1. A handful of people laid a scheme which few would have believed, had a man then declared it unto them: (though indeed it is probable, that at the beginning they had no settled scheme at all.) 2 These professed great zeal for the good of their country, were vehement contenders for liberty, cried aloud against evil ministers and the evil measures which they pursued, and were continually declaiming against either real or imaginary grievances. 3. They were soon joined by men eminent for probity as well as for understanding, who undoubtedly were what the others appeared, lovers of their king and country, and desired nothing but the removal of bad ministers, and the rediess of real grievances. 4. The spirits even of these were gradually sharpened and embittered against the king. And they were drawn farther and faither by the art of their leaders, till they had gone so far, they knew not how to retreat: yea, till they had (passively at least) concurred in those measures, which at first their very souls abhorred. 5. Meantime the nation in general was inflamed with all possible diligence, by addresses, petitions, and remonstrances, admirably well devised for the purpose, which were the most effectual libels that could be imagined against the king and government, and were continually spread throughout the land, with all care and assiduity. 6 Among the most inflamed and embittered in all England were the people of London, as the managers had the best opportunity of practising upon them 7. All this time they professed the highest regard for the king, for his honour as well as safety; an authentic monument whereof we have in the solemn League and Covenant. And these professions they continued with equal vehemence till within a short time of the cutting off his head!

Now what man, who has the least degree of understanding, may not see, in the clearest light, how surprisingly the parallel holds in

all these circumstances?

"But do not you think it is in the power of the King to put an end to all these commotions, by only sending his mother away, changing his ministers, and dissolving the parliament?" He may send his mother away, and so he may his wife, if they please to rank her among his evil counsellors. He may put out his present ministers, and desire the Lord Mayor to put others in their place. He may hkewise dissolve the present parliament, (as King Charles did that of 1640,) and exchange it for one chosen, animated, and tutored by Mr.

Wilkes and his friends. But can you really believe this would mend the matter? Would put an end to all these commotions? Certainly the sending his mother to the *Indies* would avail nothing, unless he removed his ministers too. Nor would the putting out these, yea, every man of them, avail any thing, unless at the same time he put in every man whom Lord Chatham chose. But neither would this avail, unless he struck the finishing stroke, by dissolving the parliament. Then indeed he would be as perfectly safe as the "sheep

that had given up their dogs !"

It would puzzle the wisest man alive to tell what the King can dowhat can be do, that will still the raging of the sea, or the madness of the people? Do you imagine it is in his power to do any thing which will please all parties? Can be do any thing that will not displease one, is much as it will please the other? Shall be drive his mother out of the land? Will this then please all parties? Nay, will not some be apt to inquire, "How has she deserved it at his hands?" Why, she is an evil counsellor. How does this appear? Who are the witnesses of it? Indeed we have read as grave and formal accounts of the conferences at Carleton-house, as if the relater had stood all the time behind the curtain, and taken down the whole matter in short-hand. But what shadow of proof of all this? No more than of the conferences related in Tristram Shandy

"But she is a bad woman" Who ever said or thought so, even while she was in the flower of her age? From the time that she first set foot in England, was there a more faultless character in the nation? Nay, was not her whole behaviour, as a wife, as a mother, as a mistress, and as a princess, not only blameless, but commendable in the highest degree, till that period of time arrived, when it was judged proper, in order to blacken her (supposed) favourite, to aspense her too? And when she was illud quod dicere nolo! One would think that even the ignobile vulgus, the beasts of the people, the lowest, basest herd, who wore the human form, would be ashamed of either advancing or crediting so senseless, shameless a tale. Indeed I can hardly think it is credited by one in a hundred even of those who foul their mouths with repeating it. Let it die and be forgotten! Let it not be remembered, that ever any Englishman took so dirty a slander into his mouth

"However, become what will of his mother, let him put away his bad ministers." Suppose they really are bad, do you know where he can find better? Where will he find twenty men, we will not say of Christian, but of Roman integrity? Point them out, men of sound judgment, of clear apprehension, of universal benevolence, lovers of mankind, lovers of their country, lovers of their King men attached to no party, but simply pursuing the general good of the nation: not haughty or overbearing: not addicted to passion: not of a revengeful temper: superior to covetousness on the one hand, free from profuseness on the other. I say, show me the men, only this

^{*} This was written before the Princess Dowager went abroad.

small number, or rather, show them to his Majesty. Let clear and satisfactory proof be given, that this is their character. And if these worthy men are not employed in the place of the unworthy ones, you will then have some reason to stretch your throat against evil ministers

"But if the matter were wholly left to him, would not Lordimmediately employ twenty such ?" That may bear some doubt. It is not certain that he would, perhaps he knows not where to find And it is not certain to a demonstration, that he would employ them if he did. It is not altogether clear, that he is such himself, that he perfectly answers this character. Is he free from pride I From any thing haughty in his temper, or overbearing in his behaviour? Is he neither passionate nor revengeful? Is it indisputably plain, that he is equally clear of covetousness on the one hand, and profuseness on the other? Is he steady and uniform in his conduct: always one thing? Is he attached to no party, but determined at all events singly to pursue the general good of the nation? Is he a lover of the King? Is he remarkably grateful to him, from whom he has re-ceived no common favours? If not, though he has a strong understanding, and a large share of manly eloquence, still it may be doubted, whether he and his friends would behave a jot better than the ministers we have already.

And suppose the King were to dissolve the parhament, what hope is there of having a better, even though the nation were as quiet and peaceable as it was ten years ago? Are not the present members, generally speaking, men of the greatest property in the land? And are they not, the greater part of them at least, as honest and wise as their neighbours? How then should we mend ourselves at any time; but especially at such a time as this? If a new parhament were chosen during this epidemic madness, what probability of a better than the present? Have we not all the reason in the would to apprehend it would be much worse? That it would be the parhament of 1641, instead of the parhament of 1640? Why, this is the very thing we want, the very point we are aining at. Then would Junius and his friends quickly say, "Sir King, know your place!" Es et apse lignum "Take your choice! Be King log, or to the block!"

Does it not then appear, upon the whole, that it is by no means in the power of the King, by any step which he can possibly take, to put a stop to the present commotions? That especially he cannot make concessions without making a bad matter worse? That the way he has taken, the standing his ground, was as wise a method as he could take, and as likely to restore the peace of the nation, as any the wit of man could devise? If any is more likely, would it not be, vigorously to execute the laws against incendiaries? Against those who, by spreading all manner of lies, inflame the people even to madness: to teach them, that there is a difference between liberty, which is the glory of Englishmen, and licentiousness, a wanton abuse of liberty, in contempt of all laws, divine and human? Ought they not to feel, if they will not see, that scandalum regis, scandalizing the King.

is as punishable as scandalum magnatum? That for the future none may dare to slander the King, any more than one of his nobles: much less to print and spread that deadly poison among his Majesty's liege subjects. Is not this little less than high treason? Is it not

sowing the seeds of rebellion?

It is possible this might restore peace, but one cannot affirm it would. Perhaps God has a controversy with the land, for the general neglect, may, contempt of all religion. Perhaps he hath said, Shall not my soul be avenged on such a nation as this? And if this be the case, what can avail, unless his anger be turned away from us? Was there ever a time in which there was a louder call for them that fear God to humble themselves before him? If haply general humiliation and repentance may prevent general destruction!

1788.

THOUGHTS UPON LIBERTY.

I scorn to have my free-boin toe Dragoon'd into a wooden shoe.

PRIOR.

1. ALL men in the world desire Liberty, whoever breathes, breathes after this, and that by a kind of natural instinct, antecedent to art or education. Yet at the same time all men of understanding acknowledge it is a rational instinct. For we feel this desire, not in opposition to, but in consequence of our reason. Therefore it is not found, or in a very low degree, in many species of brutes, which seem, even when they are left to their choice, to prefer servitude before liberty.

2. The love of liberty is then the glory of rational beings: and it is the glory of Britons in particular. Perhaps it would be difficult to find any nation under heaven, who are more tenacious of it. Nay, it may be doubted if any nation ever was: not the Spartans, not the Athemans: no, not the Romans themselves, who have been celebrated for this very thing by the poets and historians of all ages.

3. Was it not from this principle, that our British forefathers so violently opposed all foreign invaders? That Julius Casar himself, with his victorious legions, could make so little impression upon them? That the generals of the succeeding emperors sustained so many losses from them? And that, when at length they were overpowered, they rather chose to lose all they had than their liberty, to retire into the Cambiian or Caledonian mountains, where, if they had nothing else, they might at least enjoy their native freedom?

4. Hence arose the vehement struggles of the Cambro-Britons, through so many generations against the yoke, which the Saxons first, and afterwards the English strove to impose upon them. hence the struggles of the English Barons against several of their Kings, lest they should lose the blessing they had received from their forefathers. Yea, the Scottish nobles, as all their histories show, would no more bear to be enslaved than the Romans. All these, therefore, however differing from each other in a thousand other respects, agreed in testifying the desirableness of liberty, as one of the greatest blessings under the sun.

5. Such was the sense of all our ancestors even from the earliest ages. And is it not also the general sense of the nation at this day? Who can deny, that the whole kingdom is panting for liberty? Is not the cry for it gone foith, not only through every part of our vast metropolis, from the west end of the city to the east, from the north to the south, so that instead of no complaining in our streets, there is nothing but complaining: but likewise into every corner of our land, borne by all the four winds of heaven? Liberty! Liberty! sounds through every county, every city, every town, and every

hamlet.

6. Is it not for the sake of this, that the name of our great patriot, (perhaps not so admirable in his private character as the Man of Ross, or so great a lover of his country as Codrus, or old Curtius,) is more celebrated than that of any private man has been in England for these thousand years? That his very picture is so joyfully received, in every part of England and Ireland? That we stamp his, (I had almost said, adored,) name, on our handkerchiefs, on the cheerful howl, yea, and on our vessels of various kinds, as well as upon our hearts? Why is all this, but because of the inseparable connexion between Wilkes and Liberty? Liberty that came down, if not fell from heaven, whom all England, and the world worship?

7. But, meantime, might it not be advisable to consider, (if we are yet at leisure to consider any thing,) what is Liberty? Because it is well known the word is capable of various senses. And possibly it may not be equally desirable in every sense of the word.

8 There are many nations in America, those particularly that border on Georgia and Carolina, wherein if one disapproves of what another says, or perhaps dishkes his looks, he scorns to affront him to his face, neither does he betray the least dissatisfaction. But as soon as opportunity serves, he steps from behind a tree and shoots him And none calls him that does it to an account. No: this is the liberty he derives from his forefathers.

9 For many ages the free natives of Ireland, as well as those of the Scottish Highlands, when it was convenient for them, made an excussion from their woods or fastnesses, and carried off for their own proper use the sheep, and oxen, and corn of their neighbours. This was the *liberty* which the O'Neals, the Campbells, and many other septs and claus of venerable antiquity, had received by imme-

morial tradition from their ancestors.

10 Almost all the soldiers in the Christian world, as well as in the Mahometan and Pagan, have claimed, more especially in time of war, another kind of liberty: that of borrowing the wives and daughters of the men that tell into their hands, sometimes, if they pleaded scruple of conscience or lionour, using a little necessary force Perhaps this may be termed the liberty of war. But I will not positively affirm, that it has never been used in this free country, even in the time of peace.

11. In some countries of Europe, and indeed in England, there have been instances of yet another soit of liberty, that of calling a monarch to account, and, it need were, taking of his head, that is, if he did not behave in a dutiful manner to our sovereign Lords the

people.

12. Now, that we may not always be talking at random, but bring the matter to a determinate point, which of these sorts of liberty do you desire? Is it the first sort? The liberty of knocking on the head, or cutting the throats of those you are out of conceit with? Glorious-liberty indeed! What would not king mob do to be gratified with it but for a few weeks? But, I conceive calm, sensible men do not desire to see them intrusted with it. They apprehend there might be some consequences, which, upon the whole, would not redound to

the prosperity of the nation.

13 Is the second more desnable? The liberty of taking when we see best, the goods and chattels of our neighbours? Undoubtedly, thousands in the good city of London, (suppose we made the experiment here first,) would be above measure rejoiced thereat, would leap as broke from chains. O how convenient would it be to have free access, without any let or hinderance, to the cellars, the pantries, the larders, yea, and the coffers of their rich, overgrown landloids! But perhaps it would not give altogether so much joy to the Loid Mayor or Alderinen: no, not even to those staunch friends of liberty, the Common Councilmen. Not that they regard their own interest at all but, setting themselves out of the question, they are a little in doubt whether this liberty would be for the good of trade.

14. Is it then the third kind of liberty we contend for, the liberty of taking our neighbours' wives, and daughters? Ye pretty gentlemen, ye beaux esprits, will ye not, one and all, give your voices for this natural liberty? Will ye not say, "If we cry out against monopolies of other kinds, shall we tolerate the monopoly of women?" But hold Are there not some among you too, who have wives it not daughters, of your own? And are you altogether willing to oblige the first comer with them? I say, the first comer for observe! As you are to give the liberty you take, so you must not pick and choose your men you know, by nature, all men are on a level, Liberty! Liberty! No restraint! We are free-born Englishmen down with the fences! Lay all the enclosures open! No it will not do. Even nature recoils. We are not yet polished enough for this

15. Are we not ripe, however, for the fourth kind of liberty, that of removing a disobedient King? Would Mr. Wilkes, would Mr.

Vol. 10.--J.

Horne, would any free Briton have any objection to this? Provided only, that as soon as our present monarch is removed, we have a better to put in his place. But who is he? King John? That will not sound well even in the ears of his greatest admirers. And whoever calmly considers the characters and endowments of those other great men, who may think themselves much fitter for the office than his present majesty, will hardly concur in their opinion; so that a difficulty lies in your way. Whatever claim you may have to this liberty, you must not use it yet, because you cannot tell where to

find a better prince.

16. But to speak seriously. These things being set aside, which the bawling mob dignify by that name; what is that liberty, properly so called, which every wise and good man desires? It is either religious or civil. Religious liberty is, a liberty to choose our own religion, to worship God according to our own conscience, according to the best light we have. Every man living, as man, has a right to this, as he is a rational creature. The Creator gave him this right when he endowed him with understanding. And every man must judge for himself, because every man must give an account of himself to God. Consequently this is an indefesible right; it is inseparable from humanity. And God did never give authority to any man, or number of men, to deprive any child of man thereof, under any colour or pretence whatever. What an amazing thing is it then, that the governing part of almost every nation under heaven should have taken upon them in all ages to rob all under their power of this liberty? Yea, should take upon them at this day so to do! To force rational creatures, into their own religion! Would one think it possible, that the most sensible men in the world should say to their fellow creatures, "Either be of my religion or I will take away your food, and you, and your wife, and your children shall starve? If that will not convince you, I will fetter your hands and feet, and throw you into a dungeon. And if still you will not see as I see, I will burn you alive."

17. It would not be altogether so astonishing, if this were the manner of American savages. But what shall we say, if numberless instances of it have occurred, in the politest nations of Europe? Have no instances of the kind been seen in Britain? Have not England and Scotland seen the horrid fires? Have not the flames, burning the flesh of heretics, shone in London as well as in Paris and Lisbon? Have we forgot the days of good queen Mary? No: they will be had in everlasting remembrance. And although burning was out of fashion in queen Elizabeth's days, yet hanging even for religion was not. It is true, her successor did not go quite so far. But did even king James allow liberty of conscience? By no means. During his whole reign, what liberty had the Puritans? What liberty had they in the following reign? If they were not persecuted unto death, (although eventually indeed many of them were, for they died in their imprisonment,) yet were they not continually harassed, by prosecutions in the Bishop's courts or Star-chamber? By fines upon tines, frequently reducing them to the deepest poverty? And by imprisonments for mouths, yea for years together, till many of them, escaping with the skin of their teeth, left their country and friends, fled to seek their bread in the wilds of America? However, we may suppose, all this was at an end under the merry monarch, king Charles II. Was it indeed? Where have they lived who suppose this? To waive a thousand particular instances: what will you say to those two public monuments, the Act of Uniformity, and the Act against Conventicles? In the former it is enacted, to the eternal honour of the King, Lords, and Commons, at that memorable period. "Every parson, vicar, or other minister whatever, who has any benefice within these realms, shall, before the next twenty-fourth of August, openly and publicly declare his unfeigned assent and consent, to all and every thing contained in the book of Common Prayer, or shall ipso facto be deprived of all his benefices! Likewise, if any dean, prebendary, master, fellow, chaplain, or tutor, of any college, hall, house of learning, or hospital, any public professor, any other person in holy orders, any schoolmaster, or teacher, or tutor in any private family, do not subscribe hereto he shall be ipso facto deprived of his place, and shall be utterly disabled from continuing therein."

Property for ever! See how well English property was secured

in those golden days!

So, by this glorious act, thousands of men, guilty of no crime, nothing contrary either to justice, mercy, or truth, were stripped of all they had, of their houses, lands, revenues, and driven to seek where they could, or beg their bread. For what? Because they did not dare to worship God according to other men's consciences! So they and their families were at one stroke turned out of house and home, and reduced to little less than beggary, for no other fault, real or pretended; but because they could not assent and consent to that manner of worship, which their worthy governors prescribed!

But this was not all. It was further enacted by the same merciful lawgivers, "If any person act as a teacher, tutor, or schoolmaster, in any private family, before he has subscribed hereto, he shall suffer three months imprisonment, without bail or mainprize."

Liberty for ever! Here is security for your person, as well as

your property !

By virtue of the Act against Conventicles, if any continued to worship God according to their own consciences, they were first robbed of their substance, and, if they persisted, of their liberty; often of their lives also. For this crime, under this our most religious and gracious King! (What were they who publicly told God he was such!) Englishmen were not only spoiled of their goods, but denied even the use of the free air, yea and the light of the sun, being thrust by hundreds into dark and loathsome prisons!

18. Were matters much better in the neighbouring kingdom? Nay, they were inexpressibly worse. Unheard of cruelties were

practised there, from soon after the restoration till the revolution. What fining, plundering, beating, maining, imprisoning, with the most shocking circumstances? For a specimen, look at Dunotter castled where young and old, of both sexes, (sick or well, it was all one,) were thrust together between bare walls, and that in the heat of summer, without a possibility of either lying or sitting, yea without any convenience of any kind, till many of them, through hunger, thust, heat, and stench, were set at liberty by death! Considering this, considering how many others were hunted over their native mountains, and shot whenever they were overtaken, with no more ceremony than beasts considering the drowning, hanging, cutting off of limbs, and various arts of torturing, which were practised by order of king Charles, and often in the presence of king James, who seemed to enjoy such spectacles, it would be no wonder if the very name of an Englishman was had in abomination from the Tweed to the Orkneys.

19 But is this the case at present with us? Are we abridged of our religious liberty? His late Majesty was desired, about thirty years ago, to take a step of this kind. But his answer was worthy of a king, yea the king of a fice people, "I tell you, while I sit on the English throne, no man shall be persecuted for conscience' sake." And it is certain, he made his promise good from the beginning of his reign to the end But perhaps the case is altered now. Does his present majesty tread in his steps? He does, he persecutes no man for conscience' sake If he does, where is the man? I do not ask, whom has he committed to the flames, or caused to die by the common hangman; or whom has he caused to die many deaths, by hunger and thirst, cold and nakedness; but whom has he tortured or thrust into a dungeon, yea, or imprisoned at all, or fined, for worshipping God according to his own conscience, in the Presbyterian, or any other way? O compare king Charles, gracious Charles II with king George. And you will know the value of the liberty you enjoy

20. In the name of wonder, what religious liberty can you desire. or even conceive, which you have not already? Where is there a nation in Europe, in the habitable world, which enjoys such liberty of conscience as the English ? I will be bold to say, there is nothing like it in Holland, in Germany, (Protestant or Popish,) in either the Protestant of Popish cantons of Switzerland, no, nor in any country under the sun. Have we not in England, full liberty to choose any religion, yea, or no religion at all ? To have no more religion than a Hottentot, shall I say? Nay, no more than a bull or a swine? Whoever, therefore, in England stretches his throat, and bawls for more religious liberty, must be totally void of shame, and can have no

excuse but want of understanding.

21 But is not the ground of this vehement outcry, that we are deprived of our civil liberty? What is civil liberty? A liberty to enjoy

^{&#}x27; See Wodrow's History of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland-

our lives and fortunes in our own way. to use our property, whatever is legally our own, according to our own choice. And can you affirm, "That we are robbed of this liberty?" Who are? Certainly I am not I pray, do not face me down that I am. Do not argue me out of my senses If the Great Turk, or the King of France, wills that a man should die, with or without cause, die he must. And instances of the kind continually occur but no such instances occur in England. I am in no more danger of death from King George, than from the Queen of Hungary. And if I study to be quiet and mind my own business, I am in no more danger of losing my liberty than my life. No, nor my property; I mean by any act of the King If this is in any degree invaded, it is not by the King, or his parliament, or army, but by the good patriots

Ilark! Is IIell or Bedlam broken lose? What roaring is that, loud as the waves of the sea? "It is the patriot mob." What do they want with me? Why do they flock about my house? "Make haste! Illuminate your windows, in honour of Mr. Wilkes." I cannot in conscience, I think it is encouraging vice. "Then they will all be broken? That is, in plain English, give them twenty shillings, or they will rob you of five pounds. Here are champions for the laws of the land! For liberty and property! O vile horse guards?

"That dared, so grim and terrible to' advance Their miscreated fronts athwart the way !"

True, they did nothing, and said nothing. Yet (in default of the civil powers, who did not concern themselves with the matter,) they him-

dered the mob from finishing their work

22 Why then these men, instead of any way abridging it, plainly preserved my liberty and property. And by their benefit, not the care of those to whom it properly belonged, I still enjoy full, civil liberty. I am free to live in every respect, according to my own choice. My life, my person, my property, are safe. I am not murdered, maimed, tortured, at any man's pleasure I am not thrown into prison. I am not manacled: see, I have not one fetter, either on my hands on feet. And are not you as free as I am? Are you not at liberty to enjoy the fruit of your labours? Who hinders you from doing it? Does King George? Does Lord North? Do any of his Majesty's officers or soldiers ? No, nor any man living Perhaps some would hinder you, if you acted contrary to law but this is not liberty; it is licentiousness. Deny the fact who can: ain not I free to use my substance according to my own discretion? do not you enjoy the self-same freedom? You cannot, you dare not deny it. At this hour I am at full liberty, to use my property as I please. And so are you: you do, in fact, use your house, your goods. your land, as is right in your own eyes. Does any one take them from you? No; nor does any one restrain you from the full enjoyment of them. What then is the matter? What is it you are making all this pother about? Why are you thus wringing your hands, and screaming, to the terror of your quiet neighbours, "Destruction! Slavery! Bondage! Help, countrymen! Our liberty is destroyed!

We are runed, chained, fettered, undone!" Fettered! How? Where are the fetters, but in your own imagination? There are none, either on your hands, or mine. Neither you nor! can show to any man in his senses, that we have one chain upon us, even so

big as a knitting-needle.

23. I do not say, that the ministry are without fault; or that they have done all things well. But still I ask, What is the liberty which we want? It is not civil or religious liberty. These we have in such a degree as was never known before, not from the times of William the Conqueror. But all this is nothing: this will never satisfy the belua multorum capitum. That many-headed beast, the people, roars for liberty of another kind. Many want Indian liberty, the liberty of cutting throats, or of driving a brace of balls through the head of those ugly-looking fellows, whom they cannot abide the sight of. Many more want the old Highland liberty, the convenient liberty of plundering. Many others there are, who want the liberty of war, of borrowing their neighbours' wives or daughters. And not a few (though they do not always avow it) the liberty of murdering them prince.

24. If you are a reasonable man, a man of real honour, and consequently want none of these, I beg to know, What would you have? Consider the thing calmly. What liberty can you reasonably desire, which you do not already enjoy? What is the matter with you; and with multitudes of the good people, both in England and Ireland? That they are crying and groaning as if they were chained to an oar, or baired up in the dungcons of the Inquisition! The plain, melancholy truth is this: there is a general infatuation, which spreads, like an overflowing stream, from one end of the land to the other. And a man must have great wisdom and strength, or he will be carried away by the torrent. But how can we account for this epidemic madness? For it deserves no better name. We must not dare to give the least intimation, that the Devil has any thing to do with it. No, this enlightened age is too wise to believe that there is any Devil in being. Satan, avaunt! We have driven the back into the land of shadows, keep thou among thy own kindred

"With hydras, gorgons, and chimeras dire"

Suppose it then to be a purely natural phenomenon. I ask again, how can we account for it? I apprehend, if we could divest ourselves of prejudice, it might be done very easily, and that without concerning ourselves with the hidden springs of action, the motives or intentions of men. Letting these alone, is there not a visible, undemable cause, which is quite adequate to the effect? The good people of England have for some years past, been continually fed with poison. Dose after dose has been administered to them, for fear the first, or second, or tenth, should not suffice, of a poison whose natural effect is, to drive men out of their senses. "Is the centaur not fabu-

^{*} If the famous Middleser election was an exception to this; Jet observe, one swalten makes no summer.

lous?" Neither is Curce's cup. See how, in every county, city, and village, it is now turning quiet, reasonable men, into wild bulls, bears, and tigers! But to lay metaphor aside, how long have the public papers represented one of the best of princes as if he had been one of the worst, as little better than Caligula, Nero, or Domitian 1 These were followed by pamphlets of the same kind, and aiming at the same point, to make the King appear odious as well as contemptible, in the eyes of his subjects. Letters succeed, written in fine language, and with exquisite art but filled with the gall of bitterness. "Yes, but not against the King, Junius does not strike at him, but at the cyil administration." Thin pretence! Does not every one see the blow is aimed at the King through the sides of his ministers? All these are conveyed, week after week, through all London and all the nation Can any man wonder at the effect of this? What can be more natural What can be expected, but that they who drink in these papers and letters with all greediness, will be thoroughly embittered and inflamed thereby Will first despise and then abhor the King? What can we expect, but that by the repeated doses of this poison they will be perfectly intoxicated, and only wait for a convenient season to tear in pieces the Royal Monster (as they think hun) and all his adherents?

25. At present there are hinderanees in the way, so that they cannot use their teeth as they would One is, an untoward Parliament, who will not look upon the King with the same eye that they do, but still think he has no more design or desire to enslave the nation, than to burn the city of London. A still greater hinderance is the army even lions and bears do not choose to encounter them, so that these men of war do really at this time preserve the peace of the nation. What then can be done before the people cool, that this precious opportunity be not lost? What, indeed, but to prevail upon the King to dissolve his Parliament and disband his army? Nay, let the Parliament stay as it is; it will suffice to disband the army. If these red coats were but out of the way, the mob would soon deal with the Parliament. Probatum est. nothing is more easy than to keep malignant members from the house Remember Lord North not long ago: * this was a taste, a specimen of their activity What then would they not do if they were masters of the field, if none were left to oppose them? Would not the avenues of both houses be so well guarded, that none but patriots would dare to approach?

26. But (often as you have heard the contrary affirmed) king George has too much understanding, to throw himself into the hands of those men who have given full proof that they bear him no great good will Nor has he reason to believe that they are much more fond of his office than of his person. They are not vehemently fond of monarchy itself whoever the monarch be. Therefore, neither their good nor ill words will induce him, in haste, to leap into the fire with his eyes open.

^{*} Rudely insulted by a turbulent mob, as he was going into the House.

27. But can any thing be done to open the eyes, to restore the senses, of an infatuated nation? Not unless the still-renewed, still operating cause of that infatuation can be removed. But how is it possible to be removed, unless by restraining the licentiousness of the press? And is not this remedy worse than the disease? Let us weigh this matter a little. There was an ancient law in Scotland, which made leasing-making a capital crime. By leasing-making was meant, telling such wilfut hes, as tended to breed dissension between the king and his subjects What pity but there should be such a law enacted in the present session of Parliament? By our present laws a man is punishable for publishing even truth, to the detriment of his neighbour. This I would not wish. But should he not be punished, who publishes palpable hes? And such hes as manifestly tend to breed dissensions between the king and his subjects? Such, with a thousand more, was that barefaced he of the king's bursting out into laughter before the city magistrates! Now does not the publisher of this he deserve to lose his ears more than a common knight of the post? And if he is hable to no punishment for a crime of so mischievous a nature, what a guevous defect is in our law! And how loud does it call for a remedy!

28. To return to the point whence we set out. You see whence alose this outery for liberty, and these dismal complaints, that we are robbed of our liberty, echoing through the land. It is plain to every unprejudiced man, they have not the least foundation. We enjoy at this day throughout these kingdoms such liberty, civil and religious, as no other kingdom or commonwealth in Europe, or in the world, enjoys, and such as our ancestors never enjoyed from the conquest to the revolution. Let us be thankful for it to God and the King! Let us not by our vile unthankfulness, yea, our demal that we enjoy it at all, provoke the King of kings to take it away. By one stroke, by taking to himself that prince whom we know not how to value, He might change the scene, and put an end to our civil as well as religious liberty. Then would be seen who were patriots, and who were not: who were real lovers of liberty, and their country. The God of love remove that day far from us! Deal not with us according to our describes, but let us know, at

least in this our day, the things which make for our peace!

February 24, 1772

THOUGHTS

CONCERNING

THE ORIGIN OF POWER.

1. BY Power, I here mean, supreme power, the power over hie and death, and consequently over our liberty and property, and all things of an inferior nature.

2 In many nations this power has, in all ages, been lodged in a single person. This has been the case in almost the whole eastern world, from the earliest antiquity: as in the celebrated empires of Assyria, of Babylon, of Media, Persia, and many others. And so it remains to this day, from Constantinople to the faithest India. The same form of government obtained very early, in very many parts of Afric, and remains in most of them still, as well as in the empires of Morocco and Abyssinia. The first adventurers to America found absolute monarchy established there also the whole power being lodged in the Emperor of Mexico, and the Yneas of Peru. Nay, and many of the ancient nations of Europe were governed by single persons, as Spain, France, the Russias, and several other nations are at this day.

3. But in others, the power has been lodged in a few, chiefly the rich and noble. This kind of government, usually styled aristocracy obtained in Greece, and in Rome, after many struggles with the people, during the later ages of the republic. And this is the government which, at present, subsists in various parts of Europe. In Venice indeed, as well as in Genoa, the supreme power is nominally lodged in one, namely the Doge but in fact, he is only a royal

shade: it is really lodged in a few of the nobles

4 Where the people have the supreme power, it is termed a democracy. This seems to have been the ancient form of government in several of the Grecian states. And so it was at Rome, for some age, after the expulsion of the kings. From the earliest authentic records, there is reason to believe it was for espousing the cause of the people, and defending their rights against the illegal encroachments of the nobles, that Marcus Coriolanus was driven into banishment, and Manlius Capitolinus, as well as Tiberius and Caius Gracchus, were murdered. Perhaps formerly the popular government subsisted in several states. But it is scarcely now to be found, being every where swallowed up either in monarchy or aristocracy.

5. But the grand question is, not in whom this power is lodged, but from whom it is ultimately derived. What is the origin of power?

What is its primary source? This has been long a subject of debate. And it has been debated with the utmost warmth, by a variety of disputants. But as earnest as they have been on each side of the question, they have seldom come to any good conclusion: but have left the point undecided still, to be a ball of contention to the next generation.

6. But is it impossible, in the nature of things, to throw any light on this obscure subject? Let us make the experiment: let us (without pretending to dictate, but desiring every one to use his own judgment) try to find out some ground whereon to stand, and go as far as we can toward answering the question. And let not any man be angry on the account, suppose we should not exactly agree. Let every one enjoy his own opinion, and give others the same liberty.

7. Now I cannot but acknowledge, I believe an old hook, commonly called the Bible, to be true. Therefore I believe, there is no power but from God, Rom. xiii. 1. The powers that be, are ordained of God. There is no subordinate power in any nation, but what is derived from the supreme power therein. So in England the king, in the United Provinces the States are the fountain of all power. And there is no supreme power, no power of the sword, of life and death, but what is derived from God, the Sovereign of all.

8. But have not the people, in every age and nation, the right of disposing of this power? Of investing therewith whom they please, either one or more persons; and that in what proportion they see good, and upon what conditions? Consequently, if those conditions are not observed, have they not a right to take away the power they gave? And does not this imply, that they are the judges whether those conditions are observed or not? Otherwise, if the receivers were judges of their own cause, this right would fall into nothing.

were judges of their own cause, this right would fall into nothing.

9. To prove this, that the people, in every country are the source of power, it is argued thus: "All men living upon earth are naturally equal: none is above another. And all are naturally free, masters of their own actions. It manifestly follows, no man can have any power over another, unless by his own consent. The power therefore which the governors in any nation enjoy, must be originally derived from the people, and presupposes an original compact between them and their first governors."

10. This seems to be the opinion which is now generally espoused by men of understanding and education: and that (if I do not mistake) not in England alone, but almost in every civilized nation. And it is usually espoused with the fullest and strongest persuasion, as a truth little less than self-evident; as what is clear beyond all possibility of doubt, what commands the assent of all reasonable men. Hence if any man affected to deny it, he would in most companies be rather hooted at than argued with: it being so absurd to oppose what is confirmed by the general suffrage of mankind.

11. But still (suppose it to need no proof) it may need a little explaining. For every one does not understand the term. Some will ask, Who are the people? Are they every man, woman, and child?

Why not? Is it not allowed, is it not affirmed, is it not our fundamental principle, our incontestible, self-evident axiom, that "all persons living upon earth are naturally equal? That all human creatures are naturally free? Masters of their own actions? That none can have any power over others, but by their own consent? Why then should not every man, woman, and child, have a voice in placing their governors? In fixing the measure of power to be intrusted with them, and the conditions on which it is intrusted? And why should not every one have a voice in displacing them too? Seeing it is undeniable, they that gave the power have a right to take it away. Do not quibble or shuffle. Do not evade the question. but come close to the point. I ask, by what argument do you prove, that women are not naturally as free as men? And if they are, why have they not as good a right as we have to choose their own governors? Who can have any power over free, rational creatures, but by their own consent? And are they not free by nature as well as we? Are they not rational creatures?

12. But suppose we exclude women from using their natural right, by might overcoming right, by main strength; (for it is sure, that we are stronger than they: I mean that we have stronger limbs, if we have not stronger reason) what pretence have we for excluding men like ourselves, yea, thousands and tens of thousands, barely because they have not lived one and twenty years? "Why, they have not wisdom or experience to judge concerning the qualifications necessary for governors?" I answer, 1 Who has? How many of the voters in Great Britain? One in twenty? One in a hundred? If you exclude all who have not this wisdom, you will leave few behind. But, 2. Wisdom and experience are nothing to the purpose. You have put the matter upon another issue. Are they men? That Are they human creatures? Then they have a right to is enough choose their own governors: an indefesible right: a right inherent, inseparable from human nature. "But in England, at least, they are excluded by law." But did they consent to the making of that law? If not, by your original supposition, it can have no power over them. I therefore utterly deny, that we can, consistently with that supposition, debar either women or minois from choosing their own governois.

13. But suppose we exclude these by main force, (which it is certain we are able to do, since though they have most votes they have least strength) are all that remain, all men of full age, the people? Are all males then, that have lived one and twenty years, allowed to choose their own governors? "Not at all: not in England, unless they are freeholders, unless they have forty shillings a year." Worse and worse. After depriving half of the human species of their natual right for want of a beaid; after depriving myriads more for want of a stiff beard, for not having lived one and twenty years: you rob others (probably some hundreds of thousands) of their birth-night for want of money! Yet not altogether on this account neither. if so, it might be more tolerable But here is an Englishman, who has money enough to buy the estates of fifty freeholders, and yet he must

not be numbered among the people, because he has not two or three acres of land! How is this? By what right do you exclude a man from being one of the people, because he has not forty shillings a year? Yea, or not a groat? Is he not a man whether he be rich or poor? Has he not a soul and a body? Has he not the nature of a man? Consequently all the rights of a man, all that flow from human nature? And among the rest, that of not being controlled by any, but by his own consent?

14. "But he is excluded by law" By what law? By a law of his own making? Did he consent to the making of it? Before this law was passed, was his consent either obtained or asked? If not, what is that law to him? No man, you aver, has any power over another, but by his own consent. Of consequence, a law made without his consent, is with regard to him null and void. You cannot say otherwise, without destroying the supposition, that none can be governed but by his own consent.

15. See now, to what your argument comes. You affirm, all power is derived from the people—and presently exclude one half of the people, from having any part or lot in the matter. At another stroke, suppose England to contain eight millions of people, you exclude one or two millions more. At a third, suppose two millions left, you exclude three-fourths of these. And the poor pittance that remains, by I know not what figure of speech, you call, The people

of England !

16. Hitherto we have endeavoured to view this point in the mere light of reason. And even by this means it manifestly appears, that this supposition, which is so high in vogue, which is so generally received, may, which has been palmed upon us with such confidence, as undemable and self-evident, is not only false, not only contrary to reason, but contradictory to itself: the very men who are most positive, that the people are the source of power, being brought into an inextricable difficulty, by that single question, "Who are the people?" Reduced to a necessity of either giving up the point, or owning that

by the people they mean scarcely a tenth part of them.

17. But we need not test the matter entirely on reasoning, let us appeal to matter of fact. And because we cannot have so clear and certain a prospect of what is at too great a distance, whether of time or place, let us only take a view of what has been in our own country, for six or seven hundred years. I ask then, when and where did the people of England, (even suppose by that word, the people, you mean only a hundred thousand of them,) choose their own governors? Did they choose, to go no faither, William the Conqueror? Did they choose King Stephen or King John? As to those who regularly succeeded then fathers, it is plain, the people are out of the question. Did they choose Henry IV? Edward IV or Henry VII? Who will be so hardy as to affirm it? Did the people of England, or but fifty thousand of them, choose Queen Mary, or Queen Elizabeth? To come nearer to our own times, did they choose King James I? Perhaps you will say, "But if the peo-

ple did not give King Chailes the supreme power, at least they took it away from him. Surely you will not deny this "Indeed I will: I deny it utterly. The people of England no more took away his power, than they cut off his head "Yes, the parliament did, and they are the people." No the parliament did not The lower house, the House of Commons, is not the parliament, any more than it is the nation. Neither were those who then sat, the House of Commons: no, nor one-quarter of them. But suppose they had been the whole House of Commons, yea, or the whole parliament; by what rule of logic will you prove, that seven or eight hundred persons are the people of England? "Why, they are the delegates of the people, they are chosen by them." No, not by one-half, not by a quarter, not by a tenth part of them. So that the people, in the only proper sense of the word, were innocent of the whole affair.

18 "But you will allow, the people gave the supreme power to King Charles II. at the restoration." I will allow no such thing; unless by the people you mean General Monck and fifteen thousand soldiers. "However, you will not deny, that the people gave the power to King William at the revolution." Nay, truly, I must deny I cannot possibly allow it. Although I will not say, that William III obtained the royal power as William I did although he did not claim it by right of conquest, which would have been an odious title. yet certain it is, that he did not receive it by any act or deed of the people. Their consent was neither obtained nor asked they were never consulted in the matter. It was not therefore the people that gave him the power: no, nor even the parliament was the convention, and none else. "Who were the convention?" They were a few hundreds of lords and gentlemen, who, observing the desperate state of public affairs, met together on that important occasion. So that still we have no single instance in above seven hundred years, of the people of England's conveying the supreme power, either to one or more persons

19 Indeed I remember in all lustory, both ancient and modern, but one instance of supreme power conferred by the people, if we mean thereby, though not all the people, yet a great majority of them. This celebrated instance occurred at Naples, in the middle of the last century; where the people, properly speaking, that is, men, women, and children, claimed and exerted then natural right in favour of Thomas Aniello, (vulgarly called Masanello,) a young fisherman. But will any one say, he was the only governor for these thousand years, who has had a proper right to the supreme power? I believe not nor, I apprehend, does any one desire, that the people

should take the same steps in London

20. So much both for reason and matter of fact. But one single consideration, if we dwell a little upon it, will bring the question to a short issue. It is allowed no man can dispose of another's life but by his own consent. I add, no, nor with his consent. For no man has a right to dispose of his own life. The Creator of man has the

Vol. 10.-M

sole right to take the life which he gave. Now it is an indisputable truth, Nihil dat quod non habet: none gives what he has not. It plainly follows, that no man can give to another a right which he never had himself: a right which only the Governor of the world has; even the wiser Heathens being judges: but which no man upon the face of the earth either has or can have. No man therefore can give the power of the sword, any such power as implies a right to take away life. Wherever it is, it must descend from God alone, the sole Disposer of life and death.

21. The supposition then, that the people are the origin of power, is every way indefensible. It is absolutely overturned by the very principle on which it is supposed to stand, namely, that a right of choosing his governors belongs to every partaker of human nature. If this be so, then it belongs to every individual of the human species: consequently, not to freeholders alone, but to all men; not to men only, but to women also: nor only to adult men and women, to those who have lived one and twenty years, but to those who have lived eighteen or twenty, as well as those who have lived three-score. But none did ever maintain this, nor probably ever will. Therefore this boasted principle falls to the ground, and the whole superstructure with it. So common sense brings us back to the grand truth, There is no power but of God.

A CALM ADDRESS

To

OUR AMERICAN COLONIES.

Ne, pueri, ne tanta animis assuescite bella, Neu patria validas in viscera verlite vires.

VIEGIL.

BRETHREN AND COUNTRYMEN,

1. THE grand Question which is now debated, (and with warmthenough on both sides,) is this, Has the English Parliament power to

tax the American Colonies?

In order to determine this, let us consider the nature of our Colonies. An English Colony is, a number of persons to whom the King grants a charter, permitting them to settle in some far country as a corporation, enjoying such powers as the charter grants, to be administered in such a manner as the charter prescribes. As a corporation they make laws for themselves: but as a corporation sub-

sisting by a grant from higher authority, to the control of that authority they still continue subject

Considering this, nothing can be more plain, than that the supreme power in England has a legal right of laying any tax upon them for

any end beneficial to the whole empire.

2. But you object, "It is the privilege of a freeman and an Englishman to be taxed only by his own consent. And this consent is given for every man by his representative in Parliament. But we have no representation in Parliament. Therefore we ought not to

be taxed thereby."

I answer, This argument proves too much If the Pailiament cannot tax you, because you have no representation therein, for the same reason it can make no laws to bind you. If a freeman cannot be taxed without his own consent, neither can he be punished without it: for whatever holds with regard to taxation, holds with regard to all other laws. Therefore he who denies the English Parliament the power of taxation, denies it the right of making any laws at all. But this power over the Colonies you have never disputed you have always admitted statutes, for the punishment of offences, and for the preventing or redressing of inconveniences. And the reception of any law draws after it by a chain which cannot be broken, the necessity of admitting taxation.

3. But I object to the very foundation of your plea. That "every freeman is governed by laws to which he has consented," as confidently as it has been asserted, it is absolutely false. In wide-extended dominions, a very small part of the people are concerned in making laws. This, as all public business, must be done by delegation, the delegates are chosen by a select number. And those that are not electors, who are far the greater part, stand by, idle and

helpless spectators

The case of electors is little better. When they are near equally divided, almost half of them must be governed, not only without,

but even against their own consent.

And how has any man consented to those laws, which were made before he was born? Our consent to these, nay, and to the laws now made even in England, is purely passive. And in every place, as all men are born the subjects of some state or other, so they are born, passively, as it were, consenting to the laws of that state. Any other than this kind of consent, the condition of civil life does not allow.

4. But you say, You "are entitled to life, liberty, and property by nature, and that you have never ceded to any sovereign power,

the right to dispose of these without your consent."

While you speak as the naked sons of nature, this is certainly true But you presently declare, "Our ancestors at the time they settled these Colomes, were entitled to all the rights of natural-born subjects; within the realm of England." This likewise is true, but when this is granted, the boast of original rights is at an end. You are no longer in a state of nature, but sink down to Colonists, governed by

a charter If your ancestors were subjects, they acknowledged a Sovereign: if they had a right to English privileges, they were accountable to English laws, and had ceded to the King and Parliament, the power of disposing without their consent, of both their lives, liberties, and properties. And did the Parliament cede to them, a dispensation from the obedience which they owe as natural subjects? Or any degree of independence, not enjoyed by other Englishmen?"

5 "They did not," indeed, as you observe, "by emigration forfeit any of those privileges: but they were, and their descendants now are entitled to all such as their circumstances enable them to enjoy."

That they who form a Colony by a lawful charter, for feit no privilege thereby, is certain. But what they do not for feit by any judicial sentence, they may lose by natural effects. When a man voluntarily comes into America, he may lose what he had when in Europe. Perhaps he had a right to vote for a knight or burgess: by crossing the sea he did not for feit this right. But it is plain he has made the exercise of it no longer possible. He has reduced himself from a voter to one of the innumerable multitude that have no votes.

6 But you say, "As the Colonics are not represented in the British Pailiament, they are entitled to a free power of legislation. For they inherit all the right which their ancestors had of enjoying

all the privileges of Englishmen."

They do inherit all the privileges which their ancestors had: but they can inherit no more. Their ancestors left a country where the representatives of the people were elected by men particularly qualified, and where those who wanted that qualification were bound by the decisions of men whom they had not deputed. You are the descendants of men who either had no rotes, or resigned them by emigration. You have therefore exactly what your ancestors left you not a vote in making laws, nor in choosing legislators, but the happiness of being protected by laws, and the duty of obeying them.

What your ancestors did not bring with them, neither they nor their descendants have acquired. They have not, by abandoning their right in one legislature, acquired a right to constitute another, any more than the multitudes in England who have no vote, have a

right to erect a Parliament for themselves.

7. However the "Colonics have a right to all the privileges granted them by royal charters, or secured to them by provincial laws"

The first clause is allowed, they have certainly a right to all the privileges granted them by royal charters. But as to the second there is a doubt: provincial laws may grant privileges to individuals of the province. But surely no province can confer provincial privileges on itself! They have a right to all which the King has given them; but not to all which they have given themselves.

A corporation can no more assume to itself privileges which it had not before, than a man can, by his own act and deed, assume titles or dignities. The legislature of a colony may be compared to the vestry of a large parish, which may lay a cess on its inhabitants, but

still regulated by the law: and which, whatever be its internal ex-

penses, is still liable to taxes laid by superior authority.

The charter of Pennsylvania has a clause admitting, in express terms, taxation by parliament. If such a clause be not inserted in other charters, it must be omitted as not necessary because it is manifestly implied in the very nature of subordinate government. all countries which are subject to laws, being hable to taxes

It is true, the first settlers in Massachusetts-Bay were promised an exemption from taxes for seven years. But does not this very ex-

emption imply, that they were to pay them afterwards?

If there is in the charter of any Colony a clause exempting them from taxes for ever, then undoubtedly they have a right to be so exempted. But if there is no such clause, then the English Parliament has the same right to tax them as to tax any other English subjects.

3. All that impartially consider what has been observed, must readily allow, that the English Parliament has undoubted right to tax all

the English Colonics.

But whence then is all this hurry and tumult? Why is America all in an uprom? If you can yet give yourselves time to think, you

will see, the plain case is this.

A few years ago, you were assaulted by encours, whom you were not well able to resist. You represented this to your mother-country, and desired her assistance. You were largely assisted, and by that means wholly delivered from all your enemies.

After a time, your mother-country desning to be reunbursed for some part of the large expense she had been at, laid a small tax, (which she had always a right to do,) on one of her Colonies.

But how is it possible, that the taking this reasonable and legal

step, should have set all America in a flame?

I will tell you my opinion freely, and perhaps you will not think it improbable. I speak the interferely, because I am unbiassed I have nothing to hope or fear from either side. I gain nothing either by the Government or by the Americans, and probably never shall. And I have no prejudice to any man in America. I love you

as my brethien and countivnien

9. My opinion is this. We have a few men in England, who are determined enemies to monarchy. Whether they hate his present Majesty on any other ground, than because he is a King, I know not. But they cordially hate his office, and have for some years been undermining it with all diligence, in hopes of electing their grand idol, their dear commonwealth, upon its ruins. I believe they have let very few into their design. (although many forward it, without knowing any thing of the matter.) but they are steadily pursuing it, as by various other means, so in particular by inflammatory papers, which are industriously and continually dispersed, throughout the town and country by this method they have already wrought thousands of the people, even to the pitch of madness. By the same, only varied according to your circumstances, they have likewise in-

flamed America. I make no doubt, but these very men are the original cause of the present breach between England and her Colomics. And they are still pouring oil into the flame, studiously incensing each against the other, and opposing under a variety of pretences, all measures of accommodation. So that although the Americans, in general, love the English, and the English, in general, love the Americans, (all I mean that are not yet cheated and exasperated by these artful men.) yet the rupture is growing wider every day, and none can tell where it will end.

These good men hope it will end in the total defection of North America from England If this were effected, they trust the English, in general, would be so irreconcilably disgusted, that they should be able, with or without foreign assistance, entirely to overturn the government especially while the main of both the English and Irish

forces, are at so convenient a distance

10. But, my brethen, would this be any advantage to you? Can you hope for a more desirable form of government either in England or America, than that which you now enjoy? After all the vehement cry for liberty, what more liberty can you have? What more religious liberty can you desire, than that which you enjoy already? May not every one among you worship God according to his own conscience? What civil liberty can you desire, which you are not already possessed of? Do not you sit without restraint, every man under his own vine? Do you not, every one, high or low, enjoy the fruit of your labour 1 This is real, rational liberty, such as is enjoyed by Englishmen alone: and not by any other people in the habitable world Would the being independent of England make you more free? Far, very far from it. It would hardly be possible for you to steer clear, between anarchy and tyranny. But suppose after numberless dangers and mischiefs, you should settle into one or more republics would a republican government give you more liberty, either religious or civil? By no means. No governments under heaven are so despote as the republican: no subjects are governed in so arbitrarya manner, as those of a commonwealth. If any one doubt of this, let him look at the subjects of Venice, of Genon, or even of Holland Should any man talk or write of the Dutch government as every cobbler does of the English, he would be laid in irons, before he knew where he was. And then wo be to him! Republics show no mercy.

11. "But if we submit to one tax, more will follow." Perhapso, and perhaps not. But if they did, if you were taxed (which is quite improbable) equal with Ireland or Scotland, still were you to prevent this by renouncing connexion with England, the remedy would be worse than the disease. For, O what convulsions must poor America feel, before any other government was settled? Innumerable mischiefs must ensue, before any general form could be established. And the grand imschief would ensue when it was established, when you had received a yoke which you could not

shake off.

12. Brethren, open your eyes! Come to yourselves! Be no longer the dupes of designing men. I do not mean any of your countrymen in America: I doubt whether any of these are in the secret. The designing men, the Ahithophels are in England, those who have laid their scheme so deep, and covered it so well, that thousands who are ripening it, suspect nothing at all of the matter. These well-meaning men, sincerely believing that they are serving their country, exclaim against grievances, which either never existed, or are aggravated above measure, and thereby inflame the people more and more, to the wish of those who are behind the scene. But be not you duped any longer, do not ruin yourselves for them that owe you no good-will, that now employ you only for their own purposes, and in the end will give you no thanks. They love neither England nor America, but play one against the other, in subserviency to their grand design of overturning the English government. Be warned in time. Stand and consider before it is too late, before you have entailed confusion and misery on your latest posterity Have pity upon your mother country! Have pity upon your own! Have pity upon yourselves, upon your children, and upon all that are near and dear to you! Let us not bite and devour one another, lest we be consumed one of another! O let us follow after peace! Let us put away our sins, the real ground of all our calamities! Which never will or can be thoroughly removed, till we fear God and bonout the King.

A Sermon preached by Dr Smith, in Philadelphia, has been lately repunted in England. It has been much admired, but proceeds all along upon wrong suppositions. These are confuted in the preceding Tract yet I would just touch upon them again

Dr. Smith supposes, 1. They have a right of granting their own money, that is, of being exempt from taxation by the supreme power. If they "contend for" this, they contend for neither more nor less than independency. Why then do they talk of their "rightful Sovereign"

They acknowledge no sovereign at all.

That they contend for "the cause of liberty" is another mistaken supposition. What liberty do you want, either civil or religious? You had the very same liberty we have in England. I say, you had but you have now thrown away the substance, and retain only the shadow. You have no liberty, civil or religious now, but what

the Congress pleases to allow.

But you justly suppose, "We are by a plain original contract entitled to a community of privileges, with our brothen that reside in England, in every civil and religious respect." p. 19. Most true. And till you appointed your new sovereigns, you enjoyed all those privileges. Indeed you had no vote for members of Parliament, neither have I, because I have no freehold in England. Yet the being taxed by the Parliament is no infringement either of my civil or religious liberty.

But you say again, "no power on earth has a light to grant our

property without our consent," p. 22.

Then you have no sovereign. for every sovereign under heaven has a right to tax his subjects: that is, "to grant their property, with or without their consent." Our sovereign* has a right to tax me, and all other Englishmen, whether we have votes for Parliament-men or not.

Vamily, therefore, do you complain of "unconstitutional exactions, violated rights, and mutilated charters," p. 24 Nothing is exacted, but according to the original constitution both of England and her Colonies. Your rights are no more violated than mine, when we are both taxed by the supreme power, and your charters are no more mutilated by this, than is the charter of the city of London

Vainly do you complain of being "made slaves" Am 1, or two millions of Englishmen made slaves, because we are taxed without

our own consent?

You may still "rejoice in the common rights of freemen." I rejoice in all the rights of my ancestors And every right which I

enjoy, is common to Englishmen and Americans.

But shall we "surrender any part of the privileges which we enjoy, by the express terms of our colonization?" that is, of our charter? By no means: and none requires it of you. None desires to withhold any thing that is granted by the express terms of your charters. But remember? One of your first charters, that of Massachusetts Bay, says in express terms, you are exempt from paying taxes to the king, for seven years plantly implying, that after those seven years you are to pay them like other subjects. And remember your last charter, that of Pennsylvania, says, in express terms, you are liable to taxation.

But "a people will resume," you say, "the power, which they never surrendered, except"—No need of any exception. They never surrendered it at all, they could not surrender it; for they never had it. I pray did the people, unless you mean the Norman army, give William the Conqueror his power? And to which of his successors did the people of England, (six or seven millions,) give the sovereign power? This is mere political cant words without meaning. I know but one instance in all history, wherein the people gave the sovereign power to any one, this was, to Massamello, of Naples. And I desire any man living to produce another instance in the listory of all nations.

Ten times over, in different words, you "profess yourselves to be contending for liberty" But it is a vain, empty profession. unless you mean by that threadbare word, a liberty from obeying your lightful sovereign, and from keeping the fundamental laws of your country. And this undoubtedly it is, which the confederated Colo-

mes are now contending for.

^{*} That is, in connexion with the Lords and Commons.

How far is it the Duty of a Christian Manister to preach Politics?

1. IT is impossible to answer this question before it is understood. We must, first, therefore, endeavour to understand it, and then it

will be easy to answer.

2. There is a plant command in the Bible, Thou shalt not speak coil of the Rules of thy people. But notwithstanding this, many that are called schigious people, speak evil of him continually. And they speak many things that are palpably false; particularly when they affirm him to be a w—k man, whereas a nobleman who is not at all prejudiced in his favour, when he was pressed to speak, made this honest declaration "Sir, I know him well; and I judge the King to be one of the most sensible men in Europe. His ministers are no fools: but his Majesty is able to wind them all round his finger."

3. Now when a clergyman comes into a piace, where this, and many more stones, equally take, have been diligently propagated against the king, and are generally believed: if he guards the people against this evil speaking, by refuting those slanders, many cry out,

"O, he is preaching politics"

4. If you mean this by the term, it is the bounden duty of every Christian minister to preach politics. It is our bounden duty to refute these vile aspersions, in public as well as in private. But this can be done only now and then, when it comes naturally in our way. For it is our main and constant business, to preach Jesus Christ and him crucified.

5. Again. Many who do not so freely censure the king, speak all manner of evil of his ministers. If any misfortune befalls us at home or abroad, by sea or land, it is "all their fault." If one commander in America is surprised with all his forces, when he is dead drunk, "Lord North deserves to be hanged." If General Burgoyne or Lord Cornwallis is betrayed into their enemy's hand, all the blame is laid on our ministers at home. But still the king is wounded through their sides, the blame glances from them to him. Yet if we say a word in defence of them, (which is in effect defending him,) this also

is preaching politics

6. It is always difficult, and frequently impossible, for private men, to judge of the measures taken by men in public offices. We do not see many of the grounds which determine them to act, in this or the contrary manner. Generally therefore it behooves us to be silent, as we may suppose they know their own business best: but when they are censured without any colour of reason, and when an odium is east on the king by that means, we ought to preach politics in this sense also: we ought publicly to confute those unjust censures. Only remembering still, that this is rarely to be done, and only when fit occasion offers at being our main business to preach Repentance towards God, and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ.

PIECES ON VARIOUS SUBJECTS,

FROM THE

METHODIST MAGAZINES.

BY JOHN WESLEY, A.M.

THOUGHTS ON SALVATION BY FAITH.

1. IT is now upwards of forty years* since my brother and I were convinced of that important truth, which is the foundation of all real religion, that by grace we are saved through faith. And as soon as we believed, we spoke: when we saw it ourselves, we immediately began declaring it to others. And, indeed, we could hardly speak of any thing else, either in public or private. It shone upon our minds with so strong a light, that it was our constant theme. It was our daily subject, both in verse and prose; and we vehemently defended it against all mankind.

2. But in doing this we met with abundance of difficulty: we were assaulted and abused on every side. We were every where represented as mad dogs, and treated accordingly. We were stoned in the streets, and several times narrowly escaped with our lives. In sermons, newspapers, and pamphlets of all kinds, we were painted as unheard-of monsters. But this moved us not: we went on, by the help of God, testifying Salvation by Faith both to small and great, and not counting our fives dear unto ourselves, so we might

finish our course with joy.

3. While we were thus employed, another storm arose from a quarter whence we least expected it. Some of our familiar friends declared open war against us, "for preaching Salvation by Works!" This we could not in anywise understand: we wondered what they meant. We utterly disavowed the charge: we denied it in the strongest terms. We declared over and over, both in public and in private, "We believe and constantly preach Salvation by Faith; Salvation by Works is a doctrine we abhor: we neither preach nor believe it." But it did not avail: say what we would, the same charge was still repeated: and that not only when we were at a convenient distance, but even before our face.

4. At first, we were inclined to think, that many who affirmed this

^{*} This was written in the year 1780.

did not believe themselves; that it was merely a copy of their countenance, spoken ad movendam invidiam. And could we have been fully persuaded of this, the difficulty would have been solved. But we did not dure to give way to the thought: whatever they might think or say of us, we could not but think they were upright men, and spoke according to their real sentiments. The wonder, therefore, remained, How they could impute to us a doctrine which our soul abhorized, and which we were continually opposing, and confuting with all our might!

5 I was in this perplexity, when a thought shot across my mind, which solved the matter at once: "This is the key: those that hold, Every one is absolutely predestinated either to Salvation or Danmation, see no medium between Salvation by Works and Salvation by Absolute Decrees" It follows, that whosoever denies Salvation by Absolute Decrees, in so doing, (according to then apprehension)

asserts Salvation by Works

6. And herem I verily believe they are right. As averse as I once was to the thought, upon further consideration, I allow there is, there can be no medium. Either Salvation is by Absolute Decree, or it is (in a scriptural sense) by Works. Yea, this I will proclaim on the house-top, there is no medium between these. You must either assert Unconditional Decrees, or (in a sound sense) Salvation

by Works.

- 7. This deserves a fuller examination: let us consider it more attentively. If the Salvation of every man that ever was, is, or shall be finally saved, depends wholly and solely upon an Absolute, Irresistible, Unchangeable Decree of God, without any regard either to Faith or Works foreseen, then it is not, in any sense, by Works But neither is it by Faith. For an Unconditional Decree excludes Faith as well as Works. since if it is either by Faith or Works foreseen, it is not by an Unconditional Decree. Therefore, Salvation by an Absolute Decree excludes both one and the other. And, consequently, upon this supposition, Salvation is neither by Faith nor by Works.
- 8. If, on the other hand, we deny all Absolute Decrees, and admit only the conditional one, (the same which our blessed Lord hath revealed,) He that beheveth shall be saved; we must, according to their apprehension, assert Salvation by Works. We must do this, (in a sound sense of the expression) if we believe the Bible. For seeing no faith avails, but that which worketh by love, which produces both inward and outward good works, to affirm, no man is finally saved without this, is in effect to affirm, no man is finally saved without Works. It is plain, then, if we affirm, no man is saved by an Absolute, Unconditional Decree, but only by a Conditional one. we must expect, all who hold Unconditional Decrees will say, We teach Salvation by Works.
- 9. Let none, therefore, who hold Universal Redemption, be surprised at being charged with this. Let us deny it no more let us trankly and fairly meet those who advance it upon their own ground

If they charge you with holding Salvation by Works, answer plainly, "In your sense I do: for I deny that our final salvation depends upon any Absolute Unconditional Decree. If, therefore, there be no medium, I do hold Salvation by Works But observe! In allowing this, I allow no more than that I am no Calvinist. So that by my making you this concession, you gain—just nothing."

10. I am, therefore, still consistent with myself, as well as consistent with the Bible. I still hold, (as I have done above these forty years) that by grace we are swed through faith, yet so as not to contradict that other expression of the same Apostle, Without holmess no man shall see the Lord. Meantine, those who maintain Absolute Predestination, who hold Decrees that have no Condition at all, cannot be consistent with themselves, unless they deny Salvation by Faith, as well as Salvation by Works. For if only he that believeth shall be saved, then is Faith a Condition of Salvation. And God hath decreed, from all eternity, that it should be such—But if the Decree admit of any Condition, it is not an Unconditional Decree. Either, therefore, you must renounce your Unconditional Decrees, or deny that Faith is the Condition of Salvation—or, (which is just the same thing,) affirm that a man may be saved without either faith or works

11 And I am consistent with myself, as well as with the Bible, when I affirm, that none shall be finally saved by any faith but that which worketh by love, both inward and outward holiness I fear many of them that hold Unconditional Decrees, are not sensible of this. For they seriously believe themselves to be in the high road to Salvation, though they are far from inward (if not outward) holiness They have not put on humbleness of mind, bowels of mercy, brotherlykindness They have no gentleness, no meekness, no long-suffering; so far are they from the love that endweth all things. They are under the power of sin, of evil-surmising, of anger. yea, of outward sin. For they scruple not to say to their brother, Thou fool ! They not only, on a slight provocation, make no scruple of rendering evil for evil, of returning railing for railing; but they bring railing accusations unprovoked, they pour out floods of the lowest, And yet they are within the Decree! I instance basest invectives in the two late publications of Mr Rowland Hill. "O," says Mr Hill, "but Mr Wesley is a wicked man" What then ! Is he more wicked than he that disputed with Michael, about the body of Moses? How then durst he bring a railing accusation against a man, when an Archangel durst not bring one against the Devil? O fight, fight for an Unconditional Decree 1 For if there be any Condition, how can you be saved?

FURTHER THOUGHTS ON NECESSITY.

I 1. THE late ingenious Dr. Hartley, in his Essay on Man, resolves all thoughts into vibrations of the brain. When any of the fine fibres of the brain are moved, so as to vibrate to and fro, then (according to his scheme) a perception or sensation is the natural consequence. These sensations are at first simple, but are afterwards variously compounded: till, by faither vibrations, ideas of reflection are added to ideas of sensation. By the additional vibrations of this currous organ, our judgments of things are also formed. And from the same fruitful source arise our reasonings in their endless variety.

2. From our apprehensions of things, from our judgments and reasonings concerning them, all our passions arise: whether those which are more sudden and transient, or those of a permanent nature. And from the several mixtures and modifications of these, our tempers or dispositions flow: very nearly, if not altogether the same with

what are usually termed virtues or vices

3. Our passions and tempers are the immediate source of all our words and actions. Of consequence, these likewise depending on our passions, and our passions on our judgments and apprehensions, all our actions, passions, and judgments are ultimately resolvable into the vibrations of the brain. And all of them together follow each

other, in one connected chain.

4 "But you will say, (says the Doctor,) this infers the universal necessity of human actions. I am sorry for it: but I cannot help it." But since he saw this destroyed the very essence of morality, leaving no room for either virtue or vice, why did he publish it to the world? Why? Because his brain vibrated in such a manner, that he could not help it.

Alas for poor human nature' If this is so, where is "The digmit"

of man ?

II 1. But other great men totally disapprove of the doctrine of vibrations They give an entirely different account of this whole affair. They say, the delicate, soft, and almost fluid substance, of which the brain is composed, is absolutely incapable of such vibrations, as the Doctor ascribes to it: but that the animal spirits, whatever they are, continually moving through that soft substance, naturally form various traces therein: first, very simple, then less or more compounded: that these are afterward varied innumerable ways and that from these simple or compounded traces, arise simple or compounded ideas, whether of sensation or reflection. From these result the judgments we form, with all our train of reasonings. And Vol. 10.—N n

at a little farther remove, our passions, our tempers, and from these our words and actions.

2. It is easy to observe, that this scheme equally infers the universal necessity of human actions. The premises indeed are a little different, but the conclusion is one and the same. If every thought, word, and action, necessarily depends upon those traces in the brain, which are formed whether we will or not, without either our consent or knowledge: then the man has no more liberty in thinking, speaking, or acting, than the stone has in falling.

III. That great man, President Edwards, of New England, places

this in a still stronger light. He says,

1. The whole frame of this world wherein we are placed is so constituted, that without our choice, visible objects affect our cycs, sounds strike upon the car, and the other things which surround us affect the other bodily organs, according to their several natures.

2. The nerves, which are spread all over the body, without any choice of ours, convey the impression made on the outward organ to the common sensory; supposed to be lodged, either in the pineal gland, or in some other part of the brain.

3. Immediately, without our choice, the perception or sensation

follows.

4. The simple apprehension (analogous to sensation) furnishes us

with simple ideas.

5. These ideas are more and more associated together, still without our choice: and we understand, judge, reason, accordingly: yea, love, hate, joy, grieve, hope, or fear.

6. And according to our passions we speak and act. Where is liberty then? It is excluded. All you see is one connected chain,

fixed as the pillars of heaven.

To the same effect, though with a little variation, speaks the inge-

nious Lord Kaim. He says,

"The universe is one immense machine, one amazing piece of clock work, consisting of immunerable wheels, fitly framed, and indissolubly linked together. Man is one of these wheels, fixed in the middle of this vast automaton. And he moves just as necessarily as the rest, as the sun, or moon, or earth. Only with this difference, (which was necessary for completing the design of the Great Artificer,) that he seems to himself perfectly free; he imagines that he is unnecessitated, and master of his own motions: whereas in truth he no more directs or moves himself, than any other wheel in the machine."

The general inference then is still the same; the point which all these so laboriously endeavour to prove is, that inevitable Necessity governs all things, and men have no more liberty than stones!

V. 1. But allowing all this: allowing (in a sense) all that Dr. Hartly, Edwards, and their associates contend for: what discovery have they made? What new thing have they found out? What does all this amount to? With infinite pains, with immense parade, with the utmost ostentation of mathematical and metaphysical learning.

they have discovered just as much as they might have found in one

single line of the Bible:

Without me ye can do nothing! Absolutely, positively nothing! Seeing, in him all things live and move, as well as have their being: seeing, he is not only the true primum mobile, containing the whole frame of creation, but likewise the inward, sustaining, acting principle, indeed the only proper Agent in the universe: unless so far as he imparts a spark of his active, self-moving nature, to created spirits. But more especially ye can do nothing right, nothing wise, nothing good,

without the direct, immediate agency of the First Cause.

2. Let the trial be made. And, first, what can Reason, all-sufficient Reason, do in this matter? Let us try, upon Di. Hartley's scheme. Can it prevent or alter the vibrations of the brain? Can it prevent or alter the various compositions of them? Or cut off the connexion between these and our apprehensions, judgments, reasonings? Or between these and our passions? Or that between our passions, and our words and actions? Not at all. Reason can do nothing in this matter. In spite of all our reason, nature will keep its course, will hold on its way, and utterly bear down its feeble opponent

3. And what can Reason do, upon the second supposition? Can it prevent or alter the traces in the brain? Not a jot more than it could the vibrations. They laugh at all its power. Can it cut off the connexion between those traces and our apprehensions, or that between our apprehensions, and our passions? Or between our passions and actions? Nothing at all of this. It may see the evil,

but it cannot help it.

4. Try what Reason can do upon the third supposition, that of President Edwards. Can it change the appearances of things that surround us? Or the impression which the nerves convey to the common sensory? Or the sensation that follows? Or the apprehension? Or can it cut off the connexion between our apprehensions of things and our passions? Or that between our passions and our actions? Poor, impotent reason! It can do neither more nor less in any of these matters. It cannot alter the outward constitution of things; the nature of light, sound, or the other objects that surround us. It cannot prevent their affecting our senses thus and thus. And then, will not all the rest follow?

5. Make a trial, if Reason can do any more, upon Lord Kaim's supposition. Can it in any degree alter the nature of the universal machine? Can it change or stop the motion of any one wheel? Ut-

terly impossible
6 Has Free-will any more power in these respects than Reason?

Let the trial be made upon each of these schemes.

What can it do upon Dr. Hartley's scheme? Can our Free-will alter one vibration of the brain? What can it do upon the second scheme? Can it erase or alter one of the traces formed there? What can it do upon Dr. Edwards's? Can it alter the appearances of the things that surround us? Or the impressions they make upon the nerves? Or the natural consequences of them? Can it do any thing

more on Lord Kaim's scheme? Can it any ways alter the constitution of the great clock? Stand still! Look awhile into your own breast! What can your will do in any of these matters? Ah poor Free-will! Does not plain experience show, it is as impotent as your Reason? Let it stand then as an eternal truth, Without me ye can do nothing.

VI. 1. But in the same old book there is another word, I can do all things through Christ strengthening me. Here the charm is dis-

solved! The light breaks in, and the shadows flee away.

One of these sentences should never be viewed apart from the other: each receives light from the other. God hath joined them

together, and let no man put them asunder.

Now taking this into the account, I care not one pin, for all Dr. Hartley can say of his vibrations. Allowing the whole which he contends for, allowing all the links of his mathematical chain, to be as indissolubly joined together, as are the propositions in Euclid: suppose vibrations, perceptions, judgments, passions, tempers, actions, ever se naturally follow each other: what is all this to the God of Nature? Cannot he stop, alter, annihilate, any or all of these, in whatever manner, and in whatever moment he pleases? Away then with all these fine-wrought speculations! Sweep them off as a spider's web! Scatter them in the wind! How helpless soever they may be, who are without God in the World: however they may groan under the iron hand of dire Necessity: necessity has no power over those, who have the Lord for their God. Each of these can say, through cappy experience, I can do all things through Christ strengthening me.

2. Again. Allowing all the minute philosophers can say, of the traces formed in the brain, and of perceptions, judgments, passions, tempers, words, and actions, naturally flowing therefrom: whatever dreadful consequences may follow from hence, with regard to those who know not God, who have only natural Reason and Free-will to oppose the power of Nature: (which we know to have no more force, than a thread of tow that has touched the fire) under the influence of the God of Nature, we laugh all our enemies to scorn. He can alter or efface all these traces, in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye. Still, although without him I can do nothing, I can do all

things through Christ strengthening me.

3. Yet again. Let Dr. Edwards say all he will or can, concerning the outward appearances of things, as giving rise to sensations, associations of ideas, passions, dispositions, and actions: allowing this to be the course of Nature: what then? See, One superior to Nature! What is the course of Nature to him? By a word, a nod, he turneth it upside down!

His power inverted Nature owns, Her only law, his sovereign word-

Let your chain be wrought ever so firm: he nods, and it flies in pieces. He touches it, and all the links fall asunder, as unconnected as the sand.

4. Once more. After Lord Kaim has said all he pleases, concerning the grand machine of the universe, and concerning the connexion of its several wheels, yet it must be allowed, he that made it, can unmake it: can vary every wheel, every spring, every movement, at his own good pleasure. Neither therefore does this imply any necessity, laid either upon the thoughts, passions, or actions, of those that know and trust in him, who is the Creator and Governor of heaven and earth.

5. Ah poor Infidel! This is no comfort to you! You must plunge on in the fatal whirlpool! You are without hope! Without help! For there is only one possible help: and that you spurn. What fol-

lows then? Why,

Dum adamantinos Figit dira necessitas Clavos, non animum metu, Non mortis laqueia expediet caput.

Oh what advantage has a Christian (a real Christian) over an Infidel® He sees God! Consequently

Metus omnes, et inexorabile fatam Subjecit pedibus, strepitumque Acherontis avari. He tramples on inexorable fate, And fear, and death, and hell!

6. Ah poor Predestinarian! If you are true to your doctrine, this is no comfort to you! For perhaps you are not of the elect number: if so, you are in the whirlpool too. For what is your hope? Where is your help? There is no help for you in your God. Your God! No. He is not yours: he never was; he never will be. He that made you, he that called you into being, has no pity upon you! He made you for this very end, to damn you; to cast you headlong into a lake of fire burning with brimstone! This was prepared for you, or ever the world began! And for this you are now reserved in chains of darkness, till the decree brings forth; till, according to his eternal, unchangeable, irresistible will,

You groun, you how!, you writhe in waves of fire, And pour forth blasphemies at his desire!
Oh God, how long shall this doctrine stand?

ON HEARING MINISTERS WHO OPPOSE THE TRUTH.

1. LAST summer I received a letter from Yorkshire, signed by several serious men, who proposed a difficulty they were under, wherein they knew not how to act. And indeed I did not well know how to advise them. So I delayed giving them a determinate answer, till I could lay the matter before our brethren, at the ensuing conference.

2. Their difficulty was this. "You advise all the members of our societies, constantly to attend the service of the Church. We have done so for a considerable time. But very frequently Mr. R., our minister, preaches not only what we believe to be false, but dangerously false doctrine. He asserts, and endeavours to prove, That we cannot be saved from our sins in this life, and that we must not hope to be perfected in love, on this side eternity. Our nature is very willing to receive this, therefore it is very liable to hurt us. Hence we have a doubt, whether it is our duty, to hear this preaching, which

experience shows to weaken our souls."

3 This letter I laid before the conference, and we easily perceived, the difficulty therein proposed, concerned not only the society at Bailden, but many others, in various parts of the kingdom. It was, therefore, considered at large, and all our brethren were desired to speak their sentiments freely. In the conclusion, they unanimously agreed, 1 That it was highly expedient, all the Methodists (so called) who had been bred therein, should attend the service of the Church as often as possible; but that, 2dly, if the minister began either to preach the Absolute Decrees, or to rail at, and ridicule Christian Perfection, they should quietly and silently go out of the church, yet attend it again the next opportunity.

4 I have since that time, revolved this matter over and over in my own mind. And the more I consider it, the more I am convinced, this was the best answer that could be given. I still advise all our friends, when this case occurs, quietly and silently to go out. Only I must earnestly caution them, not to be critical not to make a man an offender for a word; no, nor for a few sentences, which any who believe the Decrees may drop without design. But if such a minister should at any time, deliberately and of set purpose, endeavour to establish Absolute Predesunation, or to confute scriptural perfection, then I advise all the Methodists in the congregation, quietly to go

JOHN WESLEY

Leuisham, Jan. 9 1782.

away

THOUGHTS CONCERNING GOSPEL-MINISTERS

I HOW frequently do we hear this expression, from the mouths of rich and poor, learned and unlearned? Many lament, that they have not a gospel-minister in their Church, and therefore are constrained to seek one at the meeting. Many rejoice that they have a gospel-minister, and that there are many such in their neighbourhood. Meantime they generally speak with much displeasure, if not contempt of those, who, they say, are not gospel-ministers.

2 But it is to be feared, few of these understand what they say Few understand what that expression means. Most that use it, have

only crude, confused notions concerning gospel-ministers. And hence many inconveniences arise; yea, much hurt to the souls of men. They contract prejudices in favour of very worthless men, who are indeed blind leaders of the blind; not knowing what the real gospel is, and therefore incapable of preaching it to others. Meantime from the same cause they contract prejudices against other ministers, who in reality both live and preach the gospel: and therefore are well able to instruct them in all those truths that accompany salvation.

3. But what then is the meaning of the expression? Who is a gospel-minister? Let us consider this important question calmly, in

the fear and in the presence of God.

Not every one that preaches the Eternal Decrees: (although many suppose, this is the very thing!) that talks much of the Sovereignty of God, of free distinguishing Grace; of dear Electing Love; of irresistible Grace, and of the intallible Perseverance of the saints. A man may speak of all these, by the hour together: yea, with all his heart, and with all his voice: and yet have no right at all to the title of a gospel-minister.

Not every one that talks largely and earnestly on (those precious subjects) the righteousness and blood of Christ. Let a man descant upon these in ever so lively a manner, let him describe his sufferings ever so pathetically: if he stops there; if he does not show man's duty, as well as Christ's sufferings; if he does not apply all to the consciences of the hearers: he will never lead them to life, either

here or hereafter, and, therefore, is no gospel-minister.

Not everyone who deals in the promises only, without ever showing the terrors of the law: that slides over the wrath of God revealed from heaven, against all ungodliness and unrighteousness, and endeavours to heal those that never were wounded. These promise-mongers are no gospel-ministers.

Not every one (very nearly allied to the former) who bends all his strength to coax sinners to Christ; such soft, tender expressions, as "My dear hearers, my dear lambs," though repeated a thousand times

do not prove a man to be a minister.

Lastly, not every one that preaches Justification by Faith; he that goes no farther than this, that does not insist upon sanctification also upon all the fruits of faith; upon universal holiness: does not dearc the whole counsel of God: and consequently is not a suspel-minister.

4. Who then is such? Who is a gospel-minister, in the full, scriptural sense of the word? He and he alone, of whatever denomination, that does declare the whole counsel of God: that does preach the whole gospel, even justification and sanctification preparatory to glory. He that does not put asunder what God has joined; but publishes alike, Christ dying for w, and Christ living in us. He that constantly applies all this to the hearts of the hearers, being willing to spend and he spent for them: having himself the mind which was in Christ, and steadily walking as Christ also walked: he, and he alone, can with propriety be termed, a gospel-minister.

5. Let it be particularly observed, if the gospel be "Glad tidings

of great salvation which shall be unto all people," then those only arein the full sense, gospel-immisters who proclaim the great salvation, that is, salvation from all (both inward and outward) sin, into all the mind that was in Christ Jesus; and likewise proclaim offers of this salvation to every child of man. This honourable title is therefore vilely prostituted, when it is given to any but those who testify. That God willeth all mer to be saved and to be perfect as their Father which is in heaven is perfect

THOUGHTS UPON METHODISM

1 I AM not afraid, that the people called Methodists, should ever cease to exist either in Europe or America. But I am afraid, lest they should only exist as a dead sect, having the form of religion without the power. And this undoubtedly will be the case, unless they hold fast both the doctrine, spirit, and discipline with which they first set out.

2 What was their fundamental doctrine? That the Bible is the whole and sole rule both of Christian faith and practice. Hence they learned, 1 That religion is an inward principle; that it is no other than the mind that was in Christ: or in other words, the renewal of the soul after the image of God, in righteousness and true holmess. 2. That this can never be wrought in us, but by the power of the Holy Ghost 3. That we receive this and every other blessing merely for the sake of Christ, and, 4. That whosoever hath the mind that was in Christ, the same is our brother, and sister, and mother

3 In the year 1729, four young students in Oxford, agreed to spend their evenings together They were all zealous members of the Church of England, and had no peculiar opinions, but were distinguished only by their constant attendance on the Church and sacra-In 1735, they were increased to fifteen, when the chief of them and barked for America, intending to preach to the Heathen Indians. Methodism then seemed to die away, but it revived again in the year 1738 Uspecially after Mr. Wesley (not being allowed to the year 1738 preach in the Churches) began to preach in the fields One and another then coming to inquire. What they must do to be saved, he desired them to meet him all together, which they did, and increased continually in number In November, a large building, the foundry, being offered him, he began preaching therein, morning and evening. at five in the morning, and seven in the evening, that the people's labour might not be hindered.

4 From the beginning, the men and women sat apart, as they always did in the primitive Church. And none were suffered to call any place their own, but the first comers sat down first. They had no pews; and all the benches for nich and poor, were of the same

construction. Mr. Wesley began the service with a short prayer; then sung a hymn and preached, (usually about half an hour) then sang a few verses of another hymn, and concluded with prayer. His constant doctrine was, salvation by faith, preceded by repentance.

and followed by holiness.

5. But when a large number of people was joined, the great difficulty was, to keep them together. For they were continually scattering lither and thither, and we knew no way to help it. But God provided for this also, when we thought not of it. A year or two after, Mr. Wesley met the chief of the society in Bristol, and inquired, "How shall we pay the debt upon the preaching-house?" Captain Foy stood up and said, "Let every one in the society give a penny a week, and it will easily be done." "But many of them," said one, "have not a penny to give." "True," said the Captain: then put ten or twelve of them to me. Let each of these give what they can weekly, and I will supply what is wanting." Many others made the same offer. So Mr. Wesley divided the societies among them: assigning a class of about twelve persons, to each of these who were termed leaders.

6. Not long after one of these informed Mr. Wesley that, calling on such a one in his house, he found him quarrelling with his wife. Another was found in drink. It immediately struck into Mr. Wesley's mind, This is the very thing we wanted. The leaders are the persons, who may not only receive the contributions, but also watch over the souls of their brethren. The society in London being informed of this, willingly followed the example of that in Bristol. As did every society from that time, whether in Europe or America. By this means it was easily found, if any grew weary or faint, and help was speedily administered. And if any walked disorderly, they were

quickly discovered, and either amended or dismissed.

7. For those who knew in whom they had believed, there was another help provided. Five or six, either married or single men, met together at such an hour as was convenient, according to the direction of St. James, "Confess your faults one to another, and pray one for another, and ye shall be healed." And five or six of the married or single women met together for the same purpose. Innumerable blessings have attended this institution, especially in those who were going on to perfection. When any seemed to have attained this, they were allowed to meet with a select number, who appeared, so far as man could judge, to be partakers of the same great salvation.

8. From this short sketch of Methodism, (so called) any man of understanding may easily discern, that it is only plain, scriptural religion, guarded by a few prudential regulations. The essence of it is holiness of heart and life: the circumstantials all point to this. And as long as they are joined together in the people called Methodists, no weapon formed against them shall prosper. But if even the circumstantial parts are despised, the essential will soon be lost. And if ever the essential parts should evaporate, what remains will be dung and

dross.

9. It nearly concerns us to understand how the case stands with us

at present — I fear, wherever riches have increased (exceeding few are the exceptions) the essence of religion, the mind that was in Christ, has decreased in the same proportion — Therefore I do not see how it is possible, in the nature of things, for any revival of true religion to continue long — For religion must necessarily produce both industry and frugality. And these cannot but produce riches — But as riches increase, so will pride, anger, and love of the world in all its branches.

10. How then is it possible that Methodism, that is, the religion of the heart, though it flourishes now as a green bay-tree, should continue in this state? For the Methodists in every place grow diligent and flugal: consequently they increase in goods. Hence they proportionably increase in pilde, in anger, in the desire of the flesh, the desire of the eyes, and the pride of life. So, although the form of re-

ligion remains, the spirit is swiftly vanishing away.

11. Is there no way to prevent this? This continual declension of pure religion? We ought not to forbid people to be diligent and frugal we must exhort all Christians, to gain all they can, and to save all they can that is, in effect, to grow rich! What way then (I ask again) can we take that our money may not sink us to the nethermost hell? There is one way, and there is no other under heaven. It those who gain all they can, and save all they can, will likewise give all they can, then the more they gain, the more they will grow in grace, and the more treasure they will lay up in heaven.

London. Aug 4 1786

THOUGHTS

ON

THE MANNER OF EDUCATING CHILDREN.

speaking largely on the manner of educating children. He objected strongly to the bringing them up too strictly, to the giving them more of religion than they liked: to the telling them of it too often, or pressing it upon them whether they will or not. He said, he never pressed it upon his own children, but only spoke of it occasionally in their hearing, and if they appeared affected, then answered their questions, or perhaps spoke to them directly. He thought that the common methods that are used in those that are called religious schools, of talking about divine things continually, and daily pressing it upon children, did abundantly more harm than good; especially if any severity were used, and concluded with saying, that those children who had been trained up in this manner as soon as the restraint was taken off, were commonly worse than others.

- 2. As all this was perfectly new to me, I made little answer for the present: but it put me upon much thought! I knew it was quite agreeable to the sentiments of Rousseau, in his Emilius, the most empty, silly, injudicious thing, that ever a self-conceited Infidel wrote. But I knew it was quite contrary to the judgment of the wisest and best men I have known. I thought, if these things are so, how much mischief have we done unawares? How much hurt has Miss Bosanquet (now Mrs. Fletcher) been doing in the world for many years! How much more have the Miss Owens done, spoiling twenty children at a time! How much mischief is Miss Bishop likely to do! Perhaps more than even the Miss Owens! Above all, how much mischief has been done, and is now doing at Kingswood! Where (if this hypothesis be true) we are continually running fifty children at a time!
- 3 "But be this as it may, I urge the matter of fact against such an The children educated thus, are, when grown up, actually worse than other men or women" I doubt the fact nay, that is not enough: I totally deny it As frequently as this has been affirmed, it is notoriously false. Some few, and very few of those women, that were brought up by Miss Bosanquet or Miss Owen, either never were converted to God. (perhaps never convinced of sin,) or have made shipureck of the faith, and at the same time, of its attendant, a good conscience. And undoubtedly these would be worse than others, than those who had not so gneved the Holy Spirit of God. The same may be said of some of those men that were educated at Kingswood School If they quenched the Spirit, they would be worse than those that never were partakers of it But this proves nothing, unless it were a general case, which is not by any means true Many both of the women who were educated by Miss Bosanquet or Miss Owen, and of the men who were educated at Kingswood, are holy in heart and in life, and trust they shall praise God to all eternity, that ever they saw those schools.

4 Yet I allow that what is commonly called a religious education, trequently does more burt than good: and that many of the persons who were so educated, are sinners above other men, yea, and have contracted an enmity to religion, which usually continues all their lives. And this will naturally be the case, if either the religion wherein they are instructed, or the manner of instructing them be wrong. But in most of those that are termed religious schools, there

is a grand error either in the former or the latter instance

5 With regard to the former, how few are there of those that undertake the education of children, who understand the nature of religion, who know what true religion is! Some of them supposing it to be barely the doing no harm, the abstaining from outward sin: some, the using the means of grace, saying our prayers, reading good books, and the like: and others the baying a train of right opinions, which is vulgarly called faith. But all these, however common in the world, are gross and capital errors. Unless religion be described as consisting in holy tempers, in the love of God and our neighbour, in humility, gentleness, patience long-suffering, contentedness in every

condition; to sum up all, in the image of God, in the mind that was in Christ: it is no wonder if these that are instructed therein are not better, but worse than other men. For they think they have religion. when indeed they have none at all, and so add pride to all their other

6. But suppose those that educate them judge right, with regard to the nature of religion, they may still be mistaken with regard to the manner of instilling it into children. They may not have the spirit of government, to which some even good men are utter strangers. They may habitually lean to this or that extreme, of remissness or of severity. And if they either give children too much of their own will, or needlessly and churlishly restrain them; if they either use no punishment at all, or more than is necessary, the leaning either to one extreme or the other, may frustrate all their endeavours. In the latter case, it will not be strange, if religion stink in the nostrils of those that were so educated. They will naturally look upon it as an austere, melancholy thing; and if they think it necessary to salvation. they will esteem it a necessary evil, and so put it off as long as possible.

7. But does it follow, that we ought not to instil true religion into the minds of children as early as possible? Or rather that we should do it, with all diligence, from the very time that reason dawns? Laying line upon line, precept upon precept, as soon and as fast as they are able to bear it? By all means. Scripture, reason, and experience, jointly testify, that inasmuch as the corruption of nature is earlier than our instructions can be, we should take all pains and care. to counteract this corruption, as early as possible. The bias of nature is set the wrong way: education is designed to set it right. This, by the grace of God, is to turn the bias from self-will, pride, anger, revenge, and the love of the world, to resignation, lowliness, meekness, and the love of God. And from the moment we perceive any of those evil roots springing up, it is our business immediately to check their growth, if we cannot yet root them out. As far as this can be done by mildness, softness, and gentleness, certainly it should be done. But sometimes these methods will not avail, and then we must correct with kind severity. For where tenderness will not remove the fault, He that spareth the rod, spoileth the child. To deny this, is to give the lie to the God of truth, and to suppose we can govery better than he. For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth.

S. In the name of God, then, and by the authority of his word, let all that have children, from the time they begin to speak or run alone. begin to train them up in the way wherein they should go: to counter-work the corruption of their nature with all possible assiduity: to do every thing in their power to cure self-will, pride, and every other wrong temper. Then let them be delivered to instructers (if such can be found) that will tread in the same steps; that will watch over them as immortal spirits, who are shortly to appear before God, and who have nothing to do in this world, but to prepare to meet him in the clouds, seeing they will be eternally happy, if they are ready; if

not, eternally miserable.

AN ANSWER TO MR. DODD.

1. YOU and I may the more easily bear with each other, because we are both of us rapid writers, and, therefore, the more hable to mistake. I will thank you for showing me any mistake I am in: being not so tenacious of my opinions now as I was twenty or thirty years ago. Indeed I am not fond of any opinion as such. I read the Bible with what attention I can, and regulate all my opinions thereby, to the best of my understanding. But I am always willing to receive more light; particularly with regard to any less common opinions, because the explaining and defending of them takes up much time, which I can ill spaie from other employments. Whoever, therefore, will give me more light, with regard to Christian Perfection, will do me a singular favour. The opinion I have conceining it at present, I espouse metely because I think it is scriptural. If, therefore, I am convinced it is not scriptural, I shall willingly relinquish it.

2. I have no particular fondness for the term. It seldom occurs either in my preaching or writings—It is my opponents who thrust it upon me continually, and ask me, What I mean by it? So did Bishop Gibson, till by his advice, I publicly declared, What I did not mean by it, and what I did. This I supposed might be best done in the form of a Sermon, having a text prefixed, wherein that term occurred. But that text is there used only as an occasion or introduction to the subject. I do not build any doctrine thereupon, nor

undertake critically to explain it.

3. What is the meaning of the term Perfection, is another question, but that it is a Scriptural term is undemable. Therefore none ought to object to the use of the term, whatever they may do to this or that explication of it. I am very willing to consider whatever you have to object, to what is advanced under the first head of that Sermon But I still think that Perfection is only another term for Holtmess, or the image of God in man. God made man perfect, I think is just the same as he made him holy, or in his own image. And you are the very first person I ever read of or spoke with, who made any doubt of it. Now this Perfection does certainly admit of degrees. Therefore I readily allow the propriety of that distinction, Perfection of Kinds, and Perfection of Degrees. Nor do I remember one writer, ancient or modern, who excepts against it.

4. In the Sermon of Salvation by Faith, I say, "He that is born of God sinneth not," (a proposition explained at large in another Sermon) and every where either explicitly or virtually connected with, while he keepeth himself, "by any sinful desire. Any unholy desire he stifleth in the birth" (Assuredly he does, while he keepeth himself) "Nor doth he sin by infimities. For his infirmities have

Vol. 10.-0

no concurrence of his will, and without this they are not properly sins." Taking the words as they lie in connexion thus, (and taken otherwise they are not my words but yours,) I must still aver, they speak both my own experience, and that of many hundreds of the children of God whom I personally know. And all this, with abundantly more than this, is contained in that single expression, "The foring God with all our heart, and serving him with all our strength." Nor did I ever say or mean any more by Perfection, than thus loving and serving God. But I dare not say less than this. For it might be attended with worse consequences than you seem to be aware of If there be a mistake, it is far more dangerous on the one side than on the other. If I set the mark too high, I drive men into needless

fears; if you set it too low, you drive them into hell-fire.

5. We agree, that true " Christianity implies a destruction of the kingdom of Sin, and a renewal of the soul in Righteousness: which even babes in Chirst do in a measure experience; though not in so large a measure as young men and fathers?" But here we divide: I believe even babes in Christ (while they keep themselves) do not commit By sin I mean outward sin; and the word commit I take in its plain, literal meaning. And this I think is fully proved by all the texts cited Sermon III from the sixth chapter to the Romans. Nor do I concene there is any material difference between committing sin and continuing therem I tell my neighbour here, "William, you are a child of the Devil, for you commit sin you were drunk yesterday." No, Sir, says the man; I do not hie or continue in sin, (which Mr. Dodd says is the true meaning of the text,) I am not drunk continually, but only now and then, once in a fortnight, or once in a Now, Sn, how shall I deal with this man? Shall I tell him he is in the way to heaven or hell? I think he is in the high road to destruction, and that if I tell him otherwise, his blood will be upon my head. And all that you say, of living, continuing in, serving sin, as different from committing it, and of its not reigning, not having dominion over him, who still frequently commits it, is making so many loop-holes whereby any impenitent sinuer may escape from all the terrors of the Lord. I dare not, therefore, give up the plan, literal meaning either of St Paul's or St Peter's words

6. As to those of St. John (ented Sermon V.) I do not think you have proved they are not to be taken literally. In every single act of obedience, as well as in a continued course of it, ποιιι δικαιοστούν. And in either an act or a course of sin, ποιιι αμασστούν. Therefore, that I may give no countenance to any kind or degree of sin, I shall interpret these words by those in the fifth chapter, and believe, He that is born of God (while he keepeth himself) sinneth not. doth not

commit outward sin

7. But "It is absolutely necessary, as you observe, to add sometimes explanatory words to those of the sacred Penmen." It is so: to add words explanatory of their sense, but not subrersive of it. The words added to this text, Ye know all things, are such. And you yourself allow them so to be But I do not allow the words

wilfully and habitually to be such. These do not explain but overthrow the text. That the first Fathers thus explained it, I deny: as

also that I ever spoke lightly of them.

8. You proceed. "You allow in another Sermon, in evident contradiction to yourself, that the true children of God could and did commit sin." This is no contradiction to any thing I ever advanced. I every where allow that a Child of God can and will commit sin, if he does not keep himself. "But this, you say, is nothing to the present argument." Yes, it is the whole thing. If they keep themselves, they do not; otherwise they can and do commit sin. I say nothing contrary to this in either sermon. But "hence you say, we conclude, That he who is born of God, may possibly commit sin." idle conclusion as ever was formed. For whoever denied it? I thatly affirm it in both the Sermons, and in the very paragraph now before us. The only conclusion which I deny, is, That "all Chris-tians do and will commit sin as long as they live." Now this you yourself (though you seem to start at it) maintain from the beginning of your letter to the end: viz. That all Christians do sin and cannot but sin, more or less, to their lives' end. Therefore I do not " wrtfully put this conclusion;" but it is your own conclusion, from your own premises. Indeed were I artfully to put in any thing, in expounding the word of God, I must be an errant knave. But I do not: my conscience bears me witness, that I speak the very truth, so far as I know it, in simplicity and godly sincerity.

9. I think that all this time you are directly pleading for looseness of manners, and that every thing you advance naturally tends thereto. This is my grand objection to that doctrine of the necessity of sinning. Not only that it is false, but that it is directly subversive of all holiness. The doctrine of the Gnosticks, was not that of a Child of God does not commit sin, i. c. Act the things which are forbidden in Scripture: but that they are not sin in him; that he is a Child of God still: so they contend, not for sinless, but sinful Perfection: just as different from what I contend for, as Heaven is from Hell. What the Donatists were, I do not know. But I suspect, they were the real Christians of that age: and were, therefore, served by St. Augustine and his warm adherents, as the Methodists are now by their zealous adversaries. It is extremely easy to blacken: and could I give myself leave, I could paint the consequences of your doctrine, in at least as dark and odious colours, as you could paint mine.

10. The passage of St. Peter (mentioned Sermon XII.) I still

think proves all which I brought it to prove.

"But you allow (Sermon IV.) that Paul and Barnahas did commit sin. And these were without all controversy Fathers in Christ." That is not without controversy: that either Barnahas when he left Paul, or Peter when he dissembled at Antioch, was at that time a father in Christ in St. John's sense; though by office undoubtedly they were. Their example, therefore, only proves what no one denies, viz. That if a believer keeps not himself, he may commit sin. Would the conclusions here drawn, "be made only by a very weak

opponent?" Then you are a weak opponent; for you make them all, either from these or other premises For you believe and maintain, 1. That all the other Apostles committed sin sometimes . 2. That all the other Christians of the Apostolic age, sometimes committed sin . 3. That all other Christians in all ages, do and will commit sin as long as they live, and, 4. That every man must commit sin, cannot help it, as long as he is in the body. You cannot deny one of the propositions, if you understand your own premises.

> I am, Rev. Sir. Your affectionate Brother, J WESLEY.

OF THE IMMORTALITY OF THE SOUL.

THE proofs of the immortality of the Soul are drawn, 1st, From the nature of the soul itself, and particularly its immateriality; which though not absolutely necessary to the eternity of its duration, has, I think, been evinced to almost a demonstration.

2dly, From its passions and sentiments, as particularly from its love of existence, its horior of annihilation, and its hopes of immortality, with that sweet satisfaction which it finds in the practice of virtue, and that uneasiness which follows in it upon the commission of vice.

3dly, From the nature of the Supreme Being, whose justice, good-

ness, wisdom, and veracity, are all concerned in this point.

But among these and other excellent arguments for the immortality of the soul, there is one drawn from the perpetual progress of the soul to its perfection, without a possibility of ever arriving at it; which is a hint that I do not remember to have seen opened and improved by others who have written on this subject, though it seems to me to carry a great weight with it How can it enter into the thoughts of man, that the soul, which is capable of such immense perfections, and of receiving new improvements to all eternity, shall fall away into nothing almost as soon as it is cicated? Are such abilities made for no purpose? A brute armes at a point of perfection that he can never pass, in a few years he has all the endowments he is capable of; and were he to live ten thousand more, would be the same thing he is at present. Were a human soul thus at a stand in her accomplishments, were her faculties to be full grown, and incapable of faither enlargements, I could imagine it might fall away insensibly, and drop at once into a state of annihilation. But can we believe a thinking being, that is in a perpetual progress of improvements, and travelling on from perfection to perfection, after having just looked abroad into the works of its Creator, and made a few discoveries of his infinite goodness, wisdom, and power, must perish at her first setting out, and in the very beginning of her in-

quiries ?

A man considered in his present state, seems only sent into the world to propagate his kind. He provides himself with a successor, and immediately quits his post to make room for him.

Hæredem alterius, velut unda supervenit undam. Hor. Ep. 2. 1. 2. v. 175.

Heir crowds on heir, as in a rolling flood Wave urges wave. CREECH.

He does not seem born to enjoy life, but to deliver it down to others. -This is not surprising to consider in animals, which are formed for our use, and can finish their business in a short life. The silk-worm, after having spun her task, lays her eggs and dies. But a man can never have taken in his full measure of knowledge, has not time to subdue his passions, establish his soul in virtue, and come up to the perfection of his nature, before he is hurried off the stage. Would an infinitely wise Being make such glorious beings for so mean a purpose? Can he delight in the production of such abortive intelligences, such short-lived reasonable beings? Would be give us talents that are not to be exerted? Capacities that are never to be gratified? How can we find that wisdom that shines through all his works, in the formation of man, without looking on this world as only a nursery for the next, and believing that the several generations of rational creatures, which rise up and disappear in such quick successions, are only to receive their first rudiments of existence here, and afterwards to be transplanted into a more friendly climate, where they may spread and flourish to all eternity.

There is not a more pleasing and triumphant consideration in religion than this, of the perpetual progress which the soul makes towards the perfection of its nature, without ever arriving at a period in it. To look upon the soul as going on from strength to strength; to consider that she is to shine for ever with new accessions of glory, and brighten to all eternity; that she will be still adding virtue to virtue, and knowledge to knowledge; carries in it something wonderfully agreeable to that ambition which is natural to the mind of man. Nay, it must be a prospect pleasing to God himself, to see his creation for ever heautifying in his eyes, and drawing nearer to him, by

greater degrees of resemblance.

Methinks this single consideration, of the progress of a finite spirit to perfection, will be sufficient to extinguish all envy in inferior natures, and all contempt in superior. That cherub that now appears as a god to a human soul, knows that a period will come, when the human soul shall be as perfect as he himself now is: nay, when she shall look down upon that degree of perfection, as much as she now falls short of it. It is true, the higher nature still advances, and pre-

serves his distance, and superiority in the scale of being; but he knows that, how high soever the station is of which he stands prepossessed at present, the inferior nature will at length mount up to it,

and shine forth in the same degree of glory.

With what astonishment and veneration may we look into our souls, where there are such hidden stores of virtue and knowledge! Such mexhausted sources of perfection! We know not yet what we shall be, nor will it ever enter into the heart of man to conceive the glory that will be always in reserve for him. The soul, considered with its Creator, is like one of those mathematical lines that may draw near to another to all eternity, without a possibility of touching it. And can there be a thought so transporting, as to consider ourselves in these perpetual approaches to him, who is not only the standard of perfection, but of happiness!

A THOUGHT UPON MARRIAGE

If thme eye be single, thy whole body shall be full of light.

1. I AM not now about to speak to men of the world, or to them that have only the form of religion; but to you who have experienced, if you do not now, the furth which worketh by love. And in speaking to you! do not peremptorily assert any thing. I barely propose a thought that uses in my mind, and beg you to consider it.

2. You have some thoughts of altering your condition, and we know, Marriage is honourable to all men. But is your eye single herein ⁷ This is worthy your most serious consideration. Retire a little into yourself, and ask your own heart, "What is it moves me

to think of this ?"

3. I will teil you how it was with me. Though I do not know I was ever low-spirited, (my spirits being always the same, whether in sickness or in health,) yet I was often uneasy. Even in vigorous health, in plenty, and in the midst of my friends, I wanted something: I was not satisfied I looked about for happiness, but could not find it Then I thought, "O, if I had but such a person with me, I should surely be happy" I mused with myself, "How lovely is her look! How agreeably she talks? I thought of Sappho's words:

"Blest as th' immortal gods is he, The youth that fondly sits by thee, And hears and sees thee all the while, Softly speak and sweetly smile."

Furely this is the very thing I want, and could I attain it, I should then no more be solitary! For,

"Thou from all shades the darkness wouldst exclude, And from a desert banish solitude."

Therefore with her, I can be happy, without her, I never can."

4. Perhaps your case is something like mine. Let me then ask

you a few questions.

Were you ever convinced of sin? Of your lost, undone state? Did you feel the wrath of God abiding on you? If so, what did you then want to make you happy? "To know my God is reconciled." You had your wish. You were enabled to say boldly, "I know that my Redeemer liveth." And were not you then happy? "Indeed I was." In what? "In the knowledge and love of God."

5. And if you now have the same knowledge and love of God, does it not answer the same end? Will not the same cause still produce the same effect? If therefore you are not happy now, is it not because you have not that intercourse with God, which you then had? And you are seeking to supply the want of that intercourse, by the enjoyment of a creature! You imagine that near connexion with a woman will make amends for distance from God! Have you so learned Christ Has your experience taught you no better than this?

6. You were happy once. you knew you were; happy in God,

without being beholden to any creature. You did not need

Love's all-sufficient sea to raise With drops of creature-happiness.

And is it wise to seek it now any where else, than where you found it before ? You have not the same excuse with those who were never happy in God. And how little is the seeking it in any creature better than idolatry ? Is it not, in effect, loving the creature more than the Creator 1 Does it not imply that you are a lover of pleasure more than a lover of God?

7 O return to Hun that made you happy before, and He will

make you happy again. Repeat your prayer,

Keep me dead to all below; Only Christ resolv'd to know: Firm, and disengag'd, and free; Seeking all my bliss in thee!

Seck, accept of nothing in the room of God. Let all the springs of your happiness be in him. Seek first, just as you did before, the kingdom of God and his righteousness . the knowledge and love of God; fellowship with the Father and with his Son Jesus Christ: and all other things shall be added unto you: particularly joy in the Holv Ghost. Agam,

> Know God, and teach thyself to know The joys that from Religion flow: Then ev'ry grace shall be thy guest; And peace be there to crown the rest'

Lisburn, June 11, 1785.

THOUGHTS UPON DISSIPATION.

1 PERHAPS nothing can be more seasonable at the present time, than to bestow a few thoughts on this It is a fashionable subject, very frequently spoken of, especially in good company. An ingenious writer has lately given us an Essay upon the subject. When it fell into my hands a few days since, I was filled with a pleasing expectation of seeing it thoroughly explained. But my expectation was not answered: for although many just and lively things are said there, yet in above twenty pages I could find no defi-

mition of Dissipation, either bad or good.

2 But "the love of dissipation," says the Author, "is the reigning evil of the present day" Allowing it is, I ask, What do you mean by dissipation? Sometimes you use the word pleasure as an equivalent term. But what pleasure do you mean? The pleasures of sense, or of the imagination in general? Or any particular pleasure of one or the other? At other times you seem to make dissipation the same with luxury; at least with a high degree of it. Sometimes again you use "the love of amusement," as the same with "love of dissipation." But the question recurs, What amusement do you mean? For there are numberless sorts. So that still, after talking about them so long, we have only a vague, indeterminate notion of a dissipated age, a dissipated nation, or a dissipated man, without having any clear or distinct idea, what the word dissipation means!

3 Those who are content with slight and superficial views of things, may rest in the general account, that a dissipated age is one, wherein the bulk of mankind, especially those of any rank or fashion, spend the main of their time in eating and druking, and diversions, and the other pleasures of sense and imagination. And that we live in a dissipated age, in this meaning of the word, is as plain as Most of those that are commonly that the sun shines at noon day. termed mnocent amusements, fall under this head, the Pleasures of Imagination Whenever, therefore, a general fondness of these prevail, that is a dissipated age A dissipated nation is one, where the people in general are vehemently attached to the pleasures of sense and imagination The smaller vulgar in England are at present passionately fond of the lowest pleasures both of sense and while the great vulgar are equally engrossed by those they Meantime they are all equally dissipated, account a higher kind although in different ways And so indeed is every man and woman, that is passionately attached to external pleasure

4. But without dwelling any longer on the surface of things, let us search the matter to the bottom, and inquire, Wherein lies the original ground of human dissipation? Let this be once pointed out, and it will place the whole question in the clearest light.

5. Man is an immortal spirit, created in the image, and the for en-

joyment of God. This is the one, the only end of his being; he exists for no other purpose. God is the centre of all spirits; and while they cleave to him, they are wise, holy, and happy: but in the same proportion as they are separated from him, they are foolish, unholy, and unhappy. This disunion from God is the very exence of human dissipation: which is no other than the scattering the thoughts and affections from the Creator to the creature. Wherefore fondness for sensual enjoyments of any kind, love of silly, irrational pleasures, love of trifling amusements, luxury, vanity, and a thousand foolish desires and tempers, are not so properly dissipation itself, as they are the fruits of it, the natural effects of being unhinged from the Creator, the Father, the Centre of all intelligent spirits.

6. It is this against which the Apostle guards in his advice to the Christians at Corinth; This I speak, that ye may attend upon the Lord without distraction. It might as well be rendered without dissipation, without having your thoughts any way scattered from God. The having our thoughts and affections centred in God, this is Christian simplicity. The having them in any degree uncentred from God, this is dissipation. And it little differs in the real nature of things and in the eye of God, the Judge of all, whether a man be kept in a state of dissipation from God, by crowns, and empires, and thousands of gold and silver, or by cards, and dancing, and drinking, and dressing, and mistressing, and masquerades, and pick-

ing straws.

7. Dissipation is then, in the very root of it, separation from God: in other words, Atheism, or the being without God in the world. It is the negative branch of Ungodliness. And in this true sense of the word, certainly England is the most dissipated nation that is to be found under heaven. And whether our thoughts and affections are dissipated, scattered from God, by women, or food, or dress, or one or ten thousand petty trifles, that dissipation (innocent as it may seem) is equally subversive of all real virtue and all real happiness. It carries its own punishment: though we are loaded with blessings, it often makes our very existence a burden; and by an unaccountable anxiety gives a foretaste of what it is to be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord!

Hilton Park, March 26, 1783.

A CLEAR AND CONCISE DEMONSTRATION OF THE DIVINE INSPIRATION OF THE HOLY SCRIPTURES.

THERE are four grand and powerful arguments which strongly induce us to believe that the Bible must be from God, viz miracles, prophecies, the goodness of the doctrine, and the moral character of the penmen All the miracles flow from Divine Power; all the prophecies from Divine Understanding, the goodness of the doctrine from Divine Goodness; and the moral character of the penmen from Divine Holmess

Thus Christianity is built upon four grand pillars, viz. the Power, Understanding, Goodness, and Holiness of God: Divine Power is the source of all the muracles, Divine Understanding of all the prophecies, Divine Goodness of the goodness of the doctrine, and Divine Holiness of the moral character of the penmen.

I beg leave to propose a short, clear, and strong argument to

prove the Divine Inspiration of the Holy Scriptures.

The Bible must be the invention either of good men or angels,

bad men or devils, or of God

1. It could not be the invention of good men or angels, for they neither would nor could make a book and tell hes all the time they were writing it, saying, Thus saith the Lord, when it was their own invention.

2. It could not be the invention of bad men or devils, for they would not make a book which commands all duty, forbids all sin, and condemns then own souls to hell to all eternity.

3. Therefore I draw this conclusion, That the Bible must be given

by Divine Inspiration.

SOME THOUGHTS ON AN EXPRESSION OF ST PAUL, IN THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS, CHAP. V. VER 23.

1. THE words, as literally translated as the English tongue will bear, run thus May the whole of you, the spirit, and the soul, and the body, be preserved blameless.

What does St Paul here mean, by dividing man into three parts,

the spirit, and the soul, and the body.

This creates what has been thought an insurmountable difficulty,

by those who argue thus:

"How is it possible to contradistinguish the Soul, both from the Spirit and from the Body? For it must be either material or im-

material, matter or not matter there is no medium. But if it be matter, does it not coincide with the body? If it be not matter, does it not coincide with the spirit?"

But perhaps a way may be found of untying this knot, of uniavelling this difficulty, by simply declaring the (at least probable) mean-

ing of these three terms

May not the Spirit mean (so it has been understood by the Christians in all ages) the highest principle in man, the immortal Spirit made in the image of God, endued (as all Spirits arc, so far as we can conceive) with self-motion, understanding, will, and liberty?

Is not the Body, that portion of organized matter, which every man receives in the womb, with which he is born into the world, and which he carries with him to the grave? At present it is connected with flesh and blood. But these are not the body. They are only the temporary clothing of the body, which it wholly puts

off in the grave.

The Soul seems to be the immediate clothing of the Spirit, the vehicle with which it is connected from its first existence, and which is never separated from it, either in life or in death. Probably it consists of ethereal or electric fire, the purest of all matter. It does not seem to be affected by the death of the body, but envelopes the separate as it does the embodied spirit; neither will it undergo any essential change, when it is clothed upon with the immortal body at the resurrection.

May not the apostle have an eye to this, in those remarkable words, (2 Cor v. 4,) We that are in this tabernacle (this corruptible flesh and blood) do groun, being burdened: not for that we would be unclothed, (divested of all covering, which belongs only to the Father of Spirits,) but clothed upon with the glorious resurrection-body, covering both our soul and spirit. This will swallow up, totally destroy to Suprove that which was mortal, namely, the flesh and blood. which alone was liable to death.

If we understood the words of the apostle in this sense, all the lifficulty vanishes away. We allow, there can be no medium beween material and immaterial. But still there is room for a wide and essential difference, between the soul and the body: the latter implying that original portion of matter which is now clothed with lesh and blood: the former, that vehicle of ethereal fire, which imnediately covers the immortal spirit.

Congleton, March 31, 1786.

THOUGHTS ON SUICIDE.

IT is a melancholy consideration, that there is no country in Europe, or perhaps in the habitable world, where the horrid crime of self-murder is so common as it is in England! One reason of this may be, that the English, in general, are more ungodly and more impatient than other nations. Indeed we have laws against it, and officers with juries are appointed, to inquire into every fact of the kind. And these are to give in their verdict upon oath, whether the self-murderer was sane or insane! If he is brought in insane, he is excused, and the law does not affect him. By this means it is totally eluded; for the juries constantly bring him in insane. So the law is not of the least effect, though the farce of a trial still continues.

This morning I asked a coroner, "Sir, did you ever know a jury bring in the deceased Felo-de-se?" He answered, "No, Sir: and it is a pity they should." What then is the law good for? If all self-murderers are mad, what need of any trial concerning them?

But it is plain our ancestors did not think so, or those laws had never been made. It is true, every self-murderer is mad in some sense, but not in that sense which the law intends. This fact does not prove him mad in the eye of the law: the question is, was he mad in other respects? If not, every juror is perjured who does not bring him in Felo-de-se.

But how can this vile abuse of the law be prevented, and this

execrable crime effectually discouraged?

By a very easy method. We read in ancient history, that at a certain period, many of the women in Sparta murdered themselves. This fury increasing, a law was made, that the body of every woman that killed herself, should be exposed naked in the streets. The fury ceased at once.

Only let a law be made and rigorously executed, That the body of every self-murderer, lord or peasant, shall be hanged in chains,

and the English fury will cease at once.

FAVERPOOL, April 8, 1790.

THOUGHTS UPON TASTE.

1. A FEW weeks ago I read with care and attention, a celebrated Essay on Taste. I cannot say, but I entered upon it with great expectation, as I knew the author to be a man of understanding, and one whose natural abilities were improved by a considerable

share of learning. I knew likewise that the performance itself had been highly and generally applauded: yea, that the Doctor had been honoured with the medal, which is yearly given by the Society, to him that produces the best performance on the subject proposed.

2. Yet to speak the plain truth, I cannot affirm, that it altogether answered my expectation. It did not appear to me, to be written upon a good plan, neither to be well digested. And there are assertions almost in every chapter, which are exceedingly disputable. Many of these I could not clearly assert: some of them I utterly deny. Neither could I find in the whole tract, any clear, just definition of the subject. So that after all he has said, one would still be puzzled to answer the question, "What is Taste?"

S. But is there any better book upon the subject extant I do not conceive there is. At least I have not seen it although there are some ingenious thoughts of Mr. Addison upon it in the Spectator. And nearly related to this, is his fine "Essay on the Pleasures of Imagination." But Taste is a more extensive word. It does not

relate to the imagination only.

4. It may be the more difficult to understand the precise meaning of the word, because there are so few words that are synonymous to it. I do not recollect any, either in Greek or Latin, no, nor yet in the English language Indeed we have some which are generally supposed to be nearly equivalent with it. So a man of taste is almost the same with a man of genius, a man of sense, or a man of judgment: but none of these mean exactly the same thing.

5. "Most languages," says Mr Addison, "make use of this metaphor to express that faculty of mind which distinguishes the most concealed faults and nicest perfections in writings." But this definition is far too narrow. For taste refers to other things as well as writings. And when he adds, It "is that faculty of the soul, which discovers the beauties of an author with pleasure, and his imperfections with dislike" this is too narrow still: for Taste is con-

cerned with many things beside authors

6. What then is Taste, in the general meaning of the word? It is certainly a faculty of the mind, analogous to the sense of taste. By the external sense we relish various foods, and distinguish one from the other. By the internal, we relish and distinguish from each other various foods offered to the inind. Taste is, therefore, that internal sense, which relishes and distinguishes its proper object. By relishes, I mean, perceives with pleasure: for in the common acceptation of the word, we are not said, to have a taste for displeasing, but only for pleasing objects. And as various as those objects are, so various are the species of Taste.

7. Some of these are objects of the understanding. Such are all speculative truths, particularly those of a metaphysical or mathematical nature. So we say, a man has a taste for metaphysics; which is more than to say, He has judgment therein. It implies over and above, that he has a relish for them; that he finds a sweetness in the study of them. And when we say, a man has a taste for the

Vol. 10.-P

mathematics, we mean by that expression, not only that he is capable of understanding them, but that he takes pleasure therein

8 Another species of Taste, is that which relates to the objects that gratify the imagination. Thus we are accustomed to say, a man has a taste for grandeur, for novelty, or for beauty: meaning thereby, that he takes pleasure in grand, in new, or in beautiful objects, whether they are such by nature or by art. And herein there is an unbounded variety. I mean, in the different tastes of inen: some having a taste for grandeur, some for beauty. Some again have a taste for one kind of beauty: and others for another. Some have a taste for the beauties of nature; others for those of art. The former for flowers, meadows, fields, or woods; the latter for painting or poetry. But some have a taste both for the one and the other.

9. But is there not likewise a kind of internal sense, whereby we relish the happiness of our fellow-creatures, even without any reflection on our own interest, without any reference to ourselves? Whereby we bear a part in the prosperity of others, and rejoice with them that rejoice? Surely there is something still in the human mind, in many, if not in all, (whether by nature, or from a higher principle,) which interests us in the welfare, not only of our relatives, our friends, and our neighbours, but of those who are at the greatest distance from us, whether in time or place. And the most generous

minds have most of this taste for human happiness.

10 May we not likewise observe, that there is a beauty in virtue, in gratitude, and disinterested benevolence? And have not many at least a taste for this? Do they not discern and relish it, wherever they find it? Yen, does it not give them one of the most delicate pleasures, whereof the human mind is capable? Is not this taste of infinitely more value, than a taste for any or all the pleasures of imagination? And is not this pleasure infinitely more delicate than any that ever resulted, yea, or can result, from the utmost refinements of

music, poetry, or painting?

11. As to Taste in general, internal as well as external Taste seems to belong to all mankind: although infinitely diversified, both as to the objects, and the degrees of it. When therefore we say, "A man has no taste," the words are not to be taken strictly, as if he had absolutely no taste at all, in any of the foregoing senses sceing every man living has more or less, an internal, as well as external taste. But they are to be understood in a limited sense. He has no taste, suppose, for metaphysics he has no discernment, and he has no pleasure in things of this abstracted nature. Another man has no taste for mathematics; he has neither pleasure nor judgment therein. Meantime the mathematician has no taste either for poetly or music. He does not discern, and he does not relish the beauties, either of one or the other. But every one of these has some internal taste, how dull soever it be.

12. A dull Taste is properly one that is faint and languid, that has no lively perception of its object. But sometimes, by a man of a dull taste, we mean one that relishes dull things: suppose dull, low

compositions in music or poetry, or coarse and worthless pictures. But this is more properly termed a bad taste. So one is hugely pleased with the daubing of a sign-post, another, with doggerel verses, and a third, with the heavenly music of a pair of bag pipes! Almost every town and every village supplies us with instances of the same We sometunes call this a false taste, as it supposes things to be excellent which are not. In many it is natural they have had this wrong turn ever since they were born But in others it is gradually acquired, either by reading or conversation. Then we term it a vitiated taste. of this, too, there are abundant instances

13. On the other hand, he has a good, a just, or a true taste, which discerns and relishes whatever, either in the works of nature or of art, is truly excellent in its kind. This is sometimes termed a correct taste: especially when it is delighted more or less, according to the greater or smaller degree of excellence that is in the object. This differs very little, if at all, from a fine taste especially as Mr. Addison defines it, "That faculty of the mind which discerns with pleasure all the beauties of writing " should it not be rather, Which discerns all that is grand or beautiful, in the works both of

art and nature ?"

14 Such a taste as this is much to be desired: and that on many accounts. It greatly increases those pleasures of life, which are not only innocent but useful—It qualifies us to be of far greater service It is more especially desirable for those to our fellow-creatures whose profession calls them to converse with many. seeing it enables them to be more agreeable, and consequently more profitable in conversation.

15. But how shall a man know, whether he is possessed of this faculty or not? Let him, says Mr. Addison, "Read over the celebrated works of antiquity," (to know whether he has a taste for fine writing,) "which have stood the test for so many ages and countries or those works among the moderns, which have the sanction of the politer part of our contemporaries. It, upon the perusal of such writings, he does not find hiniself delighted in an extraordinary manner, or if, upon reading the admired passages in such authors, he find a coldness and indifference in his thoughts, he ought to conclude, not (as is most common among tasteless readers,) that the author wants those perfections, which have been admired in them. but that he himself wants the faculty of discerning them."

16 But how can a man acquire this taste? It "must in some degree be born with us as it often happens, that those who have other qualities in perfection, are wholly void of this it may, in some measure, be born with us, there are several means of improving it, without which it will be very imperfect and of little use to the person that possesses it. The most natural means is, to be conversant with the writings of the best authors One that has any taste, either discovers new beauties, or receives stronger impressions from the masterly strokes of a great author, every time he

peruses him."

17 "Conversation with men of genius is another means of improving our natural taste. It is impossible for a man of the greatest parts, to consider any thing in its whole extent. Every man, beside general observations upon an author, forms some that are peculiar to his own way of thinking. So that conversation will naturally furnish us with hints which we did not attend to, and make us enjoy other men's parts and reflections as well as our own." Besides, if we converse freely with men of taste, and incite them to "open the window in their breast," we may learn to correct whatever is yet amiss in our taste, as well as to supply whatever we or they perceive to be still wanting all which may be directed to that glorious end, The pleasing all men, for their good, unto edification.

THOUGHTS ON THE POWER OF MUSIC.

BY the Power of Music, I mean, its power to affect the hearers, to raise various passions in the human mind. Of this we have very surprising accounts in ancient history. We are told the ancient Greek musicians in particular, were able to excite whatever passions they pleased: to inspire love or hate, joy or sorrow, hope or fear, courage, fury, or despair: yea, to raise these one after another, and to vary the passion, just according to the variation of the music.

2 But how is this to be accounted for ? No such effects attend the modern music although it is confessed on all hands, that our instruments excel theirs beyond all degrees of comparison. What was their lyre, then instruments of seven or ten strings, compared to our violin? What were any of their pipes, to our hautboy or German flute? What all of them put together, all that were in use two or three thousand years ago, to our organ? How is it then, that with this inconceivable advantage, the modern music has less power than

the ancient?

3. Some have given a very short answer to this, cutting the knot which they could not untie. They have doubted, or affected to doubt the fact': perhaps have even denied it. But no sensible man will do this, unless he be utterly blinded by prejudice. For it would be denying the faith of all history seeing no fact is better authenticated. None is delivered down to us by more unquestionable testimony, such as fully satisfies in all other cases. We have, therefore, no more reason to doubt of the power of Timotheus' music, than that of Alexander's arms, and we may deny his taking Persepolis, as well as his burning it through that sudden rage, which was excited in him by that musician. And the various effects which were successively wrought in his mind, (so beautifully described by Dryden, in his Ode on Cecilia's-day,) are astonishing instances of the power of a single harp, to transport, as it were, the mind out of itself.

4. Nay, we read of an instance, even in modern history, of the

power of music not inferior to this. A musician being brought to the king of Denmark, and asked, whether he could excite any passion, answered in the affirmative, and was commanded to make the trial upon the King himself. Presently the monarch was all in tears, and upon the musician's changing his mood, he was quickly roused into such fury, that snatching a sword from one of his assistant's hands, (for they had purposely removed his own) he namediately killed hum, and would have killed all in the room, had he not been

forcibly withheld

5. This alone removes all the incredibility of what is related concerning the ancient music. But why is it that modern music, in general, has no such effect on the hearers? The grand reason seems to be no other than this the whole nature and design of music is altered. The ancient composers studied melody alone: the due arrangement of single notes: and it was by nielody alone, that they wrought such wonderful effects. And as this music was directly calculated to move the passions, so they designed it for this very end. But the modern composers study harmony, which in the present sense of the word is quite another thing, namely, a contrast of vanous notes, opposite to, and yet blended with each other, wherein they,

" Now high, now low, pursue the resonant fugue"

Dr. Gregory says, this harmony has been known in the world little more than two hundred years. Be that as it may, ever since it was introduced, ever since counterpoint has been invented, as it has altered the grand design of music, so it has well nigh destroyed its effects.

6 Some indeed have imagined, and attempted to prove, that the ancients were acquainted with this. It seems, there needs but one single argument to demonstrate the contrary. We have many capital pieces of ancient music, that are now in the hands of the cutious. Dr. Pepusch, who was well versed in the music of antiquity, (perhaps the best of any man in Europe,) showed me several large Greek folios which contained many of their musical compositions. Now is there, or is there not, any counterpoint in these? The learned know, there is no such thing. There is not the least trace of it to be found at it sall melody, and no harmony.

7 And as the nature of music is thus changed, so is likewise the design of it. Our composers do not aim at moving the passions, but at quite another thing: at varying and contrasting the notes a thousand different ways. What has counterpoint to do with the passions? It is applied to a quite different faculty of the mind: not to our joy, or hope, or fear, but merely to the ear, to the imagination, or internal sense. And the pleasure it gives is not upon this principle, not by raising any passion whatever. It no more affects the passions, than the judgment: both the one and the other lie quite

out of its province.

. Need we any other, and can we have any stronger proof of

this, than those modern overtures, voluntaries, or concertos, which consist altogether of artificial sounds, without any words at all? What have any of the passions to do with these? What has judgment, reason, common sense 1 Just nothing at all. All these are

utterly excluded, by delicate, unmeaning sound!

9 In this respect the modern music has no connexion with common sense, any more than with the passions. In another it is glatingly, undemably contrary to common sense: namely, in allowing, · nay, appointing different words, to be sung by different persons at the same time! What can be more shocking to a man of understanding than this? Pray which of those sentences am I to attend to? I can attend to only one sentence at once: and I hear three or tour at one and the same instant ! And, to complete the matter, this astomshing jargon has found a place even in the worship of God! It runs through (O pity! O shame!) the greatest part even of our Church music! It is found even in the finest of our anthems, and in the most solemn parts of our public worship! Let any impartial. any unprejudiced person say, whether there can be a more direct mockery of God !

10. But to return. Is it strange, that modern music does not answer the end it is not designed for And which it is in nowise calculated for ? It is not possible it should. Had Timotheus "pursued the resonant fugue," his music would have been quite harmless. It would have affected Alexander no more than Bucephalus: the

finest city then in the world had not been destroyed: but

Persepolis stares, Cyrique arx alta manei es.

11 It is true, the modern music has been sometimes observed to have as powerful an effect as the ancient. so that frequently single persons, and sometimes numerous assemblies have been seen in a flood of tears. But when was this? Generally, if not always, when a fine solo was sung: when "the sound has been an echo to the sense." when the music has been extremely simple and inartificial, the composer having attended to melody not harmony. Then, and then only, the natural power of music to move the pas-This music was calculated for that end, and sions has appeared

effectually answered it.

12. Upon this ground it is, that so many persons are so much affected by Scotch or Irish airs They are composed, not according to art, but nature: they are simple in the highest degree. There is no harmony, according to the present sense of the word, therein, but there is much melody. And this is not only heard, but felt by all those who retain their native taste: whose taste is not biassed, (I might say, corrupted,) by attending to counterpoint and complicated music. It is this, it is counterpoint, it is harmony (so called) which destroys the power of our music. And if ever this should be banished from our composition, if ever we should return to the simplicity and melody of the ancients, then the effects of our music will be as surprising as any that were wrought by theirs: yea, perhaps they

will be as much greater, as modern instruments are more excellent than those of the ancients.

Inverness, June 9, 1779.

THOUGHTS UPON JACOB BEHMEN.

I HAVE considered the Memoirs of Jacob Behmen, of which f

will speak very freely.

I believe he was a good man. But I see nothing extraordinary either in his life or in his death. I have known many, both men and women, who were far more exemplary in their lives, and far more honoured of God in their deaths.

I allow he wrote many truths, but none that would have appeared at all extraordinary, had he thrown aside his hard words, and used

plain and common language.

What some seem most to admire in his writings, is what I most object to: I mean his philosophy, and his phiaseology. These are really his own: and they are quite new: therefore they are quite

I totally object to his blending religion with philosophy; and as vain a philosophy as ever existed crude, indigested, supported neither by Scripture nor reason; nor any thing but his own ipse

I grant, Mr Law, by taking immense pains, has licked it into some And he has made it hang tolerably together. But still it admits of no manner of proof.

And all he writes concerning religion is what very many have said

before him, and in a far better manner.

To his whole scheme I object,

1. The whole foundation of it is wrong, the very attempt to explain religion, which is the most simple thing in the world, by an abstruse, complicated, philosophical theory, is the most absurd thing that can be conceived

I pray, consider, but one argument against it. Either St. Paul and St. John knew this theory, or they did not. Mr. Law supposes they did not know it, but that Jacob knew more than they both. I verily think, this needs no confutation. Let him believe it that can. But if they did know it, how did they dare to conceal any part of the counsel of God?

Upon the theory itself, I shall only repeat a very little of what I

observed in my printed Letter to Mr Law, p. 8, &c.

"All that can be conceived," (says M1. Law, quoting from Jacob,) is God, or Nature, or Creature."

Is Nature created or not created? It must be one or the other;

for there is no medium. If not created, it is God If created, is it not a creature? How then can there be three, God, Nature, and Creature? Since Nature must coincide either with God or Creature.

"Nature is in itself a hungry, urathful, fire of life Nature is and can be only a Desire. Desire is the very Being of Nature." "Nature is only a Desire, because it is for the sake of something else! Nature is only a Torment: because it cannot help itself to what it wants."

Shame to human understanding! That any man should fall in

love with such stark staring nonsense as this!

"Nature, as well as God, is antecedent to all Creature is an eternal Nature, as universal and as unlimited as God" Is then Nature God! Or, are there two Eternal, Universal, Infinite Beings?

"Nothing is before Eternal Nature, but God." Nothing but Is

any thing before that which is Eternal?

"Nature, and Darkness, and Self, are but three different expressions for one and the same thing. Nature has all Evil and no Evil in it."

"Nature has seven chief properties, and can have neither more nor less, because it is a Birth from the Deity in Nature" (Is Nature a Birth from the Deity in Nature? Is not this a flat contradiction?) "For God is triune, and Nature is triune." (Nature triune! Prove it who can.) "And hence arise properties, three and three why not four and four? "And that which brings three and three into union, is another property" Sublime jargon!

"The three first properties of Nature are the whole essence of that Desire, which is, and is called Nature" A part of its properties

are the whole essence of it! Flat contradiction again!

"The three first properties of Nature are, Attraction, Resistance, and Whiling. In these three Properties of the Desire, you see the reason of the three great laws of matter and motion."

How does it appear, that these are any of the properties of Nature, if you mean by Nature any thing distinct from matter? And how are

they properties of desire?

"The fourth property is fire: the fifth, the form of light and love." (what is the form of lone? And are light and love the same thing?) "The sixth, sound or understanding," (the same thing doubtless!) "The seventh, a life of triumphing joy." Is then a life of triumphing joy, "that which brings the three and three properties into union?" If so, how is it "the result of that union?"

Once more "Attraction is an incessant working of three contrary properties, drawing, resisting, and whirling." That is, in plain terms, "drawing is incessant drawing, resistance, and whirl-

ing"

Such is the philosophy which Jacob received by immediate inspiration! (to mention only the first principles of it) And by which he

is to explain all religion, and the whole Revelation of God!

I. As to his divinity, I object, first, to the very design of explaining religion by any philosophy whatever. The Scripture gives us

no direction, no, nor any permission so to do. I object much more, to the execution of his design: the attempting to explain it by that base, unmeaning, self-contradictory jargon, which is as far remote from all true, genuine philosophy, as it is from the Scripture itself.

II. But be the foundation as it may, he builds no superstructure upon it, but what we knew before, either with regard to internal or external holiness. We knew before, "Neither circumcision availeth any thing, nor uncircumcision, but Faith that worketh by Love." And what does he teach us by all his hard, uncouth words, more than this plain truth?

We knew before, that we "must be born again," inwardly changed from all evil tempers to all good; from an "earthly, sensual, devilish mind," to "the mind that was in Christ Jesus." And what more does he teach us on this head, by all his vain, precarious

mystical philosophy?

We knew before, that "the loving God with all our heart," and "the loving our neighbour as ourselves," is the fulfilling of the law," the "end of the commandment," the sum of all religion. And what has he told us more than this, in all his nineteen volumes?

We knew before, that the whole of religion is, a heart and life totally devoted to God. Has he told us, or can he tell us any thing

more ? No, nor all the angels in heaven.

We knew before, that the foundation and the superstructure of religion are comprised in those words, "We love him, because he first loved us." Does he teach us any thing higher or deeper? In a word, Does he teach any single point, either of inward or outward holiness, which we did not know before? If he does, what is it? I cannot find out one in all his writings.

III. But if his matter is not new, if this is nothing uncommon, his manner of speaking is new indeed! His language is utterly new. it was never used since the world began. And this is the very reason, for which he is so admired Because he speaks (which cannot be denied) as never man spake Indeed! hardly know, for which he is most admired, the novelty or the obscurity of his language

But I cannot admire it at all: because it is quite unscriptural. There is no trace of it to be found in any part either of the Old or New Testament. Therefore I cannot reconcile it to that express command, "If any man speak, let him speak as the oracles of God."

I cannot admire it, because, secondly, it is barbarous in the highest degree, whatever is peculiar in his phrascology, is not authorized by any good writer whatever. It is queerness itself. It is mere doglatin. It is an insult upon the ear and the understanding of all mankind.

One allows, "None can understand it without much pains; perhaps not without reading him thrice over." I would not read him thrice over on any consideration. 1. Because it would be enough to crack any man's brain to brood so long over such unintelligible nonsense: and, 2 Because such a waste of time, might provoke God to give me up to a strong delusion, to believe a lie.

But I doubt, whether any man understands it at all. For it is so dark and indeterminate, that I have not found any two persons in England, who understand it alike. I thought, if any man living understood Behmen, Mr. Law did. "No, (says one who has been studying him these forty years,) Mr Law never understood a page of him."

IV. The whole of Behmenism, including both phrase and sense, is useless—It stuns and astonishes its admirers—It fills their heads; but it does not change their heads. It makes no eminent Christians. For many years I have diligently inquired, concerning the grand patrons of it. And I have found none of them who were burning and shining lights; none who adoined the doctrine of God our Sayiour.

V. But it is not barely useless it is mischievous. and that in a high degree. For it strikes at the root of both internal and external religion, (suppose Mr Law understood it,) by sapping the foundation of Justification by Faith. For Jacob affirms, "God was never angry at sinners" But if so, he was never reconciled to them. His wrath was never turned away, if it never existed. And admitting this, there is no place for justification: nor consequently, for faith in a pardoning God, which is the root of both inward and outward holiness.

More particularly it strikes at the root of hamility, tending to make men admire themselves, and despise others. Never was a more melancholy proof of this than Mr. Law, who seriously believed himself the most knowing man in the kingdom, and despised all that contradicted him, even in the tenderest manner, as the mire in the streets. It strikes at the root of charity, inspiring into its strictest votaries deep censoriousness toward the world in general, and an incaplessible bitterness toward all who do not receive their new Apostle. This may be observed, in all the Authors of the Memoirs, though, in other respects, good men, and in all I have conversed with in my life who were thorough Behmemists.

Above all, it strikes at the root of external religion, by destroying zeal for good works by laying little stress on either works of piety or mercy, and still less, upon Christian society: it particularly tends to make all men of sense and learning bury their talent in the earth, the natural effect of continually declaiming, in a loose and indiscriminate manner against reason and learning

It strikes at the 100t of all revealed religion, by making men think meanly of the Bible: a natural effect of thinking Behmen more highly illummated than any or all of the apostles. So Mi. S. frankly acknowledged, "While I admired him, I though St. Paul and St. John very mean writers."

Indeed it quite spoils the taste for plain, simple religion, such as that of the Bible is, and gives a false taste, which can relish nothing so well, as high, obscure, unintelligible jargon.

December 22, 1780.

THOUGHTS UPON BARON MONTESQUIEU'S SPIRIT OF LAWS.

1. AS some of my friends desire I would give them my thoughts on "The Spirit of Laws," I do it willingly, and in the plainest manner I can, that if I am wrong, I may be the sooner set right. I undertook the reading of it with huge expectation, hoping to find an invaluable treasure, as the author is seldom spoken of, but as the phoenix of the age, a prodigy of understanding, and the book is every where spoken of, as the highest effort of genius that ever was. Accordingly, as late as it has appeared in an English dress, it is already come to the eleventh edition. And who knows, but in a few years more, it may come to the two and twentieth?

2. Yet I cannot but observe, that in several places the translator does not seem to understand the original: that there is in the last London edition, a great number of typographical errors: and that, not in a few places, either the translator or the printer has made ab-

solute nonsense.

3. But whence is it, that such a multitude of people, so hugely admire, and highly applied this treatise? Perhaps nine in ten of them do this, because others do. they follow the cry, without why or wherefore. They follow one another like a flock of sheep, they run on, because many run before them. It is quite the fashion and who would be out of the fashion? As well be out of the world Not that one halt of these have read the book over; nor does one in ten of them understand it. But it is enough that "every one commends it. And why should not I too?" Especially, as he seems greatly to admire himself, and, upon occasion, to commend himself too though in a modest, decent way; not in that fulsome manner, which is common among modern writers.

4. Others admire him because of his vast learning, testified by the mi merous books he refers to and yet others, because he is no bigot to Christianity, because he is a free and liberal thinker. I doubt whether many gentlemen do not admire him on this account, more than all the others put together, and the rather, because he does not openly attack the religion of his country, but wraps up, in the most neat and decent language, the remarks which strike at the root

of it.

5. But it cannot be denied, that he deserves our commendation upon several accounts. He has an extremely fine imagination, and no small degree of understanding. His style is lively: and even under the disadvantage of a translation, terse, and elegant. Add to this, that he has many remarks, which I suppose are perfectly his own: at least, I never remember to have seen them, in any either ancient or modern writer. Now when all these things are considered, is it any wonder that he should be received with so high and general applause?

6. "Why then do not you concur with the general voice? Why do not you pay him the same admiration?" Without any preface or apology, I will tell you my reasons. And then let you or any candid

man, judge whether they are not sufficient.

I do not greatly admite him, 1. Because so large a part of his book, I believe little less than half of it, is dry, dull, unaffecting and unentertaining: at least to all but Frenchmen. What have I, or any Briton to do, with the petty changes in the French government? What have we to do with a long, tedious detail, of the old, obsolete feudal laws? Over and above, that we cannot find any use therein, that the knowledge of these things answers no one reasonable purpose, it touches none of the passions: it gives no pleasure, no entertainment to the thinking mind. It is heavy and tedious to the last degree. It is as insipid as the travels of Thomas Corvatt.

7. I do not admire him, 2. Because I think, he makes very many remarks that are not just, and because he gives us many assertions. which are not true. But all these he pronounces as ex cathedra, with an air of infallibility as though he were the dictator not only of France, but of Europe, as though he expected all men to bow

before him.

8. But what I least of all admire is, his laying hold on every onportunity to depreciate the inspired writers-Moses in particular. Indeed here his prudence and decency seem to fail him, and he speaks of the Jewish lawgiver with as little respect or reserve, as he

would of Lycurgus, Romulus, or Numa Pompilius.

9. These are some of the reflections which readily occurred to me, from a cursory reading of this celebrated Author. I add but one more, What is the meaning of his title-page? I am afraid of stumbling at the threshold. What does he mean by "The Spirit of Laws " After reading the whole book, I really do not know. The words give me no idea at all. And the more I study, the less I comprehend them The author never defines them at all. I verily believe, he did not comprehend them himself. I believe he had no clear or determinate ideas affixed to those words. And was he not likely, when he set out with his head in a mist, to go on in a wonderful manner? Other talents he undoubtedly had but two he wanted, Religion and Logic. Therefore he ought to be read warily by those who are not well grounded in both.

10. Upon the whole, I think Baron Montesquieu was wholly unworthy of the violent encomiums which have been bestowed upon him. I think he excelled in imagination, but not in judgment, any more than in solid learning. I think, in a word, that he was a child to Monsieur Pascal, Father Malebranche, or Mr. Locke.

THOUGHTS ON THE CHARACTER AND WRITINGS OF MR. PRIOR.

1. A VERY ingenious writer has lately given us a particular account of the character and works of Mr Prior. But it was not likely to be a just one, as he formed it chiefly on the testimony of very suspicious witnesses. I mean Mr. Pope, and Mr. Spence: I object both to one and the other. They depreciated him, to evalt themselves. They viewed him with no friendly eye; looking upon him, (particularly Mr. Pope,) as a rival: whom, therefore, they removed to depress

2. Mr Pope gives it as his opinion, That "he was fit only to make verses." What can be more unjust? He was fit for transactions of the most difficult and delicate nature. Accordingly he was intrusted with them at Paris, and acquitted himself to the full satisfaction of his employers. He was really fit for every thing, for writing either in verse or prose, for conversation, and for either

public or private business

3. But Mr Spence says, "His life was irregular, negligent, and sensual. He descended to the meanest company. The woman with whom he cohabited was a despicable drab of the lowest species. One of his wenches, perhaps Chloe, stole his plate and ran away

with it."

I do not believe one word of this: although I was often in his neighbourhood, I never heard a word of it before. It carries no face of probability. Would Bishop Atterbury have kept up an acquaintance with a man of such a character? Would that accomplished nobleman, the then Earl of Oxford, have given him a place even in his friendship? I am well assured, my eldest Brother would have had no acquaintance with him, had he been such a wretch as

Mr. Spence describes.

4. Others say, "His Chloe was ideal." I know the contrary. I have heard my eldest Brother say, Her name was Miss Taylor, that he knew her well: and that she once came to him (in Dean's Yard, Westminster,) purposely to ask his advice. She told him, "Sir, I know not what to do. Mr. Prior makes large professions of his love: but he never offers me marriage." My Brother advised her to bring the matter to a point at once. She went directly to Mr. Prior, and asked him plainly, "Do you intend to marry me, or not?" He said many soft and pretty things: on which she said, "Sir, in refusing to answer, you do answer. I will see you no more" And she did see him no more to the day of his death. But afterwards she spent many hours, standing and weeping at his tomb in Westminster 4 beey.

5. As to his Writings. I cannot but think Mr. Prior had not only more learning, but a stronger natural understanding, than Mr. Pope. But this is the less observable, because Mr. Prior always wrote, cur-

Vol. 10-Q

rente calamo, having little time to correct any thing. Whereas Mr. Pope laboured every line, and polished it with the utmost exactness. Prior's praise is by no means "that of correctness." He has many unpolished, hasty, half-formed lines, which he would not (or did not) take the pains to correct. I can, therefore, by no means subscribe to that sentence, "What he obtains above mediocrity, seems to be the effort of struggle and travail." Surely not. What he frequently obtains, as far above Pope's Messiah, as that is above Quarle's Emblems, seems to be the effort of a genius not inferior in strength to any beside Milton. But "his words are put by constraint into their places, where they do their duty, but do it sullenly." Nay I reply, most of his words are so natural and unconstrained, as even those of Waller: though they would certainly have done their duty better, had he taken more pains with them. "He extends his sense from one couplet to another; but without success." I think with great success. I will give the first instance that occurs to my memory:

"Happiness, object of that waking dream,
Which we call life, mistaking; tugitive theme
Of me ursuing verse; ideal shade,
Notional good, by fancy only made,
And by tradition nurst: fallacious fire
Whose dancing beams mislead our fond desire:
Cause of our care and error of our mind!
O hadst thou ever been by heav'n design'd
For Adam and his mortal race, the boon
Entire had been reserv'd for Solomon."——

Were ever lines extended from couplet to couplet with more success than these? Is there any constraint here? What lines can flow

more freely? More easily? More naturally?

6. But "his numbers commonly want ease, airiness, lightness, and facility." I cannot possibly be of this opinion. Wherever this is proper, as in all his tales, and in Alma, his numbers have certainly the greatest airness, lightness, and facility. Nay, "but even what is smooth is not soft." No? What think you of the Lady's Looking-Glass, (to take one instance out of fifty.) Where will you show me any softer numbers than these?

"Celia and I the other day
Walk'd o'er the sand-bills to the sea:
The seating sun adorn'd the coast,
His beams entire, his fierceness lost;
And on the bosom of the deep
The waves lay only not asleep.
The nymph did like the scepe appear,
Serenely pleasant, calmly fair:
Soft fell her words, as flew the air."

In truth, the general fault of Prior's poetry is this; it is not too much, but too little laboured. Pope filed and polished every line: Prior set his words down as fast as he could write, and scarcely polished any of them, with any accuracy, at least only here and there. And the reason is plain: Pope lived by his writings; Prior did not. And again: Pope was a man of much leisure: Prior a man of much business.

7, But to descend from generals to particulars. His tales are certainly the best told of any in the English tongue. And it matters not, whether they were ever told before or not. They never were in the English language. I instance only in two of them, The Lady's Looking-Glass, (mentioned before,) and The English Padlock. In both, the diction is pure, terse, easy, and elegant, in the highest degree. And the moral, both of one and the other, may be of excellent use, particularly that of the latter:

"Be to her virtues very kind:
Be to hir faults a little blind:
Let all her ways be unconfin'd,
And clap your padlock—on her mind "

8 But "his amorous effusions have neither gallantry, nor tenderness. They are the dull exercises of one trying to be amorous by dint of study. When he tries to act the Lover, his thoughts are unaffecting and remote. In his amorous pedantry he exhibits the

College."

Surely never was any thing more distant from the truth! "Neither gallantry nor tenderness!" For gallantry, I know not well what it means. But never man wrote with more tenderness. Witness the preface to Henry and Emma, with the whole inimitable Poem: witness the story of Abraham. Are these "the dull exercises of one trying to be amorous by dint of study?" Are the thoughts in these "unaffecting and remote?" Yea, "amorous pedantry of a College?" O no! They are the genuine language of the heart. "Unaffecting?" So far from it, that I know not what man of sensibility can read them without tears.

9 But it is said, "Henry and Emma is a dull and tedious dialogue, which excites neither esteem for the man, nor tenderness for the woman." Does it not?—Then I know not with what eyes, or with what heart a man must read it! "Dull and tedious!" See how doctors differ! One who was no bad Poet himself, and no bad judge of Poetry, describing Love, says.

"Th' immortal glories of the nut-brown maid, Emblazon'd lively on his shield appear."

and always spoke of this very Poem as one of the finest in the Eng-

lish language.

10. However, "Alma never had a plan, nor any drift or design." The drift and design of it are tolerably plain. It is a strong sature on that self-conceited tribe of men, who pretend to philosophize upon every thing, natural or spiritual. It keenly exposes those who continually obtrude their own systems upon the world, and pretend to account for every thing. His design is, it possible, to make these men less wise in their own conceit, by showing them how plausibly a man may defend the oddest system that can be conceived: and he intermixes many admirable reflections, and closes with a very striking conclusion: which points out, where one would least expect it, that all is vanity.

11. The strangest sentence of all, is that which is passed upon Solomon. "It wants the power of engaging attention. Tedious-

ness is the most fatal of all faults. The tediousness of this Poem."—Did any one ever discern it before? I should as soon think of tediousness in the second or sixth Æneid! So far from it, that if I dip in any of the three books, I scarce know where to leave off. No! This Poem does not "want the power of engaging the attention of any that have a taste for Poetry: that have a taste for the strongest sense expressed in some of the finest verses that ever appeared in the English tongue."

I cite but one passage for all. It stands in the first book.

" Now when my mind has all the world survey'd, And found that nothing by itself was made: When thought has raised itself by just degrees, From valleys crown'd with flowers, and hills with trees-From all the living that four-footed rove Along the shore, the mendow, or the grove; From all that can with fine or feathers fly Through th' acrisl or the wat'ry sky; From the poor reptile with a reasoning soul, That miserable master of the whole: From this great object of the body's eye, This fair half-round, this an ple azore sky, Terribly large and wonderfully bright, With stars unnumber'd and unmeasur'd light: From essences unseen, celestial names, Enlight'ving spirits, ministerial finnies, Angels, dominions, potentates, and thrones; All that in each degree the name of creature owns: Lift we our reason to that sov'reign cause, Who blest the whole with life, and bounded it with laws. Who forth from nothing call'd this comely frame, To whom a thousand years are but a day,
Who bade the Light her genial beams display,
And set the Moon, and taught the Sun his way; Who waking Time, his creature, from the source Primeral, order'd his predestin'd course: Himself, as in the hollow of his hand, Holding obedient to his high command, The deep abyss, the long-continu'd store, Where months, and days, and hours, and minutes pour Their floating parts, and thenceforth are no more. This Alpha and Omega, first and last, Who like the potter in a mould has cast The world's great frame, commanding it to be Such as the eyes of sense or reason see. Yet, if he wills, may change or spail the whole; May take you beauteous, mystic, starry roll, And burn it, like an useless parchment scroll-May from its basis in one moment pour This melted earth Like liquid metal, and like burning ore; Who, sole in power, at the beginning said, Le' sea, and air, and earth and heav'n be made, And it was so: and when he shall ordain, In other sort, has but to speak again, And they shall be no more: of this great theme, This glorious, hallow'd, everlasting Name, This God I would discourse.

12. Now what has Mr. Pope, in all his eleven volumes, which will bear any comparison with this? As elegant a piece as he ever wrote was, "Verses to the Memory of an unfortunate Lady" But was ever any thing more exquisitely injudicious? First, what a subject! An eulogium on a self-murderer! And the execution is as bad as the design: it is a commendation not only of the person, but the act!

"Is it in heav'n a crime to love loo well?
To bear too tender or too firm a heat?
To act a Lover's, or a Roman's part?"

Yes, whatever men may think, it is a crime and no small one, with Him that sitteth in heaven, for any worm of earth to violate "the canon he hath fixed against self-murder." Nor did any one ever do this out of firmness of heart, but for want of firmness. "A Roman's part!" Nay, no Roman ever acted this part, but out of rank cowardice. This was the case of Cato in particular. He did not dare to receive a favour from Cæsar.

13. But go on:

"Ambition first sprung from your high abodes, The glorious fault of angels and of gods"

Consummate nonsense! Of angels and of gods! What is the difference? Are not these angels and gods the very same? That is, in plain English, Devils! Are these subjects of panegyric? Or fit to be recommended to our imitation? And if the fault they were guilty of were so glorious, what cruelty was it, to cast them into hell for it?

But what comfort does the Poet provide for the woman that was

guilty of this glorious fault? Why this,

"Yet shall thy grave with rising flowers be drest,
And the green turf he light upon thy breast."

Who would not go to hell, to have the green turf grow upon his grave! Nay, and primroses too! For the Poet assures her,

"There the first roses of the spring shall blow "

The conclusion of this celebrated Poem is not the least remarkable part of it.

> "Life's idle business at one gasp be o'er, The Muse forgot, and thou belov'd no more "

Idle business indeed! If we had no better business than this, it is pity that ever we were born! But was this all the business of his life? Did God raise him from the dust of the earth, and breathe into him a living soul, for no other business, than to court a mistress and to make verses! O what a view is here given of an immortal spirit,

that came forth from God, and is going back to God!

14. Upon the whole, I cannot but think that the natural understanding of Mr. Prior, was far stronger than that of Mr. Pope: that his judgment was more correct, his learning more extensive, his knowledge of religion and of the Scriptures far greater. And I conceive his poetical abilities were at least equal to those either of Pope or Dryden But as Poctry was not his business, but merely the employment of his leisure hours, few of his pieces are so highly

finished as most of Mr. Pope's are. But those which he has taken the pains to polish, (as the Ode to the Memory of Col. Villiers, the Paraphrase on the Thirteenth of the Corinthians, and several parts of Solomon) do not yield to any thing that has been written either by Pope, or Dryden, or any English Poet, except Milton.

THOUGHTS ON NERVOUS DISORDERS:

Particularly that which is usually termed Lowness of Spirits.

- 1 WHEN physicians meet with disorders which they do not understand, they commonly term them Nervous. a word that conveys to us no determinate idea, but it is a good cover for learned ignotance. But these are often no natural disorder of the body, but the hand of God upon the soul, being a dull consciousness of the want of God, and the unsatisfactoriness of every thing here below. At other times it is conviction of sin, either in a higher or a lower degree. It is no wonder that those who are strangers to religionshould not know what to make of this and that consequently all their prescriptions should be useless, seeing they quite mistake the cause.
- 2. But undoubtedly there are Nervous Disorders, which are purely natural. Many of these are connected with other diseases, whether acute or chronical. Many are the forerunners of various distempers, and many the consequences of them But there are those. which are not connected with others, being themselves a distinct, original distemper. And this frequently arises to such a height, that it seems to be one species of madness. So one man imagines himself to be made of glass: another thinks he is too tall to go in at This is often termed the spleen or vapours often lowness of spirits: a phrase that having scarcely any meaning, is so much the fitter to be given to this unintelligible disorder. It seems to have taken its rise from hence. We sometimes say, A man is in And the proper opposite to this is, he is low-spirited Does not this imply, that a kind of faintness, wearmess, and listlessness affects the whole body, so that he is disinclined to any motion. and hardly cares to move hand or foot? But the mind seems chiefly to be affected, having lost its relish of everything, and being no longer capable of enjoying the things it once delighted in most. Nay. every thing round about is not only flat and insipid, but dieary and uncomfortable. It is not strange if to one in this state, life itself is become a burden: yea so insupportable a burden, that many who have all this world can give, desperately rush into an unknown world, rather than bear it any longer.
 - 3. But what are the causes of this strange disorder? One cause

is, the use of spirituous liquors This is one of the horrid effects, which naturally follow the swallowing that fashionable poison. That liquid fire lays the foundation of numberless diseases, and of this in particular. It is amazing that the preparing or selling this poison, should be permitted, I will not say in any Christian country, but in any civilized state. "O, it brings in a considerable sum of money to Government" True; but is it wise to barter men's lives for money? Surely that gold is bought too deat, if it is the price of blood. Does not the strength of every country consist in the number of its inhabitants I Is o, the lessening their number is a loss, which no money can compensate. So that it is inexcusable ill-husbandry, to give the lives of useful men for any sum of money whatever.

4. But a more extensive cause of this disorder than the use of drams, I apprehend is the use of tea: particularly where it is taken either in large quantities, or strong, or without cream and sugar. "Nay, weak tea is far more hurtful." This is a senseless, shameless falsehood. I long drank hot water and sugar instead of tea, and it did me no hurt at all. But three cups of strong tea will now make my hand shake, so that I can hardly write. And let any try the experiment: if any tea make his hand shake, it will not be weak ten, but strong. This has exceedingly increased the number of nervous complaints throughout the three kingdoms. And this furnishes us with a satisfactory answer to the common question, "Why are these complaints so general now, which were scancely heard of two or three centuries ago?" For this plain reason, Two or three centuries ago, no tea was drank in either Britain or Ireland

5 But allowing both tea and spirituous liquois to have contributed largely to the increase of Nervous Disorders, yet it may be doubted, whether one or both of them are the principal causes of them. The particular causes of them, (particularly among those who do not work for their living,) are, as Dr Cadogan justly observes, indolence,

Intemperance, and irregular Passions

First, Indolence, the not using such a degree of exercise as the constitution requires. To illustrate this. Our body is composed of earth, water, air, and fire, and the two latter are as necessary as the two former. To supply these, that curious engine, the lungs, continually take in the air, to every particle of which a particle of fire is attached, which being detached from it, is mingled with the blood. Now exercise quickens the motion of the lungs, and enables them to collect from the air, a due quantity of fire. The nerves are the conductors of this ethercal fire, vulgarly called the animal spirits If this is duly diffused through the whole body, we are lively and vigorous. If it is not (which without exercise it cannot be) we soon grow faint and languid. And if other disorders do not ensue, those termed Nervous surely will, with that whole train of symptoms which are usually comprised in what is termed logness of spirits

6. Intemperance is another principal cause of this: if not intemperance in drink, which is not quite so common, yet intemperance in

meat: the taking more of it than nature requires. Dr. Cheyne well observes, it is not generally the quality, but the quantity of what we eat which hurts us. What hurts the nerves in particular is the eating too much animal food, especially at night: much more the eating at one meal, food of several different kinds. If we consider, how few observe this, we shall not wonder that so many have nervous disorders: especially among those that have an opportunity of indulging themselves daily in variety, and who are hereby continually tempted to eat more than nature requires.

7. But there is another sort of intemperance, of which, I think, Dr. Cadogan does not take the least notice. And yet it is the source of more nervous disorders than even intemperance in food: I mean, intemperance in sleep: the sleeping longer than nature requires. This alone will account for the weak nerves of most of our nobility

and gentry. Not that I would insist upon the old rule,

Sex horas quivis poscit, septemque scholaris; Octoviator habet; nebulo quisque novem.

I would allow between six and seven hours at an average, to a healthy man: or an hour more, between seven and eight hours, to an unhealthy man. And I do not remember, that in threescore years, I have known either man or woman, who laid longer in bed than this (whether they slept or not) but in some years they com-

plained of lowness of spirits.

The plain reason of which seems to be, while we sleep, all the springs of nature are unbent. And if we sleep longer than is sufficient, they are relaxed more than is sufficient, and of course grow weaker and weaker. And if we lie longer in bed, though without sleep, the very posture relaxes the whole body: much more when we are covered up with clothes, which throw back on the body whatever perspires from it. By this means it is stewed in the moist rapour: it sucks in again, what nature had cast out, and the flesh is as it were parboiled therein, and becomes more and more soft and flabby. And the nerves suffer at least as much hereby as any other part of the habit. I cannot, therefore, but account this, the lying too long in bed, the grand cause of our nervous disorders.

8. And this alone sufficiently answers this question, "Why are we more nervous than our forefathers?" Because we lie longer in bed: they, rich and poor, slept about eight, when they heard the Curfew-bell, and rose at four; the bell ringing at that hour, (as well as at eight) in every parish in England. We rise (if not obliged to work for our living) at ten, eleven, or twelve. Is it any wonder then, were there no other cause, that we complain of louness of

pirits?

9. Yet something may be allowed to irregular passions. For as long as the soul and body are united, these undoubtedly affect the body; the nerves in particular. Even violent joy, though it raises the spirits for a time, does afterwards sink them greatly. And every one knows, what an influence fear has upon our whole frame. Nay even hope deferred maketh the heart sick; puts the mind all out of

tune. The same effect have all foolish and hurtful desires. They pierce us through with many sorrows. They occasion a deep depression of the spirits: so, above all, does inordinate affection: whereby

so many refusing to be comforted, sorrow even unto death.

10. But is there no cure for this sore evil? Is there no remedy for lowness of spirits? Undoubtedly there is, a most certain cure, if you are willing to pay the price of it. But this price, is not silver, or gold, nor any thing purchaseable thereby. If you would give all the substance of your house for it, it would be utterly despised. And all the medicines under the sun avail nothing in this distemper. The whole Materia Medica put together, will do you no lasting service: they do not strike at the root of the disease: but you must remove the cause, if you wish to remove the effect.

But this cannot be done by your own strength: it can only be done by the mighty power of God. If you are convinced of this.

set about it, trusting in him, and you will surely conquer.

First, Sacredly abstain from all spirituous liquors. Touch them not, on any pretence whatever. To others they may sometimes be of use; but to nervous persons they are deadly poison.

Secondly, If you drink any, drink but little tea, and none at all without eating, or without sugar and cream. "But you like it

without." No matter: prefer health before taste.

Thirdly, Every day of your life, take at least an hour's exercise, between breakfast and dinner. (If you will, take another hour, before supper, or before you sleep.) If you can, take it in the open air; otherwise, in the house. If you cannot rise, or walk abroad, use within, a dumb bell, or a wooden horse. If you have not strength to do this for an hour at a time, do it at twice or thrice. Let nothing hinder you. Your life is at stake. Make every thing yield to this.

Fourthly, Take no more food than nature requires. Dine upon one thing (except pudding or pye.) Eat no flesh at supper; but

something light and easy of digestion.

Fifthly, Sleep early and rise early; unless you are ill, never lie in bed much above seven hours. Then you will never lie awake. Your flesh will be firm, and your spirits lively.

Sixthly, Above all,

"Give not to your passions way;
God gave them to thee under lock and key."

Beware of anger! Beware of worldly sorrow! Beware of the fear that hath torment! Beware of foolish and hurtful desires! Beware of inordinate affection! Remember the kind command, "My son, give me thy heart!" Then shall there be no more complaining of louness of spirits! But the peace of God, which passeth all understanding, shall keep thy heart and mind in Christ Jesus!

Melville-House, May 20, 1784.

THOUGHTS ON GENIUS.

1. I HAVE for many years desired to see something, long, or short, accurately written on the term Genius. It is a word almost in every one's mouth, and one that is used by abundance of writers. Yet I doubt, it is not well understood by one in a hundred of them that use it. I rejoiced therefore to hear, that so eminent a writer as Dr. Gerrard had published an Essay on the subject. But when I read it, I was disappointed of my hope: it did not in any degree answer my expectations. The ingenious and very learned author did not seem to understand the term at all: nor could I find one proper definition of it throughout the whole Treatise.

2. I hoped, however, to find full satisfaction on the head in Mr. Daff's "Essay on Original Genius:" although I was surprised to observe, it had been published above twenty years before the other. But I was disappointed again. Indeed it undoubtedly contains many judicious remarks. But even here, what should have been done in the very beginning, is not done at all. I want to know first of all, What do you mean by Genius? Give me a definition of it. Pray tell me this, before you say any thing more about it: this is common sense. Without this, you may ramble as long as you

please; and leave me just as wise as I was.

3. The word Genius was used by the ancient Romans, for a superior Being, good or bad, who they supposed, attended every one from his birth to his death. But in this sense of the word it has nothing to do with the present question: wherein it means, either a quality of the human mind, or a man endued with that quality. Thus we say indifferently, "He is a genius, or has a genius." I would here take it in the latter sense, for the quality which denominates a man

a genius.

4. It is evident, that genius, taken in this sense, is not invention: although that may possibly bear some relation to it. It is not imagination: although this may be allowed to be one ingredient of it. Much less is it an association of ideas: all these are essentially different from it. So is sensation, on the one hand; and so are memory and judgment on the other: unless by judgment we mean, (as many do,) strength of understanding. It seems to be an extraordinary capacity of mind; sometimes termed extraordinary talents. This may be more or less extensive: there may be a kind of general genius, or an extraordinary capacity for many things. Or a particular genius, an extraordinary capacity for one particular thing: it may be, for one particular science, or one particular art. Thus Homer and Milton had a genius, an extraordinary capacity, for Poetry. Thus Euclid and Archimedes had a genius, an extraordinary capacity, for Geometry. So Ciccro had a genius for Oratory.

and Sir Isaac Newton for Natural Philosophy. Thus Raphael and Michael Angelo had a genius, an extraordinary capacity, for Painting. And so Purcell and Handel (to mention no more,) had a genius, an extraordinary capacity, for Music Whereas, Aristotle, Lord Bacon, and a very few besides, seem to have had an universal genius, an extraordinary capacity to excel in whatever they took in hand

- 5. It may be allowed that the word is frequently taken in a lower sense. But it has then a word prefixed to it, to restrain its signification. So we say, a man has a middling genius; or a little genius. But it is generally taken for an extraordinary capacity of whatever kind.
- 6. Genius in philosophy, poetry, and oratory, seems to imply a strong and clear understanding, connected with an unusually extensive and lively imagination. In which respect it may truly be said, not only of a poet, but also of an orator and philosopher, nascutur non fit he has this endowment by nature, not by art. Yet it may be granted, that art may exceedingly improve, what originally spring from nature. It may receive assistance likewise from the memory, (nearly related to the imagination:) and also from the passions, which on various occasions enliven and strengthen the imagination.

7. It may be observed, I purpose to abstain from using the word reason or judgment. because the word understanding is less equivocal: and I would always use one and the same word, to express one

and the same idea

8. Both the writers above mentioned, suppose taste also to be essential to genius. And indeed it does seem to be, if not an essential part, yet an essential property of it taste is here a figurative word, borrowed from the sense of tasting, whereby we are enabled, first to judge of, and then to relish our food, so the intellectual taste has a twofold office: it judges and it relishes. In the former respect it belongs to the understanding, in the latter to the imagination.

9 To sum up all. Perhaps genius may be defined, an extraordinary capacity for philosophy, oratory, poetry, or any other art or science the constituent parts whereof are a strong understanding, and a lively imagination; and the essential property, a just taste

Lambeth, Nov. 8, 1787.

THOUGHTS ON MEMORY.

THERE is a near relation between memory, reminiscence, and recollection. But what is the difference between them? Wherein do they differ from each other? Is not memory a natural faculty of the mind, which is exerted various ways? And does it not exert itself, sometimes in simply remembering, sometimes in reminiscence, or recollection? In simply remembering things, the mind of man appears to be rather passive than active. Whether we will or not, we remember many things which we have heard or seen, said or done: especially if they were attended with any remarkable pleasure or pain. But in reminiscence, or recalling what is past, the mind appears to be active. Most times, at least, we may or may not recall them as we please. Recollection seems to imply something more than simple reminiscence; even the studious collecting and gathering up together all the parts of a conversation or transaction, which had occurred before.

but had in some measure escaped from the memory,

But there is one sort of memory, which it seems more difficult to understand than any other. You pronounce or hear a discourse, or copy of verses, which fixes upon your memory. Afterwards you can repeat, in your mind, the words you spoke or heard, without ever once opening your lips, or uttering any articulate sound. There is a kind of inward voice (so we may term it for want of a better expression) which, like an echo, not only repeats the same words without the least variation, but with exactly the same accent, and the same tone of voice. The same echo repeats any tune you have learned. without the least alteration. Now how is this done? By what faculty of the mind, or the body, or both conjointly? I am as sure of the fact, as I am that I am alive. But who is able to account for it? O! how shall we comprehend the ever-blessed God, when we cannot comprehend ourselves?

Yarmouth, Oct. 21, 1789.

A LETTER TO THE REV. MR. HERVEY.

Oct. 15, 1756.

DEAR SIR.

A CONSIDERABLE time since, I sent you a few hasty thoughts which occurred to me on reading the Dialogues between Theron and Aspasio. I have not been favoured with any answer. Yet upon another and a more careful perusal of them, I could not but set down some obvious reflections, which I would rather have communicated, before those dialogues were published.

In the first dialogue there are several just and strong observations, which may be of use to every serious reader. In the second, is not the description often too laboured, the language too stiff and affected? Yet the Reflections on the Creation (in the 31st and following pages) make abundant amends for this (I cite the pages according to the Dublin edition, having written the rough draught of what follows, in Ireland)

P. 39. Is justification more or less, than God's pardoning and accepting a sinner through the merits of Christ? That God herein "reckons the righteousness and obedience which Christ performed as our own:" I allow, if by that ambiguous expression, you mean only as you here explain it yourself, "They are as effectual for obtaining our salvation, as if they were our own personal qualifications" p. 41

our salvation, as if they were our own personal qualifications "p 41 P. 43. "We are not solicitous as to any particular set of phrases. Only let men be humbled, as repenting criminals at Christ's feet, let them rely as devoted pensioners on his merits, and they are undoubtedly in the way to a blissful immortality." Then for Christ's sake, and for the sake of the immortal souls which He has purchased with his blood, do not dispute for that particular phrase, The imputed righteousness of Christ. It is not scriptural; it is not necessary; men who scruple to use, men who never heard the expression, may yet be humbled, as repenting criminals, at his feet, and rely as devoted pensioners on his merits. But it has done immense huit. I have had abundant proof, that the frequent use of this unnecessary phrase, instead of "furthering men's progress in vital holiness," has made them satisfied without any holiness at all; yea, and encouraged them to work all uncleanness with greediness.

P. 45 "To ascribe pardon to Christ's passive, eternal life to his active righteousness, is fanciful rather than judicious. His universal obedience from his birth to his death, is the one foundation of my

hope."

This is unquestionably right But if it be, there is no manner of need, to make the imputation of his active righteousness, a separate and laboured head of discourse. O that you had been content with this plain, scriptural account, and spared some of the dialogues and letters that follow!

The third and fourth dialogues contain an admirable illustration and confirmation of the great doctrine of Christ's Satisfaction. Yet even here I observe a few passages, which are liable to some exception.

P 54 "Satisfaction was made to the divine law." I do not remember any such expression in Scripture. This way of speaking of the law as a person injured and to be satisfied, seems hardly defensible.

P 74. "The death of Christ procured the pardon and acceptance of believers, even before he came in the flesh." Yea, and ever since. In this we all agree. And why should we contend for any thing more?

P 120. "All the benefits of the new covenant, are the purchase of his blood" Surely they are. And after this has been fully proved, where is the need, where is the use, of contending so strenuously, for the imputation of his righteousness, as is done in the fifth and sixth dialogues?

Vоь. 10 —R

P 135 "If He was our Substitute as to penal sufferings, why not as to justifying obedience?"

The former is expressly asserted in Scripture The latter is not

expressly asserted there.

P 145 "As sin and misery have abounded through the first Adam, mercy and grace have much more abounded through the second So that none can have any reason to complain" No, not if the second Adam died for all Otherwise all for whom he did not die, have great reason to complain. For they inevitably fall by the first Adam, without any help from the second

P. 148 "The whole world of believers" is an expression which never occurs in Scripture nor has it any countenance there the world in the inspired writings being constantly taken either in a universal or in a bad sense either for the whole of mankind, or for that

part of them who know not God

P 149. "In the Lord shall all the house of Israel be justified." It ought unquestionably to be rendered, "By or through the Lord." This argument therefore proves nothing. "Ye are complete in him." The words literally rendered are, Ye are filled with him. And the whole passage, as any unprejudiced reader may observe, relates to sanctification, not justification.

P 150 "They are accepted for Christ's sake: this is justification through imputed righteousness." That remains to be proved. Many

allow the former, who cannot allow the latter.

THERON. "I see no occasion for such nice distinctions and metaphysical subtleties

Asr You oblige us to make use of them by confounding these very different ideas, that is, Christ's active and passive righteousness?

I answer, We do not confound these, but neither do we separate them. Nor have we any authority from Scripture, for either thinking or speaking of one separate from the other. And this whole debate on one of them separate from the other, is a mere metaphysical subtlety.

P. 151. "The righteousness which justifies us, is already wrought out."—A crude, unscriptural expression! 'It was set on foot, carried on, completed' O vam philosophy! The plain truth is, Christ lived and tasted death for every man. And through the merits of his life and

death, every believer is justified

P 152 "Whoever perverts so glonous a doctrine, shows he never believed." Not so. They who turn back as a dog to the romit, had once escaped the pollutions of the world by the knowledge of Christ

P. 153 "The goodness of God leadeth to repentance." This is unquestionably true But the nice, metaphysical doctrine of imputed righteousness, leads not to repentance, but to licentiousness.

P. 154. "The believer cannot but add to his faith works of right-eousness." During his first love, this is often true. But it is not true

afterwards, as we know and feel by melancholy experience

P. 155. "We no longer obey, in order to lay the foundation for our final acceptance" No: that foundation is already laid in the merits of Christ Yet we obey, in order to our final acceptance

through his merits. And in this sense, by obeying we lay a good

foundation, that we may attain elernal life

P. 156. "We establish the law. we provide for its honour, by the perfect obedience of Christ." Can you possibly think, St. Paul meant this? That such a thought ever entered into his mind? The plain meaning is, We establish both the true sense, and the effectual practice of it we provide for its being both understood and practised in its full extent.

P. 157. "On those who reject the atonement, just severity." Was it ever possible for them, not to reject it? If not, how is it just, to cast them into a lake of fire, for not doing what it was impossible they should do? Would it be just (make it your own case) to cast you

into hell, for not touching heaven with your hand?

P. 159. "Justification is complete the first moment we believe, and

is incapable of augmentation."

Not so there may be as many degrees in the favour as in the mage

of God

P 190 "St. Paul often mentions a righteousness imputed.' (not a righteousness, never once; but simply righteousness) "What can this be, but the righteousness of Christ?" He tells you himself, Rom. iv. 6, To him that believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, faith is imputed for righteousness. "Why is Christ styled Jehovah our Righteousness?" Because we are both justified and sanctified through him

P. 191. "My death, the cause of their forgiveness; my righteous-

ness, the ground of their acceptance"

How does this agree with p 45, "To ascribe pardon to Christ's passive, eternal life to his active righteousness, is fanciful rather than

judicious ?"

P 195 "He commends such kinds of beneficence only, as were exercised to a disciple as such" Is not this a slip of the pen? Will not our Lord then commend, and reward eternally, all kinds of beneficence, provided they flowed from a principle of loving faith? Yea, that which was exercised to a Samaritan, a Jew, a Turk, or a Heathen? Even these I would not term "transient bubbles," though they do not procure our justification

P 197. "How must our righteousness exceed that of the Scribes and Pharisees? Not only in being sincere, but in possessing a complete righteousness, even that of Christ." Did our Lord mean this? Nothing less He specifies in the following parts of his sermon, the very instances wherein the righteousness of a Christian exceeds that

of the Scribes and Pharisees.

P. 198 "He brings this specious hypocrite to the test" How does it appear, that he was a hypocrite? Our Lord gives not the least intimation of it Surely he loved him, not for his hypocrisy, but his sincerity!

Yet he loved the world, and therefore could not keep any of the commandments in their spiritual meaning. And the keeping of these is undoubtedly the way to, though not the cause of, eternal life.

P. 200. "By works his faith was made perfect: appeared to be true"

No. the natural sense of the words is, By the grace superadded while he wrought those works, his faith was hierally made perfect.

Ibid "He that doeth rightcousness is rightcous—manifests the finith of his conversion" Nay; the plan meaning is, He alone is

truly righteous, whose faith worketh by love

P 201 "St. James speaks of the justification of our faith." Not unless you mean by that odd expression, our faith being made perfect for so the Apostle explains his own meaning. Perhaps the word justified is once used by St Paul for manifested But that does not prove it is to be so understood here.

P 202 "Whose doeth these things shall never fall into total apostacy." How pleasing is this to flesh and blood! But David says no such thing. His meaning is, Whose doeth these things to the end, shall

never fall into hell

The seventh dialogue is full of important truths. Yet some ex-

pressions in it I cannot commend

P. 216 "One thing thou lackest, the imputed righteousness of Christ" You cannot think, this is the meaning of the text. Certainly the one thing our Lord meant was, the love of God. This was the thing he lacked.

P 222. "Is the obedience of Christ insufficient to accomplish our justification?" Rather I would ask, Is the death of Christ insuffi-

cient to purchase it?

P 226 "The saints in glory ascribe the whole of their salvation to the blood of the Lamb" So do 1: and yet I believe he "obtained

for all a possibility of salvation."

P 227 "The terms of acceptance for fallen man were a full satisfaction to the Divine Justice, and a complete conformity to the Divine Law." This you take for granted, but I cannot allow

The terms of acceptance for fallen man are repentance and faith.

Repent ye, and believe the gospel.

i Ibid. "There are but two methods whereby any can be justified, either by a perfect obedience to the law, or because Christ hath kept the law in our stead." You should say, "Or by faith in Christ." I then answer, This is true. And fallen man is justified, not by perfect obedience, but by fuith. What Christ has done is the foundation of our justification, not the term or condition of it.

In the eighth Dialogue likewise there are many great truths, and

vet some things liable to exception

P 253 "David, God himself dignifies with the most exalted of all characters" Far, very far from it. We have more exalted characters than David's, both in the Old Testament and the New Such are those of Samuel, Daniel, yea, and Job, in the former; of St

Paul and St. John in the latter.

"But God styles him a man after his own heart." This is the text which has caused many to mistake! for want of considering, first. That this is said of David in a particular respect, not with regard to his whole character—secondly. The time at which it was spoken When was David a man after God's own heart? When God found him following the eves great with young, when he took him from the

sheep-folds, Ps. lxxiii. 71. It was in the second or third year of Saul's reign, that Samuel said to him, The Lord hath sought him a man after his own heart, and hath commanded him to be captain over his people, I Sam xiii 14. But was he a man after God's own heart all his life? Or in all particulars? So far from it, that we have few more exceptionable characters, among all the men of God, recorded in Scripture

P 261 "There is not a just man upon earth that strength not" Solomon might thuly say so before Christ came. And St John might after he came, say as truly, IVhosoever is born of God sinneth not "But in many things we offend all." That St James does not speak this of himself, or of real Christians, will clearly appear, to all who

impartially consider the context.

The ninth dialogue proves excellently well, that we cannot be justified by our works

But have you thoroughly considered the words which occur in the

270th page?

"O children of Adam, you are no longer obliged to love God with all your strength, nor your neighbour as yourselves. Once indeed I missted on absolute purity of heart now I can dispense with some degrees of evil desire. Since Christ"—has fulfilled the law for you, You need not fulfil it I will connire at, yea, accommodate my demands to your weakness."

I agree with you, That "this doctrine makes the Holy One of God a minister of sin." And is it not your own? Is not this the very

doctrine which you espouse throughout your book?

I cannot but except to several passages also in the tenth dialogue.

I ask, first,

P. 291. "Does the righteousness of God ever mean" (as you affirm) "The merits of Christ?" I believe not once in all the Scripture. It often means, and particularly in the Epistle to the Romans, God's method of justifying sinners When therefore you say,

P 292 "The righteousness of God means, such a righteousness as may justly challenge his acceptance;" I cannot allow it at all and this capital mistake must needs lead you into many others. But I

follow you step by step.

Ibid "In order to entitle us to a reward, there must be an imputation of righteousness." There must be an interest in Christ. And then every man shall receive his own reward, according to his own labour.

P. 293. "A rebel may be forgiven, without being restored to the dignity of a son" A rebel against an earthly king may, but not a rebel against God. In the very same moment that God forgives us, we are made the sons of God Therefore this is an idle dispute For pardon and acceptance, though they may be distinguished, cannot be divided. The words of Job, which you cite, are wide of the question Those of Solomon prove no more than this, (and who denies it?) That justification implies both pardon and acceptance.

P 295 "Grace reigneth through righteousness unto eternal life,"—that is, the free love of God brings us through justification and sanctification to glory Ibid. "That they may receive for giveness, and a lot

among the sanctified:" that is, that they may receive pardon, holiness.

Ibid. "Is not the satisfaction made by the death of Christ, sufficient to obtain both our full pardon and final happiness?" Unquestionably it is, and neither of the texts you cite proves the contrary.

P 296 "If it was requisite for Christ to be baptized, much more

to fulfil the moral law."

I cannot prove that either one or the other was requisite in order to

his purchasing redemption for us.
P 297 "By Christ's sufferings alone, the law was not satisfied." Yes it was; for it required only the alternative, obey or die quires no man to obey and die too. If any man had perfectly obeyed, he would not have died Ibid "Where Scripture ascubes the whole of our salvation to the death of Christ, a part of his humiliation is put for the whole" I cannot allow this without some proof He reas obedient unto death, is no proof at all; as it does not necessarily imply any more, than that he died in obedience to the Father In some texts there is a necessity, of taking a part for the whole But in these there is no such necessity

P. 300 "Christ undertook to do every thing necessary for our redemption:" namely, in a covenant made with the Father. It is suic. he did every thing necessary: but how does it appear, that he undertook this before the foundation of the world, and that by a positive

covenant between him and the Father?

You think this appears from four texts, 1. From that, Thou gavest them to me Nay, when any believe, the Father gues them to Christ But this proves no such previous contract 2 God hath land upon him the inequaties of us all. Neither does this prove any such thing.

3. That expression, The counsel of peace shall be between them, does not necessarily imply any more, than that both the Father and the Son would concur in the redemption of man. 4. According to the counsel of his will,-that is, in the way or method he had chosen. Therefore neither any of these texts, nor all of them, prove what they were brought to prove. They do by no means prove, that there ever was any such covenant made between the Father and the Son.

P 301 "The conditions of the covenant are recorded, Lo, I come to do thy will." Nay, here is no mention of any covenant, nor any thing from which it can be inferred. "The recompense stipulated in this glorious treaty"—But I see not one word of the treaty itself. Not can I possibly allow the existence of it without far other proof than this. Ibid. "Another copy of this grand treaty is recorded Isaiah alix from the first to the sixth verse." I have read them. but cannot find a word about it, in all those verses They contain neither more nor less than a prediction of the salvation of the

Gentiles.

P 302 "By the covenant of works, man was bound to obey in his own person " And so he is under the covenant of grace, though not in order to his justification "The obedience of our Surety is accepted instead of our own." This is neither a safe nor a scriptural way of speaking. I would simply say, We are accepted through the

Beloved. We have redemption through his blood.

P. 303 "The second covenant was not made with Adam, or any of his posterity, but with Christ in those words, The Seed of the woman shall bruise the serpent's head." For any authority you have from these words, you might as well have said, It was made with the Holy Ghost. These words were not spoken to Christ, but of him, and give not the least intimation of any such covenant as you plead for. They manifestly contain, if not a covenant made with, a promise made to Adam and all his posterity.

P. 303. 'Christ, we see, undertook to execute the conditions."
We see no such thing in this text We see here only a promise of a

Saviour, made by God to man

Ibid. "It is true, I cannot fulfil the conditions" It is not true. The conditions of the new covenant are, repent and believe. And these you can fulfil, through Christ strengthening you "It is equally true, this is not required at my hands." It is equally true, that is, absolutely false. And most dangerously false. If we allow this, Antinomianism comes in with a full tide. "Christ has performed all that was conditionary for me." Has he repented and believed for you? You endeavour to evade this by saying, "He performed all that was conditionary in the covenant of works." This is nothing to the purpose; for we are not talking of that, but of the covenant of grace. Now he did not perform all that was conditionary in this covenant unless he repeuted and believed. "But he did unspeakably more" It may be so. But he did not do this.

P. 308. "But if Christ's perfect obedience be our's, we have no more need of pardon than Christ himself." The consequence is good. You have started an objection which you cannot answer. You say indeed, "Yes, we do need pardon; for in many things we offend all." What then, if his obedience be our's, we still perfectly obey in

him

P. 309. "Both the branches of the law, the perceptive and the penal, in the case of guilt contracted, must be satisfied." Not so 'Christ by his death alone, (so our church teaches) fully satisfied for the sins of the whole world." The same great truth is manifestly aught in the thirty-first Article. Is it therefore fair, is it honest, for my one to plead the Articles of our Church in defence of absolute Predestination? Seeing the seventeenth Article barely defines the crim, without either affirming or denying the thing: whereas the hirty-first totally overthrows and razes it from the foundation

Ibid. "Believers who are notorious transgressors in themselves, have a sinless obedience in Christ." O sinen song! Pleasing sound, to

James Wheatley! Thomas Williams! James Reiley!

I know not one sentence in the eleventh dialogue, which is hable o exception but that grand doctrine of Christianity, Original Sin,

is therein proved by irrefragable arguments.

The twelfth likewise is unexceptionable, and contains such an illustration of the wisdom of God in the structure of the human body, as I believe cannot be paralleled, in either ancient or modern writers.

The former part of the thirteenth dialogue is admirable. To the

latter, I have some objections.

Vol. II. P. 44. "Elijah failed in his resignation, and even Moses spake unadvisedly with his lips." It is true: but if you could like-wise fix some blot upon venerable Samuel and beloved Daniel, it would prove nothing. For no scripture teaches, that the holiness of Christians is to be measured by that of any Jew.

P. 46. "Do not the best of men frequently feel disorder in their affections; do not they often complain, When I would do good, evil is present with me?" I believe not. You and I are only able to answer for ourselves. "Do not they say, We groan, being burthened,—with the workings of inbred corruption?" You know, this is not the meaning of the text. The whole context shows, the cause of their

groaning was their longing to be with Christ.

P. 47. "The cure" of sin "will be perfected in heaven." Nay surely, in paradise, if not sooner. "This is a noble prerogative of the beatific vision." No: it would then come too late. If sin remains in us till the day of judgment, it will remain for ever. "Our present blessedness does not consist in being free from sin." I really think it does. But whether it does or not, if we are not free from sin, we are not Christian believers. For to all these the Apostle declares, Being made free from sin, ye are become servants of righteousness, Rom. vi. 18.

"If we were perfect in piety, (St. John's word is, perfect in lore,) Christ's priestly office would be superseded." No: we should still need his Spirit (and consequently his intercession) for the continuance of that love from moment to moment. Besides, we should still be encompassed with infirmities, and liable to mistakes, from which words and actions might follow, even though the heart were all love, which were not exactly right. Therefore in all these respects we should still have need of Christ's priestly office: and therefore as long as he remains in the body, the greatest saint may say,

Every moment, Lord, I need The merit of thy death.

The text cited from Exodus asserts nothing less than that iniquity

" cleaves to all our holy things till death."

P. 84. "Sin remains, that the righteousness of faith may have its due honour." And will the righteousness of faith have its due honour no longer than sin remains in us? Then it must remain, not only on earth and in paradise, but in heaven also.—"And the sanctification of the Spirit its proper esteem." Would it not have more esteem,

if it were a perfect work?

Ibid. "It (sin) will make us lowly in our own eyes." What, will pride make us lowly? Surely the utter destruction of pride would do this more effectually. "It will make us compassionate." Would not an entire renewal in the image of God make us much more so? "It will teach us to admire the riches of grace." Year but a fuller experience of it, by a thorough sanctification of spirit, soul, and body, will make us admire it more. "It will reconcile us to death." Indeed it will not: nor will any thing do this like perfect love.

P. 49. "It will endear the blood and intercession of Christ."
Nay, these can never be so dear to any, as to those who experience
then full virtue, who are filled with the fulness of God. Nor can any
"feel their continual need" of Christ, or "rely on him" in the
manner which these do.

Dialogue 14. P 57. "The claims of the Law are all answered." If so, Count Zinzendorf is absolutely in the right: neither God nor man can claim my obedience to it. Is not this Antinomianism

without a mask?

P 59. "Your sins are expiated through the death of Christ, and a righteousness given you, by which you have free access to God." This is not scriptural language. I would simply say, By him we have access to the Father.

There are many other expressions in this Dialogue, to which I have the same objection, namely, 1. That they are unscriptural, 2.

That they directly lead to Antinomianism.

The first Letter contains some very useful heads of self-examina-

tion In the second,

P. 91. I read, "There is a righteousness which supplies all that the creature needs. To prove this momentous point, is the design of

the following sheets"

I have seen such terrible effects of this unscriptural way of speaking, even on those who had once clean escaped from the pollutions of the world, that I cannot but earnestly wish, you would speak no otherwise than do the oracles of God. Certainly this mode of expression is not momentous. It is always dangerous, often fatal.

Letter III P 93 "Where sin abounded, grace did much more abound that as sin had reigned unto death, so might grace—the free love of God—reign through righteousness, through our justification and sanctification, unto eternal life," Rom. v 20, 21. This is the plain, natural meaning of the words. It does not appear, that one word is spoken here about imputed righteousness: neither in the passage cited in the next page, from the Cominon Prayer and the rainele. In the Homily, likewise, that phrase is not found at all, and the main stress is laid on Christ's shedding his blood. Nor is the phrase (concerning the thing, there is no question) found in any part of the Homilies.

P. 101. "If the fathers are not explicit with regard to the imputation of active righteousness, they abound in passages which evince the substitution of Christ in our stead: passages which disclaim all dependence on any duties of our own, and fix our hopes wholly on the merits of our Saviour. When this is the case, I am very little solicitous about any particular forms of expression." O lay aside, then, those questionable, dangerous forms, and keep closely to the scriptural

Letter IV. P. 105. "The authority of our Church and of those eminent divines," does not touch those particular forms of expression: neither do any of the texts which you afterwards cite. As to the

doctrine, we are agreed,

Ibid. "The righteousness of God signifies, the righteousness which God-Man wrought out." No. It signifies God's method of justifying sinners

P. 107 "The victims figured the expution by Christ's death; the clothing with skins, the imputation of his righteousness." That does not appear. Did not the one rather figure our justification, the other our sanctification?

P. 109. Almost every text quoted in this and the following Letter, in support of that particular form of expression, is distorted above measure from the plain, obvious meaning, which is pointed out by the context—I shall instance in a few, and just set down their true meaning, without any faither remarks

To show unto man his uprightness To convince hun of God's

justice, in so punishing him.

P. 110 He shall receive the blessing—pardon—from the Lord, and righteousness—holiness—from the God of his salvation, the God who

saveth him both from the guilt and from the power of sin.

P 111 I will make mention of thy righteousness only —Of thy mercy.—So the word frequently means in the Old Testament. So it unquestionably means in that text, In (or by) thy righteousness shall they be exalted.

P. 112 Sun shall be redeemed with judgment—after severe punishment—and her converts with righteousness—with the tender mercy of

God, following that punishment.

P. 113 In (or through) the Lord I have righteousness and strength, justification and sanctification. He hath clothed me with garments of salvation,—saved me from the guilt and power of sin both of which are again expressed by, He hathcovered me with the robe of righteousness.

P. 114 My righteousness—my mercy—shall not be abolished.
P. 116. To make reconciliation for imquity—to atome for all our sins—and to bring in everlasting righteousness, spotless holiness into our souls. And this righteousness is not human, but divine. It is the gift and work of God

P. 117 The Lord our righteousness-The Author both of our jus-

tification and sanctification

P. 127 "What righteousness shall give us peace at the last day, inherent or imputed?" Both Christ died for us and lives in us, that

we may have boldness in the day of judgment

Letter V. P 131 That have obtained like precious faith through the righteousness—the mercy-of our Lord Seek ye the kingdom of God and his righteousness—the holiness which springs from God reigning in you.

P. 132. Therein is revealed the righteousness of God-God's

method of justifying sinners.

P. 135. "We establish the Law, as we expect no salvation without a perfect conformity to it—namely, by Christ." Is not this a mere quibble? And a quibble, which after all the laboured evasions of Witsius and a thousand more, does totally make void the Law? But not so does St. Paul teach. According to him, without holiness, personal holiness, no man shall see the Lord. None who is not himself conformed to the Law of God here, shall see the Lord in glory.

This is the grand, palpable objection to that whole scheme. It directly makes void the law. It makes thousands content to live and die transgressors of the law, because Christ fulfilled it for them. Therefore, though I believe he hath lived and died for me, yet I would speak very tenderly and sparingly of the former, (and never, separately from the latter,) even as sparingly as do the Scriptures, for fear of this dreadful consequence

P. 138. "The gift of righteousness must signify a righteousness not their own" Yes, it signifies the righteousness or holiness which

God gives to and works in them.

P 139. "The obedience of one is Christ's actual performance of the whole law." So here his passion is fairly left out! Whereas his becoming obedient unto death, that is, dying for man, is certainly the

chief, if not the whole, which is meant by that expression

Ibid. "That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us—that is, by our Representative in our nature" Amazing! But this, you say, "agrees with the tenor of the apostle's arguing. For he is demonstrating we cannot be justified by our own conformity to the law." No: not here. He is not speaking here of the cause of our justification, but the fruits of it Therefore that unnatural sense of his words does not at all "agree with the tenor of his arguing."

P. 140. I totally deny the criticism on Single-rum and Single-rum, and cannot conceive on what authority it is founded. O how deep an aversion to inward holiness does this scheme naturally create!

P. 142. "The righteousness they attained could not be any personal righteousness." Certainly it was It was implanted as well as imputed

P 145 "For instruction in righteousness, in the lighteousness of Christ" Was there ever such a comment before! The plain meaning is, For training up in holiness of heart and of life.

P. 146 He shall convince the world of righteousness—that I am not

a sinner, but innocent and holy.

P 148. "That we might be made the righteousness of God in him Not intrinsically, but imputatively." Both the one and the other God through him, first accounts and then makes us righteous. Accordingly.

P. 152 The righteousness which is of God by faith, is both imputed

and inherent.

P. 153. "My faith fixes on them both, the meritorious life and atoning death of Christ." Here we clearly agree. Hold then to this, and never talk of the former without the latter. If you do, you cannot say, "Here we are exposed to no hazard." Yes, you are to an exceeding great one: even the hazard of living and dying without holiness. And then we are lost for ever.

The sixth Letter contains an admirable account of the earth and its atmosphere, and comprises abundance of sense in a narrow com-

pass, and expressed in beautiful language.

P. 177. Gems have "a seat on the virtuous fair one's breast." I cannot reconcile this with St. Paul. He says, Not with pearls: by a parity of reason, Not with diamonds. But in all things, I perceive,

you are too favourable, both to the desire of the flesh and the desire of the eye. You are a gentle casust as to every self-indulgence which

a plentiful fortune can furnish.

P. 182. "Our Saviour's obedience"-O say with the good old Puritans, our Saviour's death or merits. We swarm with Antinomions on every side. Why are you at such pains to increase their number?

P. 194. My mouth shall show for the thy righteousness and thy salva-

tion—thy mercy which brings my salvation.

The eighth Letter is an excellent description of the supreme greatness of Christ. I do not observe one sentence in it, which I

cannot cheerfully subscribe to.

The month Letter, containing a description of the sea, with valious inferences deduced therefrom, is likewise a masterpiece, for justness of sentiment, as well as beauty of language But I doubt whether, "merc slamps," p. 241, be not too low an expression and whether you might not as well have said nothing of "cod, the standing repast of Lent:" or concerning "the exquisite relish of turbot, or the deliciousness of sturgeon." Are not such observations beneath the dignity of a minister of Christ? I have the same doubt concerning what is said, p 264, of "delicately flavoured tea, finely scented coffee: the friendly bowl, the pyramid of Italian figs, and the pastacia nut of Aleppo." Beside that, the mentioning these in such a manner is a strong encouragement of luxury and sensuality. And does the world need this? The English in particular? Si non insaniunt satis sua sponte, instiga.

Letter X. P. 271. "Those treasures which spring from the imputation of Christ's righteousness." Not a word of his atoning blood? Why do so many men love to speak of his righteousness rather than his atonement? I fear, because it affords a fairer excuse for their own unrighteousness. To cut off this, is it not better, to mention both together? At least never to name the former without the latter?

P. 285 "Faith is a persuasion that Christ has shed his blood for me, and fulfilled all righteousness in my stead." I can by no means subscribe to this definition. There are hundreds, yea, thousands of true believers, who never once thought one way or the other, of Christ's fulfilling all righteousness m their stead | I personally know many, who to this very hour have no idea of it; and yet have each of them a divine evidence and conviction, Christ loved me, and gave himself for me This is St. Paul's account of faith: and it is sufficient He that thus believes is justified.

P 287. "It is a sure means of purifying the heart, and never fails to work by love." It surely purifies the heart-if we abide in it, but not if we draw back to perdition. It never fails to work by love, while it continues, but it itself fail, farewell both love and good works.

"Faith is the hand which receives all that is laid up in Christ" Consequently, if we make shipwreck of the faith, how much soever is

laid up in Christ, from that hour we receive nothing.

Letter XI. P. 288. "Faith in the imputed righteouness of Christ.

is a fundamental principle in the Gospel" If so, what become of all those who think nothing about *imputed righteousness?* How many who are full of faith and love, if this be true, must perish everlast-

ingly !

P. 297. "Thy hands must urge the way of the deadly weapon through the shivering flesh, till it be plunged in the throbbing heart." Are not these descriptions far too strong? May they not occasion unprofitable reasonings in many readers?

Ne puerum coram populo Media trucidet.

P. 298. "How can be justify it to the world?" Not at all. Can

this then justify has faith to the world?

P 304. "You take the certain way to obtain comfort, the right-eousness of Jesus Christ" What, without the atonement? Strange fondness for an unscriptural, dangerous mode of expression!

P. 306. "So the ments of Christ are derived to all the faithful." Rather the fruits of the Spirit: which are likewise plainly typified by

the oil in Zachariah's vision

P. 310. "Has the Law any demand? It must go to him for satisfaction" Suppose, "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself." Then I am not obliged to love my neighbour. Christ has satisfied the demands of the law for me. Is not this the very quintessence of Antinomianism?

P. 311. "The righteousness wrought out by Jesus Christ, is wrought for all his people, to be the cause of their justification, and the purchase of their salvation. The righteousness is the cause, the purchase." So the death of Christ is not so much as named! "For all his people." But what becomes of all other people? They must inevitably perish for ever. The die was cast or ever they were in being. The doctrine to pass them by, has

Consign'd their unborn souls to hell, And damu'd them from their mother's womb'

I could sooner be a Turk, a Deist, yea, an Atheist, than I could believe this. It is less absurd to deny the very being of God, than to

make him an Almighty Tyrant.

P. 318. "The whole world and all its seasons, are nich with our Greator's goodness. His tender mercies are over all his works." Are they over the bulk of mankind? Where is his goodness to the non-elect? How are his tender mercies over them? "His temporal blessings are given to them." But are they to them blessings at all? Are they not all curses? Does not God know they are? That they will only increase their damnation? Does not he design they should? And this you call goodness! This is tender mercy!

P. 321. "May we not discein pregnant proofs of goodness in each individual object?" No; on your scheme not a spark of it in this would or the next, to the far greater part of the work of his own

hands !

P 334. "Is God a generous Benefactor to the meanest animals, to the lowest reptiles? And will be deny my friend what is necessive, 10.—S

sary to his present comfort, and his final acceptance?" Yea, will he deny it to any soul that he has made? Would you deny it to any, if it were in your power?

But if you lov'd whom God abhorr'd The servant were above his Lord

P. 337. The wedding-garment here means holiness.

P. 340. "This is his tender complaint, They will not come unto me!" Nay, that is not the case, they cannot. He himself has decreed, not to give them that grace without which their coming is impossible!

"The grand end which God proposes in all his favourable dispensations to fallen man, is to demonstrate the sovereignty of his grace." Not so: to impart happiness to his creatures, is his grand end herein. "Barely to demonstrate his sovereignty," is a principle of action fit for the great Turk, not the Most High God.

P. 341. "God hath pleasure in the prosperity of his servants. He is a boundless ocean of good." Nay, that ocean is far from

boundless, if it wholly passes by nine tenths of mankind.

P 342. "You cannot suppose God would enter into a fresh covenant with a rebel." I both suppose and know he did. "God made the new covenant with Christ, and charged him with the performances of the conditions." I deny both these assertions, which are the central point wherein Calvinism and Antinomianism meet. "I have made a covenant with my chosen."—Namely, with David my servant. So God himself himself explains it.

P. 362. "He will wash you in the blood which atones, and invest you with the righteousness which justifies." Why should you thus

continually put asunder what God has joined?

P. 440. God himself at the last day pronounces them righteous, because they are interested in the obcdience of the Redeemer." Rather, because they are washed in his blood, and renewed by his

Spirit.

Upon the whole, I cannot but wish that the plan of these Dialogues had been executed in a different manner. Most of the grand truths of Christianity are herein both explained and proved with great strength and clearness. Why was any thing intermixed, which could prevent any serious Christian's recommending them to all mankind? Any thing which must necessarily render them exceptionable to so many thousands of the children of God? In practical writings I studiously abstain from the very shadow of controversy. Nay, even in controversy, I do not knowingly write one line, to which any but my opponent would object. For opmions shall I destroy the work of God? Then am I bigot indeed. Much more if I would not drop any mode of expression, rather than offend either Jew or Gentile, or the church of God.

I am, with great sincerity, dear Sir,
Your affectionate brother and servant,
J. W.

LETTERS.

TO THE REV. MR. HERVEY.

London, Nov. 29, 1758.

A WEEK or two ago, in my return from Norwich, I met with Mr. Pierce, of Bury, who informed me of a conversation which he had had a few days before. Mr. Cudworth, he said, then told him, that he had prevailed on Mr. Hervey to write against me, who likewise in what he had written referred to the book which he (Mr.

Cudworth) had lately published."

Every one is welcome to write what he pleases concerning me But would it not be well for you to remember, that before I published any thing concerning you, I sent it to you in a private letter. That I waited for an answer for several months; but was not favoured with one line: that when at length I published part of what I had sent you, I did it in the most inoffensive manner possible; in the latter end of a larger work, purely designed to preserve those in connexion with me from being tossed to and fro by various doctrines. What therefore I may fairly expect from my friend, is, to mete me with the same measure. To send to me first in a private manner, any complaint he has against me, to wait as many months as I did; and if I give you none, or no satisfactory answer, then to lay the matter before the world, if you judge it will be to the glory of God.

But whatever you do in this respect, one thing I request of you Give no countenance to that insolent, scurrilous, virulent libel, which bears the name of William Cudworth Indeed, how you can converse with a man of his spirit, I cannot comprehend. O leave not your old, well-tried friends! The new is not comparable to them I speak not this because I am afraid of what any one can say or do to me. But I am really concerned for you an evil man has gained the ascendant over you, and has persuaded a dying man, who had shunned it all his life, to enter into controversy as he is stepping into eternity! Put off your armour, my brother! You and I have no moments to spare, let us employ them all in promoting peace and good-will among men. And may the peace of God keep your heart and mind in Christ Jesus! So prays,

Your affectionate brother and servant,
J. WESLEY

TO THE REV. MR. WALKER

DIFFICULTIES WITH REGARD TO THE CHURCH.

Bristol, September 24, 1755.

REV. AND DEAR SIR.

1. YOU greatly oblige me by speaking your thoughts so freely, and the more by giving me hopes of seeing your further sentiments on so nice and important an affair. I did not delay one day to follow your advice with regard to Mr. Adams, but sent him, by the very next post, a copy of those papers, although I am satisfied already as to the publishing them, and have laid aside that design: the reasons you urge against the expediency of it, being abundantly sufficient. But you seem a little to misapprehend what we speak of, he taking medestination in preachers. We find by long experience, that this is "deadly poison," not in itself, but to the members of our Societies. This we know to be an unquestionable truth: and it was a truth necessary to be observed, nay, and strongly insisted on (though without any design of bearing hard on any particular person) when many were enlarging on "the poisonous doctrines" which they heard at many of their parish churches.

2. All that you say concerning the inexpediency of a separation from the Church, I readily allow: as likewise, that the first and main question must be, Is it lawful to separate ^q Accordingly, this was debated first, and that at large, in seven or eight long conversations. And it was then only, when we could not agree concerning

this, that we proceeded to weigh the expediency of it.

3 As to the grounds on which those who plead for a separation from the Church proceed, some of them have weighed the point long and deeply. They have very particularly, and with earnest and continued prayer, considered the lawfulness of it. And they allow, "If it be lawful to abide therein, then it is not lawful to separate." But they aver, "It is not lawful to abide therein:" and that for the following leasons:

First, With regard to the Lituigy itself: though they allow it is, in the general, one of the most excellent human compositions that ever was, yet they think it is both absuid and sinful to declare such an assent and consent as is required, to a merely human composition. Again, though they do not object to the use of forms, yet they dare not confine themselves to them. And in this form (the book of Common Prayer) there are several things which they apprehend to be contrary to Scriptuic.

Secondly, As to the laws of the Church, if they include the Canons and Decretals, (both which are received as such in our courts,) they think "the latter are the very dregs of Popery, and that

many of the former, the Canons of 1603, are as grossly wicked as absurd." And over and above the objections which they have to several particular ones, they think, "1. That the spirit which they breathe is throughout truly Popish and antichristian. 2. That nothing can be more diabolical, than the ipso facto excommunication so often denounced therein. 3. That the whole method of executing these Canons, the process used in our spiritual courts, is too bad to be tolerated (not merely in a Christian, but even) in a Mahometan or Pagan nation."

Thirdly, With respect to the ministers, they doubt, "Whether there are not many of them whom God hath not sent, inasmuch as they neither hie the gospel, nor teach it, neither indeed can, seeing they do not know it." They doubt the more, "because themselves disclaim that inward call to the ininistry, which is at least as necessary as the outward." And they are not clear, "Whether it be lawful to attend the ministrations of those whom God has not sent to

minister ?"

Fourthly, the doctrines actually taught by these, and indeed by a great imajority of the Church-ministers, they think "are not only wrong, but fundamentally so, and subversive of the whole Gospel" They therefore doubt. "Whether it be lawful to bid them God speed,

or to have any fellowship with them."

I will freely acknowledge, that I cannot answer these arguments to my own satisfaction. So that my conclusion, which I cannot yet give up, 'That it is lawful to continue in the Church,' stands, I know not how, almost without any premises that are able to bear its

weight.

My difficulty is very much increased by one of your observations, I know the original doctrines of the Church are sound: I know her worship is (in the main) pure and scriptural. But if "the essence of the Church of England, considered as such, consists in her Orders and Laws," (many of which I myself can say nothing for,) "and not in her Worship and Doctrines," those who separate from

her, have a far stronger plea than I was ever sensible of.

4. At present I apprehend those, and those only, to separate from the Church, who either renounce her fundamental doctrines, or refuse to join in her public worship. As yet we have done neither: nor have we taken one step further than we were convinced was our bounden duty. It is from a full conviction of this, that we have, 1. Preached abroad, 2 Prayed extempore, 3. Formed Societies; and, 4. Permitted Preachers who were not episcopally ordained And were we pushed on this side; were there no alternative allowed, we should judge it our bounden duty, rather wholly to separate from the Church, than to give up any one of these points. Therefore, if we cannot stop a separation without stopping lay-preachers, the case is clear we cannot stop it at all.

5. "But if we permit them, should we not do more? Should we not appoint them rather? Since the bare permission puts the matter quite out of our hands, and deprives us of all our influence?" In a

great measure, it does therefore to appoint them is far more expedient, if it be lawful But is it lawful for Presbyters, cheumstanced as we are, to appoint other ministers? This is the very point wherein we desire advice, being afraid of leaning to our own understanding

It is undoubtedly needful (as you observe) to come to some "resolution in this point," and the sooner the better. I therefore rejoice to hear, that you think "this matter may be better, and more mossensively ordered, and, that a method may be found, which, conducted with prudence and patience, will reduce the constitution of Methodism to due order, and render the Methodists, under God, more instrumental to the ends of practical religion"

This, Sir, is the very thing I want. I must therefore beg your sentiments on this head, and that as particularly as your other engagements will allow. Wishing you more and more of the wisdon.

from above, I remain, Rev. Dear Sir,

Your obliged and affectionate
Brother and Servant,
JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE SAME.

Kingswood, Sept. 3, 1756.

REV. AND DEAR SIR,

I HAVE one point in view, To promote, so far as I am able, vital, practical religion, and, by the grace of God, to beget, preserve, and increase, the life of God in the souls of men. On this single principle I have hitherto proceeded, and taken no step but in subserviency to it. With this view, when I found it to be absolutely necessary for the continuance of the work which God had begun in many souls (which their regular pastors generally used all possible means to destroy,) I permitted several of their brethien, whom I believed God had called thereto, and qualified for the work, to comfort, exhort, and instruct those who were athirst for God, or who walked in the light of his countenance. But as the persons so qualified were few, and those who wanted their assistance very many, it followed that most of these were obliged to travel continually from place to place; and this occasioned several regulations from time to time, which were chiefly made in our Conferences.

So great a blessing has, from the beginning, attended the labours of these itinerants, that we have been more and more convinced every year, of the more than lawfulness of this proceeding. And the inconveniences, most of which we saw from the very first, have been both fewer and smaller than were expected. Raiely two in one year, out of the whole number of preachers, have either separated themselves, or been rejected by us. A great majority have all

wlong behaved as becometh the gospel of Christ, and I am clearly persuaded, still desire nothing more than to spend and be spent for their brethren.

But the question is, "How may these be settled on such a footing, as one would wish they might be after my death?" It is a weighty point, and has taken up many of my thoughts for several years: but I know nothing yet. The steps I am now to take are plain. I see broad light shining upon them, but the other part of the prospect I

cannot see, clouds and darkness rest upon it

Your general advice on this head, "To follow my own conscience, without any regard to consequences, or piudence, so called," is un-And it is a rule which I have closely followed questionably right for many years, and hope to follow to my life's end. The first of your particular advices is, "To keep in full view the interests of Christ's Church in general, and of practical religion, not considering the Church of England, or the cause of Methodism, but as sub-ordinate thereto." This advice I have punctually observed from the beginning, as well as at our late Conference You advise, 2. "To keep in view also the unlawfulness of a separation from the Church of England." To this likewise I agree. It cannot be lawful to scparate from it, unless it be unlawful to continue in it 3 "Fully to declare myself on this head, and to suffer no dispute concerning it " The very same thing I wrote to my brother from Ireland. And we have declared ourselves without reserve. Nor was there any at the Conference otherwise minded would have aimed at dispute had left us before 4 All our preachers as well as ourselves, purpose to continue in the Church of England. Nor did they ever before, so freely and explicitly declare themselves on this subject

Your last advice is, "That as many of our preachers as are fit for it, be ordained, and that the others be fixed to certain societies,

not as preachers, but as readers or inspectors "

You oblige me by speaking your sentiments so plainly with the same plainness I will answer. So far as I know myself, I have no more concern for the reputation of Methodism, or my own, than for the reputation of Prester John. I have the same point in view as when I set out. The promoting as I am able, vital, practical religion: and in all our discipline, I still aim at the continuance of the work which God has already beguin in so many souls. With this view, and this only, I permitted those whom I believed God had called thereto, to comfort, exhort, and instruct their brethren. And if this end can be better answered some other way, I shall subscribe to it without delay.

But is that which you propose a better way? This should be

coolly and calmly considered.

If I mistake not, there are now in the county of Cornwall, about four and thirty of these little Societies, part of whom now experience the love of God, part are more or less earnestly seeking it. Four preachers, Peter Jaco, Thomas Johnson, W. Crabb, and Win.

Alwood, design for the ensuing year, partly to call other sinners to repentance, but chiefly to feed and guide those few feeble sheep: to forward them, according to the ability which God giveth, in vital,

practical religion.

Now suppose we can effect, That Peter Jaco, and Thomas Johnson, be ordained and settled in the Curacies of Buryan and St. Just and suppose William Crabb, and William Alwood, fix at Launceston and the Dock, as readers and exhorters will this answer the end which I have in view, so well as travelling through the

county ?

It will not answer it so well, even with regard to those societies with which Peter Jaco and Thomas Johnson have settled. Be then talents ever so great, they will, ere long, grow dead themselves, and so will most of those that hear them. I know, were I myself to preach one whole year in one place, I should preach both myself and most of my congregation asleep. Not can I ever believe, it was ever the will of our Loid, That any congregation should have one teacher only. We have found by long and constant experience that a frequent change of teachers is best. This preacher has one talent, that another. No one whom I ever yet knew, has all the talents which are needful for beginning, continuing, and perfecting

the work of grace in a whole congregation.

But suppose this would better answer the end with regard to those two societies, would it answer in those where W Alwood and W. Crabb, were settled as inspectors or readers? First, who shall feed them with the milk of the word? The ministers of their parishes? Alas, they cannot they themselves neither know, nor live, nor teach These readers? Can then either they, or I, or you, always find something to read to our congregation, which will be as exactly adapted to their wants, and as much blessed to them as our preaching? And here is another difficulty still: what authority have I to forbid their doing what I believe God has called them to do? I apprehend, indeed, that there ought, if possible, to be both an outward and inward call to this work: yet, if one of the two be supposed wanting, I had rather want the outward than the inward call. I rejoice that I am called to preach the gospel both by God and man. Yet I acknowledge. I had rather have the divine without the human. than the human without the divine call.

But waiving this, and supposing these four societies to be better provided for than they were before, what becomes of the other thirty? Will they prosper as well when they are left as sheep without a shepherd? The experiment has been tried again and again; and always with the same event, even the strong in faith grew weak and faint: many of the weak made shipwreck of the faith. The awakened fell asleep, sinners changed for a while, returned as a dog to the vomit. And so, by our lack of service, many of the souls perished for whom Christ died. Now had we willingly withdrawn our service from them, by voluntarily settling in one place, what account of this could

we have given to the great Shepherd of all our souls.

I cannot, therefore, see how any of those four pleachers, or any others in like circumstances, can ever, while they have health and strength, ordained or unordained, fix in one place, without a grievous wound to their own conscience, and damage to the general work of God. Yet I trust I am open to conviction, and your farther thoughts on this or any subject, will be always acceptable to,

Rev and dear Sir,

Your very affectionate Brother and Fellow-Labourer, J. W.

TO THE REV. MR. WALKER,

ON HIS ADVISING TO GIVE UP THE METHODIST SOCIETIES TO THEIR SEVERAL SOCIETIES.

Helston, Sept 16, 1757.

REV. AND DEAR SIR,

NOTHING can be more kind than the mentioning to me whatever you think is amiss in my conduct. And the more freedom you use in doing this, the more I am indebted to you. I am thoroughly persuaded, that you "wish me well;" and that it is this, together with a "concern for the common interests of Religion," which obliges you to speak with more plainness than otherwise you would. The same motives induce me to lay aside all reserve, and tell you the

naked sentiments of my heart

Two years since, eleven or twelve persons of Falmouth were members of our Society. Last year I was informed, that a young man there had begun to teach them new opinions, and that soon after, offence and prejudice crept in, and increased till they were all torn asunder. What they have done since, I know not. for they have no connection with us I do "exert myself" so far, as to separate from us, those that separate from the Church. But in a thousand other instances I feel the want of more resolution and firmness of spirit. Yet sometimes that may appear irresolution which is not so. I exercise as little authority as possible, because I am afraid of people's depending upon me too much, and paying me more reverence than they ought.

But I proceed to the substance of your letter. You say, 1. "If you still hold the essence of justifying faith to be an Assurance, why

did you encourage J. H. to believe his state good ?"

Assurance is a word I do not use, because it is not scriptural. But I hold, that a divine evidence or conviction, that Christ loved me, and gave himself for me, is essential to, if not the very essence of justifying faith. J. H. told me he had more than this, even a clear conviction that his sins were forgiven: although he said, that conviction was not so clear now, as it had been in times past.

2. "If you believed Mr. V. to be a gracious person, and a gospel minister, why did you not in justice to your people, leave them to him?"

J. H. assured me, That Mr. V. also had a clear conviction of his heing reconciled to God. If so, I could not deny his being a gra-And I heard him preach the true, though not the cious person whole Gospel But had it been the whole, there are several reasons still, why I did not give up the people to him. 1. No one mentioned or intimated any such thing, nor did it once enter into my thoughts. But if it had, 2 1 do not know, that every one who preaches the truth has wisdom and experience to guide and govern a flock: I do not know that Mr. V. in particular has. He may, or he may not. 3. I do not know, whether he would or could give that flock, all the advantages for holiness which they now enjoy: and to leave them to him, before I was assured of this, would be neither justice nor mercy. 4 Unless they were also assured of this, they could not, in conscience, give up themselves to him And I have neither right nor power to dispose of them contrary to their conscience

"But they are his already by legal establishment." If they receive the Sacrament from him thrice a year, and attend his ministrations on the Lord's Day, I see no more which the law requires. But, to go a little deeper into this matter of legal establishment. Does Mr. Canon or you think, that the King and Parliament have a right to prescribe to me, what pastor I shall use? If they prescribe one which I know God never sent, am I obliged to receive him? It he be sent of God, can I receive him with a clear conscience till I know he is? And even when I do, if I believe my former pastor is more profitable to my soul, can I leave him without sin? Or has any

man living a right to require this of me?

I "extend this to every gospel minister in England" Before I could with a clear conscience, leave the Methodist Society even to

such an one, all these considerations must come in.

And with regard to the people: far from thinking, that "the withdrawing our preachers" from such a society without their consent, would prevent a separation from the Church, I think it would be the direct way to cause it. While we are with them, our addice has weight, and keeps them to the Church But were we totally to withdraw, it would be of little or no weight Nay, perhaps resentment of our unkindness (as it would appear to them) would prompt them to act in flat opposition to it. "And will it not be the same at your death?" I believe not: for I believe there will be no resentment in this case And the last advice of a dying friend, is not likely to be so soon forgotten.

3 But "was there no inconsistency in your visiting Mr. V. as a minister of the Gospel, when you do not give up your people to him?" My visiting him as a gospel ininister, did not imply any obli-

gation so to do.

4. "If that was not the design of the visit, you should not have visited him at all" Does that follow, I visited him as a brother and a fellow-labourer, because he desired it.

5. "Does not this conduct on the whole, savour of a party spirit? And show a desire to please the Methodists, as Methodists?"

I am not conscious of any such spirit, or of any desire but that of pleasing all men, for their good, to edification. And I have as great

a desire thus to please you, as any Methodist under heaven.

You add one thing more, which is of deep importance, and deserves a particular consideration. "You spake to Mr. Vowler of your being as one man. Nothing is so desirable. But really before it can be effected, something must be done on your part, more than paying us visits, which, as far as I can see, can serve no other purpose in the present circumstances, than to bring us under needless difficulties."

I did indeed speak to Mr V. of our being as one man And not to him only, but to several others, for it lay much upon my heart. Accordingly I proposed that question to all who met at our late conference, "What can be done, in order to a close union with the clergy, who preach the truth?" We all agreed, that nothing could be more desirable. I, in particular, have long desired it; not from any view to my own ease, or honour, or temporal convenience in any kind but because I was deeply convinced, it might be a blessing to my own soul, and a means of increasing the general work of God.

But you say, "Really before it can be effected, something must be done on your part." Tell me what, and I will do it without delay; however contrary it may be to my ease, or natural inclination provided only, that it consists with my keeping a conscience void of offence toward God and toward man. It would not consist with this to give up the flock under my care, to any other minister, till I and they were convinced, they would have the same advantages for holiness under him which they now enjoy. But "paying us visits, can serve no other purpose, than to bring us under needless difficulties." I will speak very freely on this head. Can our conversing together serve no other purpose? You seem then not to have the least concern of your own wanting any such thing! But whether you do or not, I feel I do: I am not in memet totus teres atque totundus. I want more light, more strength, for my personal walking with God. And I know not, but He may give it me through you. And whether you do or not, I want more light and strength, for guiding the flock committed to my charge. May not the Lord send this also by whom he will send? And by you as probably as by any other. It is not improbable that he may by you give me clearer light, either as to doctrine or discipline. And even hereby, how much comfort and profit might redound to thousands of those for whom Christ hath died? Which, I apprehend, would abundantly compensate any difficulties that might arise from such conversation.

But what difficulties are those? All that are the necessary consequence of sharing our reproach. And what reproach is it which we bear? Is it the reproach of Christ, or not? It arose first, while my brother and I were at Oxford, from our endeavouring to be real

Christians. It was increased abundantly when we began to preach repentance and remission of sins: and insisting, that we are justified by faith. For this cause were we excluded from preaching in the churches: (I say, for this: as yet there was no field-preaching.) And this exclusion occasioned our preaching elsewhere, with the other irregularities that followed. Therefore all the reproach consequent thereon, is no other than the reproach of Christ.

And what are we worse for this? It is not displeasing to flesh and blood: but is it any hinderance to the work of God? Did he work more by us when we were honourable men? By no means. God never used us to any purpose, till we were a proverb of reproach. Nor have we now a jot more of dishonour, of evil report, than we know is necessary both for us and for the people, to balance that honour and good report, which otherwise could not be

borne.

You need not, therefore, to be so much afraid of, or so careful to avoid this. It is a precious balm: it will not break your head, neither lessen your usefulness. And indeed, you cannot avoid it, any otherwise than by departing from the work. You do not avoid it by standing aloof from us; what you call *Christian*, I call worldly prudence.

I speak as a fool: bear with me. I am clearly satisfied, that you have far more faith, more love, and more of the mind that was in Christ than I have. But have you more gifts for the work of God? Or more fruit of your labour? Has God owned you inore? I would he had, a thousand fold! I pray God, that he may! Have you at present more experience of the wisdom of the world and the devices of Satan? Or of the manner and method wherein it pleases God to counter-work them in this period of his Providence? Are you sure, God would add nothing to you by me, (besides what He might add to me by you?) Perhaps when the time is slipped out of your hands, when I am no more seen, you may wish you had not rejected the assistance of, even

Your affectionate brother,

TO THE REV. MR. WALKER.

October, 1758.

REV. AND DEAR SIR,

1 RETURN you many thanks for the welcome letter from Mr. Adam, as well as for your own. I have answered his, (which is written in a truly Christian spirit,) and now proceed to consider yours. After having observed, That two of our preachers are gone from us, and none of these remaining (to my knowledge,) have at present, any desire or design of separating from the Church, yet 1 observe,

1. Those ministers who truly feared God near a hundred years ago, had undoubtedly much the same objections to the Liturgy, which some (who never read their works,) have now. And I myself so far allow the force of several of those objections, that I should not dare to declare my assent and consent to that book in the terms prescribed. Indeed they are so strong, that I think they cannot safely be used, with negard to any book but the Bible Neither dare I confine myself wholly to forms of prayer, not even in the Church. I use indeed all the forms but I frequently add extemporary prayer, either before or after sermon.

2 In behalf of many of the Canons, I can say little, of the Sprritual courts, nothing at all. I dare not, therefore, allow the authority of the former, or the jurisdiction of the latter. But I am not yet required to do it. So that difficulty does not lie in my way yet.

3. "Whether it be lawful to attend the ministrations of one whom I know God has not sent to minister, seeing he expressly disclaims that call of God, which is at least as necessary as the call of man:" is really a question which (as I said before) I cannot answer to my own sahsfaction. Neither can I tell,

4. How far that command of our Lord, Beware of false prophets, obliges me to refrain from hearing such as put darkness for light and light for darkness. I am still in doubt, whether quietly attending them while they do this, be not, in effect, the bidding them God speed the strengthening them hands in evil, and encouraging others to hear them, till they fall into hell together.

I am still desirous of knowing, in what particular manner you think the present work of God could be carried on, without the assistance of Lay-preachers. This I will fairly weigh, and give you

my thoughts upon it.

Some little things occurred to me in reading over your Sermons, which I had a desire to communicate to you. In the great points I cannot observe any difference between us. We both contend for the inward kingdom, the mind that was in Christ Jesus, the image of God to be new stamped upon the heart. I am sometimes much discouraged at finding so little of this in myself. Assist both with your advice and prayers,

Dear Sir,
Your very affectionate Brother and Servant,
John Wesley.

FROM MR. WESLEY TO HIS FATHER.

Lincoln, Dec. 19, 1729.

DEAR SIR,

AS I was tooking over, the other day, Mr. Ditton's Discourse on the Resurrection of Christ, I found toward the end of it, a sort of Essay on the Origin of Evil. I fancied the shortness of it, if nothing else, would make you willing to read it; though very probably you will not find much in it which has not occurred to your thoughts before.

Page 424. "Since the Supreme Being must needs be infinitely and essentially good, as well as wise and powerful, it has been esteemed no little difficulty, to show how evil came into the world.

Unde Malun, has been a mighty question."

There were some, who, in order to solve this, supposed two suppreme, governing principles; the one, a good, the other, an evil one: which latter was independent on, and of equal power with the former, and the author of all that was irregular or bad in the universe. This monstrous scheme the Manichees fell into and much improved; but were sufficiently confuted by St. Austin, who had

reason to be particularly acquainted with their tenets.

But the plain truth is, the hypothesis requires no more to the confutation of it, than the bare proposing it. Two supreme, independent principles, is next door to a contradiction in terms. It is the very same thing, in result and consequence, as saying two absolute Infinites: and he that says two, had as good say ten or fifty, or any other number whatever. Nay, if there can be two essentially, distinct, absolute Infinites, there may be an infinity of such absolute Infinites: that is as much as to say, none of them all would be an absolute Infinite, or that none of them all would be properly and really infinite. ('For real infinity is strict and absolute infinity, and only that.')

"From the nature of liberty and free-will, we may deduce a very possible and satisfactory (perhaps the only possible just) account of

the origin of evil.

"There are, and necessarily must be, some original, intrinsic agreements and disagreements, fitnesses and unfitnesses, of certain things and circumstances, to and with each other; which are antecedent to all positive institutions, founded on the very nature of those things and circumstances, considered in themselves, and in their relation to each other."

"As these all fall within the comprehension of an infinite, discerning mind, who is likewise infinite, essential rectitude and reason; so those on the one side must necessarily (to speak after the manner of men) be chosen or approved of by him, as the other disliked and

disapproved. and this on the score of the eternal, intrinsic agree-

ableness and disagreeableness of them."

"Farther, it no way derogated from any one perfection of an infinite Being, to endow other beings, which he made, with such a power as we call liberty, that is, to furnish them with such capacities, dispositions, and principles of action, that it should be possible for them either to observe or to deviate from those eternal rules and measures of htness, and agreeableness, with respect to certain things and circumstances, which were so conformable to the infinite rectitude of his own will, and which infinite reason must necessarily dis-Now evil is a deviation from those measures of eternal, unerring Order and Reason not to choose what is worthy to be chosen, and is accordingly chosen by such a will as the divine. And to bring this about, no more is necessary, than the exerting certain acts of that power we call free-will: by which power we are enabled to choose or refuse, and to determine ourselves to action accordingly. Therefore, without having recourse to any ill principle, we may fauly account for the origin of evil, from the possibility of a various use of our liberty; even as that capacity or possibility itself is ultimately founded on the defectibility and finiteness of a created nature."

I am, dear Sin, your dutiful and affectionate Son,

John Wesker.

A little larger answer to his famous Question, we have in a Treatise DE ORIGINE MALI, written by Dr King, Archbishop of Dublin, of which I sent my Father the following Extract.

January, 1731

DEAR SIR,

THOUGH some of the Postulata, upon which Archbishop King builds his hypothesis of the Origin of Evil, he such as very few will admit of, yet since the superstructure is regular and well contrived, I thought you would not be unwilling to see the scheme of that celebrated work.

brated work. He divides it into five chapters.

The sum of the first chapter is this: the first notions we have of outward things, are our conceptions of Motion, Matter, and Space. Concerning each of these we soon observe, that it does not exist of itself, and consequently, that there must be some First Cause, to which all of them owe their existence. Although we have no faculty for the direct perception of this First Cause, and so can know very little more of him than a blind man of light, yet thus much we know of him by the faculties we have, that He is one, infinite in nature and power, free, intelligent, and oinniscient; that consequently he proposes to himself an end in every one of his actions, and that the end of his creating the world was the exercise of his power, and wisdon,

and goodness; which he therefore made as perfect as it could be

made, by infinite goodness, and power, and wisdom.

Chap II. But if so, how came evil into the world? If the world was made by such an agent, with such an intention, how is it, that either imperfection, or natural or moral evils have a place in it? Is not this difficulty best solved by the Manichean supposition, that there is an evil as well as a good principle? By no means: for it is just as repugnant to Infinite Goodness to create what it foresaw would be spoiled by another, as to create what would be spoiled by the constitution of its nature: their supposition therefore leaves the difficulty as it found it. But if it could be proved, that to permit evils in the world is consistent with, nay, necessarily results from Infinite Goodness, then the difficulty would vanish; and to prove this is the design of the following Treatise.

Chap III All created beings, as such, are necessarily imperfect; nay, infinitely distant from supreme perfection. Nor can they all be equally perfect, since some must be only parts of others. As to their properties too, some must be perfecter than others: for suppose any number of the most perfect beings created, infinite goodness would prompt the Creator to add less perfect beings to those, if their existence neither lessened the number nor conveniences of the more perfect. The existence of Matter, for instance, neither lessens the number nor the conveniences of pure Spirits. Therefore, the addition of material beings to spiritual, was not contrary to, but

esulted from, infinite goodness.

Chap. IV. As the evils of imperfection necessarily spring from this, that the imperfect things were made out of nothing, so natural evils necessarily spring, from their being made out of matter. For matter is totally useless, without motion, or even without such a motion as will divide it into parts; but this cannot be done without a contrariety of motions, and from this necessarily flows generation

and corruption.

The material part of us being thus liable to corruption, pain is necessary to make us watchful against it, and to warn us of what tends toward it, as is the fear of death likewise, which is of use in many places that pain does not reach. From these all the passions necessarily spring, nor can these be extinguished while those remain. But if pain, and the fear of death, were extinguished, no animal could long subsist. Since, therefore, these evils are necessarily joined with more than equivalent goods, the permitting these is not repugnant to, but flows from, infinite goodness. The same observation holds as to hunger, thirst, childhood, age, diseases, wild beasts, and poisons. They are all, therefore, permitted because each of them is necessarily connected with such a good as outweighs the evil.

Chap. V. Touching moral evils (by which I mean, "Inconveniences arising from the choice of the sufferer,") I purpose to show,

1. What is the nature of Choice or Election 2. That our happiness consists in the elections or choices we make 3. What elec-

tions are improper to be made 4 How we come to make such elections, and, 5 How our making them is consistent with the divine power and goodness.

1 By liberty I mean, an active, self-determining power, which does not choose things because they are pleasing, but is pleased with

them because it chooses them.

That God is endued with such a power, I conclude, 1. Because nothing is good or evil, pleasing or displeasing to him, before he chooses it. 2. Because his will or choice is the cause of goodness in all created things. 3 Because if God had not been endued with such a principle, he would never have created any thing

But it is to be observed farther, that God sees and chooses whatever is connected with what he chooses, in the same instant; and that he likewise chooses whatever is convenient for his creatures in

the same moment wherein he chooses to create them

That man partakes of this principle I conclude, 1. Because expenience shows it. 2. Because we observe in ourselves the signs and properties of such a power. We observe we can counteract our appetites, senses, and even our reason, if we so choose, which we can no otherwise account for, than by admitting such a power in ourselves

3. The more of this power any being possesses, the less subject he is to the impulses of external agents; and the more commodious is his condition. Happiness rises from a due use of our faculties. If therefore this be the noblest of all our faculties, then our chief happiness lies in the due use of this; that is, in our elections. And farther, election is the cause why things please us. he, therefore, who has an uncontrolled power of electing, may please himself always and if things fall out contrary to what he chooses, he may change his choice and suit it to them, and so still be happy. Indeed in this life this natural appetites will sometimes disturb his elections, and so prevent his perfect happiness: yet is it a fair step towards it, that he has a power that can at all times find pleasure in itself, however outward things vary

4. True it is, that this power sometimes gives pain, namely, when it falls short of what it chooses: which may come to pass, if we choose either things impossible to be had, or inconsistent with each other, or such as are out of our power, (perhaps because others chose them before us,) or, lastly, such as unnecessarily lead us into

natural evils.

5. And into these foolish choices we may be betrayed either by ignorance, negligence, by indulging the exercise of liberty too far, by obstinacy or habit; or, lastly, by the importunity of our natural appetites. Hence it appears how cautious we ought to be in choosing; for though we may alter our choice, yet to make that alteration is painful, the more painful, the longer we have persisted in it.

6. There are three ways by which God might have hindered his creatures from thus abusing their hierty. First, By not creating any being free; but had this method been taken, then, 1. The whole

miverse would have been a mere machine. 2. That would have been wanting which is most pleasing to God of any thing in the universe, namely, the free service of his reasonable creatures. 3. His reasonable creatures would have been in a worse state than they are now; for only free agents can be perfectly happy; as, without a possibility of doing wrong, there can be no freedom.

The second way by which God might prevent the abuse of liberty, is, by overruling this power, and constraining us to choose right. But this would be to do and undo, to contradict himself, to take

away what he had given.

The third way by which God might have hindered his creatures from making an ill use of liberty, is, by placing them where they should have had no temptation to abuse it. But this too would have been the same in effect, as to have given them no liberty at all.

I am, dear Sir, your affectionate and dutiful Son,

John Wesley.

TO DR. ROBERTSON.

Bristol, Sept. 24, 1753.

DEAR SIR.

I HAVE lately had the pleasure of reading Mr. Ramsay's Principles of Religion, with the notes you have annexed to them. Doubtless he was a person of a bright and strong understanding, but, I think, not of a very clear apprehension. Perhaps it might be owing to this, that not distinctly perceiving the strength of some of the objections to his hypothesis; he is very peremptory in his assertions, and apt to treat his opponent with an air of contempt and disdain. This seems to have been a blemish even in his moral character. I am afraid the using guile is another. For surely it is a mere artifice to impute to the schoolmen the rise of almost every opinion which he censures. Seeing he must have known that most, if not all of those opinions, preceded the schoolmen several hundreds of years.

The Treatise itself gave me a stronger conviction than ever I had before; both of the fallaciousness and unsatisfactoriness of the mathematical method of reasoning on religious subjects. Extremely fallacious it is; for if we slip but in one line, a whole train of errors may follow: and utterly unsatisfactorily, at least to me, because I

can never be sufficiently assured that this is not the case.

The two first books, although, doubtless they are a fine chain of reasoning, yet gave me the less satisfaction, because I am clearly of Mr. H—'s judgment, that all this is beginning at the wrong end: that we can have no idea of God, nor any sufficient proof of his very being, but from the creatures: and that the meanest plant is a far stronger proof hereof, than all Dr. Clark's or the Chevalier's demonstrations.

Among the latter, I was surprised to find a demonstration of the

manner how God is present to all beings, p. 57. How he begat the Son from all eternity, p. 77, and how the Holy Ghost proceeds from the Father and the Son! p. 85. " Quanto satrus est fater nescire qua nescras, quam ista effutientem nauseare, et ipsum tibi displicere?" How much better to keep to his own conclusion, p. 95. "Reason proves that this mystery is possible." Revelation assures us, that it is true:

heaven alone can show us how it is.

There are several propositions in his second book which I cannot assent to: particularly with regard to the divine foreknowledge. I can by no means acquiesce in the twenty-second proposition. "That it is a matter of free choice in God, to think of finite ideas," I cannot reconcile this, with the assertion of the Apostle, "Known unto God are all his works an' aiwros, from eternity. And if any one ask, How is God's foreknowledge consistent with our freedom? I plainly answer, "I cannot tell."

In the third book, p. 209, I read, "The desire of God, purely as beatifying, as the source of infinite pleasure, is a necessary consequence of the natural love we have for happiness" I deny it absolutely My natural love for happiness, was as strong thirty years ago as at thus instant. Yet I had then no more desire of God, as the source of any pleasure at all, than I had of the Devil, or of hell. So totally false is that, "That the soul inevitably loves what it judges to

be the best."

Equally false is his next corollary ibid that "If ever fallen spirits see and feel that moral evil is a source of eternal misery, they cannot continue to will it deliberately." I can now show living proofs of the contrary. But I take knowledge, both from this and many other of his assertions, that Mr R. never rightly understood the height and depth of that corruption which is in man, as well as diabolical nature

The doctrine of pure love, as it is stated in the fourth book and clsewhere, (the loving God chiefly if not solely for his inherent perfections,) I once firmly espoused But I was at length unwillingly convinced, that I must give it up, or give up the Bible. And for near twenty years I have thought (as I do now) that it is at least unscriptural, if not anti-scriptural. For the Scripture gives not the least intimation, that I can find, of any higher, or indeed any other love of God, than that mentioned by St John, " We love him, because he first loved us" And I desire no higher love of God, till my spirit returns to him.

P. 313 "There can be but two possible ways of curing moral cyil: the sensation of pleasure in the discovery of truth, or the sen-

sation of pain in the love of error."

So here is one who has searched out the Almighty to perfection! Who knows every way wherein he can exert his omnipotence!

I am not clear in this. I believe it is very possible for God to act in some third way. I believe he can make me as holy as an archangel, without any sensation at all preceding.

P. 324. " Hence it is, that the chaos mentioned in the first chapter of Genesis, cannot be understood of the primitive state of nature." Why not, if God created the world gradually, as we are assured he did?

In the fifth book, p. 834, I read a more extraordinary assertion than any of the preceding "The infusion of such supernatural habits, by one instantaneous act is impossible. We cannot be confirmed in immutable habits of good, but by a long and continued repetition of free acts." I dare not say so. I am persuaded God can, this moment, confirm me immutably good

"Such is the nature of finite spirits, that after a certain degree of good habits contracted, they become unpervertible and immutable in the love of order," p. 335. If so, "After a certain degree of evil habits contracted, must they not become unconvertible and immutable in the hatred of order?" And if Omnipotence cannot prevent

the one, neither can it prevent the other.

P. 343. "No creature can suffer, but what has merited punishment." This is not true; for the man Christ Jesus was a creature. But he suffered, yet he had not merited punishment, unless our sins were imputed to him. But if so, Adam's sin night be imputed to us, and on that account even an infant may suffer

Now if these things are so, if a creature may suffer for the sin of another imputed to him, then the whole frame of reasoning for the pre-existence of souls, raised from the contrary supposition, falls to

the ground.

P 347 "There are but three opinions concerning the transmission of original sin." i. e. There are but three ways of accounting, "How it is transmitted." I care not, if there were none. The fact I know, both by Scripture and by experience I know it is transmitted. but how it is transmitted, I neither know nor desire to know.

P. 353 "By this meensibility and spiritual lethargy in which all souls remain, e'er they awake into mortal bodies, the habits of evil

in some are totally extinguished "

Then it seems there is a third possible way of curing moral evil And why may not all souls be cured this way, without any pain or suffering at all?

Ibid "If any impurity remains in them, it is destroyed in a mid-

dle state after death."

I read nothing of either of these purgations in the Bible But it appears to me, from the whole tenor of his writings, that the Chevalier's notions are about one quarter Scriptural, one quarter Popish, and two quarters Mystic

P 360. "God dissipated the chaos introduced into the solar system by the fall of angels" Does sacred witt affirm this? Where is

it written ^q Except in Jacob Behmen.

P. 366 "Physical evil is the only means of curing moral evil." This is absolutely contrary both to Scripture, experience, and his own words, p. 353 And, "This great principle," as he terms it, is one of those fundamental mustakes which runs through the whole Mystic divinity.

Almost all that is asserted in the following pages, may likewise be confuted by simply denying it.

P. 373. "Hence we see the necessity of sufferings and explatory pains, in order to purify lapsed beings.—The intrinsic efficacy of

physical to cure moral evil."

"Explatory pains," is pure, unmixed Popery: but they can have no place in the Mystic scheme. This only asserts, "The intrinsic efficacy of physical to cure moral evil, and the absolute necessity of sufferings, to purify lapsed beings" Neither of which I can find in the Bible: though I really believe there is as much of this efficacy in sufferings, as in spiritual lethnigy.

P. 374. "If beasts have any souls, they are either material, or immaterial to be annihilated after death; or degraded intelligences." No they may be immaterial, and yet not to be annihilated.

If you ask, But how are they to subsist after death? I answer,

He that made them knows.

The sixth book, I fear, is more dangerously wrong than any of the preceding, as it effectually undermines the whole scriptural account of God's reconciling the world unto limiself, and turns the whole redemption of man by the blood of Christ into a inere metaphor. I doubt whether Jacob Behmen does not do the same. I am sure he does, if Mr. Law understands him right

I have not time to specify all the exceptionable passages; if I did,

I must transcribe part of almost every page.

P. 393. "The Divinity is unsusceptible of anger" I take this to be the newton find all the Mystics. But I demand the proof. I take anger to have the same relation to justice, as love has to increy.

But if we grant them this, then they will prove their point. For if God was never angry, his anger could never be appeased and then we may safely adopt the very words of Socinus, Tota redemptionis nostrae per Christum Metaphora. seeing Christ died, only to "show to all the celestial quires, God's infinite aversion to disorder"

P. 394 "He suffered, because of the sin of men, infinite agonies, as a tender father suffers to see the vices of his children. He felt all that lapsed angels and men should have suffered to all eternity. Without this sacrifice, celestial spirits could never have known the horrible deformity of vice. In this sense, he substituted himself as a victim to take away the sins of the world: not to appease vindictive justice, but to show God's infinite love of justice"

This is as broad Social anism as can be imagined. Nay, it is more. It is not only denying the satisfaction of Christ, but supposing that he died for devils as much, and for the angels in heaven

much more than he did for man.

Indeed he calls him an expiatory sacrifice, a propitatory victim: but remember, it was only in this sense. For you are told again, p. 399, "See the deplorable ignorance of those who represent the expiatory sacrifice of Christ, as destined to appears vindictive justice, and avert divine vengeance. It is by such frivolous and blasphemous notions that the schoolmen have exposed this divine mystery."

"These frivolous and blasphemous notions," do I receive, as the precious truths of God. And so deplorable is my ignorance, that I verily believe all who deny them, deny the Lord that bought them.

P. 400. "The immediate, essential, necessary means of reuniting

men to God, are prayer, mortification, and self-denial"

No: the immediate, essential, necessary mean of reuniting me to God, is hving faith. And that alone, without this, I cannot be re-united to God. With this, I cannot but be reunited.

Prayer, mortification, and self-demal, are the fruits of faith, and

the grand means of continuing and increasing it

But I object to the account Mr R. (and all the Mystics) give of those. It is far too lax and general And hence those who receive all he says, will live just as they did before, in all the ease, pleasure, and state, they can afford.

P. 403 "Prayer, mortification, and self-denial, produce, neces-

sarrly in the soul, faith, hope, and charity."

On the contrary, faith must necessarily precede both prayer, mortification, and self-demal, if we mean thereby, "Adoring God in spirit and in truth, a continual death to all that is visible, and a constant, universal suppression and sacrifice of all the motions of false love." And the Chevalier talks of all these like a mere parrot, if he did not know and feel in his immost soul, that it is absolutely false that any of these should subsist in our heart, till we truly believe in the Son of God.

"True faith is a divine light in the soul that discovers the laws of eternal order, the all of God, and the nothingness of the creatures." It does, but it discovers first of all, that Christ loved me and gave hunself for me, and washes me from my sins in his own blood.

I am, dear sir, your affectionate brother,

John Wesley.

TO MR.

CONSIDERING the variety of business which must be upon you, I am not willing to trouble you too often. Yet cannot any longer delay to return thanks, for your favour of May 21st. How happy is it that there is a higher Wisdom than our own, to guide us through the mazes of life! That we have an unction from the Holy One, to teach us of all things where human teaching fails! And it certainly must fail in a thousand instances. General rules cannot reach all particular cases. in some of which there is such a complication of circumstances, that God alone can show what steps we should take. There is one circumstance in your case, which claims your peculiar attention, and makes it necessary often to check that

boldness and simplicity, which otherwise would be both your duty But, Oh! how easily may you comply too far, and hurt yourself, in hopes of gaining another! Nay, perhaps hurt the other too! by that very comphance which was designed to help? And who is able to lay the line ? To determine how far you should comply, and where fix your foot? May the God of wisdom direct you in all your steps! And I conceive he will rather do this, by giving you light directly from himself, in meditation and private prayer, than by the advice of others, who can hardly be impartial, in so tender a point Is it not then advisable, that you should much commune with God and your own heart? You may then lay aside all the trappings that naturally tend to hide you from yourself, and appear naked as a poor, sinful worm, before the great God, the Creator of heaven and earth! The great God, who is your Father and your Friend! Who hath prepared for you a kingdom! Who calls you to forget the little things of earth, and to sit down with him on his throne! O may you dwell on these things, till they possess your whole soul, and cause you to love the honour which cometh of God only. I am, dear sir, Your obedient servant, JOHN WESLEY.

TO MR. ----- ON PRUDENCE.

DEAR SIR, May 16, 1759. SINCE I received your favour, I have had many thoughts on worldly and Christian prudence. What is the nature of each? How

worldly and Christian prudence. What is the nature of each? How do they differ? How may we distinguish one from the other? It seems worldly prudence, either pursues worldly ends, riches, honour, ease, or pleasure, or pursues Christian ends, on worldly maxims, or by worldly means. The grand maxims which note he work power the work more power the work more power.

maxims, or by uorldly means. The grand maxims which obtain in the world are, the more power, the more money, the more learning, and the more reputation a man has, the more good he will do. And whenever a Christian, pursuing the noblest ends, forms his behaviour by these maxims, he will infallibly (though perhaps by insensible degrees) decline into worldly prudence. He will use more or less of conformity to the world, if not in sin, yet in doing some things that are good in themselves, yet (all things considered) are not good to him: and perhaps at length using guile, or disguise, simulation or dissimulation, either seeming to be what he is not, or not seeming to be what he is. By any of these marks may worldly prudence be discerned from the wisdom which is from above.

This, Christian prudence pursues Christian maxims and by Christian means. The ends it pursues are holiness in every kind, and in the highest degree, and usefulness in every kind and degree. And herein it proceeds on the following maxims, The help that is

done upon earth, God doth it himself: it is he that worketh all mall. And that, not by human power generally he uses weak things to confound the strong: not by men of wealth; most of his choicest instruments may say, "Silver and gold have I none:" not by learned or "wise men after the flesh:" no, the foolish things hath God chosen: not by men of reputation; but by the men that were as the filth and off-scouring of the world: all which is for this plain reason, "That no flesh may glory in his sight."

Christian prudence pursues these ends upon these principles, by only Christian means. A truly prudent Christian, while, in things purely indifferent, he becomes all things to all men, yet whenever that it is concerned, regards the example of all mankind no more than

a grain of sand. His word is then,

He will not, to gain the favour, or shun the hate of all, omit the least point of duty. He cannot prevail upon himself, on any account or pretence, to use either simulation, or dissimulation. There is no guile in his mouth no evasion or ambiguity. Having one desire, one design, to glorify God with his body and with his spirit, having only one fear,

Lest a motion, or a word, Or a thought arise, to grieve his Lord.

Having one rule, the word of God, one guide, even his Spirit, he goes on in child-like simplicity. Continually seeing him that is invisible, he walks in open day. Looking unto Jesus, and deriving strength from him, he goes on in his steps, in the work of faith, the labour of love, the patience of hope, till he is called up, to be ever with the Lord.

Oh, that this were in all points your own character? Surely you desire it above all things. But how shall you attain? Difficulties and hinderances surround you on every side? Can you bear with my plainness? I believe you can. Therefore I will speak without any reserve. I fear, you have scarcely one friend who has not more or less of the prudence which is not from above. And I doubt you have (in or near your own rank) hardly one example of true Christian prudence? Yet I am persuaded, your own heart advises you right, or rather God in your heart. Oh, that you may hearken to his voice alone, and let all creatures keep silence before him! Why should they encumber you with Saul's armour? If you essay to go forth thus, it will be in vain. You have no need of this, neither of his sword or spear: for you trust in the Lord of Hosts. Oh, go forth in his strength; and with the stones of the brook, you shall overthrow all your enemics!

Your obedient servant for Christ's sake,

John Wesley.

LETTER TO MISS L. ON FEMALE STUDY.

.1 Female Course of Study, only intended for those who have a good understanding and much leisure.

1. YOU want to know God, in order to enjoy him in time and in

eternity.

2. All that you want to know of him is contained in one book, the Bible. Therefore, your one point is, to understand this. And all you learn is to be referred to this, as either directly or remotely conducive to it

3 Might it not be well then to spend, at least two hours every day in reading and meditating upon the Bible? Reading every inorming, (if not every evening too,) a portion of the Old and then of the New Testament? If you would save yourself the trouble of thinking, add Mr. Henry's Comment: if you would only be assisted in thinking, add the "Explanatory Notes."

4. But I find a difficulty already. Can you help me over it ' Have you more candour, than almost any one in the world ' Will you not blame me for recommending, as they come in the way, Tracts published by myself? I think you will not. So I will set down

these (in their place) as freely as other hooks.

5 Your studying hours (if your constitution will bear it) might be five or six hours a day. Perhaps from nine to twelve in the morning, and from two to four or five in the afternoon. And whenever you begin to be tired with books that require a strong and deep attention, relax your mind by interposing History or Poetry, or something of a lighter nature.

6 The first thing you should understand a little of, is Gramman in order to which it will suffice to read first the Kingswood English Grammar, (which is exceedingly short,) and then Bishop Lowth's

Introduction.

7. Next it would be worth your while to acquire a little knowledge in Arithmetic and Dilworth's Arithmetic would give you full as much

as you want.

8. You might proceed to Geography. But in this I would not advise you to encumber yourself with many books. You need only master one, Randal's Geographical Grammar, and then betake yourself to the Globes. I believe those of Mr. Adams are the best, to which you may add his little book of Instructions.

9. Logic naturally follows: and I really think it is worth all the rest put together. But here I am at a full stop; for I know no good treatise on the subject in English, except Aldrich's Logic, and that I am airaid you cannot understand, without an instructer. I shall be glad to give you a little assistance, in the short time we have together

Vol. 10.-U

10 As to Ethics (or Moral Philosophy,) there is full as much of

it as you want in Langbain's Compendium.

11. In Natural Philosophy you have a larger field. You may begin with a "Survey of the wisdom of God in the Creation." This contains the substance of Ray, Derham, Nicuwentyt, Nature Displayed, and all the other celebrated books on the subject. You may add that fine book, Mr. Jones's Principles of Natural Philosophy. Thence you will easily pass to the Glasgow Abridgment of Mr. Hutchinson's Works. The abridgers give not only all his sense, but all his spirit. You may add to these the beautiful tracts of Lord Forbes; and if you would go a little faither, Mr. Baker's ingenious Treatise on the Microscope.

12. With any or all of the foregoing studies you may intermix that of History. Geography and Chronology are termed the two eyes of History. Geography has been mentioned before. And I think all you want of Chronology may be learned from Marshall's

Chronological Tables.

13 You may begin with Rollin's Ancient History, and afterwards read in order, Puffendorf's Introduction to the History of Europe, the Concise Church History, Burnet's History of the Reformation, the "Concise History of England," Clarendon's History of the great Rebellion, Neal's History of the Puritains; his History of New England, and Sale's History of the Conquest of Mexico

14 Whitby's Compendium of Metaphysics will introduce you to that science. You may go on with Locke's Essay on Human Understanding Bishop Brown on the nature, procedure, and limits of human understanding, and Malebranche's Scarch after Truth.

15. For Poetry, you may ical Spenser's Fairy Queen: Fairfax's or Hoole's Godfiey of Bulloign; select parts of Shakspeare, Paradise Lost, the Night Thoughts, and Moral and Sacred Poems.

16 You are glad to begin and end with Divinity But I must not expanate here I will only recommend to your careful perusal, Bishop Pearson upon the Creed, Mr. Nalson's Sermons, and the

Christian Library.

This course of study, if you have the resolution to go through it, will, I apprehend, take you up, three, four, or five years, according to the degree of your health and of your application. And you will then have knowledge enough for any reasonable Christian. But remember, before all, in all, and above all, your great point is, to know the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom he hath sent.

I am, dear Miss L ____, your affectionate brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS -

February 21, 1759.

PROBABLY, Miss——, this may be the last trouble of the kind, which you will receive from me. Therefore you may forgive me this: and the rather when you consider my motives to it. You know, I can have no temporal view: I can have none but a faint, distant hope, (because with God all things are possible,) of doing some service to one whom I love—And this may answer the question which you might naturally ask, "What would you have? What do you want with me?" I want you, not to be a convert to my opinions, but to be a member of Christ, a child of God, and an heir of his kingdom! Be any thing, as to outward profession, so you are lowly in heart: so you resist and conquer every motion of pride, and have that mind in you, which was also in Christ Jesus. Be what you please besides; only be meck and gentle, and in patience possess your soul's of that one may truly say to you,

Caim thou ever art within, All unruflled, all scienc !

Hear what preacher you will: but hen the voice of God, and beware of prejudice and every unkind temper? Beware of foolish and hurtful desires, or they will pierce you through with many sorrows. In one word, be any thing but a trifler: a trifler with God and your own soul. It was not for this, that God gave you

No, Miss _____, no! But that you might employ all your talents to the glory of him that gave them O do not grieve the Holy Spirit of God! Is he not still striving with you? Striving to make you, not almost, but altogether a Christian? Indeed you must be all or nothing: a saint, or a Devil! Emment in sin, or holiness. The good Lord deliver you from every snare, and guide your feet in the way of peace! How great a pleasure would this give to all your real friends, and in particular to,

Your affectionate servant, for Christ's sake,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE MONTHLY REVIEWERS

September 9, 1756.

GENTLEMEN,

FOR a considerable time I have had a desire to trouble you with a few lines, but have been prevented, partly by a variety of other business, partly by the small probability of your impartially considering what was said. I will, however, make the trial. If you can read candidly, well; if not, it is but a little labour lost.

The question I would propose is this: Is it prudent, is it just, iit humane, to jumble whole bodies of people together, and condemn them by the lump? Is it not a maxim now almost universally received, that there are good and bad in every Society? Why then do you continually jumble together and condemn by the lump, the whole body of people, called Methodists? Is it prudent (just to touch even on so low a consideration) to be constantly insulting and provoking those who do you no wrong, and had far rather be your friends than your enemies? Is it consistent with humanity, to strike again, one who gives no provocation, and makes no resistance? Is it common justice, to treat with such contempt as you have done in the last month's Review, those who are by no means contemptible writers ! Be persuaded, gentlemen, to give yourselves the pains of reading either Mr. Herbert's Providence, or the verses which Norris entitles, The Meditation, and you will find them scarcely inferior, either in sense or language, to most compositions of the present age. To speak more freely still: where is the justice of coupling the hymns of Methodists and Moravians together? Lay prejudice aside; and read with candour but the very first hymn in our first hymnbook; and then say, whether your prose is not as nearly allied to John Bunyan's, as our verse to Count Z---'s.

As probably you have never seen the books which you condemn,

i will transcribe a few lines.

Thee, when morning greets the skies With rosy cheeks and humid eyes; Thee, when sweet declining day Sinks in purple waves away; Thee will I singl. O Parent Jove! And teach the world to praise and love.

Vonder azure vault on high, Yonder blue, lew, liquid sky, Earth on its firm basis plac'd, And with circling waves emi-rac'd, All their mighty Maker blass. Thou shuk'st all nature with thy nod, Sea, earth, and air, confess thee God: Yet does thy pow'rful hand sustain Both earth and heav'n, both firm and main

The feather'd souls that swim the air, And bathe in liquid ether there, The lark, precenter of their choir, Leading them higher still and higher, Listen and learn, the angelic notes Repeating in their warbling throats: And, ere to soft repose they go. Teach them to their lords below: On the green turf, their mossy uest, The evining anthem swells their breast, Thus, tike the golden chain from high, Thy praise unites the earth and sky.

O se nurses of soft dreams, Recedy brooks and winding streams, Or murmuring v'er the publies sheen, Or sliding through the meadows green, Or where through matted sedge you creen, Trav'lling to your parent deep Sound his praise by whom you rose, That sea, which neither obbs not flows.

O ye immortal woods and groves, Which the enamout'd student loves: Beneath whose venerable shade, For thought and filendly converse made, Fam'd Hecadem, old here, lies, Whose shane is shaded from the skies, And, through the gloom of silent night, Projects from far its trembling light, You, whose roots descend as low, As high in an your branches grow, Your leafy arms to heaven extend, Bend your heads, in homage hend Cedars, and pines, that wave above, And the oak helov'd of Jose!

Now, gentlemen, can you say between God and your own souls, that these verses deserve the treatment you have given them? I think, you cannot. You are men of more understanding. You know they are not contemptible. If any of you will strike a real blot, if you will point out even in public (though that is not the most obliging way) any thing justly reprovable in our writings, probably we shall acknowledge and correct what is amiss, at least, we shall not blame you. But every impartial man must blame that method of proceed-

ing, which neither consists with justice nor humanity

Perhaps you may say, "You have been provoked" By whom? "By Mr. Romaine" I answer, I am not Mr. Romaine, neither am I accountable for his behaviour. And what equity is this? One man has offended you therefore you fall upon another. Will it excuse you to say, but he is called by the same name? Especially, when neither is this his own name, but a term of dension? Gentlemen, do to others, as you would have them do to you. Then you will no more injure one who never offended you, (unless this offend you, that he does really believe Jesus Christ to be God over all, blessed for ever,) then you will not return hatred for good will, even to so insignificant a person as

TO THE SAME.

October 3, 1756.

REALLY, Gentlemen, you do me too much honour. I could scarcely expect so favourable a regard from those who are professed admirers of Mr. Aaron Hill's verse, and Mr Caleb Fleming's prose.

U 2

Nevertheless I cannot but observe a few small mistakes in the eight lines with which you favour me You say, "We suppose the specimen of Mi Wesley's Hymns (the false spelling is of little consequence) was sent us for this purpose," namely, to publish. Truly it was not, it never entered my thought. As I apprehend may appear from the whole tenor of the letter wherein those lines were inserted "And if the Moravians please to select a like sample of what has been done by them, they may expect from us the same justice" Another little mistake, those lines are not selected, but are found in the very first hymn (as I observed in my last) that occurs in the first verses which my brother and I ever published. "We have received a letter complaining of our having jumbled the poetry of the Methodists and Moravians in an indiscriminate censure" Not so. The thing chiefly complained of was, 1. Your "jumbling whole bodies of people together, and condemning them by the lump. without any regard either to prudence, justice, or humanity:" 2. Your "treating with such contempt those who are by no means contemptible writers, Mr. Norris and Mr. Herbert." The last and least thing was, "your coupling the hymns of Moravians and Methodists together " It was here I added, "As probably you have never seen the books which you condemn, I will transcribe a few lines." but neither did I give the least intimation of "appealing hereby to the public, in proof of our superiority over the Moravians. This is another mistake.

At first I was a little inclined to fear, a want of integrity had occasioned this misiepresentation. But, upon reflection, I would put a milder construction upon it, and only impute it to a want of understanding. Even bodies of men do not see all things, and are then especially liable to err, when they imagine themselves bugely supe-

nior to their opponents, and so pronounce ex cathedra

Another instance of this is just now before me. A week ago, one put a Tract into my hands, in which I could discern nothing of the Christian, gentleman, or scholar, but much of low, dull, ill-natured scurrillity and blasphemy. How was I surprised when I read in your 315th page, "We have read this little piece with great pleasure!" When I found you so smitten with the author's "spirit, sense, and fi cedom," his sincit animalversions and becoming severity! O gentlemen! Do not you speak too plain? Do not you discover too much at once? Especially when you so keenly ridicule Mr. Pike's supposition, that "the Son and Spirit are truly divine." May I ask, if the Son of God is not truly divine, is he divine at all? Is he a little God, or no God at all? If no God at all, how came he to say, I and the Father are one? Did any prophet before, from the beginning of the world, use any one expression, which could possibly be so interpreted as this and other expressions were, by all that heard Jesus speak? And did he ever attempt to undeceive them? Be pleased then to let me know, if he was not God, how do you relear him from being the vilest of men? I am, gentlemen,

Your well wisher, though not admirer,

John Wesley.

TO THE REV. MR. CLARKE. Of a Catholic Spirit.

REV. SIR, Castlebar, July 3, 1756.

I AM obliged to you for the openness and candour with which you write, and will endeavour to follow the pattern which you have set me. I sent that sermon with no particular view, but as a

testimony of love to a fellow-labourer in the gospel

From the text of that sermon, I do not infer, That "Christians are not to inquire into each other's opinions" Indeed from that text I do not infer any thing. I use it to illustrate, not to prove. I am very sensible, "Jehn had more regard to state policy, than to religion," p. 5, and have no objection at all to the very fair explication, which you have given of his words. Accordingly, I say, p. 13, "What is implied in the question? I do not mean, What did Jehn imply therein? But what should a follower of Christ understand thereby, when he proposes it to any of his brethien?"

Of these only I speak. My general proposition, you may please to remember, is this, "All the children of God may unite in love, notwithstanding difference in opinions or modes of worship."

From this persuasion, whenever I meet with any whom I have reason to believe "children of God," I do not ask of him with whom I would unite in love, (never at the entrance upon our conversation, seldom till we are a little acquainted,) "Do you agree with my opinions and mode of worship? Particularly with regard to church government, baptism, and the Lord's Supper." I "let all these stand by," till we begin to know, and have confirmed our love to each other. Then may come "a more convenient season" for entering into controversy. My only question at present is, "Is thy heart right?" p. 13

At present, I say, keep your own opinion, I mine. I do not desire you to dispute these points. Whether we shall dispute them hereafter, is another question. Perhaps we may, perhaps we may not. This will depend on a great variety of circumstances, particularly, on a probability of success. for I am determined never to dispute at

all, if I have no hope of convincing my opponent

As to my own judgment, I still believe "the episcopal form of church government to be scriptural and apostolical" I mean, well agreems with the practice and writings of the apostles. But that it is prescribed in scripture, I do not believe. This opinion, which I once zealously espoused, I have been heartily ashamed of, ever since I read Bishop Stillingfleet's Irenicon. I think he has unanswerably proved, That, "neither Christ nor his apostles prescribe any particular form of church government, and that the plea of divine right for chocesan episcopacy, was never heard of in the primitive church."

But were it otherwise, I should still call these "smaller points" than the "loving God and all mankind," p. 18. And could any man answer those questions, "Dost thou believe in the Lord Jesus

occur.

Christ, God over all, blessed for ever," (which indeed no Arian or Semi-Arian, and much less, Sociman, can do.) "Is God the centre of thy soul? The sum of all thy desires? Art thou more afraid of displeasing God, than either of death or hell!" p. 15, (which no wicked man can possibly do, none who is not a real child of God) If, I say, a man could answer these in the affirmative, I would then

gladly give "him my hand"

This is certainly a principle held by those who are in decision termed Methodists. And to whom a popular priest in Dublin gave the still more unmeaning title of Swaddlers. They all desire to be of a Catholic spirit, meaning thereby, "not an indifference to all opinions;" not "indifference as to the manner of public worship." This they know to be quite another thing. "Love, they judge, gives a title to this character. Catholic Love is a Catholic Spirit," p. 25

As to Heresy and Schrem, I cannot find one text in the Bible, where they are taken in the modern sense. I remember no one scripture wherein Heresy signifies, "Error in opinion," whether fundamental or not. Not any wherein Schrem signifies a "separation from the Church," whether with cause or without. I wish, Sir, you would reconsider this point, and review the scriptures wherein those terms

Yet I would take some pains to recover any one from error, or to reconcile him to our Church. I mean, to the Church of England, from which I do not separate yet, and probably never shall. The little Church (in the vulgar sense of the word) which I occasionally mentioned at Holy Mount, is that wherein I read prayers, and pleach, and administer the sacrament, every Sunday, when I am in London. But I would take much more pains to recover any one from sin. One who lives and dies in error, or in dissent from our Church, may yet be saved but one who lives and dies in sin, must perish. O Sir'llet us bend our main force against this. Against all sin, both in ourselves and them that hear us! I would to God we could all agree both in opinions and outward worship. But if this cannot be, may we not agree in holiness? May we not all agree in being holy, as he that hath called us is holy, both in heart and in all manner of conversation? This is the great desire of,

Rev Sir, your very humble Servant,

TO A FRIEND. ON PUBLIC WORSHIP

THE longer I am absent from London, and the more I attend the service of the Church in other places, the more I am convinced of the unspeakable advantage which the people called Methodists enjoy. I mean, even with regard to public worship, particularly on the Loid's-Day. The church where they assemble is not gay or splen-

did: which might be a hinderance on the one hand: nor sordid or durty, which might give distaste on the other. but plain, as well as clean. The persons who assemble there, are not a gay giddy crowd, who come chiefly to see and be seen. nor a company of goodly, formal, outside Christians, whose religion lies in a dull round of duties: but a people most of whom do, and the rest earnestly seek to worship God in spirit and in truth Accordingly, they do not spend their time there in bowing and curtsying, or in staring about them: but in looking upward and looking inward, in hearkening to the voice of God, and pouring out their hearts before him.

It is also no small advantage that the person who reads prayers, (though not always the same,) yet is always one, who may be supposed to speak from his heart, one whose life is no reproach to his profession; and one who performs that solemn part of divine service, not in a careless, hurrying, slovenly manner, but seriously and slowly, as becomes him who is transacting so high an affair between

God and man.

Not are their solemn addresses to God interrupted either by the formal drawl of a parish clerk, the scieaming of boys, who bawl out what they neither feel nor understand, or the unseasonable and unmeaning impertinence of a voluntary on the organ. When it is seasonable to sing praise to God, they do it with the spirit, and with the understanding also not in the miserable, scandalous doggerel of Hopkins and Sternhold, but in psalms and hymns which are both sense and poctry: such as would sooner provoke a critic to turn Christian, than a Christian to turn critic. What they sing is therefore a proper continuation of the spiritual and reasonable service; being selected for that end, (not by a poor hum-drum wretch, who can scarcely read what he drones out with such an air of importance, but) by one who knows what he is about, and how to connect the preceding with the following part of the service. Nor does he take just "two staves," but more or less, as may best raise the soul to God: especially when sung in well-composed and well-adapted tunes. not by a handful of wild unawakened striplings, but by a whole serious congregation, and these not lolling at ease, or in the indecent posture of sitting, drawling out one word after another, but all standing before God, and praising him lustily and with a good courage.

Nor is it a little advantage as to the next part of the service, to hear a preacher whom you know to live as he speaks, speaking the genuine gospel of present Salvation through Faith, wrought in the heart by the Holy Ghost: declaring present, free, full justification, and enforcing every branch of inward and outward Holiness. And this you hear done in the most clear, plain, simple, unaffected language; yet with an earnestness becoming the importance of the sub-

ject, and with the demonstration of the Spirit.

With regard to the last and most awful part of divine service, the celebration of the Lord's Supper, although we cannot say that either the unworthiness of the minister, or the unholiness of some of the communicants, deprives the rest of a blessing from God, yet do they

greatly lessen the comfort of receiving. But these discouragements are removed from you: you have proof that he who administers, fears God and you have no reason to believe, that any of your fellow-communicants walk unworthy of their profession. Add to this, that the whole service is performed in a decent and solemn manner, is enlivened by hymnis suitable to the occasion, and concluded with

prayer that comes not out of feigned lips

Surely then of all the people in Great Britam, the Methodists would be the most inexcusable, should they let any opportunity ship of attending that worship which has so many advantages, should they prefer any before it, or not continually improve by the advantages they enjoy! What can be pleaded for them, if they do not worship God in spirit and in truth, if they are still outward worshippers only, approaching God with their lips, while their tearts are far from him? Yea, if having known him, they do not daily grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ!

J. W.

TO MISS II ON CHRISTIAN PERFECTION.

Dublin, April 5, 1758

IT is with great reluctance that I at length begin to write; first, because I abhor disputing, and never enter upon it, but when I am, as it were, diagged into it by the hair of the head; and next, because I have so little hope, that any good will arise from the present dispute I fear your passions are too deeply interested in the question to admit the force of the strongest reason. So that, were it not for the tender regard I have for you, which makes your desire a motive I cannot resist, I should not spend half an hour in so thankless a labour, and one wherein I have so little prospect of success.

"The doctrine of Perfection, you say, has perplexed you much, since some of our preachers have placed it in so dreadful a light: one of them affirming, a believer, till perfect, is under the curse of God, and in a state of damnation: another, if you die before you have

attained it, you will surely perish "

By Perfection, I mean perfect love, or the loving God with all our heart, so as to rejoice everimore, to pray without ceasing, and in every thing to give thanks. I am convinced, every believer may attain this; yet I do not say, he is in a state of damnation, or under the curse of God, till he does attain. No, he is in a state of grace, and in favour with God, as long as he believes: neither would I say, "If you die without it, you will perish:" but rather, "Till you are saved from unholy tempers you are not upe for glory. There will, therefore, more promises be fulfilled in your soul, before God takes you to himself."

"But none can attain Perfection, unless they first believe it attainable." Neither do I affirm this. I know a Calvinist in London, who never believed it attainable, till the moment she did attain it;

and then lay declaring it aloud for many days, till her spirit returned to God.

"But you yourself believed twenty years ago, that we should not put oil the intection of nature, but with our bodies." I did so. But I believe otherwise now, for many reasons, some of which you afterwards mention How far Mr. Roquet or Mr. Walsh may have mis-

taken these, I know not: I can only answer for myself.

"The nature and fitness of things" is so ambiguous an expression, that I never make use of it. Yet if you ask me, is it ht or necessary, in the nature of things, that a soul should be saved from all sin, before it enters into Glory ² I answer, It is —And so it is written, No unclean thing shall enter into it. Therefore, whatever degrees of holiness they did, or did not attain, in the preceding parts of lite, neither Jews nor Heathens, any more than Christians, ever did, or ever will enter into the New Jerusalem, unless they are cleansed from all sin before they enter into eternity.

I do by no means exclude the Old Testament from bearing witness to any truths of God. Nothing less: but I say, the experience of the Jews is not the standard of Christian experience: and that therefore, were it true, the Jews did not love God with all their heart and soul, it would not follow, therefore no Christian can Because

we may attain what they did not.

But you say, "Enther their words do not contain a promise of such perfection, or God did not fulfil this promise to them to whom he made it." I answer, he surely will fulfil it, to them to whom he made it; namely, to the Jews, after their dispersion into all lands; and to these is the promise made—as will be clear to any, who impartially considers the thirtieth Chapter of Deuteronomy, wherein it stands.

I doubt, whether this Perfection can be proved by Luke vi. 40. From I John in. 9, (which belongs to all the children of God,) I never attempted to prove it, but I still think it is clearly described in those words, As he is so are we in this world. And yet it doth not now appear what we shall be, when this vile body is fashioned like unto his glorious body, when we shall see him, not in a glass, but face to face, and be transformed into his likeness.

Those expressions, John xiv Ye are clean: clean every whit, are allowed to refer to justification only. But that expression, If we walk in the light as he is in the light, cannot refer to justification only. It does not relate to justification at all, whatever the other clause may do. Therefore those texts are by no means parallel, neither can the latter be limited by the former: although it is sure the privileges

described in both, belong to every adult believer.

But not only abundance of particular texts, but the whole tenor of Scripture declares, Christ came to destroy the works of the Devil, to save us from our sins all the works of the Devil, all our sins, without any exception or limitation. Indeed should we say, we have no sin to be saved or cleansed from, we should make him come in vain. But it is at least as much for his glory, to cleanse us from them all, before our death as after it.

"But St. James says, In many things we offend all; and whatever me might mean, if alone, the expression, we all, was never before understood to exclude the person speaking." Indeed it was. It is unquestionably to be understood, so as to exclude Isaiah, the person speaking, chap. Ixiv. 6, We are all as an unclean thing—We all do fade as a leaf, and our iniquities, like the wind, have taken us away. For this was not the case with Isaiah himself. Of himself he says, (chap. Ixi. 10.) My soul shall be joyful in my God; for he hath clothed me with the garments of salvation; he hath covered me with the robe of righteousness: here the prophet, like the apostle, uses the word we instead of you, to soften the harshness of an unpleasing truth.

In this chapter the apostle is not cautioning them against censuring others; but entering upon a new argument: wherein the second verse has an immediate reference to the first; but none at all to the

thirteenth of the preceding chapter.

I added, "We offend all, cannot be spoken of all Christians; for immediately there follows the mention of one, who offends not, as the we before mentioned did." You answer, "His not offending in word will not prove that he does not offend in many things." I think St. James himself proves it, in saying, He is able to bridle also the whole body: to direct all his actions as well as words, according to the holy, perfect will of God: which those and those only, are able to do, who love God with all their hearts. And yet those very persons can sincerely say, "Forgive us our trespasses." For as long as they are in the body, they are liable to mistake, and to speak or act according to that mistaken judgment. Therefore they cannot abide the rigour of justice, but still need mercy and forgiveness.

Were you to ask, "What if I should die this moment?" I should answer, I believe you would be saved: because I am persuaded none that has faith can die before he is made ripe for glory. This is the doctrine, which I continually teach, which has nothing to do with justification by works. Nor can it discourage any, who have faith, neither weaken their peace nor damp their joy in the Lord. True believers are not distressed hereby, either in life or in death: unless in some rare instance, wherein the temptation of the Devil is joined

with a melancholy temper.

Upon the whole, I observe your great argument turns all along on a mistake of the doctrine. Whatever warm expressions may drop from young men, we do not teach, that any believer is under condemnation. So that all the inferences drawn from this supposition,

fall to the ground at once.

Your other letter I hope to consider hereafter: though I have great reason to apprehend your prejudice will still be too strong for my arguments. However, whether you expect it or not, I must wish for your perfection. You, of all people, have most need of perfect love; because this alone casts out fear. I am, with great sincerity,

Your affectionate Brother and Servant,

John Wesley.

ON HERESY AND SCHISM.

London, Sept. 10, 1749. YESTERDAY I received your favour of July 9 As you therein speak freely and openly, I will endeavour to do the same, at which, I am persuaded, you will not be displeased.

1. Of the words nuputed to Mr. Langston, I said nothing: because he denied the charge. And I had not an opportunity of hear-

ing the accused and the accuser face to face.

2. That there are Enthusiasts among the Methodists I doubt not; and among every other people under heaven. But, that they are "made such either by our doctime or discipline," still remains to be proved. If they are such in spite of our doctrine and discipline, their madness will not be laid to our charge

I know nothing of that anonymous pamplilet on inspiration How does it appear to be written by a disciple of mine? Be it good, bad, or indifferent, I am not concerned, or any way accountable

for it

3 I believe, several who are not episcopally ordained, are called of God to preach the Gospel Yet I have no objection to the

twenty-third Article, though I judge there are exempt cases.

That the seven deacons were outwardly ordained even to that low office, cannot be denied But when Paul and Barnabas were separated for the work to which they were called, this was not ordaining them. St. Paul was ordained long before, and that not of man, nor by man. It was only inducting him to the province for which our Lord had appointed him from the beginning For this end the prophets and teachers fasted, played, and laid their hands upon them. a rite which was used, not in ordination only, but in blessing, and on many other occasions.

4 Concerning Diocesan Episcopacy, there are several questions I should be glad to have answered. 1. Where is it prescribed in Scripture? 2. How does it appear, that the apostles "settled it in all the churches they planted ? 3. How does it appear, that they so settled it in any, as to make it of perpetual obligation? It is allowed, "Christ and his apostles did put the churches under some form of government or other. But, 1. Did they put all churches under the same precise form? If they did, 2. Can we prove this to have been the very same which now remains in the Church of

5. How Favorinus and many more may define both heresy and schism, I am not concerned to know. I well know, Heresy is vulgarly defined, "A false opinion, touching some necessary article of faith;" and Schism, "A causeless separation from a true church." But I keep to my Bible, as our Church in her sixth Article teaches me to do. Therefore, I cannot take Schism for "a separation from a church," true or false. because I cannot find it is ever so taken m

Vol. 10 .- W

Scripture. The first time I read the term there, is, 1 Cor. i. 10. If met with it again, chap. xi. 18. But it is plain, by schisms in both places is meant, Not any separation from the church, but uncharitable divisions in it. For the Corinthians continued to be one church; and notwithstanding all their strite and contention, there was no separation of any one party from the rest, with regard to external communion. It is in the same sense the word is used, chap. xii. 25. And these are the only places in the New Testament where it occurs. Therefore, the indulging any unkind temper toward our fellow-christians, is the true, scriptural schism.

Indeed, both heresies (which are also works of the flesh, and consequently damnable, if not repented of,) and schisms, are here mentioned by the apostle in very near the same sense: unless by schisms be meant those inward animosities which occasioned heresies, that is, outward divisions and parties. So that while one said, I am of Paul; mother, I am of Apollos; this implied both schism and heresy. So wonderfully have later ages distorted the words Heresy and Schism, from their scriptural meaning. Heresy is not, in all the Bible, taken for "an error in fundamentals," or in any thing else: nor Schism for any separation made from the outward communion of others. Therefore, both Heresy and Schism, in the modern sense of the

words, are sins that the Scripture knows nothing of.

6. But though I aver this, am I "quite indifferent as to any man's opinion in religion?" Far, very far from it, as I have declared again and again in the very sermon under consideration; in the Character of a Methodist; in the Plain Account; and twenty tracts besides. Neither do I "conceal my sentiments." Few men less. I have written severally, and printed against Deists, Papists, Mystics, Quakers, Anabaptists, Presbyterians, Calvinists, and Antinomians. An odd way of ingratiating myself with them, to strike at the apple of their eye! Nevertheless, in all things indifferent (but not at the expense of truth) I rejoice to please all men for their good to edification. If haply I may "gain the more proselytes" to genuine, scriptural Christianity. If I may prevail upon the more to love God and their neighbour, and to walk as Christ walked.

So far as I find them obstructive of this, I oppose wrong opinions with my might: though even then, rather by guarding those who are yet free, than by disputing with those who are deeply infected. I need not dispute with many of these, to know there is no probability of convincing them. A thousand times have I found my father's words true; "You may have peace with the Dissenters, if you do not so humour them as to dispute with them. But if you do, they will out-face and out-lung you, and at the end you will be where you

were at the beginning."

I have now, Sir, humoured you so far as to dispute with you a little. But with what probability of success? Suppose you have a single eye in this debate; suppose you aim not at victory, but at truth only; yet what man of threescore (unless perchance one in an age) was ever convinced of any thing? Is it not an old man's

anotto, Non persuadebis etiamsi persuaseris? When we are past middle age, does not a kind of suffness and inflexibility steal upon the mind as well as the body? And how does this bar the gate against all conviction? Even before the eye of the soul too grows dim, and so less and less capable of discerning things which we are not al-

ready well acquainted with.

7. Yet on one point I must add a few words, because it is of the last importance. I said, "Orthodoxy or right opinions is never more than a slender part of religion; sometimes no part of it at all." And this I explained thus. "In a child of God, it is but a slender part of religion it is no part at all in a child of the Devil." The religion of a child of God is righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost. Now if orthodoxy be any part of this, (which itself might admit of a question,) it is a very slender part. Though it is a considerable help both of love, peace, and joy Religion is, in others, the love of God and man, producing all holiness of conversation. Now are right opinions any more (if they are so much) than a very slender part of this? Once more, religion is, the mind that was in Christ, and the walking as Christ walked. But how very slender a part of this are opinions, how right soever!

By a child of the Devil, I mean, one who has no true religion at all, one who neither loves, nor fears, not serves God. But it is certain, such à man may still be orthodox; may entertain right opinions: and yet, it is equally certain, these are no part of religion

in him that has no religion at all

Permit me, Sir, to speak exceeding plainly. Are you not an orthodox man? Perhaps there is none more so in the diocess. And yet possibly you may have no religion at all. If it be true, that you frequently drink to excess, you may have orthodoxy, but you can have no religion—If when you are in a passion, you call your brother, thou fool! you have no religion at all. If you even curse, and take the name of God in vain, you can have no other religion than orthodoxy. a religion of which the Devil and his angels may have full as much as you.

O Sir, what an idle thing is it for you to dispute about lay-preachers! Is not a lay-preacher preferable to a drunken preacher? To a cursing, swearing preacher? Unto the ungodly, saith God, Why takest thou my covenant in thy mouth, whereas thou hatest to be reformed, and hast cast my words behind thee? In tender compassion I speak this. May God apply it to your heart! Then you will not receive this as an affront, but as the highest instance of brotherly love, from

Rev. Sir,

Your truly affectionate servant, J. W.

FROM MR. T. ADAMS TO MR. J. WESLEY.*

ON LEAVING THE CHURCH.

AS you are pleased to desire my opinion of a matter which is, and is judged by yourself to be, of very great importance, "A formal separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the property of the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England;" I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Church of England; "I shall the separation of the Methodists from the Separation of the Methodists from the Separation of the Separation of the Methodists from the Separation of the Methodists from the Separation of the Separation of the Methodists from the Separation of the S

make no apology for giving it to you in as explicit, though short, a manner as I can, so far as relates to yourself, and the difficulties you

are under about it.

As you are not satisfied in your conscience of the lawfulness of a separation in form; but, on the contrary, have advanced many reasons against it, which seem weighty to yourself, and at the same time judge it to be inexpedient; methinks your way is plain before you; separation from an establishment, without a clear and full conviction of the lawfulness, expedience, and absolute necessity, of it, being utterly unlawful. And if any considerable number of the Methodists should persist in carrying the design of a separation into execution, you and others, your present scruples subsisting, will be obliged in conscience to disavow, and declare openly against it. What confusion among yourselves, and what detriment to religion in general, would follow upon this? What occasion of triumph it will give to your opposers, and what a contradiction it is to your avowed design of restoring practical, vital religion, especially in the Church of England, may easily be discerned.

Be pleased, Sir, to keep your eye and heart steadily fixed upon this single point, and let no bye-respects, no personal considerations, no retrospects, nor concern for Methodism in its present state, influence you in your determination, viz. What is the one conscionable, scriptural way of extricating yourself from your present embarrassments? Which, all things considered, must be owned to be very great, and should be a warning to all how they venture upon a revolt from the authority and standing rules of the Church to which they belong. I fear, Sir, that your saying you do not appoint, but only approve of the lay-preachers, from a persuasion of their call and fitness, savours of disingenuity. Where is the difference? Under whose sanction do they act? Would they generally think their eall a sufficient warrant for commencing preachers, or be received in that capacity by your people, without your approbation, tacit, or express? And what is their preaching upon this call, but a manifest breach upon the order of the Church, and an inlet to confusion? Which, in all probability, will follow upon your death; and, if I mistake not, you are upon the point of knowing by your own experience.

^{*.} This Letter is inserted in order to elucidate Mr. Wesley's Answer.

Upon the whole, therefore, it is humbly submitted to your most serious consideration, Whether the separation is not wide enough already, particularly in the instance of unordained persons preaching, and gathering societies to themselves whenever they can, and whether all the Methodists might not serve the interests of Christ better, as witnesses and examples of a living faith, and expect a greater blessing from the God of order upon their talents, gifts, and graces, whatever they are, by returning to a closer union with the Church, and repairing the breach they have made, than by making it still wider, and separating, what they think, the gospel-leaven from the lump?

1 pray God direct you to judge and act in this, and every thing else, for the good of his Church and your own future peace; and am.

Rev. dear Sir,

Your unworthy brother and servant,

T. A.

MR. WESLEY'S ANSWER TO MR. ADAMS.

London, October 31, 1755.

REV SIR,

ONE good effect, at least, has arisen already, from the moving of the present question. It has been the occasion of my having some little acquaintance with Mr. Walker and you, which, I doubt not, would be enlarged, were it not for what you probably think to be

Christian (I think to be worldly) prudence.

You have much obliged me by your clear and friendly answer; with the main of which I fully agree: for I am still in my former sentiment, "We will not go out: if we are thrust out, well." And of the same judgment are, I believe, at least nineteen in twenty of our preachers, and an equal majority of the people. We are fully convinced that to separate from an established church, is never-lawful but when it is of absolute necessity: and we do not see any such necessity yet. Therefore, we have at present no thoughts of separation.

With regard to the steps we have hitherto taken, we have used all the caution which was possible. We have done nothing rashly, nothing without deep and long consideration (hearing and weighing all objections,) and much prayer. Nor have we taken one deliberate step, of which we as yet, see reason to repent. It is true, in some things we vary from the rules of our Church: but no further than we apprehend is our bounden duty. It is from a full conviction of this that we preach abroad, use extemporary prayer, form those who appear to be awakened into societies, and permit laymen, whom we believe God has called, to preach.

I say, permit, because we ourselves have hitherto viewed it in no other light. This we are clearly satisfied we may do: that we may do more, we are not satisfied. It is not clear to us, that Presbyters,

so circumstanced as we are, may appoint or ordain others: but it is, that we may direct, as well as suffer them to do, what we conceive they are moved to by the Holy Ghost. It is true, that in ordinary cases, both an inward and an outward call are requisite. But we apprehend there is something far from ordinary in the present case. And upon the calmest view of things, we think, they who are only called of God, and not of man, have more right to preach than they who are only called of man, and not of God. Now that many of the clergy, though called of man, are not called of God to preach his Gospel, is undeniable: 1. Because they themselves utterly disclaim, may, and ridicule the inward call. 2. Because they do not know what the Gospel is: of consequence they do not and cannot preach it.

Dear Sir, coolly and impartially consider this, and you will see on which side the difficulty lies. I do assure you, this at present is my chief embarrassment. That I have not gone too far yet, I know: but whether I have gone far enough, I am extremely doubtful. I see those running whom God hath not sent; destroying their own souls, and those that hear them; perverting the right ways of the Lord, and blaspheming the truth as it is in Jesus. I see the blind leading the blind, and both folling into the ditch. Unless I warn, in all ways I can, these perishing souls of their danger, am I clear of the blood of these men? Soul-danning clergymen lay me

under more difficulties than soul-saving laymen !

Those among ourselves who have been in doubt, Whether they ought so to beware of these false prophets, as not to hear them at all, are not men of "forward, uncharitable zeal;" but of a calm. loving, temperate spirit. They are perfectly easy as to their own call to preach; but they are troubled for these poor, uncalled, blind guides; and they are sometimes afraid, that the countenancing these is a dead weight even on those clergymen, who are really called of God. "Why else," say they, "does not God bless their labours?" Why do they still "stretch forth their hands in vain?" We know several regular elergymen who do preach the genuine gospel: but to no effect at all. There is one exception in England: Mr. Walker at Truro. We do not know one more, who has converted one soul in his own parish. If it be said, "Has not Mr. Grimshaw and Mr. Baddiley?" No, not one, till they were irregular: till both the one and the other formed irregular societies, and took in laymen to assist them. Can there be a stronger proof, that God is pleased with irregular, even more than with regular preaching?

"But might not the Methodists in general serve the interests of Christ better, as witnesses and examples of a living faith, by returning to a closer union with the Church, than by separating still further?" We have no design at present of separating further, (if we have yet separated at all.) Neither dare we return to a closer union, if that means either prohibiting lay-preachers, or ceasing to watch over each other in love, and regularly meeting for that pur-

pose.

If there be any further advices, whether with regard to doctrines or practice, which you judge might be of service to us, they would be thankfully received and considered, by

Rev. and dear Sir,
Your obliged and affectionate Brother and Servant,
John Wesler.

TO THE REV. MR. G

REV. SIR, April 2, 1761.

I HAVE no desire to dispute; least of all with one whom I believe to fear God and work righteousness. And I have no time to spare. Yet I think it my duty to write a few lines with regard to

those you sent to Mr. Bennet.

You therein say, "If you sent me the books to inform me of an error which I had publicly advanced, pardon me, if I say, I know numbers, who call themselves Methodists, assert their assurance of salvation, at the very time they wallow in sins of the deepest dye." Permit me, Sir, to speak freely I do not doubt the fact 1. Those who are connected with me, do not call themselves Methodists. Others call them by that mckname, and they cannot help it: but I continually warn them, not to pin it upon themselves. 2. We parely use that ambiguous expression of "Christ's righteousness imputed to us." 3. We beheve a man may be a real Christian, without being "assured of his salvation." 4 We know no man can be assured of salvation, while he lives in any sin whatever. 5 The wretches who talk in that manner, are neither Methodists nor Moravians, but followers of William Cudworth, James Relly, and their associates, who abhor us as much as they do the Pope, and ten times more than they do the Devil. If you oppose these, so do I, and have done, privately and publicly, for these twenty years.

But you say, "Such as do not profess this doctrine, will not be affected by my sermon." Indeed they will: for the world (as you yourself did) lump all that are called Methodists together. Consequently, whatever you then said of Methodists in general, falls on us as well as them. And so we are condemned for those very principles, which we totally detest and abhor. A small part of the Preservative (had you taken the pains to read it) would have consinced you of this. "Did you send them to convince me of some important truth? I have the New Testament." So have I and I have read it for above these fifty years, and for near forty, with some attention. Yet I will not say, that Mr G—may not convince me of some truth, which I never yet learned from it. I want every help, especially from those who strive both to preach and to live the gospel. Yet certainly I must dissent from you, or you from me, wherever either conceives the other to vary from it. Some of my writings you "have read." But allow me to ask, Did not you.

read them with much prejudice? or little attention? Otherwise surely you would not have termed them perplexing. Very few lay obscurity or intricacy to my charge. Those who do not allow them to be true, do not deny them to be plain. And if they believe me to have done any good at all by writing, they suppose it is by this very thing, by speaking on practical and experimental religion more plainly than others have done.

I quite agree, we "neither can be better men, nor better Christians, than by continuing members of the Church of England." And not only her doctrines, but many parts of discipline I have adhered to at the hazard of my life. If in any point I have since varied therefrom, it was not by choice but necessity. Judge, therefore, if they do well, who throw me into the ditch, and then beat me, because my clothes are dirty!

Wishing you much of the love of God in your heart, and much of his presence in your labours, I remain, Rev. Sir, your affectionate Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE REV. MR. D-

DEAR SIR, Liverpool, April 6, 1761.

LET who will speak, if what is spoken be true, I am ready to subscribe it. If it be not, I accept no man's person. Magis amica Veritas. I had an agreeable conversation with Mr. Venn, who I suppose is near you. I think, he is exactly as regular as he ought to be. I would observe every punctilio of order, except where the salvation of souls is at stake. There I prefer the end betore the means.

I think it great pity that the few elergymen in England, who preach the three grand, scriptural doctrines, Original Sin, Justification by Faith, and Holiness consequent thereon, should have any jealousies or misunderstandings between them. What advantage must this give to the common enemy! What a hinderance is it to the great work wherein they are all engaged! How desirable is it that there should be the most open, avowed intercourse between them! So far indeed as they judge it would be for the glory of God, they may openly declar ewherein they disagree.

But surely if they are ashamed to own one another, in the faces of all mankind, they are ashamed of Christ: they are ashamed of him that sends, if they dare not avow whom he has sent. Excuses indeed will never be wanting. But will these avail before God? For many years I have been labouring after this: labouring to unite, not scatter, the messengers of God. Not that I want any thing from them. As God has enabled me to stand, almost alone, for these twenty years, I doubt not but he will enable me to stand, either with them or without them. But I want all to be helpful to

each other and all the world to know we are so. Let them know, who is on the Lord's side. You, I trust, will always be of that number. O let us preach and live the whole gospel The grace of our Lord be with your spirit!

Your ever affectionate Brother and Servant,

John Wesley.

TO MR. JOHN TREMBATH.

Twerton, Sept 21, 1755.

THE plain reason why I did not design to speak with you as Launceston, was, because I had no hope of doing you good. I observed long ago, that you are not patient of reproof. And I fear you are now less so than ever. But, since you desire it, I will tell you once more what I think, fear, or hear, concerning you

I think you tasted of the powers of the world to come thirteen or fourteen years ago, and were then simple of heart, and willing to spend and be spent for Christ But not long after, not being sufficiently on your guard, you suffered loss by being applauded This revived and increased your natural vanity, which was the harder to be checked, because of your constitutional stubbornness two deadly enemies which have lain in wait for you many years, and have given you many deep, if not mortal, wounds.

I fear it is near ten years since you were so weakened by these, that you no longer set a watch over your mouth, but began frequently to speak what was not strictly true, to excuse yourself, divert others, or gain applause. I am afraid this has prevailed over you more and more, as there was less and less of the life of God in the soul: so that I should almost wonder if you do not judge a

diverting he to be a very innocent thing.

After your first marriage, being not used to, nor fond of reading, and not spending many hours in private prayer, time grew heavy on your hands, especially as you could not bear the cross of being a regular travelling preacher: so you betook yourself to farming, and other country employments, and grew more and more dead to God. Especially when you began to keep company (whether by necessity or choice) with the men "whose talk is of bullocks," who have little to do either with religion or reason, and have but just wit enough to smoke, drink, and flatter you.

By these dull wretches you have been an unspeakable loser Perhaps it was in company with some of these, that you first thought of taking a little sport, and catching a few fish, or killing a partridge or a hare. Miserable employment for a Preacher of the Gospel! for a Methodist Preacher above all others! Though! do not at all wonder, if after practising it for some time, you should be so infatuated as even to defend it. I am afiaid these same poor creatures afterwards taught you (if that report be true) even to coun-

tenance that wickedness for which Cornwall stinks in the nostrils of all who fear God, or love King George; I mean that of smuggling: though surely they could not persuade you to receive stolen goods! That is an iniquity to be punished by the judges. Is there any truth in that other charge, (you must not ask who tells me so; if so, I have done,) that you imposed on Mrs. H——, in the writings; and fraudulently procured 100l. a year to be engaged for, instead of four-score? I hope this was a mistake; as well as that assertion, that you encouraged drunkenness, by suffering it in your company, if not in your own house.

O remember from whence you are fallen! repent and do the first works! First recover the life of God in your own soul, and walk as Christ walked. Walk with God as you did twelve years ago. Then you might again be useful to his children. Supposing you were truly alive to God yourself, how profitably then (leaving the dead to bury their dead,) might you spend three months in a year at Bristol, or London, three in Cornwall, and six in spreading the

is in my heart; I hope you will receive it not only with patience but profit.

You must be much in the way, or much out of the way: a good soldier for God, or for the Devil. O choose the hetter part!—now!—to-day!

Gospel wherever it might be needful. I have now told you all that

I am your affectionate Brother,
John Wesley.

TO MR. JOHN TREMBATH.

MY DEAR BROTHER, Cork, Aug. 17, 1760.

THE conversation I had with you yesterday in the afternoon, gave me a good deal of satisfaction. As to some things which I had heard, (with regard to your wasting your substance, drinking intemperately, and wronging the poor people at Silberton,) I am persuaded they were mistakes: as I suppose it was that you converse much with carcless unawakened people. And I trust you will be more and more cautious in all these respects, abstaining from the very appearance of evil.

That you had not always attended the preaching, when you might have done it, you allowed, but seemed determined to remove that objection as well as the other, of using such exercises or diversions, as give offence to your brethren. I believe you will likewise endeavour to avoid light and trifling conversation, and totalk and behave in company with that seriousness and usefulness, which become a

preacher of the Gospel.

Certainly some years ago you were alive to God. You experienced the life and power of religion. And does not God intend, that the trials you meet with, should bring you back to this? You

cannot stand still; you know this is impossible. You must go forward or backward. Either you must recover that power, and be a Christian altogether, or in awhile you will have neither power, nor

form, inside nor outside.

Extremely opposite both to one and the other, is that aptness to ridicule others, to make them contemptible, by exposing their real or supposed foibles. This I would earnestly advise you to avoid. It hurts yourself. It hurts the hearers. And it greatly hurts those who are so exposed, and tends to make them your irreconcilable enemies. It has also sometimes betrayed you into speaking what was not strictly true. O beware of this, above all things, never amplify; never exaggerate any thing. Be rigorous in adhering to truth. Be exemplary therein. Whatever has been in time past, let all men know, that John Trembath abhors lying that he never promises any thing, which he does not perform. That his word is equal to his bond. I pray, be exact in this. Be a pattern of truth, sincerity,

and godly simplicity.

What has exceedingly hurt you in time past, nay, and I fear to this day, is want of reading. I scarcely ever knew a preacher read so little. And, perhaps, by neglecting it, you have lost the taste for it. Hence your talent in preaching does not increase. It is just the same as it was seven years ago. It is lively, but not deep: there is little variety; there is no compass of thought. Reading only can supply this, with daily meditation and daily prayer. You wrong yourself greatly by omitting this. You can never be a deep preacher without it any more than a thorough Christian O begin! Fix some part of every day for private exercises. You may acquire the taste which you have not: what is tedious at first, will afterwards be pleasant. Whether you like it or not, read and pray daily. It is for your life: there is no other way, else you will be a trifler all your days, and a pretty, superficial preacher. Do justice to your own soul give it time and means to grow. Do not starve yourself any longer Take up your cross and be a Christian altogether. Then will all the children of God rejoice (not grieve) over you; and, in particular, yours, &c

JOHN WESLEY.

TO A FRIEND.

CONCERNING A PASSAGE IN THE MONTHLY REVIEW.

DEAR SIR, City-Road, Jan. 25, 1781. YESTERDAY, looking over the Monthly Review for last Oc-

tober, at page 307, I read the following words.

"Sir William's Vindication" (of his own conduct) "is not a feeble attempt to rescue his reputation from the obloquy thrown upon it. Mr. Galloway's book is here answered, paragraph by paragraph, and several misrepresentations of important facts and circumstances proved."

I cannot quite agree with this. I think, 1. No unjust obloquy has been thrown upon it: 2. That his Vindication is a very feeble attempt, to justify his conduct—3. That he has not answered, in a satisfactory manner, any one paragraph in Mi Galloway's book, and, 3. That he has not proved any insrepresentation of any one im-

portant fact or circumstance

I think also, that the account he gives of Mi. Galloway is a very feeble attempt to blacken his character; for a full confutation whereof, I refer the candid reader to his own Answer. As to the scurrility Sir William speaks of, I see not the least trace of it in any thing Mr. G has published. He is above it. He is no "venal instrument of calumny" he abhors calumny as he does rebellion. But let him answer for himself, read only the tracts referred to, and then condemn him if you can.

I am, dear Sn, yours, &c.

John Wesley.

P. S. I have been frequently attacked by the Monthly Reviewers, but did not answer, because we were not on even ground. But that difficulty is now over. Whatever they object in their Monthly Review, I can answer in my Monthly Magazine. And I shall think it my duty so to do, when the objection is of any importance.

TO _____. ON LAY PREACHING.

DEAR SIR, April 10, 1761.

1. IN order to answer the question more clearly, which Mr. has proposed to you, it may be well to look a little backward Some years since, two or three clergymen of the Church of England, who were above measure zealous for all her rules and orders, were convinced, that religion is not an external thing, but righteousness, and peace, and joy, in the Holy Ghost and that this righteousness, and peace, and joy, are given, only to those who are justified by faith. As soon as they were convinced of these great truths, they preached them; and multitudes flocked to hear. For these reasons, and no others, real or pretended, (for as yet they were strictly regular,) because they preached such doctrine, and because such multitudes followed them, they were forbidden to preach in the churches Not daring to be silent, they preached elsewhere, in a school, by a river-side, or And more and more sinners forsook their sins, upon a mountam and were filled with peace and joy in believing

2 But at the same time huge offence was taken at their "gathering congregations" in so irregular a manner. And it was asked,

(1.) "Do you judge that the Church, with the authority of the State, has power to enact laws for her own government?" I answer, If a dispensation of the gospel is committed to me, no church has power to enjoin me silence. Neither has the State; though it may abuse its power, and enact laws whereby I suffer for preaching the gospel.

(2) 'Do you judge it your duty to submit to the laws of the Church and State, as far as they are consistent with a good conscience?"

I do. But wo is me, if I preach not the gospel. This is not con-

sistent with a good conscience.

(3) "Is it a law of the Church and State, that none of her ministers shall gather congregations, but by the appointment of the bishop? If any do, does not she forbid her people to attend them? Are they not subversive of the good order of the Church? Do you judge

there is anything sinful in such a law?"

I answer. 1. If there is a law, that a minister of Christ, who is not suffered to preach the gospel in the Church, should not preach it elsewhere, I do judge that law to be absolutely sinful. 2 If that law forbids Christian people to hear the gospel of Christ out of their parish-church, when they cannot hear it therein, I judge it would be sinful for them to obey it 3 This preaching is not subversive of any good order whatever—It is only subversive of that vile abuse of the good order of our Church, whereby men, who neither preach nor live the gospel, are suffered publicly to overturn it from the foundation and in the room of it to palm upon their congregations a wretched inixture of dead form and maimed morality

(4) "If these premises be allowed"—They cannot be allowed.

So, from nothing, nothing follows.

3. It was objected farther,

(1.) "In every nation there must be some settled order of government, ecclesiastical and civil."

There must But put civil out of the question. It only tends to

puzzle the cause.

(2.) "The Scriptures likewise enjoin this" They do, that all things in the church be done in order.

(3.) "There is an ecclesiastical order established in England

And it is a lawful one."

I believe it is, in general, not only lawful, but highly commendable (4) But Mr ——tells you, "You are born under this establishment. Your ancestors supported it, and were ennobled on that account" These points, I think, are not very material But that which follows is "You have, by deliberate and repeated acts of your own, engaged yourself to defend it. Your very rank and station

constitute you a formal and emment guardian of it."

A guardian of what? What is it that you have "deliberately engaged yourself to defend?" The constitution of the Church of England. And is not her doctrine a main part of this constitution? I far more essential part thereof, than any rule of external order? Of this then you are a formal guardian, and you have deliberately engaged yourself to defend it But have you deliberately engaged to defend her orders, to the destruction of her doctrine? Are you a guardian of this external circumstance, when it tends to destroy the substance of her constitution? And if you are engaged, at all events, to defend her order, are you also to defend the abuse of it? Surely

Vol. 10.-X

not Your rank, your station, your honour, your conscience all

engage you to oppose this

(5) "But how can it consist with the duty arising from all these, to give encouragement, countenance, and support, to principles and practices that are a direct renunciation of the established constitution? and that, in their genuine issue" (or natural tendency) "are totally subtersive of it?"

Are "the principles of those elergymen a direct renunciation of the established constitution?" Are "their practices so?" Are either the one or the other "totally subversive of it?" Not so Their fundamental principles are the very principles of the established Church. So is their practice too; save in a very few points, wherein they are constrained to deviate. Therefore, it is no ways inconsistent with your duty to encourage, countenance, and support them especially seeing they have no alternative. They must either be thus far irregular, or destroy their own souls, and let thousands of their

brethren perish for lack of knowledge

(6) Nay, but their "principles and practices are of this character For, 1 They gather congregations and exercise their ministerial office therein, in every part of this kingdom, directly contrary to the restraint laid on them at their ordination, and to the design of that patochial distribution of duty, settled throughout this nation. 2. They maintain it lawful for men to preach, who are not episcopally ordained, and thereby contradict the twenty-third Article 3 They disclaim all right in the bishops to control them in any of these matters, and say. That rather than be so controlled, they would renounce all communion with the Church. 4. These principles they industriously

propagate among their followers"

I answer, 1 They do gather congregations every where, and exercise their munisterial office therein But this is not "contrary to any restraint which was laid upon them at their ordination' For they were not ordained to serve any particular parish remarkable that Lincoln College was founded "Ad propagandam Christianum Fidem et eatirpandas Hæreses." But were it otherwise. suppose a parish-minister to be either ignorant or negligent of his duty, and one of his flock adjures me, for Christ's sake, to tell him what he must do to be saved: was it ever the design of our Church, that I should refuse to do it, because he is not of my parish? 2 "They maintain it lawful for men to preach, who are not episcopally ordained." In some circumstances, they do particularly where thousands are rushing to destruction, and those who are ordained and appointed to watch over them, neither care for, nor know how to help "But hereby they contradict the twenty-third Article, to which they have subscribed" They subscribed it in the simplicity of their hearts, when they firmly believed none but episcopal ordination valid But Bishop Stillingfleet has since fully convinced them, this was an entire mistake. 3. "They disclaim all right in the bishops to control them in any of these matters" In every point of an indifferent nature, they obey the bishops, for conscience sake. But they think episcopal authority cannot reverse what is fixed by divine authority

Yet they are determined, never "to renounce communion with the Church," unless they are cast out head-long. "If it be said, Nay, but if I varied from the Church at all, I would throw off my gown, and be a professed Dissenter." What I would you profess to dissent, when you did not? If you would, they dare not do it. They love the Church, and therefore keep to all her doctrines and rules, as far as possibly they can. And if they vary at all, it shall not be a hair's breadth farther than they cannot help. 4. "These principles they industriously propagate among their followers." Indeed they do not the bulk of their followers know just nothing of the matter. They industriously propagate among them, nothing but inward and outward holiness.

(7) "Now these are oppositions to the most fundamental principles, and essentially constituent parts of our establishment, and not of our only, but of every ecclesiastical establishment, that is or ever has been

in the Christian world"

"The most fundamental principles!" No more than the tiles are "the most fundamental principles" of a house. Useful doubtless they are yet you must take them off, if you would repair the rotten timber beneath. "Essentially constituent parts of our establishment" Well, we will not quarrel for a word. Perhaps the doors may be "essentially constituent parts" of the building we call a church. Yet if it were on fire, we might innocently break them open, or even throw them for a time off the hinges Now this is really the case The timber is rotten, yea, the main beams of the house. And they want to place that firm beam, salvation by faith, in the room of salvation by works. A fire is kindled in the Church, the house of the living God. The fire of love of the world, ambition, covetousness, envy, anger, malice, bitter zeal, in one word, of ungodliness and unrighteousness! O who will come and help to quench it? Under disadvantages and discouragements of every kind, a little handful of men have made a beginning And I trust they will not leave off, till the building is saved, or they sink in the ruins of it

4 To sum up the whole. A few irregular men openly witness those truths of God, which the regular clergy (a few excepted) either

suppress or wholly deny.

Their word is accompanied with the power of God, convincing and converting sinners The word of those is not accompanied with

power It neither wounds nor heals.

The former, witness the truth and the power of God, by their own life and conversation Therefore the world, men who know not God, hate them, and speak all manner of evil against them falsely. The latter, are of the world. Therefore the world loves its own, and speaks honourably of them

Which of these ought you to hear? Those who declare, or those who deny the truth of God? That word which is the power of God unto salvation? Or that which lulls men on to destruction? The men who live, as well as preach the gospel? Or those whose lives

are no better than their doctrine?

"But they are irregular." I answer,

1. That is not their choice. They must either preach irregularly. or not at all. 2. Is such a circuinstance of weight to turn the scale against the substance of the gospel? If it is, if none ought to speak or hear the truth of God, unless in a regular manner, then (to mention but one consequence) there never could have been any reformation from Popery. For here the entire argument for Church-order would have stood in its full force. Suppose one had asked a German nobleman to hear Martin Luther preach, might not his priest have said, (without debating, whether he preached the truth or not.) "My Lord, in every nation there must be some settled order of government, ecclesiastical, and civil. There is an ecclesiastical order established in Germany You are born under this establishment You ancestors supported it, and your very rank and station constitute you a formal and emment guardian of it How then can it consist with the duty arising from all these, to give encouragement, countenance, and support, to principles and practices that are a direct renunciation of the established constitution of Had the force of this reasoning been allowed, what had become of the reformation?

Yet it was right Though it really was a subversion of the whole ecclesiastical constitution with regard to doctrine as well as discipline. Whereas, this is no such thing. The doctrine of the established Church, which is far the most essential part of her constitution, these preachers manifestly confirm, in opposition to those who subvert it. And it is the opposition made to them by those subverters, which constrains them, in some respects, to deviate from her discipline, to which in all others, they conform for conscience. O what pity, that any who preach the same doctrine, and whom those subverters have not yet been able to thrust out, should join with them against their brethren in the common faith, and fellow-witnesses of the common sal-

vation !

I am, dear Sir, your willing Servant for Christ's sake, John Wesler.

TO THE REV. MR FURLEY.—ON A GOOD STYLE.

I HAVE had many thoughts since we parted, on the subject of our late conversation. I send you them just as they occur "What is it that constitutes a good style?" Perspicuity, purity, propriety, strength, and casiness, joined together When any one of these is wanting, it is not a good style. Di Middleton's style wants easiness. It is stiff to a high degree. And stiffness in writing is full as great a fault as stiffness in behaviour. It is a blemish hardly to be excused much less to be imitated. He is pedantic. "It is pedantry," says the great Loid Boyle, "to use a hard word, where an easier will serve." Now this the Doctor continually does, and that of set purpose. Histyle is abundantly too artificial: artis est celare artem; but his art glares in every sentence. He continually says, "Observe how finely

I speak.' Whereas a good speaker seems to forget he speaks at all. His full, round cuils naturally put one in mind of Sir Cloudesly Shovel's peruke, that "eternal buckle takes in Parian stone" Yet this very fault may appear a beauty to you, because you are apt to halt on the same foot. There is a suffiness both in your carriage and speech, and something of it in your very familiarity. But for this very reason, you should be jealous of your self, and guard against your natural infirmity. If you imitate any writers, let it be South Atterbury, or Swift, in whom all the properties of a good writer meet. I was myself once much fonder of Prior than Pope, as I did not then know that stiffness was a fault. But what in all Prior can equal for beauty of style, some of the first lines that Pope ever published?

"Poets themselves must die, like those they sung, Deaf the penuid ear, and mute the tuneful tongue, Ev'n he whose heart now melts in tender lays, Shall sho.tl; want the genhous tear he pays. Then from his eyes thy nuch-lov'd form shall part; And the last pang shall tear thee from his heart Lufe's idle business at one gasp be o'er, The Muse forgot, and thou belov'd no more."

Here is style! How clear, how pure, proper, strong, and yet how amazingly casy! This crowns all no stiffness, no haid words: no apparent art, no affectation: all is natural, and therefore consummately beautiful. Go thou and write likewise. As for me, I never think of my style at all, but just set down the words that come first. Only when I transcribe any thing for the press, then I think it my duty to see that every phrase be clear, pure, and proper. Conciseness (which is now as it were natural to me) brings quantum sufficit of strength If, after all, I observe any stiff expression, I throw it out, neck and shoulders

Clearness in particular is necessary for you and me: because we are to instruct people of the lowest understanding. Therefore, we above all, if we think with the wise, yet must speak with the vulgar. We should constantly use the most common, little, easy words, (so they are pure and proper.) which our language affords. When I had been a member of the University about ten years, I wrote and talked been a member of the University about ten years, I wrote and talked much as you do now. But when I talked to plain people in the castle, or the town, I observed they gaped and stared. This quickly obliged me to alter my style, and adopt the language of those I spoke to And yet there is a dignity in this simplicity, which is not disagreeable to those of the highest rank.

I advise you sacredly to abstain from reading any stiff writer. A by-stander sees more than those that play the game. Your style is much hurt already. Indeed something might be said, if you were a learned Infidel, writing for money or reputation. But that is not the case, you are a Christian minister, speaking and writing to save souls. Have this end always in your eye, and you will never designedly use any hard word. Use all the sense, learning, and time you have, forgetting yourself, and remembering only those are the souls for whom

Christ died; heirs of a happy, or miserable eternity!

I am your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. Wesley.

TO THE REV. MR. VENN.—ON UNION AMONG GOSPEL MINISTERS

REV. AND DEAR SIR, Birmingham, June 22, 1765.

IIAVING at length a few hours to spare, I sit down to answer your last, which was particularly acceptable to me, because it was written with so great openness. I shall write with the same. And herein you and I are just fit to converse together, because we both like to speak bluntly and plainly, without going a great way round about. I shall likewise take this opportunity of explaining myself on some other heads. I want you to understand me inside and out. Then I say, "Sic sum: Si placeo, utere."

Were I allowed to boast myself a little, I would say, I want no man living, I mean, none but those who are now connected with me, and who bless God for that connexion. With these I am able to go through every part of the work, to which I am called. Yet I have laboured after union with all whom I believe to be united with Christ. I have sought it again and again; but in vain. They were resolved to stand aloof. And when one and another sincere minister of Christ has been inclined to come nearer to me, others have diligently

kept them off, as though thereby they did God service.

To this poor end the doctrine of Perfection has been brought in field and shoulders. And when such concessions were made as would abundantly satisfy any fair and candid man, they were no nearer: rather farther off: for they had no desire to be satisfied. To make this dear breach wider and wider, stories were carefully gleaned up, improved, yea, invented and retailed, both concerning me and the perfect ones." And when any thing very bad has come to hand, some have rejoiced as though they had found great spoils.

By this means chiefly, the distance between you and me, has increased ever since you came to Huddersfield, and perhaps it has not been lessened by that honest, well-meaning man, Mr. Burnet, and by others who have talked largely of my dogmaticals, love of power, errors, and irregularities. My dogmaticalness is neither more nor less than a "custom of coming to the point at once," and telling my mind flatly and plainly, without any preface or ceremony. I could indeed premise something of my own imbecility, littleness of judgment, and the like: but, First, I have no time to lose. I must despatch the matter as soon as possible. Secondly, I do not think it frank or ingenuous. I think these prefaces are mere artifice.

The power I have I never sought. It was the undesired, unexpected result of the work God was pleased to work by me. I have a thousand times sought to devolve it on others: but as yet I cannot. I therefore suffer it till I can find any to ease me of my burden.

If any one will convince me of my errors, I will heartly thank him. I believe all the Bible as far as I understand it, and am ready to be convinced. If I am a heretic, I became such by reading the Bible All my notions I drew from thence: and with little help

from men, unless in the single point of Justification by Faith. But I impose my notions upon none: I will be bold to say, there is no man living farther from it. I make no opinion the term of union with any man: I think and let think. What I want, is holiness of heart and life. They who have this, are my brother, sister, and mother.

"But you hold perfection" True: that is, loving God with all our heart, and serving him with all our strength. I teach nothing more, nothing less, than this. And whatever infilmity, defect, ασομία, is consistent with this, any man may teach, and I shall not contradict

 $_{
m him}$

As to irregularity, I hope none of those who cause it, do then complain of it. Will they throw a man into the dirt, and beat him because he is dirty? Of all men living, those elergymen ought not to complain, who believe I preach the gospel, (as to the substance of it.) If they do not ask me to preach in their churches, they are

accountable for my preaching in the fields.

I come now directly to your letter, in hopes of establishing a good understanding between us. I agreed to suspend, for a twelvemonth, our stated preaching at Huddersfield, which had been there these many years. If this answered your end, I am glad: my end it did not answer at all. Instead of coming nearer to me, you got faither off I heard of it from every quarter: though few knew that I did, for I saw no cause to speak against you, because you did against me. I wanted you to do more, not less good, and therefore durst not do or say any thing to hinder it. And lest I should hinder it, I will make a farther trial, and suspend the preaching at Huddersfield for another year.

1. To clear the case between us a little farther, I must now adopt your words, "I, no less than you, preach Justification by Faith only, the absolute necessity of Holiness, the increasing mortification of sin, and the rejection of all past experiences and attainments 1 abhor, as you do, all Antinomian abuse of the doctrine of Christ, and desire to see my people walking even as he walked. Is it then worth while, in order to gratify a few bigotted persons, or for the sake of the minute differences between us," to encourage "all the train of evils which follow contentions for opinions, in little matters as

much as in great ?"

2. If I was as strenuous with regard to Perfection on one side, as you have been on the other, I should deny you to be a sufficient preacher but this I never did. And yet I assure you, I can advance such reasons for all I teach, as would puzzle you and all that condemn me, to answer: but I am sick of disputing. Let them

beat the air, and triumph without an opponent

3. "None, you say, preach in your houses, who do not hold the very same doctrine with you." This is not exactly the case. You are welcome to preach in any of those houses as I know we agice in the main points, and wherein soever we differ, you would not preach there contrary to me. "But would it not give you pain to have any other teacher come among those committed to your charge,

so as to have your plan disconcerted, your labours depictiated, and the affections of your flock alienated?" It has given me pain when I had reason to fear this was done, both at Leeds, Birstal, and else-And I was " under a temptation of speaking against you." but I refrained even among my intimate friends. So far was I from publicly warning my people against one I firmly believed to be much

better than myself.

4 Indeed I trust "the bad blood is now taken away." Let it icturn no more. Let us begin such a correspondence as has never been yet, and let us avow it before all mankind. Not content with not weakening each other's hands, or speaking against each other, directly or indirectly, (which may be effectually done under the notion of exposing this and that error,) let us defend each other's characters to the uttermost against either ill or well-meaning evilspeakers. I am not satisfied with, "Be very civil to the Methodists, but have nothing to do with them" No I desire to have a league offensive and defensive, with every soldier of Christ. We have not only one faith, one hope, one Lord, but are directly engaged in one We are carrying the war into the Devil's own quarters, who therefore summons all his hosts to war Come then, ye that love him, to the help of the Lord, to the help of the Lord against the mighty 1 I am now well migh Miles emeritus, Senex, Sexagena-Yet I trust to fight a little longer. Come and strengthen the hands, till you supply the place of

Your weak, but affectionate Brother, JOHN WESLLY

October 12, 1764.

TO MRS. A F_____

MY DEAR SISTER, THAT great truth, "That we are saved by Faith," will never be worn out: and that sanctifying as well as justifying faith, is the free gift of God. Now, with God one day is as a thousand years It plainly follows, that the quantity of time is nothing to him. centuues, years, months, days, hours, and moments, are exactly the same. Consequently, he can as well sanctify in a day after we are justified. as a hundred years. There is no difference at all, unless we suppose him to be such an one as ourselves. Accordingly we see in fact, that some of the most unquestionable witnesses of sanctifying grace, were sanctified within a few days after they were justified. I have seldom known so devoted a soul, as S---- H---, at Macclesfield, who was sanctified within nine days after she was convinced of sin. She was then twelve years old, and I beheve was never afterwards heard to speak an improper word, or known to do an improper thing. Her look struck an awe into all that saw her She is now

in Abraham's Bosom. Although, therefore, it usually pleases God to interpose some time between justification and sanctification, yet, (as is expressly observed in the Farther Thoughts) we must not fancy this to be an invariable rule. All who think this, must think we are sanctified by works, or (which comes to the saine) by sufferings. For otherwise, what is time necessary for? It must be either to do or to suffer. Whereas if nothing be required but simple faith, a moment is as good as an age.

The truth is, we are continually forming general rules from our own particular experience. Thus S—— R——, having gone about, and about, herself, which took up a considerable time, might very naturally suppose, all who are sanctified must stay for it near as long a time as she did. Again, if God has so rooted and grounded her in love, (which I neither affirm nor deny) that she cannot now fall from him, she very naturally thinks, this is the case with all that are sanctified. Formerly S. C. drew the same inference from her own experience, and was as positive that she could not fall from that state, as S. R— can be now

But "none can be sanctified without a deep knowledge of themselves, and of the devices of Satan." They may, without the latter, which God will give them in due time. And the former he can give in a moment: and frequently does, of which we have fresh instances

almost every day.

In the "Thoughts on Perfection," it is observed, that before any can be assured, they are saved from sin, they must not only feel no sin, but "have a direct witness" of that salvation. And this several have had as clear as S. R.—has, who afterwards fell from that salvation: although S. R.—, to be consistent with her scheme, must deny they ever had it, yea, and must affirm, that witness was either from nature or from the Devil. If it was really from God, is he well pleased with this?

I know not how to reconcile speaking sharply or roughly, or even a seeming want of meekness, with perfection. And yet I am featful of condemning whom God has not condemned. What I cannot

understand I leave to Him.

How is it, that you make me write longer letters to you than I do almost to any one else I know not how, I find a greater concern for your welfare. I want you to be exactly right. This occasions my not thinking much of any pains, that may give you help or satisfaction. The Lord touch your heart now, that all your tempers, thoughts, words, and works, may be holiness unto our God !

I am your's, &c.
John Wesley,

TO MR. HOSMER.—ON THE ADAMIC LAW.

Newcastle-upon-Tyne, June 7, 1761.

I APPREHEND if you will give another careful reading to those four pages, 244—247, you will find ally our objections antici-

pated or answered. However, I do not think much of answering

them over again. Your words are,

You say, "A mistake is not a sin, if love is the sole principle of action. Yet it is a transgression of the perfect law." Therefore perfect love is not the perfect law! Most sure. For by the perfect law I mean, that given to Adam at his creation. But "The loving God with all his heart" was not the whole of that law. It implied abundantly more: even thinking, speaking, and acting right in every instance, which he was then able, and therefore obliged to do. But none of his descendants are able to do this. Therefore love is the fulfilling of their law.

Perhaps you had not adverted to this. The law of love, which is the whole law given to us, is only one branch of that perfect law, which was given to Adam in the beginning. His law was far wider than ours, as his faculties were more extensive. Consequently, many things might be transgressions of the latter, which were not of the

former.

"But if ignorance be a transgression of the perfect law"—Whoever said or thought so? Ignorance is not but mistake is And this Adam was able to avoid: that kind of ignorance which was in him not constraining him to mistake, as ours frequently does.

"But is 'a voluntary transgression of a known law,' a proper definition of sin?" I think it is, of all such sin as is imputed to our condemnation And it is a definition which has passed uncensured

in the church, for at least fifteen hundred years.

To propose any objections that naturally arise, is right but beware you do not seek objections. If you once begin this, you will never have done. Indeed this whole affair is a strife of words. The thing is plain. All in the body are hable to mistakes, practical as well as speculative. Shall we call them sms or not? I answer again and again, Call them just what you please.

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MR. ALEXANDER COATS.

THE perfection I teach, is perfect love; loving God with all the heart receiving Christ as Prophet, Priest, and King, to reign alone over all our thoughts, words, and actions. The Papists neither teach nor believe this: give even the Devil his due. They teach, there is no perfection here, which is not consistent with venial sins: and among venial sins, they commonly reckon simple fornication. Now I think this is so far from the perfection I teach, that it does not come up to any but Mr. Reilly's Perfection. To say, Christ will not reign alone in our hearts in this life; will not enable us to give him all our hearts: this, in my judgment, is making him a half Saviour; he

can be no more, if he does not quite sare us from our sins. I pray then, be not quite so peremptory. Who exalts Christ most? those who call on him to be sole Monarch of the heart? or those who allow him only to share the power, and to govern most of the thoughts and tempers? Who honour him most? those who believe he heals all our sickness, takes away all our ungodliness ? or those who say, he heals only the greater part of it, till death does what He cannot do? I know no creature (of us) who says, "Part of our salvation belongs to Christ, and part to us" No: we all say, Christ alone saves us from all sin: and your question is not about the Author, but the measure of salvation. Both agree it is all Christ: but is it all salvation, or only half salvation, he will give? Who was Pelagius? By all I can pick up from ancient authors, I guess he was both a wise, and a holy man. But we know nothing but his name: for his writings are all destroyed; not one line of them left. But, Brother Coats, This way of talking is highly offensive. I advise you, 1. (If you are willing to labour with us,) preach no doctrine contrary to ours. I have preached twenty years in some of Mr. Whitefield's societies: yet to this day, I never contradicted him among his own people. I did not think it honest: neither necessary at all. I could preach salvation by faith, and leave all controversy untouched advise you, 2. Avoid all those strong rhetorical exclamations, "O hornd! O dreadful!" and the like; unless when you are strongly exhorting sinners, to renounce the Devil and all his works. 3. Acquaint yourself better with the doctrine we preach, and you will find it not dreadful, but altogether lovely. 4 Observe, that if forty persons think and speak wrong, either about justification or sanctification, (and perhaps fancy they have attained both,) this is no objection to the doctrines themselves. They must bear their own builden. But this does not at all affect the point in question. 5. Remember, as sure as you are that "believers cannot fall from grace," others (wise and holy men too) are equally sure, they can: and you are as much obliged to bear with them, as they are to bear with you. 6. Abstain from all controversy in public. Indeed, you have not a talent for it. You have an honest heart, but not a clear head Practical religion is your point. Therefore, 7. Keep to this, repentance toward God, faith in Christ, holiness of heart and life, a growing in grace, and in the knowledge of Christ. the continual need of his atoning blood, a constant confidence in him, and all these every moment to your life's end. In none of these will any of our preachers contradict you, or you them

When you leave this plain path, and get into controversy, then they think you "invade the glories of our adorable King, and the unspeakable right, and privileges, and comforts, of his children," and can they then "tamely hold their peace?"

O Sander, know the value of peace and love!

I am, your affectionate Brother,
John Wesley.

TO MR. S. F.

MY DEAR BROTHER, Bristol, Oct. 13, 1762

IN general, when I apprehend, "Certainly this is a contradiction:" if I find other persons of equal sagacity with myself, of equal natural and acquired abilities apprehend, it is not; I immediately suspect my own judgment, and the more so, because I remember, I have been many times full as sure as I am now: and yet afterwards, I found myself mistaken.

As to this particular question, I believe I am able to answer every objection which can be made. But I am not able to do it without expending much time, which may be better employed. For this reason I am persuaded, it is so far from being my duty to enter into a formal controversy about it, that it would be a wilful sin, it would be employing my short residue of life, in a less profitable way than it may be employed.

The proposition which I will hold is this: "A person may be cleansed from all sinful tempers, and yet need the atoning blood. For what? For "negligences and ignorances:" for both words and actions (as well as omissions) which are, in a sense, transgressions of the perfect law. And I believe, no one is clear of these, till he lays down this corruptible body.

Now, Sammy, dropping the point of contradiction, tell me simply what you would have more? Do you believe evil tempers remain till death? All, or some? If some only, which?

I love truth wherever I find it, so if you can help me to a little more of it, you will oblige, dear Sammy, yours, &c.

JOHN WESLEY

TO MRS. S. R.

MY DEAR SISTER, Nov. 22, 1757.

MAY the peace and love of God spring up in your heart, as in time past, and more abundantly! You have refreshed my bowels in the Lord. I feel your words, and praise God on your behalf. I not only excuse, but love your simplicity, and whatever freedom you use, it will be welcome.

Surely God will never suffer me to be ashamed of my confidence in you. I have been censured for it by some of your nearest friends; but I cannot repent of it. Will not you put forth all your strength, (which indeed is not yours; it is the Spirit of the Father which now worketh in you,) 1. In managing all things pertaining to the house, so as to adorn the gospel of God our Saviour? 2 In feeding the sheep he has committed to your immediate care, and carrying the weak and sickly in your bosom? 3. In assisting, quickening, and directing the family at Kingswood, whom I trust you will always bear upon your heart? 4 In reproving, stirring up, or confirming all

whom the providence of God shall put into your hands? And, lastly in watching over, and helping forward in the ways of God, one who has more need of help than all the rest? And who is always willing to receive it from you, because you always speak the truth in love.

Do you find no interruption or abatement at any time of your joy in the Lord? Do you continually see God? And that without any cloud, or darkness, or mist, between? Do you pray without ceasing, without ever being diverted from it, by any thing inward or outward? Are you never hindered by any person or thing? By the power or subtlety of Satan, or by the weakness or disorders of the body pressing down the soul? Can you be thankful for every thing without exception? And do you feel all working together for good? Do you do nothing, great or small, merely to please yourselt? Do you feel no touch of any desire or affection, but what springs from the pure love of God? Do you speak no words but from a principle of love, and under the guidance of his Spirit? O how I long to find you un blamable in all things, and holy as he that hath called you is holy!

TO THE SAME

M1 DEAR SISTER, Dec. 14, 1757

I FIND by Mr P—n's last letter, that he is deeply offended: that his former affection (so he speaks) "is degenerated into a cold esteem, and that he no longer regards me as a dear friend, but as an austere master" Has he not a little affected you? He does not speak with passion, but his words distil as the dew. The God whom you serve send forth his light and his truth, and direct you in every

thought !

Do you never find any wandering thoughts in prayer? Or useless thoughts at other seasons? Does the corruptible body never press down the soul, and make it muse about useless things? Have you so great a command over your imagination, as to keep out all unprofitable images? At least to banish them the moment they appear, so that they neither trouble, nor sully your soul? Do you find every reasoning brought into captivity to the obedience of Christ? Is there no vanity or folly in your dreams? No temptation that almost overcomes you? And are you then as sensible of the presence of God, and as full of prayer, as when you are waking?

I can hardly avoid trembling for you still upon what a pinnacle do you stand! Perhaps few persons in England have been in so dangerous a situation, as you are now? I know not whether any other was ever so regarded both by my brother and me at the same time What can I do to help you? The Father of mercies help you, and with his favourable kindness surround you on every side! May the eternal Spirit help you in every thought, word, and work, to serve the living God!

I am, your affectionate Brother, J. W.

Yor. 10.-Y

TO THE SAME.

MY DEAR SISTER,
HOW did you feel yourself under your late trial? Did you find no stirring of resentment? No remains of your own will? No desire or wish, that things should be otherwise? In one sense, you do desire it: because you desire that God should be glorified in all things. But did not the falling short of that desire lessen your happiness? Had you still the same degree of communion with God? The same joy in the Holy Ghost? I never saw you so much moved as you appeared to be that evening. Your soul was then greatly troubled. And was not your heart unhinged at all? Was it not rufiled or discomposed? Was your soul all the time calmly stayed on God? Waiting upon him without distraction? Perhaps one end of this close trial was to give you deeper knowledge of yourself and of God? Of his power to save, and of the salvation he hath wrought

Most of the trials you have lately met with, have been of another kind; but it is expedient for you to go through both evil and good report.—The conversing with you, either by speaking or writing, is an unspeakable blessing to me. I cannot think of you, without thinking of God. Others often lead me to him; but it is, as it were, going round about: you bring me straight into his presence.

I am your affectionate brother, . J. W.

TO THE SAME.

O THAT I could be of some use to you! I long to help you forward in your way. I want to have your understanding a mere lamp of light, always shining with light from above! I want you to be full of divine knowledge and wisdom, as Jordan in the time of harvest. I want your words to be full of grace, poured out as precious ointment. I want your every work to bear the stamp of God, to be a sacrifice of a sweet smelling savour! Without any part weak, earthly, or human: all holy; divine! The great God, your Father and your Love, bring you to this self-same thing! Begin, soldier of Christ, child of God! Walk worthy of the vocation wherewith thou art called! Remember the faith! Remember the Captain of thy salvation! Fight! Conquer! Die—and live for ever!

I am your's, &c.

J. W.

TO LADY ----

MY LADY,
IT was impossible to see the distress, into which your ladyship was thrown, by the late unhappy affair, without bearing a part of it, without sympathizing with you. But may we not see God therein? May we not both hear and understand his voice? We must allow it is generally "small and still:" yet sometimes he speaks in the whirlwind. Permit me to speak to your ladyship with all freedom; not as to a person of quality, but as to a creature whom the Al-

mighty made for himself, and one that is in a few days to appear before him

You were not only a nominal, but a real Christian You tasted of the powers of the world to come. You knew, God the Father had accepted you through his eternal Son. And God the Spirit bore

witness with your spirit, that you were a child of God.

But you fell among thieves, and such as were peculiarly qualified to rob you of your God. Two of these in particular were sensible, learned, well-bred, well-natured, moral men. These did not assault you in a rough, abrupt, offensive manner. No; you would then have armed yourself against them, and have repelled all their attacks. But by soft, delicate, unobserved touches, by pleasing strokes of raillery, by insinuations rather than surly arguments, they, by little and little, sapped the foundation of your faith, perhaps, not only of your living faith, your "evidence of things not seen," but even of your notional. It is well if they left you so much as an assent to the Bible, or a belief, that Christ is God over all! And what was the consequence of this? Did not your love of God grow cold? Did not you "measure back your steps to earth again?" Did not your love of the world revive? Even of those poor, low trifles, which in your very childhood you utterly despised?

Where are you now? Full of faith? Looking into the Holiest, and seeing Him that is invisible? Does you heart now glow with love to Him, who is daily pouring his benefits upon you? Do you now even desire it? Do you now say, (as you did almost twenty

vears ago)

Keep me dead to all below, Only Christ resolved to know! Firm, and disengaged, and free, Seeking all my bliss in Thice!

Is your taste now for heavenly things? Are not you a lover of pleasure, more than a lover of God? And, O' what pleasure? What is the pleasure of visiting? Of modern conversation? Is there any more reason than religion in it? I wonder, what rational appetite does it gratify? Setting religion quite out of the question, I cannot conceive, how a woman of sense can—relish, should I say? No. but suffer so insipid an entertainment.

O that the time past may suffice! Is it not now high time that you should awake out of sleep? Now God calls aloud! My dear lady, now hear the voice of the Son of God and live! The trouble in which your tender parent is now involved may restore all that reverence for her, which could not but be a little impaired, while you supposed she was "righteous over-much." O how admirably does God lay hold of, and "strengthen the things that remain in you?" Your gratitude, your humane temper; your generosity; your filial tenderness? And why is this, but to improve every right temper, to free you from all that is irrational or unholy, to make you all that you were, yea, all that you should be; to restore you to the whole image of God!

I am, my Lady, your's, &c.

J. WESLEY.

TO LORD ----.

MY LORD, July 26, 1764.

UPON an attentive consideration, it will appear to every impartial person, that the uniting of the serious clergy, in the manner I proposed in a former letter, is not a matter of indifferency; but what mone can reject, unless at the peril of his own soul. For every article therein mentioned, is undeniably contained in the royal law, the law of love; and consequently the observance thereof, is bound upon every man, as indispensably necessary to salvation. It will appear farther, that every single person may observe it whether the other will or not. For many years, I, for instance, have observed this rule in every article. I labour to do so now, and will, by God's

help, whatever others do, observe it to the end.

I rejoice that your lordship so heartly concurs in doing what is in your power, to promote a general observance of it. Certainly this is not possible to be effected by merely human means: but it seems your lordship has taken one good step towards it, by communicating it to several. I am persuaded, at the same time, your lordship's approbation and wish is, that it might take place every where. The same step I purpose to take, by sending to each of those gentlemen, the substance of what I wrote to your lordship, and desiring them to tell me freely, whatever objections they have against such a union. As many of those as are grounded on reason, I doubt not, will be easily answered. Those only which spring from some wrong temper must remain, till that temper is subdued. For instance: first, we cannot unite, says one, because we cannot trust one another. I answer to your reason or understanding, no matter whether we can or not. Thus far we must unite, trust or not, otherwise we sin against God: secondly, I can trust you, why cannot you trust me? I can have no private end herein. I have neither personal hopes nor fears from you. I want nothing which you can give me; and I am not afraid of your doing me any hurt; though you may hurt yourself and the cause of God. But I cannot answer your envy, jealousy, pride, or credulity, as long as those remain. Objections, however cut off, will spring up again like hydras' heads.

If your lordship has heard any objections, I should be glad to know them May I be permitted to ask, have not the objections you have heard, made some impression upon your lordship? Have they not occasioned (if I may speak freely) your lordship's standing aloof from me? Have they not set your lordship faither and farther off, ever since I waited upon you at ——? Why do I ask? Indeed not upon my own account. Quid mea? Ego in porto navigo I can thuly say, I neither fear, nor desire any thing from your lordship: to speak a rough truth, I do not desire any intercourse with any poisons of quality in England. I mean, for my own sake they do me no good, and I fear I can do none to them. If it be desired, I will readily leave all those to the care of my fellow-labourers. I will article with them so to do, rather than this shall be any bone of contention.

Were I not afraid of giving your lordship pain, I would speak yet still further. Methinks you desire I should, that is, to tell you once for all, every thought that rises in my heart. I will then. At present I do not want you, but I really think you want me you a person in all England, who speaks to your lordship so plain and downright as I do Who remembers not the Peer but the man? not the Earl, but the immortal Spirit? Who rarely commends, but often blames, and perhaps would do it oftener if you desired it. who is jealous over you with a godly jealousy, lest you should be less a: Christian by being a nobleman? Lest, after having made a fair advance towards heaven, you should measure back your steps to carth O my lord, is not such a person as this needful for you in the highest degree? If you have any such, I have no more to say, but that I pray God to bless him to your soul. If you have not, despise not even the assistance which it may please God to give you by my lord, Your Lordship's ready Servant, J. WESLEY.

TO THE REV MR. H.

YOUR book on the Millennium and the Mystic Writers, was lately put into my hands. I cannot but thauk you for your strong and scasonable confirmation of that comfortable doctrine: of which I cannot entertain the least doubt, as long as I believe the Bible. I thank you hkewise for your remarks on that bad performance of the Bishop of G—, which undoubtedly tears up by the roots, all real internal religion. Yet at the same time I cannot but bewall, you vehement attachment to the Mystic Writers with whom I conversed much for several years, and whom I then admired, perhaps more than you do now. But I found at length an absolute necessity, of giving up either them, or the Bible. So after some time, I fixed my

Y 2

choice, to which I hope to adhere to my life's end. It is only the extreme attachment to these, which can account for the following words. "Mr. W. docs, in several parts of his Journals, lay down some marks of the new-birth, not only doubtful, but exceptionable. as particularly where persons appeared agitated or convulsed, under the ministry which might be owing to other causes rather than any

regenerating work of God's Sprit," p 385.

Is this true? In what one part of my Journals do I lay down any doubtful, much less exceptionable, marks of the new-birth? In no part do I lay down those agitations or convulsions as any marks of Nay, I expressly declare the contrary, in those very words which the Bishop himself cites from my Journal. I declare, "These are of a disputable nature; they may be from God, they may be from nature; they may be from the Devil." How is it then that you tell all the world, "Mr. W. lays them down in his Journals as marks of the new-birth ?"

Is it kind? Would it not have been far more kind, suppose I had spoken wrong, to tell me of it in a private manner? How much more unkind was it, to accuse me to all the world, of a fault which I

never committed?

Is it wise thus to put a sword into the hands of our common enemy ? Are we not both fighting the battles of our Lord, against the world, as well as the flesh and the Devil And shall I furnish them with weapons against you, or you against me? Fine diversion for the children of the Devil! And how much more would they be diverted, if I would furnish my quota of the entertainment; by falling upon you in return? But I bewail the change in your spirit; you have not gained more lowliness or meekness since I knew you O beware I You did not use to despise any one This you have gained from the authors you admire. They do not express anger toward their opponents, but contempt in the highest degree. And this, I am afraid, is far more antichristian, more diabolical, than the other. The God of love deliver you and me from this spirit, and fill us with the So prays, mind that was in Christ

Dear Sir, your still affectionate Brother, J. WESLEL

TO THE REV. MR. PLENDELEITH.

May 23, 1768. REV. AND DEAR SIR, SOME years ago it was reported, that I recommended the use of a crucifix, to a man under sentence of death. I traced this up to its author, Dr. Stennet, an Anabapust teacher. He was charged with it. He answered, "Why, I saw a crucifix in his cell, (a picture of Christ on the cross.) And I knew Mr Wesley used to visit him. So I supposed he had brought it." This is the whole of the matter. Dr Stennet himself I never yet saw. Nor did I ever see such a picture in the cell. And I behere the whole tale is pure invention.

I had for some time given up the thought of an interview with Mr. Erskine, when I fell into the company of Dr Oswald. He said, "Sir, you do not know Mr. Erskine I know him perfectly well. Send and desire an hour's conversation with him, and I am sure he will understand you better." I am glad I did send. I have done my part, and am now entirely satisfied.

I am likewise glad, that Mr. E has spoken his mind I will answer with all simplicity, in full confidence of satisfying you, and all

impartial men.

He objects, First, that I attack Predestination as subversive of all religion, and yet suffer my followers in Scotland, to remain in that opinion. Much of this is true. I did attack Predestination eight and twenty years ago. And I do not believe now, any Predestination which implies irrespective Reprobation. But I do not believe, it is necessarily subversive of all religion. I think hot disputes are much more so. Therefore I never willingly dispute with any one about it. And I advise all my friends, not in Scotland only, but all over England and Ireland, to avoid all contention on the head, and let every man remain in his own opinion. Can any man of candour blame me for this? Is there any thing unfair or disingenuous in it?

He objects, Secondly, That I "assert the attainment of Sinless Perfection by all born of God" I am sorry Mr. E should affirm this again. I need give no other answer, than I gave before, in the

seventh page of the little tract I sent him two years ago.

"I do not maintain this I do not believe it I believe Christian Persection is not attained by any of the children of God, till they are what the Apostle John terms Fathers. And this I expressly declare in that sermon which Mr E so largely quotes."

He objects, Thirdly, That I "deny the imputation of Chist's active obedience." Since I believed Justification by Faith, which I have done upwards of thirty years, I have constantly maintained that we are pardoned and accepted wholly and solely for the sake of

what Christ hath both done and suffered for us

Two or three years ago Mr. Madan's sister showed him what she had written down of a sermon which I had preached on this subject. He entreated me, to write down the whole and print it, saying, It would satisfy all my opponents. I was not so sanguine as to expect this: I understood mankind too well. However, I complied with his request: a few were satisfied. The rest continued just as they were before.

As long as Mr. E. continues of the mind expressed in his Theological Essays, there is no danger that he and I should agree, any more than light and darkness. I love and reverence him; but not his doctrine. I dread every approach to Antinomianism. I have seen the fruits of it, over the three kingdoms. I never said, that Mr. E. and I were agreed. I will make our disagreement as public as ever he pleases only I must specify the particulars. If he will light with me, it must be on this ground. And then let him do what he will, and what he can.

Retaining a due sense of your friendly offices, and praying for a blessing on all your labours,

I remain, Rev. and dear Sir,

Your affectionate brother and servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MR. S. AT ARMAGH.

DEAR BROTHER, April 24, 1769.

I SHALL now tell you the things which have been more or less upon my mind, ever since I have been in the North of Ireland. If you forget them, you will be a sufferer, and so will the people : if you observe them, it will be good for both

1. To begin with little things. If you regard your health, Touch no supper, but a little milk, or water-gruel. This will entirely, by the blessing of God, secure you from nervous disorders; especially if you rise early every morning, whether you preach or not.

2. Be steadily scrious. There is no country upon earth where this is more necessary than Ireland: as you are generally encompassed with those who, with a little encouragement, would laugh, or

trifle from morning to night.

3. In every town visit all you can from house to house. I say, all you can; for there will be some whom you cannot visit: and it you examine, instruct, reprove, exhort, as need requires, you will have no time hanging on your hands. It is by this means that the societies are increased wherever T. R. goes; he is preaching from morning to night. Warning every one, that he may present every one perfect in Christ Jesus.

4. But on this, and every other occasion, avoid all familiarity with women. This is deadly poison both to them and you. You cannot be too wary in this respect. Therefore begin from this hour.

5. The chief matter of your conversation, as well as your preaching, should doubtless be, The weightier matters of the law. Yet there are several (comparatively) little things which you should carnestly inculcate from time to time, (for "He that despiseth small things, shall fall by little and little.") Such are,

1. Be active, be diligent: avoid all laziness, sloth, indolence. Flee from every degree, every appearance of it; else you will never

be more than half a Christian.

2. Be cleanly. In this let the Methodists take pattern by the Quakers. Avoid all nastiness, dirt, slovenliness, both in your person, clothes, house, and all about you. Use all diligence to be clean, as one says,

"Let thy mind's sweetness have its operation, Upon thy person, clothes, and habitation."

3. Whatever clothes you have, let them be whole: no rents,

no tatters, no rags. These are a scandal to either man or woman; being another fruit of vile laziness. Mend your clothes, or I shall never expect you to mend your lives. Let none ever see a ragged Methodist

6. Use no tobacco, unless prescribed by a physician. It is an uncleanly, and an unwholesome self-indulgence: and the more customary it is, the more resolutely should you break off from every

degree of that evil custom.

7. Use no shuff, unless prescribed by a physician. I suppose no other nation in Europe is in such vile bondage to this silly, nasty, dirty custom, as the Irish are, but let Christians be in this bondage no longer. Assert your liberty, and that all at once: nothing will be done by degrees. But just now you may break loose through Christ

strengthening you.

8 Touch no dram. It is a liquid fire. It is a sure, though slow poison It saps the very springs of life. In Ireland, above all countries in the world, I would sacredly abstain from this, because the evil is so general, and to this, and snuff, and smoky cabins, I impute the blindness which is so exceedingly common throughout the nation.

I am, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

TO ---

DEAR SIR,

I BLESS God that you are not disgusted at the great plainness with which I wrote. Indeed I know not but it might be termed roughness, which was owing partly to the pressure of mind I then felt, and partly to my being straitened for time; otherwise I might have found softer expressions. I am thankful likewise for your openness, which obliges me to be open and unreserved, and to say all I mean, and that in the most simple manner, on each of the articles that lie before us

I must do this, even with regard to my fellow-labourers, lest I should seem to mean more than I do. But I am sensible this is a tender point, and one so extremely difficult to treat upon, that I should not venture to say one word, did I not know to whom I speak. What I mean is this: from many little circumstances that have occurred, I have been afraid (just so far I went) that those clergymen with whom you are most acquainted, were jealous of your being acquainted with me. I was the more afraid, when I heard the sudden exclamation of one, whom you well know God! Mr. Wesley is always speaking well of these Gentlemen, and they can never speak well of him. But I am entirely satisfied by that full declaration which you make, "I do not know of any impression that has been made upon me to your disadvantage."

I had once the opportunity of speaking a few minutes to you, on

the head of Christian Perfection. And I believe you had not much objection to any thing which was then spoken. When I spoke nearly to the same effect to one of the late bishops of London, Bishop Gibson, he said earnestly, "Why, Mr. Wesley, if this is what you mean by perfection, who can be against it?" I believe verily, there would need no more than a single hour, spent in free and open conversation, to convince you, that none can rationally or scripturally say any thing against the perfection I have preached for thirty years.

The union which I desire among the persons I mentioned, is an entire union of heart, constraining them to labour together as one man, in spreading vital religion through the nation. But this I do not hope for, though I know a few who would cordially rejoice therein. The union which I proposed is of a lower kind. I proposed that they should love as brethren, and behave as such And I particularized what I think is implied in this: I imagined, in so plain a manner, as was hardly possible, without great skill, to be either misunderstood, or misrepresented I really do not conceive, what ambiguity there can be in any part of this proposal? Or what objection can lie against our going thus far, whether we go farther or not.

With regard to you, I have frequently observed that there are two very different ranks of Christians, both of whom may be in the favour of God, a higher and a lower rank. The latter avoid all known sin, do much good, use all the means of grace, but have little of the life of God in their souls, but are much conformed to The former make the Bible their whole rule, and their sole aim is the will and the image of God. This they steadily and uniformly pursue, through honour and dishonour, denying themselves, and taking up their cross daily; considering one point only, "How may I attain most of the mind that was in Christ, and how may I please him most ?' Now I verily believe, never was a person of rank more prepared for this state, than you were, the first time I had the pleasure of seeing you. Nav, I doubt not but you pant after it now: your soul is atherst to be all devoted to God But who will press you forward to this? Rather, who will not draw you back? It is in this respect that I think one that uses plain dealing is needful for you in the highest degree so needful, that without this help you will inevitably stop short. I do not mean, stop short of heaven, but of that degree of holiness, and consequently of happiness both in time and eternity, which is now offered to your acceptance.

It is herein that I am jealous over you. I am afined of your sinking beneath your calling, degenerating into a common Christian, who shall indeed be saved, but saved as by fire. I long to see both you and your lady a little more than common Christians. Christians of the first rank in the kingdom of God, full of goodness and truth. I want you to be living witnesses of all gospel-holiness! And what shall hinder, if you seek it by faith? Are not all things ready? The Lord God give you to experience, That all things are possible to

them that believe!

O God, let all their life declare,
How happy these thy servants are;
How far above these earthly things,
How pure when wash'd in Jesu's blood!
How intimately one with God,
A heav'n born race of priests and kings.

I am, honouted Sir, your friend and servant,
J. WESLEY.

TO THE REV MR. L-

DEAR BROTHER. Lewisham, Dec. 14, 1771.

FOR some time I have been in doubt, whether it was best for me to write, or to leave you to your own reflections. But at length love turns the scale. I cannot be silent any longer, without being wanting in affection I will therefore state the case as impartially as I can, and may God give you a right judgment in all things!

It has pleased God to intrust you with several talents: a measure of his grace, of natural understanding, improved by reading and conversation; and a tolerable utterance. And what are you doing with these talents? You are well-nigh burying them in the earth. A dispensation of the Gospel is committed to you: and yet you preach not the Gospel, or but now and then; instead of continually surring up the gift of God that is in you. Is this mactivity, this losing so many precious opportunities, owing to any temporal views? Do you expect to get more money by delay? I hope not. Do you want to avoid labour, shame, or censure? I would fain think better things of you. Surely you have not so learned Christ!

But you have promised (not indeed to man, but) before God, that you will not leave the Church. What do you mean by this? What deas do you affix to that confused expression? In what sense can the officiating at West-street or Spitalfields chapels (both of them consecrated places, if that avails any thing) be called leaving the Church? Does Mr. Dodd, one of the king's chaplains, leave the Church, by officiating at Charlotte-street chapel? Although this was never consecrated yet, neither is it under any episcopal juiis-

diction?

But if you had made that promise ten times, still I ask, Would it not be "more honoured in the breach, than in the observance?" For what was it you promised? To wait for dead men's shoes? Was not this a foolish promise? To bury your talent in the earth? Was not this a sinful promise? To incur the wo of not preaching the Gospel? Is not this both foolish and sinful? "But you do not intend to stand in the vineyard all the day idle. You will but wait awhile longer?" Well, how long will you be as a dumb dog? Twenty years? Or ten? Or one and a half? If you have a lease of your life, well: but what if you are called in one year to give an account of your stewardship? O live to-day! Do all the good you can

while it is called to-day! Now stir up the gift of God which is in you! Now, save as many souls as you can; and do all you can to ease the labour, and prolong the life of,

Your affectionate friend and brother,
John Wesley.

TO MISS A____

DEAR MISS A-, London, Aug. 21, 1766.

YOUR letters will always be agreeable to me, and the more largely and freely you write, the better. I am deeply concerned for your happiness; and a measure of happiness you may enjoy, as long as you feel any love in your heart to God, though it be but in a small degree. Be thankful for what you have; and in peace and love wait for the whole promise. God has not only promised, but confirmed that promise by an oath, that "being delivered from all your enemies, you shall serve him in righteousness and holiness, all the days of your life." By what art can this be made to mean, the last day, or the last moment of your life? Look for it now! To-day hear his voice! Do not reason against God, against yourself: Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with ALL thy heart. The mouth of the Lord hath spoken it,

I advise you, 1st, Get all the opportunities you can, of hearing the preaching, and conversing with the children of God. 2dly, Avoid disputing, with your might. 3dly, Spend some time every day in private prayer, in meditation, and in reading the Notes on the New Testament, the first volume of Sermons, and the Appeals. 4thly, When you may be free, use it rather. Peace be with your spirit.

I am, &c.

LETTER II. TO MISS A-

DEAR MISS A ____ London, Jan. 15, 1767.

TIME changes thought, especially in youth, and amidst variety of company. So that it would be nothing strange, if you should forget those for whom you once had a regard; but you need not. Every reasonable affection is intended to last to eternity. And the true affection for our friends is, as Milton says,

Whereby to heav'nly love thou mayst ascend."

For the present, you seem to be in your place, the place which the wisdom of God has assigned you; and the crosses you now meet with, as they are not of your own choosing, will surely work together for good. Your want of more public opportunities may, in a good measure, be supplied by private exercises. Let no day pass without

more or less private prayer, reading, and meditation And docs not God see in secret? Does he not now read your heart, and see if it pants for his pure love? If so, are not all things ready? May you not now find what you never did before? Ask him that loves you! whose nature and whose name is Love!

am, &c. J. W.

TO THE SAME.

YOUR letter was exceedingly acceptable to me, and the more so because I was almost afraid you had forgotten me. I am glad to find you have not forgotten the blessing which God gave you when at Newcastle, and the resolutions which you formed there; and I trust you never will, till God gives you the full enjoyment of the glorious liberty which you then tasted. Do not imagine that this is afar off, or that you must do and suffer a great deal before you attain it,—I dare not affirm that.—Has not Christ done and suffered enough for you? The purchase is made, the price is paid already, you have only to believe and enter into rest, to take the purchased possession: all is ready: and to-day is the day of salvation! Why should you not now be all Love? All devoted to him that loves you? Is it not the language of your heart,

Henceforth may no profane delight
Divide this consecrated soul,
Possess it Thou, who hast the right,
As Lord and Master of the whole.

You are to obey your parent in the Lord only, not in opposition to IIIM. If, therefore, any means should offer whereby you might enjoy that full liberty of conscience, which every creature has a right to, I judge it would be not only lawful, but your bounden duty to accept of such an offer.

Mrs. Wilberforce's charity is a good omen what is it God will not do if we can trust him.—Only east your whole care upon him, and he will do all things well: He will withhold from you no manner

of thing that is good.—O let him have all your heart

I am, dear Sister, &c. J. W.

TO THE SAME.

CERTAINLY the point we should always have in view is, what is best for eternity? And I believe it would be best for you to change your condition, if a proper person offers.—But I should scruple doing this without a parent's consent. If your mother is Vol. 10.—Z

willing, I see no objection to your marrying one that fears God, and is seeking salvation through Christ. Such an one is not an unbeliever, in the sense wherein that word is taken, 2 Cor. vi. xiv.

I love to think of you and hear from you. I want you to be always holy and happy. And why not? You have a strong Helper, and shall not his strength be made perfect in your weakness? Why then should you stop short of his whole promise. Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart -Hold him to his word, and let not your hope be weakened by the subtile reasonings of men. Still let the language of your heart be-

> Big with earnest expectation Let me sit—at thy feet, Longing for salvation

As long as you are in this spirit you will not forget Your's, &c.

J. W.

TO THE SAME.

Newcastle, Aug. 8, 1767. DEAR SISTER. WE have many instances of this: persons cold and dull, and

scarcely knowing how to believe their own words, have asserted as they could, the truths of the gospel, and enforced them upon others, and at that very time God has caused light and love to spring up in their own hearts. Therefore, however you feel in your own breast, speak as well as you can for God. Many times you will see some fruit upon others, if not, you shall have a recompense in your own bosom. In one sense, you do believe, That God is both able and willing to cleanse you from all unrighteousness, and to do it now. But not in that sense, wherein all things are possible to him that beheveth. But what if he should give you this faith also? Yea, while you have this paper in your hand! To-day hear his voice! O listen! And heaven springs up in your heart.

Among the hearers of Mr. Maden and Mr. Romaine (much more among those of Mr. Whitefield) there are many gracious souls, and some who have deep experience of the ways of God hearing them would not profit you, it would be apt to lead you into unprofitable reasonings, which would probably end in your giving up all hope of a full salvation from sin in this life. Therefore I advise you, check all curiosity of this kind, and keep quite out of the way of danger

Hannah Harrison is a blessed woman. I am glad you had an opportunity of conversing with her, and why should not you enjoy the same blessing? The Lord is at hand! J. W.

I am, &c.

TO THE SAME.

AT length I get a little time (after having been some weeks almost in a perpetual motion) to write a few lines to one I sincerely love. Grow in grace every hour; the more the better. Use now, all the grace you have, this is certainly right: but also now expect all the grace you want! This is the secret of heart religion. At the present moment to work, and to believe. Here is Christ your Lord: the lover of your soul. Give yourself up to him without delay, and as you can, without reserve. And simply tell him all you desire, and all you want. What situation is it that hurries you? Is it not determined whether you shall change your condition or not? Be it either way, God sitteth on the thione, and ruleth all things well.

I am, &c.

John Wesley.

TO MR. JOSEPH COWNLEY.*

AS many of you as have set your hands to the plough, see that you go on, and look not back. The prize and the crown are before you, and, in due time, you shall reap, if you faint not. Meantime, fight the good fight of faith, enduring the cross, and despising the shame. Beware that none of you return evil for evil, or railing for railing, but contrary-wise, blessing. Show forth out of a loving heart, your good conversation, with meekness and wisdom. Avoid all disputes as you would avoid fire so shall ye continue kindly affectionate one toward another. The God of peace be with you. I am, your affectionate Brother,

TO THE SAME, AT NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE.

MY DEAR BROTHER,
I DOUBT you are in a great deal more danger from honour, than from dishonour. So it is with me. I always find there is most hazard in sailing upon smooth water. When the winds blow and the seas rage, even the sleepers will rise and call upon God.

From Newcastle to London, and from London to Bristol, God is every where reviving his work. I find it is so now in Dublin: although there has been great imprudence in some, whereby grievous wolves have lately crept in among us: not sparing the flock. by whom some souls have been utterly destroyed, and others wounded, who are not yet recovered. Those who ought to have stood in the gap, did not. But I trust they will be wiser for the time to come. After a season, I think it will be highly expedient

^{*} This letter, directed to Mr. Cownley, at Leominster, in Herefordshire, appears to be intended chiefly for the Society in that place, where Mr. Cownley then resided.

for you to labour in Ireland again. Mr. Lunell has been on the brink of the grave by a fever. Vesterday we had hopes of his recovery. I see a danger you are in, which, perhaps, you do not see yourself. Is it not most pleasing to me, as well as you, to be always preaching of the love of God? And is there not a time when we are peculiarly led thereto, and find a peculiar blessing therein? Without doubt, so it is. But yet it would be utterly wrong and unscriptural to preach of nothing else. Let the Law always prepare for the Gospel. I scarcely ever spoke more earnestly here, of the love of God in Christ, than last night. But it was after I had been tearing the unawakened in pieces. Go thou and do likewise. It is true, the love of God in Christ, alone feeds his children. But even they are to be guided as well as fed. Yea, and often physiced too. And the bulk of our hearers must be purged before they are fed. Else we only feed the disease. Beware of all honey. It is the best extreme: but it is an extreme.

I am, your affectionate Brother, John Wesley.

In 1755, Mr. Cownley was afflicted, in consequence of a severe fever, with a violent pain in the head, which no medicine could remove. After consulting the most able physicians in Ireland, he stated his case to Mr. Wesley, and received the following answer.

TO THE SAME, AT CORK.

I HAVE no objection to any thing but the blister. If it does good, well. But if I had been at Cork, all the physicians in Ireland should not have put it upon your head. Remember poor Bishop Pearson. An apothecary, to cure a pain in his head, covered it with a large blister. In an hour, he cried out, "O my head, my head!" and was a fool ever after to the day of his death. I believe cooling things (if any thing under heaven) would remove that violent irritation of your nerves, which probably occasions the pain. Moderate riding may be of use; I believe of more than the blister. Only do not take more labour upon you than you can bear. Do as much as you can, and no more. Let us make use of the present time. Every day is of importance. We know not how few days of peace remain. We join in love to you and yours: I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Friend and Brother,

Some persons, not remarkable for being volunteers in faith, or for an excess of that charity which hopeth all things, have doubted whether the late Mr. Wesley was ever truly awakened, and brought from trusting in his own righteousness. Let such persons read it showing heter, and then let them judge.

TO THE REV. WILLIAM LAW.

IT is in obedience to what I think to be the call of God, that I, who have the sentence of death in my own soul, take upon me o write to you, of whom I have often desired to learn the first elements of the Gospel of Christ. If you are born of God, you will approve of the design though it may be but weakly executed. If not, I shall grieve for you, not for myself. For as I seek not the praise of men; so neither regard I the contempt either of you or of any other. For two years (more especially) I have been preaching after the model of your two practical treatises and all that reard, have allowed, that the law is great, wonderful, and holy. But no sooner did they attempt to fulfil it, but they found that it is too high for man; and that by doing the works of the law shall no flesh bying be justified.

To remedy this, I exhorted them, and stirred up myself to pray earnestly for the grace of God, and to use all the other means of obtaining that grace, which the all-wise God hath appointed. But still, both they and I were more and more convinced,—That this is a law by which a man cannot live: the law in our members continually warring against it, and bringing us into deeper captivity to the

law of sin

Under this heavy joke I might have groaned till death, had not a holy man, to whom God lately directed me, upon my complaining thereof, answered at once, "Believe, and thou shalt be saved. Believe in the Lord Jesus Christ with all thy heart, and nothing shall be impossible to thee —This faith, indeed, as well as the salvation it brings, is the free gift of God—But seek, and thou shalt find. Strip thyself naked of thy own works, and thy own righteousness, and flee to him. For whosoever cometh unto him, he will in nowise cast out."

Now, Sir, suffer me to ask, How will you answer it to our common Lord, that you never gave me this advice? Dal you never read the Acts of the Apostles, or the answer of Paul to him who said, "What must I do to be saved ?" Or are you wiser than he? Why did I scarcely ever hear you name the name of Christ? Never so as to ground any thing upon faith in his blood 1 Who is this who is laying another foundation q If you say, you advised other things as preparatory to this. What is this but laying a foundation below the foundation? Is not Christ then the first as well as the last? It you say, you advised them, because you knew that I had faith already. Verily you knew nothing of me: you discerned not my spirit at all. I know that I had not faith unless the taith of a Devil, the faith of Judas, that speculative, notional, airy shadow, which lives in the head, not in the heart. But what is this to the hving, justifying faith in the blood of Jesus? The faith that cleanseth from sin: that gives us to have free access to the Father: to rejoice

in the hope of the glory of God: to have the love of God shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost which dwelleth in us: and the Spirit itself bearing witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God?

I beseech you, sir, by the mercies of God, to consider deeply and impartially, whether the true reason of your never pressing this upon me, was not this,—That you had it not yourself? Whether that man of God was not in the right, who gave this account of a late interview he had with you? "I began speaking to him of faith in Christ: he was silent. Then he began to speak of mystical matters. I spake to him of faith in Christ again: he was silent. Then he began to speak of mystical matters again. I saw his state at once." And a very dangerous one, in his judgment, who I know to have the Spirit of God.

Once more, sir, let me beg you to consider, whether your extreme roughness, and morose and sour behaviour, at least on many occasions, can possibly be the fruit of a living faith in Christ? If not, may the God of peace and love fill up what is yet wanting in you. I am, Rev. Sir, your humble servant, John Wesley.

LETTER H. TO THE REV. WM. LAW.

REV. SIE, May 30, 1738.

I SINCERELY thank you for a favour I did not expect, and

presume to trouble you once more.

How I have preached all my life; how qualified or unqualified I was to correct a translation of Kempis, and to translate a preface to it. Whether I have now, or how long I have had living faith. Whether Peter Bohler spoke truth in what he said, when two others were present besides me, are circumstances on which the main question does not turn; which is this, and no other: "Whether you ever advised me, or directed me to books, that did advise me to seek first a living faith in the blood of Christ, and that thereby alone I could be justified." You appeal to three facts to prove that you did. 1st, That you put into my hands Theologia Germanica. 2d, That you published an Answer to "The Plain Account of the Sacrament." And, 3d, That you are governed through all that you have written and done by these two fundamental maxims of our Lord, "Without me ye can do nothing: and, If any man will come after me, let him take up his cross and follow me."

The facts I allow, but not the consequence. In Theologia Germanica, I remember something of Christ our pattern, but nothing express of Christ our atoucment. The Answer to the Plain Account of the Sacrament, I believe to be an excellent book, but not so as to affect the main question. Those two maxims may imply, but do not express the thing itself, He is our propitiation through

faith in his blood.

But how are you chargeable with my not having had this faith? If you intimate that you discerned my spirit, then you are chargeable

thus: 1. You did not tell me plantly I had it not. 2. You never once advised me to seek or to pray for it. 3. Your advice to me was only proper for such as had faith already. Advices which led me further from it, the closer I adhered to them 4. You recommended books to me which had no tendency to this faith, but a direct one to destroy good works. However "Let the fault be divided (you say) between me and Kempis." No. if I understood Kempis wrong, it was your part, who discerned my spirit, and saw my nustake, to have explained him, and to have set me right. I ask pardon, Sir, if I have said any thing inconsistent with the obligations I owe you, and the respect I bear to your character.

I am, Rev. Sir, your most obedient Servant,

J. WESLEY:

TO MR. FLETCHER.

Birmingham, March 20, 1768.

I WAS told yesterday, that you are sick of the conversation even of them who profess religion, that you find it quite unprofitable, if not hurtful, to converse with them, three or four hours together, and are sometimes almost determined to shut yourself up, as the less evil of the two. I do not wonder at it at all. Especially considering with whom you have chiefly conversed for some time past, namely, the hearers of Mr. **** and Mr. ****. The conversing with them

I have rarely found to be profitable to my soul. Rather it has damped my desires, and has cooled my resolutions, and I have com-

monly left them with a dry, dissipated spirit.

And how can you expect it to be otherwise? For do we not naturally eatch their spirit with whom we converse? And what spirit can we expect them to be of, considering the preaching they sit under. Some happy exceptions, I allow. But, in general, do men gather grapes of thorns? Do they gather the necessity of inward and outward self-devotion, of constant, universal self-denial, or of the patience of hope, or the labour of love, from the doctrine they hear? Do they gather from that amorous way of praying to Christ, or that luscious way of preaching his righteousness, any real hohness? I never found it so. On the contrary, I have found that even the precious doctrine of Salvation by Faith, has need to be guarded with the greatest care, or those who hear it will slight both inward and outward holiness.

I will go a step further. I seldom find it profitable to converse with any who are not athirst for full salvation, and who are not big with earnest expectation of receiving it every moment. Now you find none of these among those we are speaking of; but many on the contrary, who are in various ways, directly or indirectly, opposing this blessed work of God. The work I mean, which God is carrying on throughout this kingdom, by unlearned and plain men.

You have, for some time, conversed a good deal with the genteel Methodists. Now it matters not a straw what doctrine they hear. Whether they frequent the Lock, or West-street, if they are as salt which has lost its savour. If they are conformed to the maxims, the spirit, the fashions, and customs of the world. Certainly then, if you converse much with such persons, you will return less a man than you were before. But were either the one or the other of ever so excellent a spirit, you conversed with them too long. One had need be an angel, not a man, to converse three or four hours at once, to any good purpose. In the latter part of such a conversation, we shall be in great danger of losing all the profit we had gained before

But have you not a remedy for all this in your hands? In order to converse profitably, may you not select a few persons who stand in awe of him they love, persons who are vigorously working out their salvation; who are atherst for full redemption, and every mo-

ment expecting it, if not already enjoying it?

Though it is true, these will generally be poor and mean, seldom possessed of either riches or learning, unless there be now and then one of higher rank: if you converse with such as these, humbly and simply, an hour at a time, with earnest prayer for a blessing, you will not complain of the unprofitableness of conversation, or find any need of turning hermit. Do you not observe, that all the lay-preachers who are engaged with me, are maintainers of General Redemption? And it is undeniable that they are instrumental in saving souls. God is with them, and he works by them, and has done so for near these thirty years. Therefore, the opposing them is neither better nor worse than fighting against God.

I am, your ever affectionate Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MR. KNOX.

PROBABLY this will be the last trouble of the kind which you will receive from me. If you receive it in the same spirit wherein it is written, I shall be glad. If not, my reward is with the Most High. I did not choose it should be delivered till I was gone, lest you should think I wanted something from you. By the blessing of God, I want nothing, only that you should be happy in time and in eternity. Still I cannot but remember, the clear light you had with regard to the nature of real, scriptural Christianity. You saw what heart religion meant, and the gate of it, justification. You had earnest desires to be a partaker of the whole gospel-blessing: and you discovered the sincerity of those desires, by the steps you took in your family. So that in every thing you were hastening to be, not almost, but altogether a Christian.

Where is that light now? Do you now see that true religion is

not a negative, or an external thing. But the life of God in the soul of man. The image of God stamped upon the heart? Do you now see, that in order to this, we are justified freely, through the redemption which is in Christ Jesus? Where are the desires after this, which you once felt; the hungering and thirsting after righteousness? And where are the outward marks of a soul groaning after Tod, and refusing to be comforted with any thing less than his love?

Will you say, "But if I had gone on in that way, I should have lost my friends and my reputation?" This is partly true. You would have lost most of those friends who neither love nor fear God. Happy loss! These are the men who do you more hurt than all the world besides These are the men whom, if ever you would be a real Christian, you must avoid as you would avoid hell-fire then they will censure me." So they will. They will say you are a fool, a madman, and what not. But what are you the worse for this? Why, the Spirit of glory and of Christ shall rest upon you "But it will hurt me in my business." Suppose it should, the favour of God would make large amends. But very probably it would For the winds and the seas are in God's hands, as well as the hearts of men. "But it is inconsistent with my duty to the Church." Can a man of understanding talk so, and talk so in earnest? Is it not rather a copy of his countenance? Indeed if you mean-" Inconsistent with my pleasing this or that clergyman,"-I allow it But let them be pleased or displeased, please thou God. But are these clergymen the Church? Unless they are holy men, earnestly loving and serving God, they are not even members of the Church; they are no part of it. And unless they preach the doctrines of the Church, contained in her Articles and Liturgy, they are no true ministers of the Church, but are eating her bread and tearing out her bowels !

"But you will not leave the Church." You never will by my advice: I advise just the contrary: I advise you to lose no opportunity of attending the service of the Church, and receiving the Lord's Supper, and of showing your regard for all her appointments. I advise, steadily to adhere to her doctrine in every branch of it. Particularly with regard to the two fundamental points, Justification by Faith, and Holiness. But above all, I cannot but cannestly entreat you, not to rest till you experience what she teaches. Till (to sum up all in one word) God cleanses the thoughts of your heart by the inspiration of his Holy Spirit, that you may perfectly love him, and worthly magnify his holy name. Unless this be done, what will it profit you to increase your fortune, to preserve the fairest reputation, and to gain the favour of the most learned, the most ingenious, and the most honourable clergymen in the kingdom? What will it profit a man to gain all these, and to lose his own soul?

I know that to God all things are possible: therefore it is possible you may take this kindly If so, I shall hope to receive a line from you. If not, let it be forgotten till we meet at the judgment seat of

Christ. I am, dear Sir, your affectionate Servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS BISHOP.

MY DEAR SISTER, Feb. 7, 1778.

IT is no great matter, whether those doubts arose in your mind by conversing with Mr. II. by reading Mr. Law's later works, or by your own reasoning. But, doubtless, what you mention, is a point of the last importance, and deserves our most serious consideration. The rather, because the strange account given of it by some, has induced others to deny, The doctrine of Atonement: although this is the distinguishing point between Deism and Christianity. "The morality of the Bible (said Lord Huntingdon to me) I admire: but the doctrine of Atonement, I cannot comprehend." Here, then, we

divide. Give up the Atonement, and we are all agreed.

This point, therefore, deserves to be largely considered: but that my time will not permit. And it is the less needful, because I have done it already in my letter to Mr. Law; to which I beg you will give a serious reading, whether you have read it before or not. [See Volume Ninth, p. 118.] But it is true, I can no more comprehend it than his Lordship: perhaps I might say, than the angels of God; the highest created understanding. If we attempt to expatiate in this field, we "shall find no end, in wandering mazes lost!" But the question is, (the only question with me; I regard nothing else;) What saith the Scripture! It says, "God was in Christ reconciling the world unto himself." "That he made him, who knew no sin, to be a sin-offering for us." It says, "He was wounded for our transgressions, and bruised for our iniquities." It says, "We have an Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the rightcous, and he is the Atonement for our sins."

But it is certain, had God never been angry, he could never have been reconciled. So that in affirming this, Mr. Law strikes at the very root of the Atonement, and finds a shorter method of converting Deists, than Mr. Lesley's! Although, therefore, I do not term God, as Mr. Law supposes, "a wrathful being," which conveys a wrong idea; yet I firmly believe he was angry with all mankind, and that he was reconciled to them by the death of his Son. And I know he was angry with me, till I believed in the Son of his love; and yet this is no impeaclment to his mercy. But he is just, as well

as merciful.

Undoubtedly, as long as the world stands, there will be a thousand objections to this scriptural doctrine. For still the preaching of Christ crucified, will be foolishness to the wise men of the world. However, let us hold it fast in our heart, as well as in our understanding; and we shall find by happy experience, that this is to us the wisdom of God, and the power of God.

I am, your affectionate Friend and Brother, JOHN WESLEY.

TO MRS. MAITLAND.

DEAR MADAM, May 12, 1763.

BOTH in the former and in the farther Thoughts on Christian Perfection, I have said all I have to say on that subject. Nevertheless, as you seem to desire I should, I will add a few words more. As to the word Perfection, it is scriptural. Therefore neither you nor I can in conscience object to it, unless we would send the Holy Ghost to school, and teach him to speak, who made the tongue. By Christian Perfection I mean, (as I have said again and again,) The so loving God and our neighbour, as to "rejoice evermore, pray without ceasing, and in every thing give thanks." He that experiences this, is scripturally perfect. And if you do not, yet you may experience it; you surely will, if you follow hard after it, for the Scripture cannot be broken.

What then does their arguing reprove, who object against Christian Perfection? Absolute or infallible Perfection, I never contended for. Sinless Perfection, I do not contend for, seeing it is not scriptural: a perfection such as enables a person to fulfil the whole law, and so needs not the merits of Christ. I acknowledge no such

perfection; I do now, and always did protest against it.

But is there no sin in those who are perfect in love? I believe not: but be that as it may, they feel none, no temper contrary to pure love, while they rejoice, pray, and give thanks, continually. And whether sin is suspended, or extinguished, I will not dispute, it is enough that they feel nothing but love. This, you allow, we should daily press after. And this is all I contend for. O may the Lord give you to taste of it to-day.

I am, dear Madam, your very affectionate Servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MR. HART.

DEAR SIR, July 11, 1763.

ABUNDANCE of business has prevented my writing so soon as I desired and intended, nor have I time now to write so largely as I could wish, and as your openness and frankness would otherwise constrain me to do. But I cannot delay any longer to write a

tittle, lest I should seem to slight your correspondence.

What you before observed is of great importance, viz. "If it be the professed aim of the Gospel to convince us that Jesus is the Christ: if I, a sinner, am convinced of the reality of this fact, am not I, who believe, authorized to expect life, not through any condition, or any act inward or outward performed by me, but singly through the name which Jesus assumed, which stands for his whole character or merit?" Here is the hinge on which Mr. Sandiman's whole system turns. This is the strength of his cause, and you have

proposed it with all the strength and clearness which he himself could devise

Yet suffer me to offer to your consideration a few queries concerning it. Is every one who is convinced of the reality of this fact, "Jesus is the Christ," a Gospel believer? Is not the Devil convinced of the reality of this fact? Is then the Devil a Gospel believer? I was convinced of the reality of this fact when I was twelve years old, when I was without God in the world Was I then a Gospel believer? Was I then a child of God? Was I then in a state of salvation? Again, you say, "I who believe am authorized to expect life, not through any condition or act, inward or outward, performed by me " "I who believe." But cannot you as well expect it without believing? It not, what is believing but a condition? For it is something sine qua non. And what else do you, or I, or any one living, mean by a condition? And is not believing an inward act? What is it else? But you say, " Not performed by me." By whom then? God gives me the power to believe. But does he believe for me? He But still is it not I that believe? And if so, is works faith in me not believing an inward act performed by me ?

Is not then this hypothesis (to waive all other difficulties) contradictory to itself? I have just set down a few lints as they occurred. Wishing you an increase of every blessing, I am, dear Sir, your very affectionate Brother,

John Wesley.

TO LADY _____.

MY DEAR LADY, London, June 19, 1771.

MANY years since, I saw, that "without holiness no man shall see the Lord." I began following after it, and inciting all with whom I had any intercourse to do the same. Ten years after, God gave me a clearer view than I had before, of the way how to attain this, namely, By faith in the Son of God. And immediately I declared to all, "We are saved from sin, we are made holy, by faith." This I testified in private, in public, in print;—and God confirmed it by a thousand witnesses. I have continued to declare this, for above thirty years. And God hath continued to confirm the word of his grace: but during this time, well nigh all the religious world hath set themselves in array against me, and among the rest, many of my own children, following the example of one of my eldest sons, Mr. W. Their general cry has been, "He is unsound in the faith, he meaches another Gospel" I answer, Whether it be the same which they preach or not, it is the same which I have preached for above thirty years. This may easily appear from what I have published during that whole term. I instance only in three sermons that on "Salvation by Faith," printed in the year 1738. That on "The Lord our Righteousness," printed a few years since . and that on Mr. Whitefield's Funeral, printed only some months ago. But it is said, "O but you printed ten lines in August last, which contradict

all your other writings." Be not so sure of this It is probable, at least, that I understand my own meaning as well as you do: and that meaning I have yet again declared in the sermon last referred to. By that, interpret those ten lines, and you will understand them better. Although I should think that any one night see, even without this help, that the lines in question do not refer to the condition of obtaining, but of continuing in the favour of God. But whether the sentiment contained in those lines be right or wrong, and whether it be well or ill expressed, the gospel which I now preach, God does still confirm by new witnesses in every place; perhaps never so much in this kingdom as within these last three months. Now, I argue from glarnes, undeniable fact, God cannot bear witness to a lie. The gospel therefore which he confirms, must be true in substance. There may be opinious maintained at the same time which are not exactly true; and who can be secure from these? Perhaps I thought myself so once, when I was much younger than I am now, I thought myself almost infallible. But I bless God, I know myself better now

To be short Such as I am, I love you well. You have one of the first places in my esteem and affection: and you once had some regard for me. But it cannot continue if it depends upon my seeing with your eyes, or on my being in no mistake What if I was in as many as Mr. Law himself? If you were, I should love you still, provided your heart was still right with God My dear friend, you seem not to have well learned yet the meaning of those words, which I desire to have continually written upon my heart, "Whosoever doth the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother." I am, my dear lady, your affectionate

JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE REV. GEORGE WHITEFIELD.

MY DEAR BROTHER, March 20, 1739. WOULD you have me speak to you freely? Without any softening or reserve at all? I know you would. And may our loving Saviour speak to your heart, so my labour shall not be in vain. do not commend you with regard to our brothers S- and C-. But let me speak tenderly. For I am but a little child. I know our Lord has brought good out of their going to you: good to you, and good to them: very much good and may he increase it a thousand fold, how much soever it be! But is every thing good, my brother, out of which he brings good? I think that does not follow O my brother, is it well for you or me, to give the least hint of setting up our will or judgment, against that of our whole Society? Was it well for you once to mention a desire, which they had all solemnly declared they thought unreasonable, was not this abundant cause to drop any design which was not manifestly grounded Voz. 10.-A a

on a clear command of our Lord? Indeed, my brother, in this 2 commend you not. If our brother R— or P—, desired any thing, and our other brethren disapproved of it, I cannot but think he ought immediately to let it drop. How much more, eight you or !? They are upon a level with the rest of their brethren. But I trust you and I are not; we are the servants of all. Thus far have I spoken, with fear and trembling, and with many tears. O may our Lord speak the rest; for what shall such an one as I say to a beloved servant of my Lord? O pray that I may see myself a worm and no man. I wish to be your brother in Jesus Christ.

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MRS. S. R.

My DEAR SISTER, Whitehaven, June 28, 1766.

FOR some time I have been convinced it was my duty to tell you, what was on my mind. I will do it with all plainness. You may answer or not, as you judge best. Many things I have observed in you which gave me pleasure: some which gave me concern: the former I need not mention: the latter I must, or I should not myself be clear before God. The first of these is something which looks like pride. You sometimes seem to think too highly of yourself, and (comparatively) to despise others. I will instance in two or three particulars:

1. You appear to be above instruction; I mean instruction from man. I do not doubt but you are taught of God. But that does not supersede your being taught by man also. I believe there is no

saint upon earth, whom God does not teach by man.

2. You appear to think, (I will not affirm you do,) that none understands the doctrine of sanctification like you. Nay, you sometimes speak as if none understood it besides you: whereas (whether you experience more or less of it than some) I know several, both men and women, who both think and speak, full as scripturally of it as you do: and perhaps more clearly: for there is often something dark and confused in your manner of speaking concerning it.

3. You appear to undervalue the experience of almost every one, in comparison of your own. To this it seems to be owing, that you, some way or other, beat down almost all who believe they are saved from sin. And so some of them were, in the only sense wherein I either teach or believe it, unless they tell flat and wilful lies, in giving

an account of their experience.

A second thing which has given me concern is, I am afraid, you are in danger of enthusiasm. We know there are divine dreams and impressions. But how easily may you be deceived herein? How easily, where something is from God, may we mix something which is from nature! especially if we have a lively imagination, and are not aware of any danger.

I will mention one thing more. It has frequently been said, and with some appearance of truth, that you endeavour to monopolize the affections of all that fall into your hands: that you destroy the nearest and dearest connexion they had before, and make them quite cool and indifferent to their most intimate friends. I do not at all speak on my own account; I set myself out of the question. But if there be any thing of the kind, with regard to other people, I should be sorry, both for them and you. I commend you all to God, and to the world of his grace. I am, my dear sister, your affectionate brother,

John Wesley.

TO MR. J. VALTON

London, June 30, 1764.

IT is certainly right, with all possible care, to abstain from all outward occasions of evil. But this profits only a little. the mound change is the one thing needful for you You must be born again, or you will never gain an uniform and lasting liberty. Your whole soul is diseased, or rather dead, -dead to God, -dead in sin. Awake then, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light. To seek for a particular deliverance from one sin only, is mere lost labour. If it could be attained, it would be of little worth, for another would rise in its place, -but indeed it cannot, before there is a general deliverance from the guilt and power of all sin. This is the thing which you wan; and which you should be continually seeking for. You want to be freely justified from all things, through the redemption that is in Jesus. It might be of use if you would read over the first volume of Sermons, seriously, and with prayer. Indeed nothing will avail without prayer. Pray, whether you can or not '-when you are cheer'ul, when you are heavy, pray, -with many, or few words, or none at all. You will surely find an answer of peace. And why not now?

I am your servant for Christ's sake, John Wesley.

EXTRACTS FROM LETTERS WRITTEN BY THE REV. MR WESLEY, TO A MEMBER OF HIS SOCIETY.

LETTER I.

CERTAINLY the more freedom you use, the more advantage you will find. But at the same time it will be needful, continually to remember, from whom every good and perfect gift cometh. If he blesses our intercourse with each other, then we shall never repent of the labour. It is a blessing indeed, when God uncovers our hearts,

and clearly shows us, what spirit we are of. But there is no manner of necessity, that this self-knowledge should make us miserable. Certainly the highest degree of it, is well consistent, both with peace and joy in the Holy Ghost. Therefore, how deeply soever you may be convinced of pride, self-will, peevishness, or any other inbred sinsee that you do not let go that confidence, whereby you may still rejoice in God your Saviour. Some indeed have been quite unhappy, though they retained their faith, through desire on the one hand, and conviction on the other: but that is nothing to you: you need never give up any thing which you have already received. You will not, if you keep close to that,—

For this my vehement soul stands still: Restless, resigned, for this I wait.

We have a fuller, clearer knowledge of our own members, than of those belonging to other societies, and may therefore, without any cul-

pable partiality, have a better opinion of them.

It is a great thing to spend all our time to the glory of God. But you need not be scrupulous, as to the precise time of reading and praying; I mean, as to the dividing it between one and the other. A few minutes, one way or the other, are of no great importance.

May He who loves you, fill you with his pure love!

I am, your affectionate Brother, John Wesley.

LETTER II.

March 29, 1760.

HAVING a little longer reprieve, I snatch the opportunity of writing a few lines before we embark. Prayer is certainly the grand means of drawing near to God: and all others are helpful to us only so far, as they are mixed with, or prepare us for this. The comfort of it may be taken away by wandering thoughts, but not the benefit; violently to fight against these, is not the best and speediest way to conquer them: but rather humbly and calmly to ask and wait for his help, who will bruise Satan under your feet. You may undoubtedly remain in peace and joy, until you are perfected in love. You need neither enter into a dispute, when persons speak wrong, nor yet betray the truth; there is a middle way. You may simply say, "I believe otherwise; but I think, and let think; I am not fond of contending on this or any other head, lest I receive more hurt than I do good." Remember your calling: be

"A simple follower of the Lamb, And harmless as a little child."

J. W.

LETTER III.

April 16, 1760.

ELTHAM is a barren soil indeed. I fear scarcely any are to be found there, who know any thing of the power of religion: and not many that have so much as the form. But God is there; and he can supply every want. Nothing contributes to seriousness more than humility, because it is a preparation for every fruit of the Holy Spirit: and the knowledge of our desperate state by sin, has a particular

tendency to keep us earnest after deliverance, and that earnestness can hardly consist with levity, either of temper or behaviour

Those who have tasted of the goodness of God, are frequently waiting in declaring it. They do not as they ought, stir up the gift of God, which is in every believer, by exciting one another to continual thankfulness, and provoking each other to love and good works. We should never be content to make a drawn battle, to part neither better nor worse than we met. Christian conversation is too precious a talent to be thus squandered away. It does not require a large share of natural wisdom to see God in all things; in all his works of creation, as well as of providence. This is rather a branch of spiritual wisdom, and is given to believers more and more, as they advance in purity of heart. Probably it would be of use to you, to be as regular as you can. I mean, to allot such hours to such employments, only not to be troubled when Providence calls you from them. For the best rule of all is, To follow the Will of God.

LETTER IV.

June 27, 1760.

A DAY or two ago, I was quite surprised to find among my papers a letter of your's, which, I apprehend, I have not answered. Every one though born of God in an instant, yet undoubtedly grows by slow degrees, both after the former and the latter change. But it does not follow from thence, that there must be a considerable tract of time between the one and the other. A year, or a month, is thesame with God as a thousand: if he wills, to do is present with him, much less is there any necessity for much suffering. God can do his work by pleasure, as well as by pain. It is therefore undoubtedly our duty to pray, and look for full salvation, every day, every hour, every moment, without waiting till we have either done or suffered more. Why should not this be the accepted time?

Certainly your friend will suffer loss, if he does not allow himself time every day for private prayer. Nothing will supply the want of this: praying with others is quite another thing. Besides, it may expose us to great danger. It may turn prayer into an abomination to.

God. For

Guilty we speak, if subtle from within Blows on our words the self-admiring sin 1

O make the best of every hour!

J. W.

LETTER V.

Nov. 11, 1760

CONVICTION is not condemnation. You may be convinced yet not condemned. convinced of useless thoughts or words, and yet not condemned for them. You are condemned for nothing, if you love God, and continue to give him your whole heart. Certainly, spiritual temptations will pass through your spirit; else you could not feel them. I believe I understand your state better than you do your self. Do not perplex yourself at all about what you shall call it. You are a child of God, a member of Christ, an heir of the kingdom.

What you have, hold fast, (whatever name is given to it,) and you shall have all that God has prepared for them that love him. Certainly you do need more faith: for you are a tender, sickly plant. But see,—

"Faith, while yet you ask, is given:
God comes down, the God and Lord,
That mude both earth and heaven?"

You cannot live on what he did yesterday. Therefore he comes to: day! He comes to destroy that tendency to levity, to severe judging, to any thing that is not of God. Peace be with your spirit!

LETTER VI.

Dec. 12, 1760.

YOU may blame yourself, but I will not blame you, for seeking to have your every temper, and thought, and word, and work, suitable to the will of God. But I doubt not you seek this by faith, not without it: and you seek it in and through Christ, not without him. Go on: you shall have all you seek; because God is Love. He is showing you the littleness of your understanding, and the foolishness of all natural wisdom. Certainly peace and joy in believing are the grand means of holiness, therefore love and value them as such.

"Why is the law of works superseded by the law of love?" Because Christ died. "Why are we not condemned for coming short even of this?" Because he lives and intercedes for us. I believe it is impossible not to come short of it, through the unavoidable littleness of our understanding. Yet the blood of the covenant is upon us, and therefore there is no condemnation. I think the extent of the law of love is exactly marked out, in the thirteenth of the Corinthians. Let faith fill your heart with love to him and all mankind;—then follow this loving faith to the best of your understanding:—Meantime crying out continually,—"Jesus is all in all to me."

J. W.

LETTER VII.

June 17, 1761.

APPREHEND your great danger now is this, to think you never shall receive that blessing, because you have not received it yet. Nay, perhaps you may be tempted to believe that there is no such thing, and that those who thought they had received it, were mistaken, as well as you. This danger will be increased, if some, who professed to be sanctified long ago, and yet have not received this blessing, affirm there is no such thing, and begin to warn others against falling into this delusion. But keep close to your rule, the Word of God, and to your guide, the Spirit of God, and never be afraid of expecting too much: as yet you are but a babe. O what heights of holiness are to come! I hope you do not forget to pray for me. Adieu!

LETTER VIII.

May 13, 1762.

YOU did well to write. "It is good to hide the secrets of a king; but to declare the loving kindness of the Lord." Have you never

found any wandering since? Is your mind always stayed on God? Do you find every thought brought into captivity to the obedience of Christ? Do no vam thoughts (useless, trifling, unedifying) lodge within you? Does not the corruptible body at some times more or less, press down the soul? Has God made your very dreams devout? I have known Satan assault in their sleep (endeavouring to terrify or affright) those whom he could not touch when they were awake

As to your band, there are two sorts of persons with whom you may have to do; the earnest, and the slack: the way you are to take with the one, is quite different from that one would take with the other. The latter you must search and find out, why they are slack: Exhort them to repent, be zealous, do the first works. The former you have only to encourage, to exhort, to push forward to the mark, to bid them grasp the prize so nigh! And do so yourself. Receive a thousand more blessings believe more, love more, you cannot love enough. Beware of sins of omission. So shall you fulfil the joy of your affectionate Brother,

LETTER IX.

October 9, 1762.

April 7, 1763.

THOUGH I have very little time, I must write a few lines. I thank you for your comfortable letter. Some have more of heat, and some of light. The danger is, that one should say to the other, "I have no need of thee." or that any should mistake his place, and imagine himself to be what he is not. Be not backward to speak to any whom you think are mistaken, either in this, or other things. A loving word, spoken in faith, shall not fall to the ground. And the more freely you speak to me, at any time, or on any head, the more you will oblige your ever affectionate Brother,

LETTER X.

THE true gospel touches the very edge both of Calvinism and Antinomianism so that nothing but the mighty power of God can pievent our sliding either into the one or the other. The nicest point of all which relates to Christian perfection, is that which you inquire of Thus much is certain: They that love God with all their heart, and all men as themselves, are scripturally perfect. And surely such there are: otherwise the promise of God would be a mere mockery of human weakness. Hold fast this but then remember, on the other hand, you have this treasure in an earthen vessel you dwell in a poor shattered house of clay, which presses down the immortal spirit. Hence all your thoughts, words, and actions, are so imperfect. So far from coming up to the standard, (that law of love, which

stances,) that you may well say, till you go to Him you love,—
"Every moment, Lord, I need
The merit of thy death."

but for the corruptible body, your soul would answer in all in-

LETTER XI.

October 13, 1764.

I DO not see that you can speak otherwise than you do in your band. If you sought their approbation, that would be wrong. But you may suffer it without blame. Indeed in these circumstances you must: since it is undeniably plain that the doing otherwise would hurt rather than help their souls. I believe Miss F—thought she felt evil before she did, and by that very thought, gave occasion to its reentrance. You ought not to speak explicitly to many, very few would understand, or know how to advise you. For some time I thought M—did, and was therefore glad of your acquaintance with him, hoping he would lead you by the hand in a more probable manner, than I was able to do. But I afterwards doubted. The Lord send

you help by whom he will send!

From what, not only you, but many others likewise, have experienced, we find there is very frequently a kind of wilderness state, not only after justification, but even after deliverance from sin; and I doubt whether the sermon upon that state, might not give you light in this case also. But the cost frequent cause of this second darkness or distress, I believe, is evil-reasoning. By this, three in four of those who cast away their confidence, are gradually induced so to do. And if this be the cause, is there any way to regain that deliverance, but by resuming your confidence? And can you receive it, unless you receive it freely? not of works, but by mere grace? This is the way; walk thou in it. Dare to believe! Look up and see thy Saviour near! When, to-morrow, or to-day? Nay, to-day hear his roice! At this time;—at this place! Lord, speak! Thy servant heareth!

LETTER XII.

Aug. 9, 1765.

I HAVE many fears concerning you, lest you should sink beneath the dignity of your calling, or be moved to the right hand or the left, from the simplicity of the gospel. Is your heart still whole with God? Do you still desire and seek no happiness but in him? Are you always, or generally sensible of his presence? Do you generally, at least, find communion with him? And do you expect all that you enjoyed once, and more? To be sanctified throughout, before you go hence?

I hope no inward or outward reasonings are able to move you from realking exactly according to the gospel. O beware of voluntary lumility: of thinking, "Such a one is better than I and why should I pretend to be more strict than she." "What is that to thee? follow thou me!" You have but one Pattern; follow him inwardly and outwardly. If other believers will go step for step with you, well; —but if not, follow Him! Peace be with your spirit. J. W.

LETTER XIII.

August 31, 1765.

YOU may be assured, it is not a small degree of satisfaction to me to bear that your soul prospers. I country be indifferent to any

thing which concerns either your present or future welfare covet, so I want you to enjoy the most excellent gifts ward walking I have no objection. But I want you to walk inwardly in the fulness of love, and in the broad light of God's countenance What is requisite to this, but to believe always? Now-to believe with your whole heart .- and to hold fast the beginning of this confidence steadfast unto the end And yet a self-complaisant thought; yea, or a blasphemous one, may steal across your spuit: but I will not say,-that is your own thought. Perhaps an enemy hath done Neither will I blame you for "feeling deeply the perverseness of others: '-or for "feeling your spirit tried with it" I do not wish that you should not feel it, (while it remains,) or that you should feel it otherwise than as a trial But this does not prove, that there is sin in your heart, or, that you are not a sacrifice to love. O my friend, do justice to the grace of God! Hold fast whereunto you have attained! And if you have not yet uninterrupted communion with him, why not this moment,—and from this moment? If you have not, I incline to think it is occasioned by reasoning, or by some inward or outward omission.

LETTER XIV.

Bristol, October 13, 1765.

A YEAR or two ago you were pretty clear of enthusiasm: I hope you are so still But nothing under heaven is more catching, especially when it is found in those we love and above all, when it is in those, whom we cannot but believe to be sound of understanding in most instances, and to have received larger measures of the grace of God, than we have ourselves

There are now about twenty persons here, who believe they are saved from sin: 1. Because they always love, pray, rejoice, and give thanks: and, 2d, Because they have the witness of it in themselves. But if these lose what they have received, nothing will be more easy than to think they never had it. There were four hundred (to speak at the lowest) in London, who (unless they told me lies) had the same experience If nearly half of these have lost what they had received,—I do not wonder,—if they think they never had it it is so ready a way of excusing themselves for throwing away the blessed gift of God

I no more doubt of Miss - having this once, than I doubt of her sister's having it now. Whether God will restore her suddenly, as well as freely, I know not . whether by many steps, or in one moment. But here again you halt, as S. C-did, and S R-does You seem to think pain, yea, much pain, must go before an entire In S R it did, and in a very few others: but it need not, pain is no more salutary than pleasure. Saving grace is essentially such, saving pain but accidentally When God saves us by pain rather than pleasure. I can resolve it only into his justice, or sovereign will To use the grace we have, and now to expect all we want, is the grand secret. He whom you love will teach you this continually.

LETTER XV.

June 29, 1767.

FOR some days you have been much on my mind. Are you still making the best of life?—Employing a few days exactly in such a manner as you judge is most to the glory of God? And do you still hold fast what you have received, and expect the fulness of the promise? Surely you may retain all that earnestness of expectation, to which Mr. 4- used to incite you, without any prejudice either to humility, or sobriety of spirit. Doubtless it is possible with Mr. Dryden's leave, "to be wise and love" at the same time, And neither of these need interfere with the other, seeing the Spirit of Love is also the Spirit of Wisdom. Are all your family breathing this spirit, and strengthening each other's hands in God? I hope you have the satisfaction of observing the same thing in most of those that are round about you, and of seeing the work of God prosper wherever you have oceasion to be. When you are with the genteel part of your acquaintance, you have more immediate need of watching unto prayer, or you will insensibly drink into the lightness of their spirit, and abate a little of the accuracy of your walking: nay, stand fast, walking in every point as Christ also walked. Fashion and custom are nothing to you: you have a more excellent rule. You are resolved to be a Bible-Christian; and that, by the grace of God, not in some, but in all points. Go on in the name of God, and in the power of his might! still let your eye be single! Aim at one point! Retain and increase your communion with God! You have nothing clse to do.

Happy and wise, the time redeem, And ove, my friend, and die to him.

At some times we must look at outward things; such is the present condition of lumanity: but we have need quickly to return home, for what avails all, but Christ reigning in the heart? "daily in his grace to grow:" what else have we to care for? Only now to use all the grace we have received, and now to expect all we want! The Lord Jesus swallow you up in his love!

J. W.

LETTER XVI.

March 14, 1768.

THERE are innumerable degrees, both in a justified, and a sanctified state, more than it is possible for us exactly to define. I have always thought the lowest degree of the latter implies,—The having but one desire and one design. I have no doubt but in that general outpouring of the Spirit. God did give *** this degree of salvation, neither did it ever appear to me that *** had lost it: rather *** seemed to stand just on the threshold of Christian Perfection, and I apprehend nothing would be more likely to hart the soul than undervaluing the grace already received. Without any sin, we may be, in a sense, pleased with the approbation of those we esteem and love. But here we have need of much prayer, lest this should degenerate into pride or vanity. I still say to you, as to an almost

new-born babe, - Date to believe, on Christ lay hold! without being solicitous about the name of what you have, ask and expect all you

want! Is it not high? even at the door?

The knowledge of ourselves is true humility, and without this, we cannot be freed from vanity. a desire of price being inseparably connected with every degree of pride continua, watchfulness is absolutely necessary, to hide this from stealing in upon us. But as long as we steadily watch and pray, we shah not enter into temptation. It may and will assault us on every side, but it cannot prevail.

J. W.

LETTER XVII.

July 5, 1768.

I AM more inclined to congratulate you, than to condole with you, upon your present situation. Many circumstances concurred to expose you to the greatest of all dangers, that of being generally commended It is therefore a peculiarly gracious Providence, whereby this danger is turned aside, and that without any particular fault, or even imprudence, on your part. You may now experience the truth of that fine reflection, "Nothing is more profitable for us, than to suffer reproach for a good action, done with a single eye." Nevertheless you cannot be excused from speaking plainly to S-C- and A-, and the sooner this is done the better, lest their want of judgment should produce more ill effects Certainly you should labour to convince them, that they were altogether in a fault. In anywise they should have spoken to you first. Then, if you had not satisfied them, they might have gone farther But what can be done for the poor young woman? I am afraid lest she should be turned out of the way.

You will hardly need that tract for a time, as you have Mr. Brainerd's Life. There is a pattern of self-devotion and deadness to the world! But how much of his sorrow and pain had been prevented, if he had understood the doctrine of Christian Perfection! How many tears did he shed, because it was impossible to be freed from

SIN

As you have not the same outward trials which many have, it is highly needful you should have some inward ones. Although they need not be either many or long. If you walk closely with God, he is able to give any degree of holiness, either by pleasure or pain. S- continues with you a little longer, to quicken you in the way. Why should not a living Christian be exactly of the same spirit with a dying Christian? Seeing the difference between her life and ours, is nothing, when compared to eternity! The last scene of life in dying believers is of great use to those who are about them. Here we see the reality of religion, and of things eternal. And nothing has a greater tendency to solemnize the soul, and make, and keep it dead to all below. We are reasonable creatures, and undoubtedly reason is the candle of the Lord. By enlightening our reason to see the meaning of the Scriptures, the Holy Spirit makes our way plain before us. J. W.

LETTER XVIII.

August 12, 1769. AT some times it is needful to say, "I will pray with the Spirit,

and with the understanding also." At other times the understanding has little to do, while the soul is poured forth in passive prayer .. 1 believe we found the answer to many prayers at the Conference, particularly on the two last days. At the conclusion, all the preachers were melted down, while they were singing those lines for me,

> " Thon, who so long hast sav'd me here, A little longer save; Till freed from sin, and freed from fear, I sink into a grave: Till glad I lay my body down,
> Thy servant's steps attend;
> And, O! my life of mercies crown
> With a triumphant end."

Various scriptures show, that we may pray with resignation, for the life or ease of a friend: it is enough that every petition be closed with, "Not as I will, but as thou wilt." It is true, that a believer knows the devices of Satan to be many and various. But the apostle means more than this, namely, that those who have the unction of the Holy One, are thereby enabled to discern his devices whenever they occur, and to distinguish them from the influences of the good Spirit, how finely soever they are disguised. To answer for ourselves is often a cross; and we had much rather let people think and talk as they please; but it is a cross we must often take up: otherwise, we "walk not charitably," if we do not "reprove our brother;" if we "suffer sin upon him," we "hate our brother in our heart."

If Mrs. - be arrived at London, I wish you would take the first opportunity of conversing with her. She will have more need of a faithful friend now than ever she had in her life. I expect she will hear reasons upon reasons, why she ought, as a point of duty, to conform a little to the world, to have a few trifling acquaintance, and not to be so particular in her dress. Now as you have heard all these things before, and have been enabled by the grace of God to discover Satan, even with his angel's face, and to stand firm against all his assaults, you will be better able to assist and confirm her, if you seek her before she is much shaken.

It has a little surprised me, that several who are, I believe, filled with love, yet do not desire to die. It seems, as if God generally does not give this desire, till the time of death approaches. Perhaps in many it would be of little use. First let them learn to live.

Doubtless that rest was given, "to support you under your sickness:" Yet that is no reason why it should be ever taken away: it was certainly a degree of that rest which remaineth for the people of God. But it may be called by this or any other name: names are of little consequence. The thing you need never let go. You may live in and to Jesus: yea, and that continually by simple faith, and holy, humble love.

Let M— T— be as sensible as ever she will or can be, of her own helplessness and poverty. But let her not cast away that confidence, which hath great recompense of reward. She did experience the pure love of God; let none take advantage from her being tried by fire, (if it should be so,) to reason her out of it. That general promise, "In blessings I will bless thee," certainly contains all the promises, whether relating to this life or the next: and all are yours! Peace be multiplied upon you.

J. W.

LETTER XIX.

May, 1769.

BY comparing your own outward state with Mrs. —, you now see clearly the advantages you enjoy: you have nothing external to hinder your waiting upon God, without carefulness, and without distraction. None has a right to interrupt you, while you are exercised in things divine, and labouring to be holy in body and spirit. You may have just so much, and no more connexion with any one, as experience shows is profitable for you. O stand fast in this liberty,

glorifying God with all you have, and all you are!

It is remarkable, that St. Paul places this the last of all, that "love endureth all things:" and this is the sum of his wish, with regard to the Colossians; "that they might be strengthened unto all patience and long-suffering with joyfulness." They who have attained this, are ripe for the inheritance, and ready to salute their friends in light. There is a time when we grow up towards this, even without any sensible increase; as in the parable, the seed groweth and springs up, he knowth not how: and many times indeed we do know how the power of the Highest suddenly overshadows us: while either the first, or the pure love is shed abroad in our hearts. But at other times he confirms and increases that love, in a gradual and almost insensible manner.

Death has had a large commission this year, with regard to our societies in Ireland as well as England: just as I left Dublin, four or five of our members there were taken away in four or five days: three elder, and two in the bloom of youth; one of whom had been filled with love for some years. They all witnessed a good confession at the last, and died in full assurance of hope. Nancy Rogers, whom I saw just before I left the town, breathed the very spirit of Jane Cooper. I think their kindred spirits are now acquainted with each other, better than you and I are;—but not better than we shall be, when we meet together in the paradise of God.

J. W.

LETTER XX.

July 6, 1770.

WHEN things are viewed at a distance, one would be apt to imagine that no degree of sorrow could be found in a heart that rejoices evermore: that no right temper could be wanting, much Vol. 10.—B b

less any degree of a wrong temper subsist, in a soul that is filled with love: and yet I am in doubt, whether there be any soul clothed with flesh and blood, which enjoys every right temper, and in which is no degree of any wrong one: suppose, of ill judged zeal, or moreor less affection for some person than that person really deserves. When we say, "This is a natural, necessary consequence of the soul's union with a corruptible body," the assertion is by no means clear, till we add, "because of the weakness of understanding, which results from this union;" admitting this, the case is plain. There is so close a connexion between right judgment and right tempers, as well as right practice, that the latter cannot easily subsist without the former. Some wrong temper, at least in a small degree, almost necessarily follows from wrong judgment; I apprehend when many say, "Sin must remain, while the body remains," this is what they mean, though they cannot make it out.

You say, "my silence usually proceeds from my views and thoughts of myself as a Christian." Bishop Fenelon says, "Simplicity is that grace which frees the soul from all unnecessary reflections upon itself." See here one sort of simplicity which you want! When I speak or write to you, I have you before my eyes, (but generally speaking,)! do not think of myself at all. I do not think whether I am wise or foolish, knowing or ignorant: but I see you aiming at glory and immortality, and say just what I hope may direct your goings in the way, and prevent your being weary or faint in your mind. Our Lord will order all things well for sister T—: what can hurt those that trust in him?

LETTER XXI.

April 14, 1771.

WHATEVER comes from you is agreeable to me: your letters always give me pleasure: but none more than the last, which brings the welcome news of the revival of the work of God among you. You will encourage I— 'T— to send me a circumstantial account of God's dealings with her soul. Mr. Norris observes, that no part of history is so profitable, as that which relates to the great changes in states and kingdoms: and it is certain, no part of Christian history is so profitable, as that which relates to great changes wrought in our souls: these therefore should be carefully noticed and treasured up for the encouragement of our brethren.

I am glad you have at length broke through those evil reasonings, which so long held you down, and prevented you from acknowledging the things, which were freely given to you of God. Always remember the essence of Christian holiness is, simplicity and purity: one design, one desire: entire devotion to God: but this admits of a thousand degrees and variations, and certainly it will be proved by a thousand temptations. But in all these things you shall be more than conqueror.

It takes God (so to speak) abundance of pains to hide pride from

man: and you are in more danger of it than many, were it only on account of outward advantages. Happy are you, if you use those for that single end, to be outwardly and inwardly devoted to God; and that more entirely than you could be in different circumstances. I have just been conversing with that excellent woman, M—P—: what a mystery, that one of such gifts and such grace, should be fixed in a place, where she is almost useless. So much the more thankful you may be, who have opportunity of employing every talent which God hath given you. If you would retain the talent of health, sleep early, and use early.

1 am, &c.

J. W.

LETTER XXII.

September 15, 1770.

TO use the grace given, is the certain way to obtain more grace. To use all the faith you have, will bring an increase of faith but this word is of very wide extent; it takes in the full exercise of every talent wherewith we are intrusted. This comprises the whole compass both of inward and outward religion. That you may be able steadily and effectually to attend to this, you have need of that prayer, "Give me understanding that I may keep thy law, yea, that I may keep it with my whole heart." This is, to "make the best of life," which cannot be done without growing in grace. I believe it would help you,—to read and consider the serinon on Self-Denial, [Volume VI. p. 80,] and that on Universal Conscientiousness, in the Christian Library.

A sense of wants and weaknesses, with various trials and temptations, will do you no real hurt, though they occasion heaviness for a time, and abate your joy in the Lord. It is wrong so to attend to this, as to weaken your faith: and yet in the general, it is not wrong,—"to form your estimate of the state of your soul from your sensations." not indeed from these alone, but from these in conjunction with your words and actions. It is true, we cannot judge of ourselves, by the measure of our joy, the most variable of all our sensations, and frequently depending in a great degree, on the state of our blood and spirits. But if you take love, joy, peace, meekness, gentleness, and resignation, together, I know no surer rule whereby to judge of your state to God-ward.

What is the difference between "the frame of my mind and the state of my soul?" Is there the difference of a hair's breadth? I will not affirm it. If there be any at all, perhaps it is this. The frame may mean a single, transient sensation; the state a more complicated and lasting sensation, something which we habitually feel. By frame, some may mean fleeting passions; by state, rooted tempers. But I do not know, that we have any authority to use the terms thus, or to distinguish one from the other. He whose mind is in a good frame, is certainly a good man, as long as it so continues. I would therefore no more require you, to cease from judging of your state by your frame of mind, than I would require you to cease from breathing.

Unless you deal very closely with those committed to your care, you will not give an account of them with joy. Advices and admonitions at a distance, will do little harm or good. To those who give in to dress you might read or recommend the "Advice to the Methodists," on that head. It would be proper to go to the root of the matter once or twice: then to let it sleep; and after a few weeks, try again. A Methodist using fine or gay apparel, must suffer loss in her soul, although she may retain a little life; but she never will attain a high degree, either of holiness or happiness.

J. W.

LETTER XXIII.

May 31, 1771.

THE dealings of God with man are infinitely varied, and cannot be confined to any general rule: both in justification and sanctifica-

tion he often acts in a manner we cannot account for.

There cannot be a more proper phrase than that you used, and I well understand your meaning;—yet it is sure you are a transgressor still, namely, of the perfect, adamie law. But though it be true, all sin is a transgression of this law; yet it is by no means true, on the other hand, (though we have so often taken it for granted,) that all transgressions of this law are sin: no; not at all: only all voluntary transgressions of it: none else are sins against the Gospel law.

Although we have "faith's abiding impression realizing things to come," yet as long as we are in the body, we have but an imperfect, shadowy knowledge of the things of eternity. For now we only see them in a glass, a mirror, which gives us no more than a shadow of them; therefore we see them darkly, or in a riddle, as St. Paul speaks. The whole invisible world is as yet a riddle to us: and it seems to be in this sense, that some writers speak so much of the night, or darkness of faith; namely, when opposed to sight, i. e. to the view of things which we shall have, when the veil of flesh and blood is removed.

Those reasonings concerning the measure of holiness, (a curious, not useful question,) are not inconsistent with pure love, but they tend to damp it; and were you to pursue them so far, they would

lead you into unbelief.

What you feel is certainly a degree of anger, but not of sinful anger: there ought to be in us (as there was in our Lord) not barely a perception in the understanding, that this, or that is evil; but also an emotion of mind, a sensation or passion suitable thereto:—this anger at sia, accompanied with love and compassion to the sinner, is so far from being itself a sin, that it is rather a duty. St. Paul's word is, not easily provaked,—to any paroxysm of anger: neither are you: nevertheless, I suppose there is in you, when you feel a proper anger at sin, a hurrying motion of the blood and spirits, which is an imperfection, and will be done away.

J. W

LETTER XXIV.

June 25, 1771.

UNDOUBTEDLY the reward which is purchased for us by the blood of the covenant, will be proportioned to what we ure, (through grace,) what we do, and what we suffer. Whatever therefore prevents our doing good, prevents our receiving so full a reward: and what can counterval that loss? It is certainly right, that we should bear one another's burdens, that we should weep with them that weep, and for them that weep not for themselves "When Jesus saw them weeping, he troubled himself,"—he willingly sustained that emotion; he voluntarily suffered that sorriow: and it is good for us to tread in his steps. "But how far?" Just so far as does not disqualify us for any other part of our duty, so far as softens, not unnerves the mind, as makes us more, not less zealous of good works.

Undoubtedly there are various kinds, and various degrees of communion with God: we cannot confine it to one only: it may take in the exercise of every affection, either single, or variously mixed together, and may run through all our outward employments. The most desirable prayer is that, where we can quite pour out our soul, and freely talk with God. But it is not this alone which is acceptable to him. "I love one (said a holy man,) that perseveres in dry duty." Beware of thinking even this is labour lost. God does much work in the heart even at those seasons.

"And when the soul, sighing to be approved,
Says—Could I love !-- and stops, -- God writeth-lov'd !"

And yet the comfort is, that you need not rest here you may go on until all your heart is love till you rejoice evermore, pray without ceasing, and in every thing give thanks. You know this is the will of God concerning you, in Christ Jesus I think M— P— enjoys this, and grows in grace continually. So do two or three more members in this society. But they sadly want more searching preachers; and those that would help them forward, by explaining the deep things of God. Peace be with your spirit J. W.

LETTER XXV.

July 13, 1771.

AS long as we dwell in a house of clay, it is hable to affect the mind; sometimes by dulling or darkening the understanding, and sometimes more directly by damping and depressing the soul, and sinking it into distress and heaviness. In this state, doubt or fear, of one kind or another, will naturally arise. And the prince of this world, who well knows whereof we are made, will not fail to improve the occasion, in order to disturb, though he cannot pollute the heart, which God hath cleansed from all unrighteousness.

I rejoice with you concerning poor M— M—. Persons who are eminently dutiful to their parents, hardly ever fail of receiving a re-

ward, even in the present world.

My call to America is not yet clear. I have no business there, as long as they can do without me. At present I am a debtor to Bb2

the people of England and Ireland, and especially to them that be-

You have a delicate part to act with regard to P—: there are so many great defects in her natural temper, that a deal of grace will be required to make her altogether a Christian: neither will grace shine in her, as it would in others. You have need carefully to encourage what is of God in her, and tenderly to reprove what is of nature. I am afraid for P—D—, lest she should be less zealous of good works than she was formerly. I doubt she has at present little

encouragement thereto.

In the thirteenth of Corinthians you have the height and depth of genuine perfection, and it is observable, St. Paul speaks all along of the love of our neighbour, (flowing indeed from the love of God.) Mr. De Renty is an excellent pattern of this. But many things in his fellowship with God, will not be explained, till the Holy Spirit explains them by writing them on your heart. That darkness which often clouds your understanding, I take to be quite preternatural. I believe the spirit of darkness spreads a mist over your mind, so far as he is permitted; and that the best remedy is simply to look up to God, and the cloud will flee away at his presence.

LETTER XXVI.

I am, &c.

August 3, 1772.

J. W.

HOW wise are all the ways of God! and although in many instances they are past finding out, yet we may even now discern the

designs of his providence.

The Appendix to the Philosophy, and the Trinity Hymns, I hope, will settle you on that important point. It is a striking remark of Bishop Brown, That we are not required to "believe any mystery," in the matter: this mystery does not lie in the fact, Three are One; but in the manner, the accounting how they are one. But with this I have nothing to do. I believe the fact;—as to the manner (wherein the whole mystery lies) I believe nothing about it. The quaint device of styling them three offices, rather than persons, gives up the whole doctrine.

There is scarcely any word of so extensive a sense as Wisdom. It frequently means the whole of religion. And indeed no one can be termed thoroughly wise, until he is altogether a Christian. To devote all our thoughts and actions to God,—this is our highest wisdom: and so far as we inwardly or outwardly swerve from this, we walk as fools, not as wise men. In order to be all devoted to the Lord, even those who are renewed in love, still need the unction of the Holy One, to teach them in all circumstances the most excellent way, and to enable them so to watch and pray, that they may continually walk therein. It seems, my time for writing either on this or other subjects, is pretty well over: only I am ready to add a word now and then, if Providence so require.

Persons are, in one sense, delivered from unbelief, when they are

cnabled to believe always; when they have faith's abiding impression, realizing things to come. For they can then no longer be termed unbelievers. When this is given in a very glorious manner, so that they are filled with faith, and are not able to doubt even for a moment, it is natural for them to say, "They are saved from all unbelief." The soul that is all light (as Lopez, when he said, "All is mid-day now,") may affirm, "I am saved from all darkness:" and is not this the will of the Lord concerning you? Undoubtedly it is. Fear not them neason not; only look up! Is he not nigh, even at the door? He is nigh that justifieth; He is nigh that sanctifieth. It is nigh that supplies all your wants! Take more out of his fulness, that you may love him more, praise him more, and serve him better. It is desirable to glorify God, like Mr. De Renty or Halyburton, in death, as well as in life; I am sorry for poor Miss H— "It is a mysterious providence."

LETTER XXVII.

July 1, 1772.

IT is lost time to consider, Whether you write well or ill,—you speak from the heart, and that is enough. Unbehef is either total, the absence of faith, or partial, the want of more faith. In the latter sense, every believer may complain of unbelief, unless when he is filled with faith and the Holy Ghost. Then it is all mid-day.

Yet even then we may pray, "Lord, increase our faith"

We learn to think,—by reading, and meditating on what we read; by conversing with sensible people; and by every thing that improves the heart. Since purity of heart (as Mr Norris observes,) both clears the medium through which we see, and strengthens the faculty, mechanical rules avail little, unless one had opportunity of learning the elements of logic; but it is a miserable task to learn them without an instructer.

Entire resignation implies entire love. Give him your will, and

you give him your heart.

You need not be at all careful in that matter, whether you apply directly to one person, or the other, seeing HE and the FATHER are One Pray just as you are led, without reasoning, in all simplicity Be a little child, hanging on Him that loves you.

I am, &c.

J. W.

LETTER XXVIII

June 17, 1774.

I AM glad you think of me, when you do not see mc. I was almost afraid it was otherwise. Air and exercise you must have: and if you use constant exercise, with an exact regimen, it is not improbable that you will have vigorous health, if you live to four or five and thirty. About that time, the constitution, both of men and women, takes an entire turn. At present you are certainly in your place; and you need take no thought for the morrow.

The praying much for those we love much, is doubtless the fruit

of affection: but such an affection as is well pleasing to God, and is wrought in us by his own Spirit. Therefore it is certain, the intercession that flows from that affection, is according to the will of God.

That is an exceedingly nice question, "How far we may desire the approbation of good men?" I think it cannot be proved that such a desire is any where forbidden in Scripture. But it requires a very strong influence of the Holy Spirit, to prevent its running to excess.

Friendship is one species of love, and is, in its proper sense, a disinterested reciprocal love between two persons. Wicked persons are, it seems, incapable of friendship. For "he who fears no God, can love no friend." Nor indeed is every one that fears God, capaple of friendship. It requires a peculiar turn of mind, without which it can have no being. The properties of Christian friendship, are the same as the properties of love; with those which St. Paul so beautifully describes in the thirteenth chapter of the first epistle to the Corinthians. And it produces, as occasions offer, every good word and work. Many have laid down the rules whereby it should be regulated; but they are not to be comprised in a few lines. One is, "Give up every thing to your friend, except a good conscience toward God."

There has undoubtedly been instances of real friendship among Jews, yea, and among Heathens who were susceptible of it; but they were by no means wicked men; they were men fearing God, and working righteousness, according to the dispensation they were under. I apprehend wicked men, under whatever dispensation, to be absolutely incapable of true friendship. By wicked men, I mean, either men openly profane, or men void of justice, mercy, and truth. There may be a shadow of friendship between those, whether of the same, or of different sexes. But surely the substance is wanting: in all my experience, I have found no exception to this rule.

After an acquaintance of four and thirty years, I myself cannot have freedom with Miss—. Yet I know not but you may. In most respects, she judges truly, although her natural understanding is not strong. Miss N—'s is, the more you know her, the more you will taste her spirit. The others you mention want a little more age and experience, then they might make companions for you. J. W.

LETTER XXIX.

Sept. 16, 1774.

I BELIEVE my displeasure at you, is not likely to rise to any great height; it will hardly have time; for I should tell you very

soon, of any thing which I did not like.

You want more simplicity: I will give you the first instance that occurs, of that simplicity which I mean. Some years since, a woman sitting by me, fell into strong convulsions: and presently began to speak as in the name of God. Both her look, motions, and tone of voice, were peculiarly shocking. Yet I found my mind as ready to receive what she said, as if she had spoken with the look, motion, and accent of Cicero.

"Unprofitable,—far from edifying." Nay, but this does not go to the bottom of the matter. Why is that unprofitable to me, which is edifying to others? Remember that remark in the Thoughts on Christian Perfection: If one grain of prejudice be in my mind, I can receive no profit from the pleacher. Neither in this case can I form a right judgment of any thing a person says or does. And yet it is possible this prejudice may be innocent, as springing from the unavoidable weakness of human understanding.

I doubt not Mr. M— will be of use to many, he has much sense and much grace, together with uncommon activity and patience. And wherever he goes, the work of God prospers in his hands.

Bishop Brown thought Amaism and Socialanism, were the flood which the dragon is in this age pouring out of his mouth to swallow up the woman: perhaps it may, especially with Dr. Taylor's emendation. But still the main flood in England seems to be Antinomianism. This has been a greater hinderance to the work of God than any, or all others, put together. But God has already lifted up his standard, and he will maintain his own cause. In the present dispensation, he is undoubtedly aiming at that point to spread holiness over the land. It is our wisdom to have this always in view, inwand and outward holiness. A thousand things will be presented by men and devils, to divert us from our point. These we are to watch igainst continually, as they will be continually changing their shape. But let your eye be single; aim still at one thing,—holy, loving faith; giving God the whole heart. And incite all to this;—one ove;—one present and eternal heaven.

LETTER XXX.

Nov. 30, 1774.

YOU are in the safer extreme when I formerly removed from one college to another, I fixed my resolution not to be hastily acquainted with any one: indeed, not to return any visit, unless I had a reasonable hope of receiving or doing good therein. This my new neighbours generally imputed to pride: and I was willing to suffer the imputation

I "sum up the experience" of persons too, in order to form their general character. But in doing this, we take a different way of making our estimate. It may be, you chiefly regard (as my brother does) the length of their experience now this I make little account of, I measure the depth and breadth of it. Does it sink deep in humble, gentle love? Does it extend wide in all inward and outward holiness? If so, I do not care whether they are of five, or five and thirty years standing. Nay, when I look at Miss—or Miss—, I am ready to hide my face: I am ashamed of having set out before they were born.

Undoubtedly Miss J—— is deep in grace, and lives like an angel here below. Yet some things in her character I do not admire: I impute them to human frailty. Many years ago I might have said, but I do not now,

"Give me a woman made of stone, A widow of Pigmalian."

And just such a Christian, one of the fathers, Clemens Alexandrinus, describes: but I do not admire that description now as I did formerly. I now see a Stoic and a Christian are different characters; and sometimes I have been a good deal disgusted at Miss J—'s apathy. When God restores our friends to us, we ought to rejoice: it is a defect if we do not. In that and several other instances I take knowledge of S—R—'s littleness of understanding: and this, as well as our temper, we ought to improve to the utmost of our power; which can no otherwise be done, than by reading authors of various kinds, as well as by thinking and conversation. If we read nothing but the Bible, we should hear nothing but the Bible, and then what becomes of preaching?

Many people have clear conceptions of a few things, concerning which they judge and reason. But they have no clear ideas of other things. So if they reason about them, they stumble at every step. None can have general good sense, unless they have clear and determinate ideas of all things.

J. W.

LETTER XXXI.

December 27, 1774.

A FEW minutes I spent with Miss M—— when she was in town, two or three years ago. She seemed to be of a soft, flexible temper, and a good deal awakened. From her letters I should judge, that she had still many convictions, and strong desires to be a real Christian. At the same time, it is plain she is surrounded with hinderances, and is sometimes persuaded to act contrary to her conscience. It is extremely difficult to advise a person in such circumstances what to do. Methinks the first thing I would advise her to, at all events, is, "Do nothing against your conscience." 2. At a proper opportunity, after praying for courage, tell your lady, you scruple such and such things. And I doubt not but she will take effectual care that no one shall press you on those heads. Leaving her place is the last step to be taken, if she finds she cannot save her soul therein.

You know it is very natural for me to estimate wisdom and goodness by years, and to suppose the longest experience must be the best. But although there is much advantage in long experience, and we may trust an old soldier more than a novice: yet God is tied down to no rules: he frequently works a great work in a little time. He makes young men and women wiser than the aged, and gives to many, in a very short time, a closer and deeper communion with himself, than others attain in a long course of years. B—and P—B—are witnesses. They have both borne huge contradiction: and P—has stood such shocks as might have overset some of the most established souls we have in London.

There is a great calmness and meekness in B _____; but I want more softness and tenderness: I want more of human mingled

with the divine. Nay, sometimes I want it in Miss M—— too. But I do not call that warmth anger: at least not sinful anger: perhaps it would be culpable to be without it: I desire no apathy in religion: a Christian is very far from a Stoic.

In every case, the last appeal must be made to our own conscience. Yet our conscience is far from being an infallible guide, as every

wrong temper tends to bribe and blind the Judge.

LETTER XXXII.

Feb. 11, 1775.

THERE seems to be in our excellent friend, something too near a kin to apathy. "A clergyman," said one, (but I do not agree with him in this,) "ought to be all intellect,—no passion." She appears to be (I will not affirm she is) at no great distance from this. It is true, by this means we might avoid much pain: but we should also lose much happiness. Therefore this is a state which I cannot desire. Rather give me the pleasure and pain too: rather let

"Plain life with heightening passions rise, The boast or burden of an hour!

But who has attained this? Who treads the middle path, equally remote from both extremes? I will tell you one that did, (although the remembrance of her still brings tears into my eyes,) that lovely saint, Jane Cooper! There was the due mixture of intellect and passion! I remember one of the last times I saw her, before her last illness, her look, her attitude, her words! My dear friend, be you a follower of her, as she was of Christ! J. W.

LETTER XXXIII.

June 9, 1775.

VERY possibly, if I should live seven years longer, we should be acquainted with each other. I verily think your reserve wears off; though only by a bair's breadth at a time. Quicken your pace. What you do, do quickly.—"Scarcely any thing important enough to write upon!" Why could you not say something about yourself? And is there any thing relating to your welfare, which is not important to me? Am not I concerned in every thing which concerns you? which either lessens or increases your happiness? I want you to be as happy, and in order thereto, as holy as an augel; that you may do the will of God on earth, as angels do in heaven.

I am less careful about your increase in knowledge, any farther than it tends to love. There is a danger of your laying more stress on this, than sound reason requires. Otherwise you would reap much profit from sermons, which do not improve your knowledge, which do not apply to the understanding so directly as to the heart. I feel more want of heat than light: I value light: but it is nothing compared to love. Aim at this, my dear friend, in all public exercises: and then you will seldom be disappointed. Then you will not stop on the threshold of perfection; (I trust you do not now;)

but will press on to the mark, to the puze of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus till you experimentally know all that love of God

which passeth all (speculative) knowledge.

The lengthening of your life, and the restoring your health, are invaluable blessings, but do you ask how you shall improve them to the glory of the Giver? And are you willing to know? Then I will tell you how. Go and see the poor and sick in their own poor little hovels. Take up your cross, woman! Remember the faith! Jesus went before you, and will go with you. Put off the gentlewoman, you bear a higher character. You are an heir of God, and joint-heir through Christ! Are you not going to meet him in the an, with ten thousand of his saints? O be ready! J. W

LETTER XXXIV.

Feb. 7, 1776.

I HAVE found some of the uneducated poor, who have exquisite taste and sentiment; and many, very many, of the rich, who have scarcely any at all. But I do not speak of this. I want you to converse more, abundantly more, with the poorest of the people, who, if they have not taste, have souls, which you may forward in their way to heaven. And they have (many of them) faith, and the love of God, in a larger measure than any persons I know. Creep in among these, in spite of dut, and a hundred disgusting circumstances: and thus put off the gentlewoman. Do not confine your conversation to genteel and elegant people, I should like this, as well as you do. But I cannot discover a precedent for it, in the life of our Lord, on any of his apostles. My dear friend, let you and I walk as he walked.

I now understand you with regard to the P—'s; but I fear, in this you are too delicate. It is certain their preaching is attended with the power of God to the hearts of many, and why not to yours? Is it not owing to a want of simplicity? "Are you going to hear Mi. Wesley?" said a friend to Mi. Blackwell. "No," he answered, "I am going to hear God! I listen to Him, whoever preaches,

otherwise I lose all my labour"

"You will only be content to convert worlds? You shall hew wood, or carry brick and mortar and when you do this in obedience to the order of Providence, it shall be more profitable to your own soul than the other." You may remember Mr. De Renty's other remark: "I then saw that a well-instructed Christian is never lundered by any person or thing. For whatever prevents his doing good works, gives him a fresh opportunity of submitting his will to the will of God which at that time is more pleasing to God, and more profitable to his soul, than any thing else which he could possibly do."

Never let your expenses exceed your meome. To servants I would give full as much as others give for the same service: and not more. It is impossible to lay down any general rules, as to "saving all we can," and "giving all we can." In this, it seems, we must

needs be directed, from time to time, by the unction of the Holy Onc. Evil spirits have undoubtedly abundance of work to do in an evil world: frequently in concurrence with wicked men, and frequently without them.

LETTER XXXV.

Feb. 26, 1776.

WHAT I advise you to, is, not to contract a friendship, or even acquaintance, with poor, inelegant, uneducated persons, but frequently, nay, constantly, to visit the poor, the widow, the sich, the fatherless, in their affliction: and this, although they should have nothing to recommend them, but that they are bought with the blood of Christ. It is true, this is not pleasing to flesh and blood. There are a thousand circumstances usually attending it, which shock the delicacy of our nature, or rather of our education. But yet the blessing which follows this labour of love, yill more than balance the cross.

"To be uneasy under obligations which we cannot repay," is certainly a fruit of diabolical generosity, and therefore Milton, with great propriety, ascribes it to the Devil, and makes him speak quite in character, when he says, concerning his obligations to God himself.

" So burdensome still paying, still to owe."

I am quite of another mind: I entirely agree with you, that the more sensible we are of such obligations, the more happy we are

Surely this yoke is easy, and this burden is light!

Perhaps, if you give another reading to "Thoughts upon Dress," you will clearly see that both reason and religion are more deeply concerned than we are apt to imagine, even in the trifling article of dress: trifling if compared with the weightier matters of the law: yet in itself of no small importance: and that, whether you consider yourself as an individual, or as a member of a Christian society. Certainly, Dr. Young can only mean, "None is happy, unless he thinks himself so;"—and truly this is no great discovery. Is it any more than "None is happy unless he is so?" If he means more than this, he means wrong: For we know the best man is the happiest; but if I thought myself the best man in the world, I should be very proud, and consequently not happy at all

LETTER XXXVI.

April 26, 1777.

TO begin at the end: I did not preach any sermon for you in particular, though by accident; I know what sermon you mean, and

both you and I have need of it.

I have some fine remains of Charles Perronet's, wherein he describes his own experience. It exactly agrees with your's He too was led at first to Jesus the Mediator, and seemed in a manner to have no concern with the Father and the Holy Ghost. Afterwards he had communion with the Father, next with the Spirit, and then

Vol. 10.-C c

with the whole Trunty, you therefore are afraid where no fear is. Our Lord is not displeased at your following his Spirit.

I do not remember the making mention of covetousness; but it is likely I might; for I am exceedingly afraid of it, lest it should steal unawaies, (as it always comes in disguise,) either upon myself or my friends. I know no way to escape it, but (having saved all we can) to give all we can I think this is at present your rule as well as mine. and I trust it always will be

We cannot impute too much to divine Providence, unless we make it interfere with our free-agency. I suppose that young woman, by saying she did not believe God had any thing to do with it, only meant, that the passion itself was not at all from God, but altogether from evil nature. She could not mean, that God does not, in a thousand instances, draw good out of evil, yea, that he may not sometimes permit us to be overtaken in a fault, to preserve us from

a greater

General rules are easily laid down. But it is not possible to apply them accurately in particular cases, without the anoming of the Holy One:—This alone, abiding with us, can teach us of all things. Thus our general rule is, "Thou shalt do no murder." which plainly forbids every thing that tends to impair health, and implies that we use every probable means of preserving, or restoring it. But when we come to apply this to particular instances, we are presently in a labytinth, and want that anomiting which alone can make plain the way before our face, and direct us to do in every minute circumstance, what is acceptable to God.

You have abundant reason to praise God, both for spiritual and temporal blessings. Beware of indulging gloomy thoughts, they are the bane of thankfulness. You are encompassed with ten thousand mercies; let these sink you into humble thankfulness.

1 *II*.

LETTER XXXVII.

Dec. 10, 1777.

YOU do not at all understand my manner of life. Though I am always in haste, I am never in a hurry; because I never undertake any more work than I can go through with perfect calminess of spirit It is true, I travel four or five thousand miles in a year. But I generally travel alone in my carriage; and consequently, am as retired ten hours in the day, as if I were in a wilderness. On other days, I never spend less than three hours (frequently ten or twelve) in the day alone. So there are few persons in the kingdom who spend so many hours secluded from all company. Yet I find time to visit the sick and the poor; and I must do it, if I beheve these are the marks whereby the Shepherd of Israel will know and judge his sheep at the great day, therefore when there is time and opportunity for it, who can doubt, but this is matter of absolute duty? When I was at Oxford, and lived almost like a hermit, I saw not how any busy man could be saved. I scarcely thought it possible for a man to retain the Christian spirit, amidst the noise and

bustle of the world. God taught me better by my own experience. I had ten times more business in America (that is at intervals) than ever I had in my life. But it was no hinderance to silence of spirit.

Mr. Boelim was Chaplain to Prince George of Denmark, Secretary to him and Queen Anne; principal Manager of almost all the public charities in the kingdom, and employed in numberless private charities. An intimate friend, knowing this, said to him when they were alone, "Sir, are you not hurt by that amazing hurry of business? I have seen you in your office, surrounded with people, listening to one, dictating to another, and at the same time writing to a third:-Could you then retain a sense of the presence of God?" He answered, "All that company, and all that business, no more hindered or lessened my communion with God, than if I had been all alone in a church kneeling before the communi m-table " Was it not the same case with him, to whom Gregory Lopez said, "Go and be a hermit in Mexico ?" I am concerned for you: 1 am sorry you should be content with lower degrees of usefulness and boliness than you are called to ' But I cannot help it, so I submit;—and am still,—my dear Miss M—, yours in sincere affection.

JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE REV MR L-

Oct. 15, 1786.

LAST night I had a long conversation with a few sensible men. concerning going to church. I asked them what objection they had to the hearing of Mr L They answered, 'They could not hear him: he generally spoke so low, that they lost a good part of what he said: and that what they could hear, was spoken in a dead. cold, languid manner, as if he did not feel any thing which he spoke." This would naturally disgust them the more, because Dr. Cleaned to the other extreme. I doubt there is some ground for their objection. But I should think, you might easily remove it. I asked again, "Have you any objection to any thing in his behaviour ?" They answered, "One thing we cannot approve of; his being ashamed of the Methodists. His never recommending or defending them at all, we think, is a full proof of this: for every one knows his near relation and his many obligations to you They know how you have loved and cherished him from a child." They might have added, "You owe your whole education to him. and therefore, in effect, your ordination,-your curacy,-your school,-yea, and your wife: none of which you would in all likelihood, have had, had it not been for him "

I would add a word upon this head myself. I do not think you act wisely. Not one of your genteel friends can be depended on: they are mere summer-flies. Whereas had you condescended to

make the Methodists your friends, they would have adhered to you one and all: and they are already no inconsiderable body of people:

beside that, they are increasing more and more.

Suffer me now to speak a word between you and me. Is not the reason of your preaching so languidly and coldly, that you do not feel what you say? And why not? Because your soul is not alive to God! Do you know that your sins are forgiven? I fear not. Can you say, "I know that my Redeemer liveth?" I doubt, if you did know it once, whether you know it now! Have you fellowship with the Father and the Son? Alas! it is well if you know what it means! And are you content to have your portion in this norld? Do you savour only carthly things? Then I do not wonder, that you are shy to the Methodists; for they are not to your taste! O think and pray to-day! For I do not promise you, that you shall live another year! I now give you a full proof that I am,

Your truly affectionate ____, John Wesley

TO MR. C-

Dundee, May 2, 1786.

i DOUBT not but both ———, and you are in trouble, because "** has "changed his religion." Nay, he has changed his opinions, and mode of worship: but that is not religion: it is quite another thing. "Has he then," you may ask, "sustained no loss by the change?" Yes, unspeakable loss: because his new opinion, and mode of worship, are so unfavourable to religion, that they make it, if not impossible to one that once knew better, yet extremely difficult."

"What then is religion?" It is happiness in God, or in the knowledge and love of God. It is "faith working by love." Producing "righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost." In other words, it is a heart and life devoted to God: or, communion with God the Father and the Son: or, the mind which was in Christ Jesus, enabling us to walk as he walked. Now, either he has this religion, or he has not: if he has, he will not finally perish, notwithstanding the absurd, unscriptural opinions he has embraced, and the superstitious and idolatrous modes of worship. But these are so many shackles, which will greatly retard him in running the race that is set before him. If he has not this religion, if he has not given God his heart, the case is anspeakably worse I doubt if he ever will; for his new friends will continually endeavour to hinder him, by putting something else in its place, by encouraging him to rest in the form, notions, or externals, without being born again, without having Christ in him, the hope of glory, without being renewed in the image of him that created him. This is the deadly evil. I have often lamented, that he had not this holiness, without which no man can see the Lord. But though he had it not, yet in his hours of cool

reflection, he did not hope to go to heaven without it: but now, he is, or will be taught, that let him only have a right faith, (that is, such and such notions,) and add thereunto such and such externals, and he is quite sate. He may indeed roll a few years in purging fire,

but he will surely go to heaven at last !

Therefore, you and my dear ***, have great need to weep over him: but have you not also need to weep for yourselves? For have you given God your hearts? Are you holy in heart? Have you the kingdom of God within you? Righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost? The only true religion under heaven! O cry unto Him that is mighty to save, for this one thing needful; carnestly and diligently use all the means which God hath put plentifully into your hands! Otherwise, I should not at all wonder, if God permit you also to be given up to a strong delusion: but whether you were, or were not,—whether you are Protestants or Papists,—neither you nor he can ever enter into glory, unless you are now cleansed from all pollution of flesh and spirit, and perfect holiness in the fear of God!

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS FULLER.

MY DEAR SISTER,

YOU did well in giving me a plain and circumstantial account of the manner wherein God has dealt with your soul. Your part is now to stand fast in the glorious liberty wherewith Christ has made you free. There is no need that you should ever be entangled again in the bondage of pride, or anger, or desire. God is willing to give always what he grants once Temptations, indeed, you are to expect. But you may tread them all under your feet: his grace is sufficient for you. And the God of all grace, after you have suffered awhile, shall establish, strengthen, and settle you.

I am, my dear Sister, yours, affectionately,
JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS B---

DLAR MISS B---,

WE have had a society in Bath for about thirty years, somecimes larger, and sometimes smaller. It was very small this autumn, consisting only of eleven or twelve persons, of whom Michael Hemmings was leader. I spoke to these one by one, added nine or ten more, divided them into two classes, and appointed half of them to meet with Joseph Harris But if you are willing to east in your lot with us, I had rather trut the single women in both classes who desire it, should meet with you, and any others who are not afraid of the reproach of Christ. In that little tract, A Plain Account of the People called Methodists, you see our whole plan. We have but one point in view. To be altogether Christians, scriptural, rational Christians. For which we well know, not only the world, but the almost Christians will never forgive us. From these, therefore, if you join heart and hand with us, you are to expect neither justice nor mercy. If you are determined, let me know. But consider what you do. Can you give up all for Christ. The hope of improving your fortune, a fair reputation, and agreeable friends? Can he make you amends for all these? Is he alone a sufficient portion? I think you will find him so. And if you were as entirely devoted to God as Jenny Cooper was, you would never have cause to repent of your choice, either in time or in eternity. The more freely you write, the more agreeable it will be to your affectionate Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS B-

MY DEAR SISTER, Nov. 22, 1769.

IT is exceedingly strange. I should really wonder, (if I could wonder at any weakness of human nature,) that so good a woman as—, and one who particularly piques herself on her Catholic spirit, should be guilty of such narrowness of spirit. Let us not vary in thought or word from the Methodist principle, "Whosoever doth the will of my Father who is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother."

We have other instances of persons who now enjoy the peace of God, and yet do not know the time when they received it. And God is sovereign': he may make what exceptions he pleases to his general rule. So this objection is easily set aside; and so is that of your age. The Spirit of the Lord can give understanding, either in a longer or in a shorter time. And I doubt not but he will give you favour in the eyes of your sisters. You have only to go on in simplicity, doing the will of God from the heart, and trusting in the anointing of the Holy One, to teach you of all things.

I am glad you are acquainted with Miss Owens. Encourage each other to be altogether Christians. Defy fashion and custom, and

labour only to

"Steer your useful lives below, By reason and by grace."

Let not the gentlessoman intrench upon the Christian. But be a

simple follower of the Lamb

At present you are exactly in your place, and I trust no temptation, inward or outward, shall ever induce you to depart from the work to which God has called you. You must expect to be pushed to both extremes by turns; and you need all the power of God to save you from it. And he will save you to the uttermost, provided you still retain the sense of your poverty and helplessness. It is a good prayer,

"Show me as my soul can bear, The depth of inbred sin." And just so he will deal with you, for he remembers that you are but dust. But you should not want to be thus and thus convinced, in order to be renewed in love. No pray now for all the mind which was in Christ; and you shall have more and more conviction as it pleases him Mr Spencer and Glynn are of excellent spirits, notwithstanding their opinion if hardly know their fellows. Love is all we want let this fill our hearts, and it is enough. Peace be with your spirit. I am your affectionate Brother,

THE following letter was written by Mr. Wesley twenty-nine days before his death, and is supposed to be the last that he wrote to America

TO THE REV. EZEKIEL COOPER, OF PHILA-DELPHIA.

Near London, Feb 1, 1791. MY DEAR BROTHER. THOSE that desire to write, or say any thing to me, have no time to lose, for time has shaken me by the hand, and death is not far behind But I have reason to be thankful for the time that is past: I felt few of the infirmities of old age for four-score and six years. It was not till a year and a half ago that my strength and my sight failed. And still I am enabled to scrawl a little, and to creep, though I cannot run Probably I should not be able to do so much, did not many of you assist me by your prayers I have given a distinct account of the work of God, which has been wrought in Britain and Ireland, for more than half a century. We want some of you to give us a connected relation of what our Lord has been doing in America. from the time that Richard Boardman accepted the invitation, and left his country to serve you. See that you never give place to one thought of separating from your brethren in Europe Lose no opportunity of declaring to all men, that the Methodists are one people in all the world, and that it is their full determination so to continue.

"Though mountains rise, and occans roll,
To sever us in vain."

To the case of our common Lord I commit you, and am, your affectionate Friend and Brother,

John Wesley.

TO MISS'II---.

WITHOUT doubt it seems to you, that your's is a peculiar case You think there is none like you in the world. Indeed there are It may be, ten thousand persons are now in the same state of mind as you. I myself was so a few years ago. I felt the wrath of God abiding on me. I was afraid every hour of dropping into hell. I knew myself to be the chief of sinners. Though I had been very

innocent, in the account of others, I saw my heart to be all sin and corruption. I was without the knowledge and the love of God, and

therefore an abomination in his sight.

But I had an Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous. And so have you. He exect for your sins. And he is now pleading for you at the right hand of God. O look unto I lim, and be saved! He loves you freely, without any ment of yours. He has atoned for all your sins. See all your sins on Jesus laid! His blood has paid for all. Fear nothing; only believe. His mercy embraces you: it holds you in on every side. Surely you shall not depart hence, till your eyes have seen his salvation. I am, Madam, your affectionate Brother,

TO MISS B---

MY DEAR SISTER. Nov. 5, 1770.

I AM glad you had such success in your labour of love: in all things you shall reap, if you faint not. And the promise is, ? They shall run and not be weary, they shall walk and not faint." How does the little society prosper? Are you all united in love? And are you all aware of that bane of love, tale-bearing and evil-speaking? Do you retain that little spark of faith? Are you going forward, and have you as strong a desire as ever, "to increase with all the increase of God?"

" See the Lord, thy Keeper, stand, Omnipotently near! Lo, He holds thee by thy hand, And banishes thy fear!"

() trust him, love him, and praise him.

I know not that you have any thing to do with fear. Your continual prayer should be for faith and love. I admired a holy man in France, who, considering the state of one who was full of doubts and fears, forbade him to think of his sins at all, and ordered him to think only of the love of God in Christ. The fruit was, all his fears vanished away, and he lived and died in the triumph of faith.

Faith is sight; that is, spiritual sight: and it is light and not darkness: so that the famous Popish phrase, "The darkness of faith," is a contradiction in terms. O beware of all who talk in that unscriptural manner, or they will perplex, if not destroy you. I cannot find in my Bible any such sin as legality. Truly we have been often afraid, where no fear was. I am not half legal enough, not enough under the law of love. Sometimes there is painful conviction of sin, preparatory to full sanctification: sometimes a conviction that has far more pleasure than pain, being mixed with joyful expectation. Always there should be a gradual growth in grace; which need never be intermitted from the time we are justified. Do not wait, therefore, for pain or any thing else, but simply for all-conquering faith. The more freely you write, the more satisfaction you will give to your affectionate Brother,

TO MISS B-

MY DEAR SISTER,

Feb. 16, 1771.

YOU look inward too much, and upward too little.

"Christ is ready to impart,
Life to all, for life who sigh:
In thy mouth and in thy heart,
The word is ever nigh."

Encourage yourself to trust in him: that is your point. Then he will do all things well. Legality, with most who use that term, really means tenderness of conscience. There is no propriety in the word, if one would take it for seeking justification by works. Considering, therefore, how hard it is to fix the meaning of that odd term, and how dreadfully it has been abused. I think it highly advisable for all

the Methodists to lay it quite aside.

If Mr. S. could find any other doctrine which he thought was peculiarly mine, he would be as angry at it as he is at Christian Perfection. But it is all well, we are to go forward, whoever goes back or turns aside. I hope your class goes on well, and that you are not weary of well-doing. The Lord is at hand. In praying with the children, you have only to ask for those things which you are sensible they want, and that in the most plain, artless, and simple language

which you can devise.

Perhaps we may see a new accomplishment of Solomon's words, "He that reproveth a man, shall afterward find more favour than he who flattereth with his tongue." But, be that as it may, I have done my duty, I could no otherwise have delivered my own soul: and no offence at all would have been given thereby, had not pride stifled both religion and generosity. But my letter is now out of date, it is mentioned no more, there is a more plausible occasion found: namely, those eight terrible Propositions which conclude the Minutes of our Conference.* At the instance of some who were sadly frightened thereby, I have revised them over and over: I have considered them in every point of view: and truly, the more I consider them, the more I like them. The more fully I am convinced, not only that they are true, agreeable both to Scripture and to sound experience, but that they contain truths of the deepest importance, and such as ought to be continually inculcated by those who would be pure from the blood of all men.

The imagination which Mr. — borrowed from another good man, "That he is not a believer who has any sin remaining in him," is not only an error, but a very dangerous one, of which I have seen fatal effects. Herein we divided from the Germans near thirty years ago: and the falseness and absurdity of it is shown in my second Journal, and in my sermon on that subject. Your experience reminds me of these lines:—

"So many tender joys and wees, Have o'er my quiv'ring soul had power; Plain life with beight'ning passions rose, The boast or burden of an hour."

^{*} The Minutes of the year 1770, which gave occasion to Mr. Fletcher to write his Checks.

They who feel less, certainly suffer less: but the more we suffer, the more we may improve: the more obedience, the more holiness we may learn by the things we suffer. So that upon the whole, I do not know if the insensible ones have the advantage over us.

If you wrote more than once in three months, it would not be amiss. Few are more tenderly concerned for you than your affectionate Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS B-

MY DEAR SISTER, Sept. 1, 1771.

CONCESSIONS made in the chapel at Bath would not quench the flame kindled over the three kingdoms. Mr. Fletcher's Letters may do this in some measure: but the antidote cannot spread so swift as the poison. However, the Lord reigneth: and consequently all these things shall work together for the increase of his kingdom. Certainly simple faith is the very thing you want; that faith which lives upon Christ from moment to moment. I believe that sermon, The Scripture Way of Salvation, might at this time be very useful to you. It is a great thing, to seize and improve the very Now. What a blessing you may receive at this instant! Behold the Lamb of God!

What if even before this letter comes to your hands, the Lord should come to your heart! Is he not nigh? Is he not now knocking at the door? What do you say? "Come in, my Lord, come in." Are you not ready? Are you not a mere sinner, and stripped of all? Therefore all is ready for you. Fear not, only believe and enter into rest. How gracious is it in the kind Physician to humble you and prove you, and show you what is in your heart! Now let Christ and love alone be there.

That your every hour is crowded with employment, I account no common blessing. The more employment the better, since you are not doing your own will, but the will of him that sent you. I cannot see that it is by any means his will for you to quit your present situation.

Such a degree of sickness or pain as does not affect the understanding. I have often found to be a great help. It is an admirable help against levity, as well as against foolish desires: and nothing more directly tends to teach us that great lesson, to write upon our hearts, "Not as I will, but as thou wilt."

Mr. Baxter well observes, "That whoever attempts to teach children, will find need of all the understanding God has given them." But indeed natural understanding will go but a little way. It is a peculiar gift of God. I believe he has given you a measure of it already, and you may ask and expect an increase of it. Our dear sisters at Publow enjoy it in as high a degree as any young women I know.

It certainly must be an inordinate affection which creates so many jealousies and misunderstandings. I should think it to be absolutely needful, the very next time you observe any thing of that kind, to come to a full explanation with the parties concerned. To tell them

calmly and roundly, 'I must, and I will choose for myself, whom I will converse with, and when and how: and if any one of you take upon you to be offended at me on this account, you will make it necessary for me, to be more shy and reserved to you than ever I was before.' If you steadily take up this cross, if you speak thus once or twice in the class, in a cool but peremptory manner, I am much inclined to think it will save both you and others a good deal of uncasiness.

When you see those ladies, (with whom I have no acquaintance,) you would do well to speak exceeding plain. I am afraid they are

still emire strangers to the religion of the heart.

We must build with one hand while we fight with the other. And this is the great work, not only to bring souls to believe in Christ, but to build them up in our most holy faith. How grievously are they mistaken who imagine, that as soon as the children are born, they need take no more care of them. We do not find it so. The chief care then begins. And if we see this in a true light, we may well cry out, even the wisest men on earth, "Who is sufficient for these things?" In a thousand circumstances, general rules avail little, and our natural light is quickly at an end. So that we have nothing to depend upon, but the anointing of the Holy One. And this will indeed teach us concerning all things. The same you need with regard to your little ones, that you may train them up in the way wherein they should go. And herein you have continual need of patience, for you will frequently see little fruit of all your labour. But leave that with Him. The success is His. The work only is your's, Your point is this: work your work betimes, and, in his time, he will give you a full reward.

I am, your's, affectionately,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS B

MY DEAR SISTER, June 12, 1773.

"TRUE simplicity (Fenelon says) is that grace whereby the soul is delivered from all unprofitable reflections upon itself;" I add, and upon all other persons and things. This is an unspeakable blessing. And it is the mere gift of God, not naturally annexed either to greatness or littleness of understanding. A single eye is a great help to this. Seek one thing, and you will be far less troubled with unprofitable reasonings.

It has, in all ages, been allowed, that the communion of saints extends to those in Paradise, as well as those upon earth, as they are all one body, united under one Head; and.

" Can death's interposing tide, Spirits one in Christ divide?"

But it is difficult to say, either what kind, or what degree of union, may be between them. It is not improbable their fellowship with us, is far more sensible than ours with them. Suppose any of them are present, they are hid from our eyes, but we are not hid from their sight. They, no doubt, clearly discern all our words and actions, if

not all our thoughts too. For it is hard to think, these walls of flesh and blood can intercept the view of an angelic being. But we have, in general, only a faint and indistinct perception of their presence, unless in some peculial instances, where it may answer some gracious ends of Divine Providence. Then it may please God to permit, that they should be perceptible, either by some of our outward senses, or by an internal sense, for which human language has not any name. But I suppose this is not a common blessing. I have known but few instances of it.— To keep up constant and close communion with God is the most likely mean to obtain this also

Whatever designs a man has, whatever he is proposing to do, either for himself or his friends, when his spirit goes hence, all is at an end. And it is in this sense only, that 'all our thoughts perish." Otherwise all our thoughts and designs, though not carried into execution, are noted in his book, who accepts us according to our willing mind, and rewards intentions as well as actions. By aiming at him in all things, by studying to please him in all your thoughts, words, and actions, you are continually sowing to the Spirit, and of the Spirit you will reap life everlasting.

I am, your affectionate Brother, John Wesley.

TO MISS B---

MY DEAR SISTER, S'ept. 19, 1773

IT is certainly most profitable for us to have a variety of seasons. We could not bear either to be constantly in storms, or constantly in a calm but we are not certain, we cannot judge what proportion of one or the other is best for us. So it is well we are not left to our own wisdom, that we do not choose for ourselves. We should make strange work but we know he that chooses for us, orders all things well.

There are excellent things in most of the Mystic writers. As almost all of them lived in the Romish church, they were lights whom the gracious Providence of God raised up, to shine in a dark place. But they did not give a clear, a steady, or an uniform light. That wise and good man, professor Franck, used to say of them, "They do not describe our common Christianity, but every one has a religion of his own." It is very true. So that if you study the Mystic writers, you will find as many religions as books. And for this plain reason, each of them makes his own experience the standard of religion.

Madam Guion was a good woman, and is a fine writer, but very far from judicious. Her writings will lead any one who is fond of them, into unscriptural Queetism. They strike at the root, and tend to make us rest contented without either faith or works.

It is certain, the Scripture by prayer, almost always means total prayer. And whosoever intermits this for any time, will neither pray with the voice nor the heart. It is therefore our wisdom to force ourselves to prayer to pray whether we can pray or not. And many times while we are so doing, the fire will fall from heaven, and we shall know our labour was not in vain.

There is, upon the whole, nothing new under the sun: but the spirit which you speak of, as manifesting itself among your young people, is utterly a new thing among the Methodists: I have known nothing like it in the three kingdoms. And yet I do not know that they have either less sense, or less grace, than others of their age or sex. But this is one proof among a thousand, that if God leave us for a moment to ourselves, there is no folly into which our subtle adversary may not drive the wisest of the human race. Yet I do not see that you are at liberty to give up your charge on this account. It seems you should simply lay the whole affair before Mess. Pawson and Allen. They are candid and impartial judges, prejudiced neither on one side nor the other: and I believe they will be able to judge, on any emergency, what steps are the most proper to be taken.

One reason, it may be, why this was permitted, was to confound the pride of your understanding. You had been accounted a woman of sense, and commended for it. And our nature readily receives such commendation. But see how little your sense avails! You can do no more herein, than if you were almost an idiot. "The help that is done upon earth, he doth it himself," whether with or without instruments. Let your whole soul be stayed upon him, for time and

eternity.

When I observe any thing amiss, in your temper or behaviour, I shall hardly fail to tell you of it: for I am persuaded you would not only suffer it, but profit by advice or reproof. I have been sometimes afraid you did not deal plainly enough with the young women under your care. There needs much courage and faithfulness, that you may do all that in you lies, to present them faultless before the throne.

I do not know whether there is any outward employ, which would be so proper for you, as that you are now engaged in. You have scope to use all the talents which God has given you, and that is the most excellent way. You have likewise a most admirable exercise for your patience, either in the dulness or frowardness of your little ones. And some of these will learn from you what is of the greatest importance, to know themselves and to know God. You must not, therefore, relinquish this station lightly; not without full and clear proof, that God calls you so to do. Meantime bear your cross, and it will bear you. Seek an inward, not an outward change. What you want is only inward liberty, the glorious liberty of the children of God. And how soon may you enjoy this? Who knows what a day, an hour, a moment, may bring forth? How soon may you hear the voice that speaks Jehovah near? Why should it not be to-day?

I am, your's affectionately,

JOHN WESLEY.

TO MISS B

MR. JONES'S book on the Trinity is both more clear and more strong, than any I over saw on that subject If any thing is Vol. 10.—D

wanting, it is the application, lest it should appear to be a merely speculative doctrine, which has no influence on our hearts or lives;

but this is abundantly supplied by my brother's hymns.

After all the noise that has been made about Mysteries, and the trouble we have given ourselves upon that head, nothing is more certain, than that no child of man is required to believe any mystery at all. With regard to the Trinity, for instance; what am I required to believe? Not the manner wherein the mystery lies. This is not the object of my faith. But the plain matter of fact, These Three are One. This I believe, and only this.

Faith is given according to our present need. You have now such faith as is necessary for your living unto God. As yet you are not called to die. When you are, you shall have faith for this also. To-day improve the faith you now have, and trust God with to-morrow.

Some writers make a distinction, which seems not improper. They speak of the essential part of heaven, and the accessory parts. A man without any learning is naturally led into the same distinction. So the poor dying peasant, in Frederica " To be sure, Heaven is a fine place, a very fine place; but I dont care for that: I want to see God, and to be with him." I do not know whether the usual question be well stated, "Is heaven a state, or a place?" There is no opposition between these two: it is both the one and the other. It is the place where God more immediately dwells with those saints who are in a glorified state. Homer could only conceive of the place, that it was paved with brass. Milton, in one place, makes Heaven's pavement beaten gold. In another, defines it more sublimely, "The house of God, star-paved." As full an account of this house of God as it can yet enter into our hearts to conceive, is given us in various parts of the revelation. There we have a fair prospect into the Holiest, where are first, He that sitteth upon the throne; then the four living preachers; next, the twenty-four elders: afterwards, the great multitude, which no man can number. And surrounding them all, the various myriads of angels, whom God hath constituted in a wonderful order.

But what is the essential part of heaven? Undoubtedly it is To see God: To know God: To love God. We shall then know both his Nature, and his works of creation and providence, and of redemption. Even in paradise, in the intermediate state between death and the resurrection, we shall learn more concerning these in an hour, than we could in an age, during our stay in the body. We cannot tell indeed how we shall then exist, or what kind of organs we shall have: the soul will not be encumbered with flesh and blood; but probably it will have some sort of ethereal vehicle, even before God clothes us "with our nobler house of empyrean light."

No, my dear friend, no: it is no selfishness to be pleased when you give pleasure. It proves that your mind was antecedently in a right state, and then God answers you in the joy of your heart. So be more and more athirst for that holiness: and thereby give more and more pleasure to your affectionate Friend, John Wesley.

TO MISS B

MY DEAR SISTER, December 26, 1776.

EITHER that text in Ezekiel xxxiii. 8, is to be understood literally, or it has no meaning at all. And nothing is more certain, in fact, than that thousands perish through the neglect of others. And yet God is fully justified therein, because the principal cause of their destruction is their own neglect; their not working out their own sal-

vation with fear and trembling.

Whatever other ends are answered by prayer, this is one, and it seems a primary one, that we may have the petitions which we ask of him. Asking is the appointed mean of receiving; and that for others, as well as for ourselves, as we may learn partly from reason itself, but more fully from eur own experience, and more clearly still from Revelation. Reason teaches us to argue from analogy. If you (because you have a regard for me) would do more for a third person at my request, than otherwise you would have done, how much more will God, at the request of his beloved children, give blessings to those they pray for, which otherwise he would not have given. And how does all experience confirm this! How many times have the petitions of others been answered to our advantage, and ours on the behalf of others.

But the most decisive of all proofs is the Scripture: "Go to my servant Job, and he shall pray for you, for him will I accept." It was not a temporal blessing which was here in question, but a spiritual, the forgiveness of their sin. So when St. Paul said, "Brethren, pray for us;" he did not desire this on a temporal account only, that "he might be delivered out of the mouth of the lion;" but on a spiritual, "that he might speak boldly, as he ought to speak." But the instances of this are innumerable. In proof of the general truth, that God gives us both temporal and spiritual blessings, in answer to each other's prayers, I need only remind you of one scripture more, "Let them pray over him, and the prayer of faith shall save the sick, and if he have committed sins, they shall be forgiven him." The promise in the following verse, is still more comprehensive; "Pray one for another, and ye shall be healed," of whatsoever you

have confessed to each other.

I lament over every pious young woman, who is not as active as possible, seeing every one shall receive his own reward, according to his own labour. O! lose no time! Buy up every opportunity of doing good. It does not appear to me that you ought, on any consideration, to give up the privileges you mention. Neither do I apprehend, that you would be more useful in a boarding-school than you are in your present station. I cannot, therefore, advise you to relinquish it. You have now a large field of action: you have employment enough, both temporal and spiritual: and you have ease enough: abide in your calling. The pious young woman, whom I particularly lament over, does not live at Bath, but Bristol. But I

cannot help her. She allows premises, but holds fast her own conclusion. O! who can bear riches! Who can gain money, without, it some measure, losing grace! I verily believe, if she were as poor

as you she would be as advisable.

Our Church Catechism is utterly improper for children of six or seven years old. Certainly you ought not to teach it them against your own judgment. I should imagine it would be far better to teach them the short Catechism, prefixed to the instructions for Children.

I am, your affectionate Friend, J. WESLEY.

TO MISS LOXDALE.

Douglas, Isle of Man, June 10, 1781.

MY DEAR MISS LONDALE,

I HAD much hope that at my last return to Shrewsbury I should have seen you; but we are in the hands of him who knows what is best for every one that trusts in him; and if our meeting be hindered for a season, when those hinderances are removed it will be the more blest to us. That man of God, Gregory Lopez, observes of himself, that the large manifestations of God, with which he was favoured, at first overpowered his body, and nearly suspended his understanding, nay, took away the use of his senses; but that after a time they neither interrupted the one nor the other, nor disturbed the operation of any of his faculties. I think if those manifestations which you had, had been continued, the case would have been the same with you; they would no longer have overwhelmed you as they

did at first, but have flowed with a calm, even stream.

Many years since, Madam Bourignon's works were put into my hands, particularly the treatises you mention, and her exterior and interior life, written by herself. It was easy to see that she was a person dead to the world, and much devoted to God; yet I take her to have been very many degrees beneath both Mr. De Renty, and Gregory Lopez. Nay, I do not believe she had so much Christian experience as either David Brainerd, or Thomas Walsh. What makes many passages, both in her life and writings, so striking, is, that they are so peculiar; they are so entirely her own, so different from every thing which we have seen or read elsewhere. But this is in reality not an excellence, but a capital defect. I avoid, I am afraid of whatever is peculiar, either in the experience or the language of any one. I desire nothing, I will accept of nothing but the common faith, and common salvation : and I want you, my dear sister, to be only just such a common Christian as Jenny Cooper was. The new expressions of Madam Bourignon naturally tended to give you a new set of ideas. They would surely set your imagination at work, and make you fancy wonderful things; but they were only shadows. 1 cannot doubt, in the least, but either Mr. ---, or you, or your

sister, has experienced more of the life of faith, and deeper communion with the Father and the Son, than ever she did in her life. As I apprehend your mind must be a little confused by reading those uncommon treatises, I wish you would give another deliberate reading to the "Plain Account of Christian Perfection;" and you may be assured, there is no religion under heaven, higher or deeper than that which is there described. But it is certainly possible to have your mind, as well as your heart, continually stayed upon God. This you did experience for some time, and you should be continually expecting to receive it again: Ask, and it shall be given.

"For all the promises are sure To persevering prayer."

I wrote to Mr. Fletcher some time since, and wonder I have had no answer. I hope you will always write, without reserve, my dear Miss Loxdale, to

Your truly affectionate J. WESLEY.

LETTER II.

Nottingham, July 14, 1781.

MY DEAR MISS LONDALE,

AS it has pleased God to restore you in a measure to what you enjoyed once, I make no doubt but he will restore all which you then had: and will add to it what you never had yet. There is no end of his mercies. He will give "exceedingly abundantly beyond all that you are able to ask or think." If that sickness you mention, came (as is the case with some) only at the time of private prayer, I should incline to think it was preternatural, a messenger of Satan permitted to buffet you. But as you find it likewise at other times, when you feel any vehement emotion of mind, it seems to be (partly, at least,) a natural effect of what is called weakness of nerves. But even in this case, the prayer of faith will not fall to the ground. You may ask with resignation, and if it be best, this cup will be removed from you.

You have, indeed, reason to rejoice over your sister. Is she not given you in answer to prayer? and have you not encouragement, even from this very thing, to expect, that more of your family will be given you? Those are true words, when in his own strength you wrestle with God.

"My powerful groans thou canst not bear, Nor stand the violence of my prayer, My prayer omnipotent."

You remind me of what occurred when my dear H. R. first mentioned you to me. I almost wondered I should feel so much regard for one I had never seen! But I can taste your spirit, and rejoice to find that you are so near, my dear Miss Loxdale, to

Your's, in tender affection, John Wesley.

LETTER III

Sheffield, August 15, 1781.

MY DEAR MISS LONDALE,

YOUR letter gave much satisfaction. Whereunto you have attained, hold fast and "press on toward the mark, the prize of your high calling of God in Christ Jesus." I do not see any reason to doubt, but that you have tasted of the pure love of God. But you seem to be only a babe in that state, and have, therefore, need to go forward continually. It is by doing and suffering the whole will of our Lord, that we grow up in Him that is our Head, and if you diligently hearken to his voice, he will show you the way wherein you should go. But you have need to be exceeding faithful to the light he gives you. "While you have the light, walk in the light," and it will continually increase Do not regard the judgment of the world. even of those called, "the religious world" You are not to conform to the judgment of others, but to follow your own light. that which the blessed Spirit gives you from time to time, which is truth and is no lie That he may guide you and your sister into all truth and all holiness, is the prayer of, my dear Miss Loxdale,

Your's most affectionately,
John Wesley.

LETTER IV.

Chester, Dec. 15, 1781.

I SNATCH a few moments to write to my dear Miss Loxdale, although I have not time to write as I would. The trials you have lately undergone, were all instances of the goodness of God, who permitted them merely for your profit, that you might be the more largely partaken of his holmess. You know our blessed Lord himself, as man, learned obedience by the things that he suffered. And the last lesson which he learned upon earth, was that "Father, not as I will but as thou wilt." Never imagine, my dear friend, that you letters to me, can be too frequent or too long, I may add, or too free Nothing endears you to me so much as your aitless simplicity. I beg you would always write, just what you feel, without disguise, without reserve, to your's affectionately,

LETTER V.

MY DEAR MISS LOXDALE, Bristol, March 9, 1782.

GOLD is tried in the fire, and acceptable men in the furnace

of adversity.

You say, "I know not whither I am going" I will tell you whither You are going the straight way to be swallowed up in God.

"I know not what I am doing." You are suffering the will of God, and gloufying him in the fire. "But I am not increasing in the divine life." That is your mistake Perhaps you are now in-

creasing therein faster than ever you did since you were justified. It is true; that the usual method of our Lord, is to purify us by joy in the Holy Ghost, and a full consciousness of his love. But I have known several exempt cases, and I am clearly satisfied yours is one.

"Far, far beyond thy thought,
His counsel shall appear,
When fully he the work hath wrought
That caused thy needless fear."

If it be possible, meet me at Madeley on Saturday, then you may talk more largely with, my dear Miss Loxdale,
Your's most affectionately,
J. Wesley.

LETTER VI.

Liverpool, April 12, 1782.

MY DEAR MISS LOXDALE,

I ADVISED formerly my dear Jenny Cooper, and so I advise you, frequently to read and meditate upon the thirteenth chapter of the first epistle to the Countlians. There is the true picture of Christian perfection! Let us copy after it with all our might. 1 believe it might likewise be of use to you to read more than once the "Plain Account of Christian Perfection." Indeed, what is it more or less than humble, gentle, patient love! It is undoubtedly our privilege to rejoice evermore, with a calm, still, heartfelt joy. Nevertheless, this is seldom long at one stay. Many circumstances may cause it to ebb and flow This, therefore, is not the essence of religion; which is no other than humble, gentle, patient love. I do not know whether all these are not included in that one word, resignation. For the highest lesson our Lord (as man) learned on earth was to say, "Not as I will but as thou wilt "-May he confirm you more and more. Your's most affectionately, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VII

Burmingham, July 12, 1782.

MY DEAR MISS LOXDALL,

IT raised some wonder in me that I had not a line from you in so long a time. I began to be almost afraid that your love was growing cold. And it would not be at all strange if it did: it is more strange if it does not: especially while you have an affair in hand that naturally tends to engross the whole thought. Whoever follows the few plain directions which are given in the Sermon on Enthusiasm, will easily and distinctly see what is the will of God concerning any point in question; that is, provided his eye be single, provided, he has one design and one desire. But it is a just observation, "As a very little dust will disorder the motion of a clock; and as a very little sand will hinder the sight of the eye, so a very little desire, or

selfish design, will greatly obstruct the eye of the soul. By experience, the strongest of all arguments, you have been once and again convinced, that salvation from inbred sin is received by simple faith, although it is certain there is a gradual work both preceding and following.

Is it not then your wisdom not willingly to converse with any that oppose this great and important truth? If you play with fire, will you not be burnt sooner or later? Nay, have you not been burnt already? I remain, my dear Nancy, your's, most affectionately.

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

MY DEAR MISS LOXDALE, London, Oct. 8, 1785.

NOT once, but many times I have been making all the inquiries I could concerning you. The rather, as I was afraid you might suffer loss by the severe trials you had met with. I should not have wondered if you had; I rather wonder how you have escaped. But indeed, as long as you can say from your heart, "Lord, not as I will, but as thou wilt," no weapon formed against you shall prosper. You unquestionably did enjoy a measure of his pure and perfect love. And as you received it at first by naked faith, just so you may receive it again, and who knows how soon? May you not say,

"If thou canet so greatly how, Friend of sinners, why not now?"

I believe Mr. W——'s nervous disorder gave rise to many, if not most of those temptations, to which many persons of equal grace, but firmer nerves are utter strangers. As you never yet experienced any thing of the kind, so I am persuaded you never will. Yet I do not wonder at the horrid temptations of Gregory Lopez, because he was in a desert, that is so far out of God's way. I thank you for writing freely to me. If I had you now by the hand, I would tell you, you can never write or speak too freely to,

My dear Miss Loxdale, your's, most affectionately,

JOHN WESLEY.

1.ETTERS from the Rev. John Wesley to Mrs. Eliz. Bennis, of Limerick; taken from a small volume of Letters, published by her Son from the original manuscripts, in the year 1809.

LETTER I.

YOU did well to write; this is one of the means which God generally uses to convey either light or comfort; even while you are writing you will often find relief: frequently while we propose a doubt, it is removed.

There is no doubt but what you at first experienced was a real toretaste of the blessing, although you were not properly possessed of it, till the Whitsunday following, but it is very possible to cast away the gift of God, or to lose it, by little and little,—though I trust this is not the case with you, and yet you may frequently be in heaviness, and may find your love to God not near so warm at sometimes, as it is at others, many wanderings likewise, and many deficiencies, are consistent with pure love; but the thing you want is, the abiding witness of the Spirit touching this very thing. And this you may boldly claim, on the warrant of that word, "we have received the Spirit that is of God, that we may know the things which are freely given to us of God." I am, my dear sister, your affectionate brother,

LETTER II.

MY DEAR SISTER, March 29, 1766.

ONE of our preachers has lately advanced a new position among us,—That there is no direct or immediate witness of sanctification, but only a perception or consciousness, that we are changed, filled with love, and cleansed from sin—But it I understand you right, you find a direct testimony, that you are a child of God.

Now certainly if God has given you this light, he did not intend that you should hide it under a bushel—It is good to conceal the secrets of a king, but it is good to tell the loving kindness of the Lord.—Every one ought to declare what God has done for his soul, and that with all simplicity, only care is to be taken, to declare to several persons, that part of our experience, which they are severally able to bear, and some parts of it, to such alone as are upright and simple of heart

One reason why those who are saved from sin should freely declare it to believers, is, because nothing is a stronger incitement to them, to seek after the same blessing. And we ought by every possible means, to press every serious believer, to forget the things which are behind, and with all earnestness go on to perfection. Indeed, if they are not thirsting after this, it is scarce possible to keep what they have; they can hardly retain any power of faith, if they are not panting after holiness

A thousand infirmities are consistent even with the highest degree of holiness, which is no other than pure love, a heart devoted to God; one design and one desire. Then whatever is done either in

word or deed, may be done in the name of the Lord Jesus.

Press after all the residue of the promises.

I am, my dear sister, your affectionate brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

MY DEAR SISTER. Lecds, August 14, 1766. ALTHOUGH I am at present exceedingly hurried with various business, yet love constrains me to write a few lines. Your letters are always welcome to me, as the picture of an honest and affection-

What you say concerning the witness of the Spirit, is agreeable to all sound experience. We may in some measure be satisfied without it, in the time of broad sunshine, but it is absolutely necessary in the time of clouds, and heaviness, and temptation, otherwise

it would be hardly possible to hold fast your confidence.

Beware of voluntary humility; even this may create a snare,in the "Thoughts on Christian Perfection," and in the "Farther Thoughts," you have the genuine experience of the adult children of God: oppose that authority to the authority of any that contradict, (if reason and Scriptine are disregarded,) and look daily for a deeper and fuller communion with God: O what is it to walk in the light, as he is in the light!

Do not cease to pray for your truly affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

DEAR SISTER BENNIS, Dublin, July 25, 1767 WHEN you write to me, you have only to think aloud, just to open the window in your breast, when we love one another, there is no need of either disguise or reserve. I love you, and I verily behere you love me, so you have only to write just what you feel

The essential part of Christian holiness is giving the heart wholly to God, and certainly we need not lose any degree of that light and love which at first attend this : it is our own infirmity if we do, it is not the will of the Lord concerning us Your present business is, not to reason whether you should call your experience thus or thus, but to go straight to him that loves you, with all your wants, how great or how many soever they are. Then all things are ready, help, while you ask, is given ' you have only to receive it by simple faith, nevertheless you will still be encompassed with numberlesinfirmities, for you live in a house of clay, and therefore this corruptible body will more or less press down the soul, yet not so as to prevent your rejoicing evermore, and having a witness that your heart is all his, you may claim this, it is your's, for Christ is your's. Believe and feel him near

JOHN WESLEY My dear Sister, adicu, your's affectionately.

LETTER V.

Cork, May 30, 1769. ULAR SISTER. SOME years since, I was inclined to think, that none who had once enjoyed and then lost the pure love of God, must ever look to enjoy it again, till they were just stepping into eterinty. But experience has taught us better things; we have at present numerous instances of those who had cast away that unspeakable blessing, and now enjoy it in a larger measure than ever; and why should not this be your case? because you are unworthy? so were they, because you have been an unfaithful steward? so had they been also, vet God healed them freely, and so he will you, only do not reason against him. Look for nothing in yourself but sin and unworthiness. Forget yourself. Worthy is the Lamb, and he has prevailed for you' You shall not die, but live! live all the life of heaven on earth, you need nothing in order to this but faith, and who gives this? he that standeth at the door!

I hope to see you at Lumerick on Monday next, and I pray, let

there never more be any reserve between you, and

Your truly affectionate, &c. John Wesley.

LETTER VI.

DEAR SISTER, Dublin, July 24, 1769 IF the reading over your papers has no other effect, this it certainly has, it makes me love you abundantly better than I did before: I have now a more intimate knowledge of you; I enter more into your spirit, your tempers, and hopes, and fears, and desires, all which tends to endear you to me. It is plain, one of your constant enemics, and the most dangerous of all, is evil reasoning Accordingly the thing which you chiefly want is Christian simplicity. Brother Bourke and you should carefully watch over each other in that respect, and let each deal faithfully with the other; let there be no reserve between you, encourage one another also, to pray for, and expect the continual and direct witness of the Spirit. My dear Friend, remember Your's affectionately, &c. JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VII.

I WROTE a longer letter to you than I usually do, before I set out from Dublin, where or how it stopped, I cannot imagine. I think of you every day, indeed I do not know that I ever loved you so well as since I was at Limerick last; the more we are acquainted with each other, the more we ought to love one another.

I hope brother Bourke and you, fauthfully endeavour to help each other on. Is your own soul all alive? all devoted to God? Do you find again what you found once? And are you active for God?

Remember you have work to do in your Lord's vineyard; and the more you help others, the more your soul will prosper. I am, my dear Sister, Your's, affectionately, &c. JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

DEAR SISTER, Whitehaven, April 12, 1770.

IF two or three letters have miscarried, all will not; so I am determined to write again. How does the work of God go on at Linerick? Does the select society meet constantly? And do you speak freely to each other? What preachers are with you now? Do you converse frankly and openly with them, without any shyness or reserve? Do you find your own soul prosper? Do you hold fast what God has given you? Do you give him all your heart? And do you find the witness of this abiding with you? One who is now in the house with me, has not lost that witness one moment for these ten years. Why should you lose it any more? Are not the gifts of God without repentance? Is he not willing to give always, what he gives once? Lay hold, lay hold on all the promises.

I am your affectionate Brother, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

MY DEAR SISTER, Farm, June 13, 1770.

JUST now we have many persons all over England that are exactly in the state you describe; they were some time since renewed in love, and did then rejoice evermore; but after a few years, months, or weeks, they were moved from their steadfastness; yet several of these have within a few months recovered all they had lost, and some with increase, being far more established than ever they were before; and why may it not be so with you? The rather, because you do not deny, or doubt of the work which God did work in you; and that by simple faith. Surely you should be every day expecting the same free gift. And he will not deceive your hone.

If you can guard brother S. against pride, and the applause of well meaning people, he will be a happy man, and an useful labourer. I hope brother M. has not grown cold. Stir up the gift of God which is in you! I am, my dear Sister,

Your affectionate Brother, John Wesley.

LETTER X.

DEAR SISTER, Ashby, July 27, 1770.

WILL you ever find in yourself any thing but unfuness? Otherwise your salvation would be of works not of grace. But you are frequently sick of a bad disease, evil reasoning; which hinders both your holiness and happiness: you want the true Christian simplicity, which is indeed the highest wisdom. Nothing is more clear, according to the plain Bible account, than sanctification; pure love

reigning in the heart and life. And nothing is more plain, than the necessity of this, in order to feel happiness here and hereafter. Check all reasoning concerning these first principles, else you will exceedingly darken your soul, and go on denying yourself, and taking up your cross, until you

"Sink into perfection's height, The depth of humble love."

Still draw near to the fountain by simple faith, and take all you want, but be not slothful in your Lord's vineyard. My dear sister, your's, affectionately,

John Wesley.

LETTER XI.

MY DEAR SISTER, Limerick, May 15, 1771.

WHENEVER there is a dependence, though I equality secret and unobserved, on any outward thing, it is the mercy of God which disappoints us of our hope, that we may be more sensibly convinced, "neither is he that planteth, any thing, nor he that watereth, but

God that giveth the increase."

From time to time you must find many difficulties and perplexities, that none but Gol can clear. But can he clear them? That is enough Then he surely will This is the very use of that anoming which we have from God It is to teach us of all things, to clear up a thousand doubts and perplexities which no human wisdom could do. This was given you in the case of your child, and when that came, temptation spake not again. This is never more needful than with regard to anger, because there is an anger which is not sinful, a disgust at sin, which is often attended with much commotion of the animal spirits; and I doubt whether we can well distinguish this from sinful anger, but by that light from heeven.

I really hope J. C will do well: within these two years he is im-

proved exceedingly.

If our sisters miss you any more, there is but one way, you must go or send after them. Be not idle, neither give way to voluntary humility. You were not sent to Waterford for nothing, but to "strengthen the things that remain"

It would be a strange thing, if I should pass a day without praying for you; by this means at least we may reach each other; and

there may be a still increasing union between you and

Your affectionate brother, J Wesley.

LETTER XII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Dublin, July 20, 1771.

I AM much pleased to hear so good an account of J. C. If I was resolved to understand all God's dispensations, I should embrace his opinion, because it in a manner accounts for some things which otherwise are unaccountable. But this I do not expect I am content to understand exceeding little, while I am in the body.—What Yor. 10.—E e

he does, I know not now: it is enough that I shall know hereafter: Our business now is to love and obey, knowledge is rescrived for eternity. My chief objection to Milton's doctrine of election is, that I cannot reconcile it to the words of St. Peter, which manifestly refer to the eternal state of men, God is no respecter of persons. Now how can we allow this, if we believe he places one man, as it were, suspended between heaven and hell, while he fixes another, ere ever he is born, under an absolute impossibility of missing heaven?

I am well pleased you see some reason to hope well of Mr. T Speak closely to him. He has a strong cultivated understanding, and would make a shining Christian. It he continues serious, he will not long be pleased with his former company, they will grow

tasteless, nay, irksome

It is not material whether this or that infirmity or defect, be consistent with this or that gift of God. Without reasoning about this, it is your part simply to spread all your wants before him who loves you, and he will righly supply them all!

Your ever affectionate brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER XIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Rye, Oct 28, 1771.

IT is no wonder that finite cannot measure infinite. That man cannot comprehend the ways of God. There always will be something incomprehensible. Something like himself in all his dispensations. We must therefore be content to be ignorant, until cternity opens our understanding, particularly with regard to the reasons of his acting thus or thus. These we shall be acquainted with, when in Abraham's bosom.

As thinking is the act of an embodied spirit, playing upon a set of material keys, it is not strange, that the soul can make but ill music, when her instrument is out of tune. This is frequently the

case with you

And the trouble and anxiety you then feel, are a natural effect of the disordered machine: which proportionably disorders the mind. But this is not all as long as you have to wrestle not only with flesh and blood, but with principalities and powers, wise as well as powerful, will they not serve themselves of every bodily weakness, to increase the distress of the soul? But let them do as they may, let our frail bodies concur with subtle and malicious spirits 1 yet see that you cast not away your confidence, which hath great recompense of reward Be strong in the Lord, and in the power of his might Whereunto you have attained, hold fast! and when you feel the roughest and strongest assault, when the enemy comes in like a flood, do not reason, do not (in one sense) fight with him, but sink down in the presence of your Lord, and simply look up! telling him. "Lord, I cannot help myself, I have neither wisdom nor strength for this war but I am thine, I am all thine! Undertake for me. Let none pluck me out of thme hands! Keep that safe which is committed to thee, and preserve it unto that day"

I am in great hopes, if we hive until another conference, J C will be useful as a travelling preacher so would J. M if he had courage to break through. However, I am pleased he exercises himself a little: encourage him. I wish you would lend Mrs. Dawson the Appeals; take them from the book-room, and present them to her in my name. Go yourself, for I wish you to be acquainted with her. I believe they will satisfy her about the church, she halts just as I did many years ago. Be not shy towards brother C. he is an upright man. Sister L is already doing good in Clonmell, do you correspond with her? Your affectionate

LETTER XIV.

I DID believe brother C. would be of use to you, and you may be of use to him speak to each other without reserve, and then you will seldom meet in vain. Thrust him out to visit the whole Society, (not only those that can give him meat and drink,) from house to house, according to the plan laid down in the Minutes of Conference, then he will soon see the fruit of his labour. I hope he is not ashamed to preach full salvation, receivable now by faith. This is the word which God will always bless, and which the

Devil peculiarly hates Theretore he is constantly stiring up both his own children and the weak children of God against it.

All that God has already given you, hold fast. But expect to see greater things than these. Your affectionate J. Wesley.

LETTER XV

YOU did well to break through and converse with Mrs. D. There is no doubt but she has living faith, but, not having opportunity to converse with believers, she cannot express herself with that clearness that our friends do. cultivate the acquaintance. Now, lay before her, by way of promise, the whole Christian salvation; she will quickly see the desirableness of it. You may then lend her, The "Plain Account of Christian Perfection" She will not be frightened, but rather encouraged, at hearing it is possible to attain what her heart longs for. While you are thus feeding God's lambs, he will lead you into rich pastures.

I do not wonder you should meet with trials; it is by these your faith is made perfect. You will find many things both in your heart and in your life, contrary to the perfection of the Adamic law, but it does not follow that they are contrary to the law of love: let this fill your heart, and it is enough. Still continue active for God: remember a talent is intrusted to you—see that you improve it; he does not like a slothful steward. Your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XVI.

MY DEAR SISTER, Yarm, June 16, 1772

AS often as you can, I request you will converse personally or by letter with Mis. D.: her heart is much united to you, and I believe you are particularly called to be useful to those whom the riches or the grandeur of this world keep at a distance from the pure word of God. When you are at Wateriord, see that you be not idle there. You should gather up and meet a band immediately. If you would also meet a class or two, it would be so punch the better, you know, the more labour the more blessing.

Nothing is sin, strictly speaking, but a voluntary transgression of a known law of God. Therefore, every voluntary breach of the law of love is sin, and nothing else, if we speak properly. To strain the matter faither, is only to make way for Calvinism. There may be ten thousand wantering thoughts, and forgetful intervals, without any breach of love, though not without transgressing the Adamic law. But Calvinists would fain confound these together.

Let love filt your heart, and it is enough!

Your affectionate Brother,

J WESLEY.

LETTER XVII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Bristol, Aug 31, 1772.

MY health is not worse, but rather better. You account of the Society in Waterford is pleasing. Continue to exercise your talent among them, and you will be a gamer by it. You need not dispute or reason about the name which belongs to the state you are in. You know what you have, be thankful to it. You know what you want,—zcal, liveliness, stability, deliverance from wandering imaginations. Well then, ask and they shall be given. The way into the holiest is open through the blood of Jesus. You have free access through him.

"To him your every want
In instant prayer display,
Pray always pray and never faint,
Pray, without ceasing pray!"

Sec, help while yet you ask, is given ' I am, dear sister, your affectionate Brother, J Wesley.

LETTER XVIII.

YOUR time was well bestowed at Waterford, many, I doubt not, will remember it with thankfulness. But why this want of discipline in Limetick? Whenever this is dropt ed, all is confusion see that it be immediately restored. Captain Webb is now in Dublin; invite him to visit Limetick: he is a man of fire, and the power of God constantly accompanies his word. Speak a little to as many

as you can: go among them, to their houses; speak in love, and discord will vanish. It is hardly possible for you to comfort or strengthen others, without some comfort returning into your own bosom.

It is probable I shall visit Ireland in the spring, though I am almost a disabled soldier. I am forbid to ride, and am obliged to

travel mostly in a carriage.

You have need to stir up the gift of God that is in you Light will spring up. Why not now? Is not the Lord at hand? I am, my dear sister, your affectionate Brother,

J. Wesley.

LETTER XIX.

DEAR SISTER BENNIS, Shoreham, Dec 16, 1772.

THE plan which you mention, I prefer to any other, and have

written to put it in practice immediately.

I think you make most of your trials, by unbelief, and giving too much way to reasoning. Do not stoop to reason with the adversary, but flee to the strong for more strength, which, by asking, you will receive Be diligent in helping others. I hope you visit Mrs. D. frequently. Let not your talent rust, but see to gain a double interest. You work for a generous Master Fight on, and conquer all! Joy you shall have, if joy be best. My dear sister, adieu. Your affectionate Brother,

LETTER XX

MY DEAR SISTER, Feb. 12, 1773.

WHEN we draw near to God in his appointed ways, he will surely draw near to us. pray remind Mr. G. of using the same means, then he and you will find the same blessing. Write to Waterford to brother S. and encourage him to do there as he did at Limerick.

I can observe, by Mrs. D's manner of writing, a very considerable change in her spirit; more acquaintance with God, more bunility, and more artless, simple love I am much pleased that you visit so frequently. Continue to lead the simple, and God will give you more wisdom

As long as you trust, not in yourself, but in him that has all power in heaven and in earth, you will find his grace sufficient for you, and his strength made perfect in your weakness. Look to him continually, and trust in him, that you may increase with all the increase of God. I am, my dear sister, your ever affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXI.

April 1, 1773, he writes again,

I FEAR you are too idle; this will certainly bring condemnation. Up and be doing! Do not loiter. See that your talent rust not: rather let it gain ten more; and it will, if you use it.

You are permitted to be in heaviness, to humble and prove you yet more. Then you shall come forth as gold. If you love me, you will both write and speak freely to, my dear sister, your affectionate J. Wesley.

LETTER XXII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Bristol, Sept. 10, 1773.

WHEN two or three agree to seek God by fasting and prayer, it cannot be that their labour should be in vain. Especially if they add their endeavours to their prayers for the increase of the work of God. I hope you will encourage every preacher to visit the whole Society in order, from house to house: dinner or drinking tea does not answer the same intention. This may and ought to be done over and above.

I thought you had been in more danger of being hurt by worldly abundance than worldly care. But we cannot stand under either one or the other, unless we be endued with power from on high; and that continually, from hour to hour, or rather from moment to moment. Yet distress is not sin; we may be grieved, and still resigned. And this is acceptable with God. In all these cases, you should remember that observation, never to be let slip;

With even mind, thy course of duty run: God nothing does, or suffers to be done, But thou wouldst do thyself; if thou couldst see The end of all events, as well as He!"

My dear sister, adieu. Your affectionate Brother,
J. Wesley

LETTER XXIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Sheerness, Dec. 1, 1773.

some time since, when I heard brother Bennis had got very rich, I was in fear for you, lest the world should again find a way into your heart, and damp your noblest affections. I am not sorry that you have not that temptation. It is most desirable, to have neither poverty nor riches: but still you cannot be without temptation, unless you would go out of the world. How far that sudden emotion which you speak of, is a preternatural dart from Satan, and how far it springs from your own heart, it is exceeding hard to judge. It is possible it may be neither one nor the other, but a mere effect of the natural mechanism of the body, which has no more of good or evil, than the blushing or burning pole. But whether it be natural or preternatural, it is grievous to one whose conscience is tender. We may therefore undoubtedly pray against it. And surely he can and will deliver us. Come therefore boldly to the throne of grace, and find grace to help in time of need.

You will find full employment in Waterford: I believe that society wants your exertions. See therefore that you be not weary of well

doing. I am, my dear sister, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXIV.

London, Jan. 18, 1774. MY DEAR SISTER, A WILL steadily and uniformly devoted to God, is essential to a state of sanctification; but not an uniformity of joy, or peace, or These may rise and fall in various happy communion with God degrees; nay, and may be affected either by the body, or by diabolical agency, in a manner which all our wisdom can neither understand nor prevent. As to wanderings, you would do right well to consider the Sermon on Wandering Thoughts. you might likewise profit by Elizabeth Harper's Journal, whose experience much resembled yours, only she was more simple: and you may learn from her to go straight to God, as a little child, and tell him all your troubles, and hinderances, and doubts; and desire him to turn them all to good. You are not sent to Waterford to be useless. Stir up the gift of God which is in you gather together those that have been scattered abroad, and make up a band, it not a class or two Your best way would be to visit from house to house. By this means you can judge of their conduct and disposition in domestic life, and may have opportunity to speak to the young of the family. By motion you will contract warmth By imparting life, you will increase it in yourself.

As to the circumstance mentioned in the postscript of your last, I should think you would do well to exert yourself in that matter as much as possible. It will be a cross, take up that cross: bear your cross, and it will bear you; and if you do it with a single eye, it will

be no loss to your soul.

I am, my dear sister, your affectionate Brother,

LETTER XXV.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, March 1, 1774.

ELIZABETH HARPER was frequently in clouds too: and in that case, it is the best way to stand still: you can do nothing but simply tell all your wants to him that is both able and willing to supply them

I enclose James Perfect's letter, on purpose that you may talk with him. He has both an honest heart, and a good understanding, but you entirely mistake his doctrine. He preaches salvation by faith in the same manner that my brother and I have done: and as Mr Fletcher (one of the finest writers of the age) has beautifully explained it. None of us talk of being accepted for our works . that is the Calvinist slander. But we all maintain, we are not saved without works, that works are a condition (though not the meritorious cause) of final salvation. It is by faith in the righteousness and blood of Christ, that we are enabled to do all good works. And it is for the sake of these, that all who fear God and work righteousness, are accepted of him.

It is far better for our people not to hear Mr. Hawksworth. Calvinism will do them no good: as to the rest, I refer to my enclosure to Mr. M. with whom I wish you to have some conversation. Be not discouraged: I really believe God will visit poor Waterford in love. Do you go on. Bear up the hands that hang down: by faith and prayer support the tottering knee: reprove, encourage. Have you appointed any days of fasting and prayer? Storm the throne of grace, and persevere therein, and mercy will come down. I am your's, &c.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XXVI.

MY DEAR SISTER, Leeds, May 2, 1774.

UNTIL Mr. Hill and his associates puzzled the cause, it was as plain as plain could be. The Methodists always held, and have declared a thousand times, The death of Christ is the meritorious cause of our salvation, (that is, of pardon, holiness, and glory,) loving obedient faith is the condition of glory. This Mr. Fletcher has so illustrated and confirmed, as I think scarcely any one has done becomes the greatly.

fore since the apostles.

When Mr. W. wrote me a vehement letter concerning the abuse he had received from the young men in Limerick, and his determination to put them all out of the Society, if they did not acknowledge their fault, I much wondered what could be the matter, and only wrote him word, "I never put any out of our Society for any thing they say of me."—You are come in good time to make peace. Goon, and prosper. Your ever affectionate

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXVII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Dec. 21, 1776.

YOU are a great deal less happy than you would be, if you did not reason too much. This frequently gives that subtle adversary an advantage against you. You have need to be continually as

a little child, simply looking up for whatever you want.

It is devoutly to be wished for, that we may rejoice evermore: and it is certain, the inward kingdom of God, implies not only righteousness and peace, but joy in the Holy Ghost. You have therefore reason to ask for, and expect the whole gospel blessing. Yet it cannot be denied, that many times joy is withheld, even from them that walk uprightly. The great point of all is, a heart and a life entirely devoted to God. Keep only this, and let all the rest go: give him your heart, and it sufficeth. I am, my dear sister, your ever affectionate Brother,

LETTERS TO LADY MAXWELL.

LETTER I.

Newcastle-upon-Tyne, June 20, 1764.

WILL it be agreeable to my dear lady Maxwell, that I trouble her with a letter so soon? And that I write with so little ceremony? That I use no compliment, but all plainness of speech? If it be not, you must tell me so, and I shall know better how to speak for th time to come. Indeed it would be unpleasing to me to use reserve: the regard I feel for you, strongly inclines me to "think aloud," to tell you every thought which rises in my heart. I think God has taken unusual pains, so to speak, to make you a Christian: a Christian indeed, not in name, worshipping God in spirit and in truth; having in you the mind that was in Christ, and walking as Christ also walked. He has given you affliction upon affliction. he has used every possible means to unhinge your soul from things of earth, that it might fix on him alone. How far the design of his love has succeeded, I could not well judge from a short conversa-Your ladyship will therefore give me leave to inquite, Is the heaviness you frequently feel, merely owing to weakness of body, and the loss of near relations? I will hope it is not. It might indeed, at first spring from these outward pressures But did not the gracious Spirit of God strike in, and take occasion from these, to convince you of sin, of unbelief, of the want of Christ? And is not the sense of this, one great cause, it not the greatest, of your present distress. If so, the greatest danger is, either that you should stifle that conviction, not suffering yourself to be convinced, that you are all sin, the chief of sinners. or, that you should heal the wound slightly, that you should rest before you know Christ is yours, before his Spirit witnesses with your spirit, that you are a child of God. My dear lady, be not afraid to know yourself yea, to know yourself, as you are known How soon then will you know your Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the Righteous! And why not this day? Why not this hour? If you feel your want, I beseech the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, to look upon you now! O give thy servant power to believe! To see and feel how thou hast loved her! Now let her sink down into the arms of thy love, and say unto her soul, "I am thy salvation."

With regard to particular advices, I know not how far your ladyship would have me to proceed. I would not be backward to do any thing in my power: and yet I would not obtrude. But in any

respect you may command.

My dear Lady, Your Ladyship's affectionate Servaut, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER II.

My DEAR LADY, Manchester, July 10, 1764.

TILL I had the pleasure of receiving yours, I was almost in doubt, whether you would think it worth your while to write or not. So much the more I rejoiced, when that doubt was removed, and removed in so agreeable a manner. I cannot but think of you often: I seem to see you just by me, panting after God, under the heavy pressure of bodily weakness and faintness, hereaved of your dearest relatives, convinced that you are a sinner, a debtor that has nothing to pay, and just ready to cry out,

"Jesu, now I have lost my all," Let me upon thy bosom fall."

Amen, Lord Jesus! Speak, for thy servant heareth! Speak thyself into her heart! Lift up the hands that hang down, and the feeble knees. Let her see thee full of grace and truth, and make her glad with the light of thy countenance!

Do not stop, my dear lady, one moment, "because you have not felt sorrow enough." Your friend above has telt enough of it for

you:

"O Lamb of God, was ever pain, Was ever love like thine!"

Look, look unto him, and be thou saved! He is not a God afar off! He is now hovering over you with eyes of tenderness and love! Only believe! Then he turns your heaviness into joy. Do not think you are not humble enough, not contrite enough, not earnest enough. You are nothing: but Christ is all. And he is yours! The Lord God write it upon your heart, and take you for an habitative of Carl through the Salisit

tion of God through the Spirit.

O that you may be ever as dead to the world, as you are now. I apprehend the greatest danger from that quarter. If you should be induced to seek happiness out of Christ, how soon would your good desires vanish! Especially, if you should give way to the temptation, to which your person, your youth, and your fortune, will not fail to expose you. If you escape this snare, I trust you will be a real Christian, having the power, as well as the form, of religion. I expect you will then have likewise better health and spirits: perhaps to-morrow. But, O! take Christ to-day! I long to have you happy in him! Surely few have a more earnest desire of your happiness, than, my very dear Lady,

Your Ladyship's most affectionate Servant,
J. Wesley.

LETTER III.

YOU need be under no manner of apprehension of writing too often to me. The more frequent your letters are, the more welcome

they will be. When I have not heard from you for some time, I

begin to be full of fears: I am afraid, either that your bodily weakness increases, or that your desires after God grow cold. I consider, you are at present but a tender, sickly plant, easily hurt by any rough blast. But I trust, this will not be so long: for you have a strong Helper. And the Lord, whom you serve, though feebly and imperfectly, will suddenly come to his temple. When, Lord? Are all things ready now? Here is the sinner; one whose mouth is stopped: who has nothing to pay; who pleads neither her own harmlessness, nor works, nor good desires, nor sincerity: but can adopt that strange word,

" I give up every plea beside.

Lord, I am damu'd: but thou hast died."

He has died: therefore you shall live. O do not reason against him! Let him take you now! Let him take you just as you are,

and make you what is acceptable in his sight.

It gives me pleasure indeed, to hear that God has given you resolation to join the society. Undoubtedly you will suffer reproach on the account; but it is the reproach of Christ. And you will have large amends, when the Spirit of glory and of God shall rest upon you. Yet I foresee a danger: At first you will be inclined to think, that all the members of the society are in earnest. And when you find, that some are otherwise, (which will always be the case, in so large a body of people,) then prejudice may easily steal in, and exceedingly weaken your soul. O beware of this rock of offence. When you see any thing amiss, (upon hearsay you will not readily receive it,) remember our Lord's word, "What is that to thee? Follow thou me." And I entreat you, do not regard the half Methodists, (if we must use the name.) Do not mind them, who endeayour to hold Christ in one hand, and the world in the other. I want you to be all a Christian, such a Christian as the Marquis de Renty, or Gregory Lopez was! Such a one as that saint of God, Jane Cooper! All sweetness, all gentleness, all love! Methinks you are just what she was, when I saw her first. I shrink at the thought of seeing you what she was, when I saw her last. But why should I? What is all the pain of one that is glorifying God in the fires. with, "Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit."

May I not take upon me to give you one advice more? Be very wary how you contract new acquaintance. All, even sincere people, will not profit you. I should be pained at your conversing frequently with any but those who are of a deeply-serious spirit, and who speak closely to the point. You need not condemn them, and

yet you may say, "This will not do for me."

May He that loves you, richly supply all your wants, and answer your enlarged desires! So prays, my very dear lady, your affectionate servant,

J. Wesley.

LETTER IV.

IT is not easy for me to express the satisfaction I received in the few hours I lately spent with you. Before I saw you, I had many fears concerning you, lest your concern for the one thing should be abated, lest your desires should be cooled, or your mind a little hurt, by any of the things which have lately occurred. So much the greater was my joy, when all those fears were removed: when I found the same openness and sweetness as before, both in your spirit and conversation, and the same earnestness of desire after the only thing, which deserves the whole strength of our affection. I believe tenderness and steadiness are seldom planted by nature in one spirit. But what is too hard for Almighty grace? This can give strength and softness together. This is able to fill your soul with all firmness, as well as with all gentleness. And beceunto are

It was with great pleasure that I observed your fixed resolution not to rest in any thing short of this. I know not why you should; why you should be content with being half a Christian, devoted partly to God, and partly to the world, or more properly to the devil. Nay, but let us be all for God! He has created the whole, our whole body, soul, and spirit. He that bought us hath redeemed the whole; and let him take the purchase of his blood! Let him sanctify the whole, that all we have and are, may be a sacrifice of praise

you called; for nothing less than all the mind which was in Christ

and thanks, iving!

I am not afraid of your being satisfied with less than this: but I am afraid of your seeking it the wrong way. Here is the danger, that you should seek it, not by faith, but as it were, by the works of the law. See how exactly the apostle speaks: you do not seek it directly, but as it were by works. I fear lest this should be your case, which might retard your receiving the blessing. Christ has died for you: he has bought pardon for you. Why should not you receive it now? While you have this paper in your hand? Because you have not done thus or thus? See your own works! you are not thus and thus! More contrite? More carnest? More sincere? See your own rightcousness! Olet it all go! None but Christ! None but Christ! And if he alone is sufficient, if what he has suffered and done, if his blood and righteousness are enough: they are night thee! In thy mouth, and in thy heart! See, all things are ready! Do not wait for this or that preparation! For something to bring to God! Bring Christ! Rather, let him bring you. Bring you home to God! Lord Jesus, take her! Take her and all her sins! Take her, as she is ! Take her, now! Arise, why tarriest thou! Wash away her sins! Sprinkle her with thy blood! Let her sink down into the arms of thy love, and cry out, My Lord and my God!

Kilkenny, July 5, 1763.

Let me hear from you as soon as you can. You do not know, how great a satisfaction this is to, my deal lady, your ever affectionate servant,

J. Wesley.

Be pleased to direct to the New Room, in Dublin.

MY DEAR LADY,

vour heart!

LETTER V.

AS your's was sent from Dublin to Cork, and then back again lither, I did not receive it till yesterday. I am now setting my face again towards Eugland: but I expect to be in Dublin till the beginning of next month, and then to cross over, so as to be at Maichester (if it please God) about the middle of August Either at Dublin, or at Manchester, I hope to have the pleasure of hearing from you. This is indeed a pleasure, as it is, to write to you: though sometimes I do this with fear: a fear, lest I should give you any pain, as I know the tenderness of your spirit. I wish I could be of some service to you: that I could encourage you, to cast yourself on him that loves you: that is now waiting to pour his peace into your heart, to give you an entrance into the holiest by his blood. See him, see him! Full of grace and truth! Full of grace and truth tor thee! I do not doubt but he is gradually working in you: but I want you to experi-

ence likewise an instantaneous work. Then shall the gradual go on swiftly. Lord, speak! Thy servant heareth! Say thou, "Let there be light!" and there shall be light. Now let it spring up in

It may be, He that does all things well, has wise reasons, though not apparent to us, for working more gradually in you, than he has done of late years in most others. It may please him, to give you the consciousness of his favour, the conviction that you are accepted through the Beloved, by almost insensible degrees, like the dawning of the day. And it is all one, how it began, so you do but walk in the light. Be this given in an instant, or by degrees, hold it fast. Christ is your's: He hath loved you: He hath given himself for you! Therefore you shall be holy as he is holy, both in heart, and in all

manner of conversation.

Give me leave, my dear friend, to add a word likewise concerning your bodily health. You should in any wise give yourself all the an and exercise that you can. And I should advise you, (even though long custom made it difficult, if that were the case,) to sleep as early as possible, never later than ten, in order to rise as early as health will permit. The having good spirits, so called, or the contrary, very much depends on this I believe medicines will do you little scruce: you need only proper diet, exact regularity, and constant exercise, with the blessing of God.

Your speaking or writing was never tedious to me yet: and I am persuaded, never will be. Your letters are more and more agreeable to, My very dear Lady, your most affectionate servant,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

MY DEAR LADY, London, Dec. 1, 1765.

PERHAPS there is scarce any child of man, that is not at some time a little touched by prejudice, so far at least as to be troubled, though not wounded. But it does not hurt, unless it fixes upon the mind. It is not strength of understanding which can prevent this. The heart, which otherwise suffers most by it, makes the resistance which only is effectual. I cannot easily be prejudiced against any person whom I tenderly love, till that love declines. So long therefore as our affection is preserved by watchtulness and prayer to him that gave it, prejudice must stand at a distance. Another excellent defence against it, is openness. I admire you upon this account. You dare (in spite of that strange reserve which so prevails in North Britain) speak the naked sentiments of your heart. I hope my dear friend will never do otherwise. In simplicity and godly sincerity, the very reverse of worldly wisdom, have all your conversation in the world.

Have you received a gleam of light from above, a spark of faith? O let it not go. Hold fast, by his grace, that token of his love, that earnest of your inheritance. Come just as you are, and come boldly to the throne of grace. You need not delay! Even now the bowels of Jesus Christ yearn over you. What have you to do with tomorrow? I love you to-day. And how much more does he love

you! He

Pities still his wand'ring sheep, Longs to bring you to his fold!

To-day hear his voice, the voice of him that speaks as never man spake: the voice that raises the dead, that calls the things which are not as though they were. Hark! What says he now? "Fear not! Only believe! Woman, thy sins are forgiven thec! Go in peace: Thy faith hath made thee whole." Indeed I am, my dear lady,

Your ever affectionate servant,

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VII.

Newcastle-upon-Tyne, May 6, 1766.

MY DEAR LADY,

IT was well that I did not hear any thing of a trial you lately had, till it was past. You have great reason to bless God, that this did not turn you out of the way. You might very easily have inferred from it, that "all these people are alike:" and thence have given way to a thousand reasonings, which would have brought you into utter darkness. But it is plain you are not left to your own weakness. You have a strong belper. The Lord stands on your right hand: therefore you are not moved. And I make no doubt, but He will continue to help, till his arm brings you salvation. But, in the meantime, you have need of patience; and the more so, because

you have a weak body. This, one may expect, will frequently press down the soul; especially till you are strong in faith. But how soon may that be, seeing it is the gift, yea, and the free gift of God? Therefore it is never far off The word is nigh thee ! "Only behere!" Look unto Jesus! Be thou saved! Receive out of his fulness, grace upon grace, mercy and grace to keep mercy.

On the 24th instant, I hope to be at Edinburgh, with my wife and

daughter. But perhaps you will see the salvation of God, before

you see,

My dear Lady, your ever affectionate servant, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

Norwich, Feb 23, 1767. MY DEAR LADY,

FOR a considerable time I was under apprehensions that you were in a state of temptation. And as I had no other way of helping you, this put me upon commending you the more frequently to Him that is able to save you. Your last therefore, was doubly acceptable to me, as it relieved me from my fears concerning you, and gave me the occasion of rejoicing over one for whom I had the most sincere and tender affection. Sure it is, that the grace of God is sufficient for you, in this and in every trying hour. So you have happily experienced it to be already: and so I trust you will experience to the end But you must not imagine that you are yet out of the reach of temptation, thoughts will be suggested again and again, So that you have still need to be

" For ever standing on your guard, And natching unto prayer.

And let my dear Friend keep at the utmost distance from temptation, and carefully shun all occasions of evil. O it is a good, though painful fight! You find you are not sent a warfare at your own cost. You have Him with you, who can have compassion on your infirmities, who remembers you are but dust: and who at the same time, has all power in heaven and earth, and so is able to save you to the uttermost Exercise, especially as the Spring comes on, will be of greater service to your health than a hundred medicines and I know not whether it will not be restored in a larger measure than for many years, when the peace of God fixes in your heart. Is it far off? Do not think so! His ear is not heavy! He now hears the cry of your heart! And will he not answer? Why not to-day? Come, Lord Jesus, come quickly! Your openness obliges me to be more than ever.

My dear Lady, your affectionate friend and servant, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

MY DEAR LABY. Cork, June 4, 1767. MY belief is, that a journey to England might be of great service to your health. And it is not improbable, you might receive much benefit from the water of the Hotwells near Bristol. In August I hope to be at Bristol; and again in the latter end of September. My chaise and horses are at Bristol, which, you would oblige me much. if you would please to use as your own, (if you do not bring any with you) during your stay there; for you should, if possible, ride out daily. My wife, who is at Newcastle, will be exceeding glad to wait upon you there. And if you choose to rest a few days, I should be happy if you would make use of the Orphan-house. You would be pleased with the Miss Dales, and they with you: you and they have drank into one spirit. Miss Peggy is one of the holiest young women that I have any knowledge of: indeed I think both the sisters have no desire, but to glorify God with their body and with their spirit. You will be so kind as to let me know when you expect to be at Newcastle; and possibly I may meet you there. As you were providentially called to the place where you now are, I cannot doubt but you will be preserved. But you have need of much prayer and continual watching, or you may insensibly lose what God has given. I am jealous over you: I cannot but be interested in whatever concerns you. I know your tender spirit; your desire to please all for their good; your unwillingness to give pain. And even these amiable dispositions may prove a snare; for how easily may they be carried too far? If you find any thing hurts you, or draws your soul from God, I conjure you, flee for your life! In that case, you must not stand upon ceremony; you must escape without delay. But I hope better things: I hope you are sent to Brisbanc, not to receive

My dear Lady,
Your most affectionate Friend,
John Wesley.

LETTER X.

hurt, but to do good; to grow in grace, to find a deeper communion than ever with Him that gave himself for you; and to fulfil the joy of

TO be incapable of sympathizing with the distressed, is not a desirable state. Nor would one wish to extirpate either sorrow, or any other of our natural passions. And yet it is both possible and highly desirable to attain the same experience with the Marquis de Renty: who on occasion of his lady's illness, told those who inquired how he could bear it, "I cannot say but my nature is deeply affected with the apprehension of so great a loss. And yet I feel such a full acquiescence in the will of God, that were it proper, I could dance and sing."

I have heard my mother say, "I have frequently been as fully assured that my father's spirit was with me, as if I had seen him with

my eyes.' But she did not explain herself any further. I have myself many times found on a sudden so lively an apprehension of a deceased friend, that I have sometimes turned about to look; at the same time I have felt an uncommon affection for them. But I never had any thing of this kind with regard to any but those that died in faith. In dreams I have had exceeding lively conversation with them: and I doubt not but they were then very near.

It gives me pleasure to hear, that you did not neglect our own preaching, in order to attend any other. The hearing Mr. F at other times, I do not know that any could blame; unless you found it unsettled your mind, or weakened your expectation of an entire deliver-

ance from sin. And this, I apprehend, it did not

You never "take up too much of my time" To converse with you, even in this imperfect way, is both agreeable and useful to me. I love your spirit, and it does me good. I trust, God will still give you that hunger and thirst after righteousness, till you are satisfied therewith And who knows how soon? I am, My dear Lady,

Your ever affectionate Servant. John Wesley

LETTER XI.

Londonderry, April 29, 1769 MY DEAR LADY. AWHILE ago I was concerned at hearing from Edinburgh, that you were unwell although I could not doubt, but it was ordered well by an unerring Providence. as a means of keeping you dead to all below, and of quickening your affections to things above indeed this is the rule, whereby the inhabitants of a better world judge of good and evil Whatever raises the mind to God, is good; and in the same proportion as it does this Whatever draws the heart from its centre, is evil; and more or less so, as it has more or less of this effect. You have accordingly found pain, sickness, bodily weakness, to be real goods as bringing you nearer and nearer to the fountain of all happiness and holmess And yet, it is certain, nature shrinks from pain, and that without any blame. Only in the same moment that we say, "If it be possible, let this cup pass from me," the heart should add, like our great Pattern, "Nevertheless, not as I will, but as thou wilt." Lady Baird I did not see before I left London. and Lady K. B. I did not understand She was exceedingly civil, and I think affectionate; but perfectly shut up. so that I knew no more of her state of mind than if I had never seen her. I am, My dear Lady,

Your ever affectionate Servant, John Wesley.

LETTER XII.

TO us it may seem, that uninterrupted health would be a greater help to us than pain or sickness. But herein we certainly are mistaken we are not such good judges in our own cause. You may truly say, "Health I shall have, if health be best," But in this and F f 2

all things, you may trust him that loves you. Indeed, nervous disorders are, of all others, as one observes, enemies to the joy of faith But the essence of it, that confidence in a loving, pardoning God, they can neither destroy nor impair. Nay, as they keep you dead to all below, they may forward you therein. And they may increase you earnestness after that pure love, which turns earth into paradise.

It will be by much pains and patience that you will keep one in high life steadfast in the plain, old way. I should wish you to converse with her as frequently as possible. Then, I trust, God will use you to keep alive the fire which he has kindled. I am in great hopes that Chapel will be of use but it will not be easy to procure a converted clergyman. A schoolmaster will be more easily found; although many here are frighted at the name of Scotland. A diligent master may manage twenty, or perhaps thirty children. If one, whom I lately saw, is willing to come, I believe he will answer your design.

I have some thoughts of going to America: but the way is not yet plain. I wait till Providence shall speak more clearly, on one side or the other. In April I hope to reach Inverness, and to take Eduburgh in my way back to England. But let us live to-day! What a

blessing may you receive now!

Now let your heart with love o'erflow, And all your life his glory show!

I am, my dear Lady, Your ever affectionate Servant.

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER XIII

MY DEAR LADY. London, Feb. 8, 1772

I COMMEND you for meddling with points of controversy as little as possible. It is abundantly easier to lose our love in that rough field, than to find truth This consideration has made me exceedingly thankful to God, for giving me a respite from polemical I am glad he has given to others both the power and the will to answer them that trouble me, so that I may not always be forced to hold my weapons in one hand, while I am building with the I rejoice, likewise, not only in the abilities, but in the temper of Mr. Fletcher He writes, as he lives, I cannot say that I know such another clergyman in England or Ireland. He is all fire: but it is the fire of love His writings, like his constant conversation. breathe nothing else, to those who read him with an impartial eye And although Mr Shirley scruples not to charge him with using subtlety and metaphysical distinctions, yet he abundantly clears himself of this charge, in the "Second Check to Antinomianism." Such the last letters are styled, and with great propriety, for such they have really been. They have given a considerable check to those, who were every where making void the law through faith: setting "the righteousness of Christ" in opposition to the law of Christ, and teaching that "Without holiness any man may see the Lord."

Notwithstanding both outward and inward trials, I trust you are

still on the borders of perfect love. For the Lord is night

"See the Lord, thy Keeper, stand, Omnipotently near! Lo! he holds thee by thy hand, And banishes thy fear!

You have no need of fear. Hope unto the end! Are not all things possible to him that believeth? Dare to believe! Seize a blessing now! The Lord increase your faith! In this prayer I know you join with, My dear Lady,

Your ever affectionate Servant,

JOHN WESLEY

LETTER XIV.

Neucastle, May 3, 1777. MY DEAR LADY, THE New Chapel which we are now building in London, requires much of my attendance there, so that I cannot conveniently be absent more than two Sundays together Accordingly when I set out, I fixed Saturday, the 19th instant, for my return and ordered notice to be given, of my design to meet the classes the week following I cannot therefore have the pleasure of seeing you now; which, if it could be, I should greatly desire. I love your spirit ! love your conversation: I love your correspondence. I have often received both profit and pleasure thereby. I frequently find a want of more light, but I want heat more than light. And you have frequently been an instrument of conveying this to my soul, of animating me to run the glorious race. I trust you find no decay in your own soul, but a still increasing vigour Some time since, you enjoyed a measure of that great salvation, deliverance from inbred sin. Do you hold fast whereunto you had attained, and still press forward, to be filled with all the fulness of God? There is the prize before you! Look up! Believe! And take all you want.

Wishing you the whole gospel blessing, I remain,
My dear Lady, your ever affectionate Servant, John Wesley,
I hear sister Gow is gone hence. Did she go in triumph, or only
in peace?

LETTER XV.

MY DEAR LADY. Dublin, 4th July, 1787 OUR correspondence, I hope, will never be broken off, till one of us be removed into a better world. It is true, I have often wondered, that you were not weary of so useless a correspondent I am very sensible, the writing of letters is my brother's talent, rather than mine Yet I really love to write to you, as I love to think of you And sometimes it may please him, who sends by whom he will send. to give you some assistance by me And your letters have frequently been an encouragement and a comfort to me. Let them never, my dear friend, be intermitted, during the few days I have to stay below. After Mrs. Roe first, and then Miss Ritchie, had given me so particular an account of that branch of their experience, I examined one by one, the members of the select society in London on that head But I found very few, not above nine or ten, who had any conception of it. I think there are three or four in Dublin, who likewise speak

clearly and scripturally, of having had such a manifestation of the several persons in the ever-blessed Trimty Formerly I thought, this was the experience of all those that were perfected in love But I am now clearly convinced that it is not. Only a few of these are favoured with it. It was indeed a wonderful instance of divine mercy, that, at a time when you were so encumbered with the affairs of this world, you should have so much larger a taste of the powers of the world to come. It reminds me of brother Lawrence's words "When I was charged with the affairs of the convent at Burgundy, I did not understand them; and yet I know not how, all was well done!' I doubt not you will find the very same experience, and every thing which God calls you to. His word will be more and more emmently fulfilled, "In all thy ways acknowledge him, and he will direct thy paths" I rejoice to be, My dear Lady, Your ever affectionate Servant

LETTER XVI.

London, Aug 8, 1788 MY DEAR LADY,

IT is certain, many persons both in Scotland and Englands would be well pleased to have the same preachers always cannot forsake the plan of acting which we have followed from the For fifty years God has been pleased to bless the itinerant plan; the last year most of all: it must not be altered, till I am And I hope will remain, till our Lord comes to reign upon removed earth.

I do not know (unless it unfits us for the duties of life) that we can have too great a sensibility of human pain. Methinks I should be afraid of losing any degree of this sensibility. I had a son-in-law (now in Abraham's bosom) who quitted his profession, that of a surgeon, for that very reason, "Because (he said) it made him less sensible of human pain" And I have known exceeding few persons, who have carried this tenderness of spirit to excess. I recollect but one, who was constrained to leave off, in a great measure, visiting the sick, because he could not see any one in pain, without fainting away. Mr. Charles Perronet was the first person I was acquainted with who was favoured with the same experience as the Marquis de Renty, with regard to the ever-blessed Trinity Miss Ritchie was the second Miss Roe (now Mrs Rogers) the third I have as yet found but a few instances so that this is not (as I was at first apt to suppose) the common privilege of all that are "perfect in love"

Pardon me, my dear friend, (for my heart is tenderly concerned for you,) if I mention one fear I have concerning you, lest on conversing with some, you should be in any degree warped from Christian simplicity! O do not wish to hide that you are a Methodist! Surely it is best to appear just what you are. I believe, you will receive this as a proof of the sincerity, with which I am,

My dear Lady, your ever affectionate Servant,

LETTERS TO MISS BRIGGS

LETTER I

YOU have great reason to praise him, who has done great things tor you already. What you now want is. To come boldly to the throne of grace, that the hunger and thirst after his full image, which God has given you, may be satisfied. Full salvation is nigh, even at the door. Only believe, and it is your's. It is a great blessing, that, at your years, you are preserved from seeking happiness in any creature. You need not, seeing Christ is your's. O cleave to him with your whole heart!

I am, my dear Betsy, your's, affectionately, J WESLEY.

LETTER II.

Athlone, April 14, 1771. MY DEAR BETSY. YOU may be assured, that I am always well pleased to hear from you, and that I shall never think your letters too long. Always tell me whatever is in your heart, and the more freely the better Otherwise it would be hardly possible, to give you the advice you may want from time to time As soon as you had your armour on, it was fit that it should be proved So God prepared for you the occasions of fighting, that you might conquer, and might know both your own weakness, and his strength Each day will bring just temptation enough, and power enough to conquer it. And, (as one says.) "Temptations, with distinct deliverances from them, avail much" The unction of the Holy One is given to believers for this very end. To enable them to distinguish (which otherwise would be impossible) between sin and temptation. And this you will do, not by any general rule, but by listening to him on all particular occasions, and by your consulting with those that have experience in the ways of God Undoubtedly both you, and Philothea, and my dear Miss Perronet, are now more particularly called to speak for God. In so doing you must expect to meet with many things which are not pleasing to flesh and blood. But all is well So much the more will you be conformed to the death of Christ. Go on, in his name, and in the power of his might! Suffer and conquer all things. I am, my dear Betsy, your's, affectionately, J WESLEY

LETTER III.

YOU judge exceeding right: as yet you are but a little child, just a babe in the pure love of Christ. As a little child, hang upon him, and simply expect a supply of all your wants. In this respect.

neasoning profits you nothing indeed it is just opposite to believing; whereby you hearken to the inward voice, which says, "Open thy mouth wide, and I will fill it" Undoubtedly it would be a cross to declare what God has done for your soul. Nay, and afterwards Satan would accuse on the account, telling you, "You did it out of pinde." Yea, and some of your sisters would blame you, and perhaps put the same construction upon it. Nevertheless if you do it with a single eye, it will be well pleasing to God.

Your letters will be always agreeable to,
My dear Betsy, your's, affectionately.

J WESLEY

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR BETSY, Dec 28, 1774

YOU have done what you could in this matter: and "angels can do no more" I am glad you tried; by and by she may see more clearly I am always glad to hear from you, whether you have time to write accurately or not. And I love that you should tell me both what you feel, and what you do: for I take part in all I doubted not but you would find a blessing at this solemn season. see that you strengthen each other's hands in God I should be glad to see both, or either of you, when it is convenient

I am, my dear Betsy, Your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

TO MISS PERRONET.

MY DEAR SISTLE, Near Leeds, Aug. 6, 1775.

I BELIEVE my late illness has already answered many wise ends of Providence. It has been a blessing to me, and to many others, a fresh proof, that God doth all things well.

I doubt not, but brother Wood and his fellow-labourer will be still zealous and active for God. And if so, his work will surely increase, at Seven-Oaks and the Wells, as well as other places Nay, I do not despan of poor Canterbury: it is not out of God's reach.

I dreamed last night, that the Spaniaids were come, and were searching all houses, and putting men to the torture. But on a sudden they were vanished out of the land, I could not tell how. My Betsy should not think that I am ever so busy, as not to have leisure to read and answer her letters. I think Philothea too, since I am three again, should have written to me, either in verse or prose.

I am, my dear sister, your affectionate brother,

J WESLEY.

TO MR. CHARLES PERRONET.

DEAR CHARLES, London, Dec. 28, 1774
CERTAINLY there is nothing amiss in the desire, to do some-

CERTAINLY there is nothing amiss in the desire, to do something for a good Master: only still adding, (in this, as in all things

clse,) "Yet not as I will, but as thou wilt."

If we could once bring all our preachers, itinerant and local, uniformly and steadily to misst on those two points, Christ dying for us, and Christ reigning in us, we should shake the trembling gates of hell. I think most of them are now exceeding clear herem: and the rest come nearer and nearer, especially since they have read Mr. Fletcher's Checks, which have removed many difficulties out of the way

I expect more good from Mrs. B's medicine than from a heap of

others. Remember Hezekiah's figs.

I am, dear Charles, ever your's,

J. WESLEY

LETTERS TO THE REV. MR. DICKINSON.

LETTER I.

DEAR SIR, Cork, May 6, 1767.

I AM now come to my second station in heland, for here we expect to stay seven days only with a digression of two out of the seven, to Bandon and Kinsale. I know not that I shall spend two whole days in any other place before I return to Dublin. I am glad you are fauly discharged from Oxford, although there is a little seed left there still. When we were there, we pronted much by watching continually against "The lust of finishing." to mortify which we frequently broke off writing, in the middle of a sentence, if not in the middle of a word especially the moment we heard the chapel bell ring, or a knock at our door. If nature reclaimed, we remembered the word of the heathen, "Ejicienda est hee mollitics animi"*

I am glad there is so good an understanding among the preachers. a great deal depends upon it. But I hope you do not forget gentle T. O. May not you venture to give him a hint, that you hints were incorrectly printed? If he says, "They were written so, I could hardly read them," you can tell him, "I hope to write the next better."

Miss Briggs' spending so much of her time at Shoreham, answers an excellent design. It, in a great measure, supplies the want both of Miss Perronet and of her father, whom I remember with sincere affection.

1 am, dear Sir,

Your affectionate friend and brother, J. WESLEY

^{*} This softness of mind must be cast away.

LETTER II.

DEAR SIR, Londonderry, June 5, 1787.

THE Irish posts are not the quickest in the world; though I have known one travel full two miles in an hour. And they are not the most certain. Letters fail here more frequently than they do in

England.

Mr. Heath has need of abundance of faith and patience. He is in a very unpleasing situation. But this I am determined on; he shall not want as long as I have either money or credit. He is a truly pious, and a very amiable man: his wife and children are east in the same mould. I am glad you all showed him, while he was in London, the respect which he well deserves.

As the work of God increases in so many parts both of England and Ireland, it would be strange if there were no increase of it in London: especially while all the preachers are of one mind, and speak the same thing. Only do not forget strongly and explicitly to arge the believers, "To go on to perfection." When this is constantly and earnestly done, the word is always clothed with power.

Truly I claim no thanks for loving and esteeming Retsey Briggs; for I cannot help it. And I shall be in danger of quarrelling with you, if you ever love her less than you do now. Peace be with all your spirits! I am, your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MR. THOMAS RANKIN.

LETTER L

DEAR TOMMY, London, March 1, 1775.

I THINK the March packet will do as well as the April

packet: so I answer you without delay.

As soon as possible, you must come to a full and clear explanation, both with brother Asbury (if he is recovered) and with Jemmy Dempster. But I advise brother Asbury to return to England the

first opportunity.

There is now a probability, that God will hear the prayer, and turn the counsels of Ahithophel into foolishness. It is not unlikely, that peace will be re-established between England and the Colonies. But certainly the present doubtful situation of affairs, may be improved to the benefit of many. They may be strongly incited, Now "to break off their sins by repentance, if it may be a lengthening of their tranquillity." I am, dear Tommy,

Your affectionate friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

P. S. To-morrow I intend to set out for Ireland:

I add a line to all the preachers:

MY DEAR BRETHREN, London, March 1, 1775.

YOU were never in your lives in so critical a situation as you are at this time. It is your part, to be peace-makers, to be loving and tender to all; but to addict yourselves to no party. In spite of all solicitations, of rough or smooth words, say not one word against one or the other side Keep yourselves pure: do all you can, to help and soften all, but "Beware how you adopt another's jar."

See that you act in full union with each other, this is of the utmost consequence. Not only let there be no bitterness, or anger, but no shyness or coldness between you. Mark all those that would set one of you against the other! Some such will never be wanting. But give them no countenance; rather ferrer them out, and drag them into open day.

them into open day.

The conduct of T Rankin has been suitable to the Methodist plan I hope all of you tread in his steps. Let your eye be single! Be in peace with each other, and the God of peace will be with you! I am, my dear Brethren, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY

We add the following few lines from the Rev. Charles Wesley to Mr. Rankin.

MY DEAR BROTHER, March 1, 1775.

TO spare you the expense, I delayed answering your letter, but I bear you always on my heart, and rejoice when the Lord blesses you with success. He giveth grace, more grace, to the hum-

ble: therefore wrestle with him for deep humility.

As to the public affairs, I wish you to be like minded with me. 1 am of neither side, and yet of both on the side of New-England, and of Old Private Christians are excused, exempted, privileged, to take no part in civil troubles. We love all and play for all, with a sincere and impartial love. Faults there may be on both sides: but such as neither you nor I can remedy: therefore let us, and all our children, give ourselves unto prayer, and so stand still and see the salvation of God. My love to Capt. Webb, when you see him, and to Mr. Bowden, to whom I owe letters, and much love. Show your's for me, by praying more for me and mine.

Your's, in the old love,

LETTER II.

I AM glad there is so good an understanding between Jemmy Dempster and you He is an upright man, and unless I am much mistaken, a friend both to the Methodist doctrine and discipline.

I am sorry for poor T R. It is certain, God did lift up his head and I hoped that his besetting sin would no more gain dominion over Vol. 10.—G g

him. However, you must in nowise give him up. And he has much more need of comfort than of reproof. His great danger is despair.

Brother Asbury has sent me a few lines, and I thank him for them. But I do not advise him to go to Antigua Let him come home without delay. If one or two stout, healthy young men, would willingly offer themselves to that service, I should have no objection: but none should go, unless he was fully persuaded in his own mind.

You are a bold man, Tommy, to commence author in these critical times. I wish the success may answer your expectation: there is a call for every help. I am afraid you will soon find a day of trial: the clouds are black both over England and America. It is well if this summer passes over without some showers of blood. And if the storm once begins in America, it will soon spicad to Great Britain.

Go on, doing and suffering the will of our Lord!

I am, dear Tommy, Your affectionate Friend and Brother.

J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

DEAR TOMMY, Ballinrobe, May 19, 1775.

THAT letters travel very slow from us to America, is a great inconvenience. But it is a still greater, that they travel so uncertainly: sometimes reaching you too late, sometimes not at all

I doubt not but brother Asbury and you will part friends: I shall hope to see him at the Conference. He is quite an upright man. I apprehend he will go through his work more cheerfully, when he is within a little distance from me.

We must speak the plain truth, wherever we are, whether men will hear, or whether they will forbear. And among our societies we must enforce our rules, with all mildness and steadiness. At first, this must appear strange to those, who are as bullocks unaccustomed to the yoke. But after a time, all that desire to be real Christians, see the advantage of it.

I am afined Mr B is a weak brother, a little enlightened in his understanding, and having a kind of faith But I would rather (of the two) be in the case of poor T. R. than of him I think there is more probability, of his being a real Christian, than of the other's.

Never was there a time, when it was more necessary for all that fear God, both in England and in America, to stir up the gift of God that is in them, and wrestle with God in mighty prayer. In all the other judgments of God, the inhabitants of the earth learn righteousness. When a land is visited with famine, or plague, or earthquake,

the people commonly see and acknowledge the hand of God. But wherever war breaks out, God is forgotten, if he be not set at open defiance. What a glorious work of God was at Cambuslang and Kilsythe, from 1740, to 1744! But the war that followed tore it all up by the roots, and left scarce any trace of it behind. Insomuch that when I diligently inquired a few years after, I could not find one that retained the life of God! I am, my dear Tommy,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother, John Wesley.

TO MR. DEMPSTER.

Ballinrobe, May 19, 1775.

THAT one point I earnestly recommend, both to brother Rankin, and you, and all our preachers, by prayer, by exhortation, and by every possible means, to oppose a party spirit. This has always, so far as it prevailed, been the bane of all true religion. More especially when a country was in such a situation, as America is now. None but the God of Almighty Love can extricate the poor people out of the snare. O what need have you to besiege his throne with all the power of prayer!

I am, dear Jemmy, your's, affectionately, John Wesley.

LETTER IV.

DEAR TOMMY, Clarmain, near Armagh, June 13, 1775.

I AM afraid, our correspondence for the time to come, will be more uncertain than ever: since the sword is drawn, and it is well if they have not on both sides thrown away the scabbard. What will the end of these things be, either in Europe or America? It seems, huge confusion and distress, such as neither we nor our fathers had known! But it is enough, if all issues in glory to God, and peace and good will among men.

I am sorry for poor T. R. I well hoped God had thoroughly healed his backshding, and so lifted up his head, that he would have fallen no more. But the case is not desperate yet: you must, in no wise, give him up. I have scarcely ever known an habitual drunkard finally reclaimed, before he had relapsed more than once or twice. Your point is, first, save him from the occasions of sin: then incite him not to cast away hope. Nothing but this, despair of conquering, can totally destroy him. As long as he keeps up the faintest hope, he will strive against sin.

My brother wrote me word, that he had received a copy of the tract that you have written. Something of the kind may be very scasonable. Never had America such a call to repentance. For unless general reformation prevent general destruction, what a scene will soon be opened? Ruin and desolation must soon overspread the land, and fair houses be turned into ruinous heaps. But what are

those strange phenomena which you speak of? Send me an account of just so much as you can depend upon.

Should not you appoint in America, (as we do in England and

Ireland,) one or more general days of fasting and prayer?

l am, dear Tommy, Your affectionate Friend and Riother,
J WESLEY.

LETTER V.

DEAR TOMMY, Near Leeds, July 28, 1775.

I REJOICE to hear, that the work of our Loid still prospers in your hands. If the temple is built even in troublous times, it is not by the power of man. I rejoice too over honest Francis Asbury, and hope he will no more enter into temptation. Do not despair of poor T. R. He is not out of God's reach yet. I know no reason, why we should not print the names of the American preachers. You may print an edition of the Christian Pattern, and apply the profits of it to the payment of the debt. The societies should pay the passage of the preachers. But you must not imagine, that any more of them will come to America, till these troubles are at an end.

Certainly this is the point which we should insist upon, in season and out of season. The universal corruption of all orders and degrees of men, loudly calls for the vengeance of God. And masmuch as all other nations are equally corrupt, it seems God will punish us by one another. What can prevent this, but an universal, or at least, a general repentance? Otherwise we have great reason to fear, God will soon say, "Sword, Go through that land and destroy it."

Those clergymen should be lovingly advised, "Not to hurt our preachers." I will pay your arrears We have only, to he to-day! God will take care of to-morrow I am, dear Tommy,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother, John Wesley.

(We subjoin the two following short letters, written on the same half sheet.)

TO MR. DEMPSTER.

LAST month I was at the gates of death. But it pleased God, just then to rebuke the fever, so that my pulse began to beat again, after it had totally ceased. Since that time I have been gradually recovering strength, and am now nearly as well as ever Let us use the short residue of life, to the glory of him that gave it!

I am, your's, affectionately, John Wesley.

TO JOHN KING.

MY DEAR BROTHER, Near Leeds, July 28, 1775.

ALWAYS take advice or reproof as a favour. It is the surest mark of love.

I advised you once, and you took it as an affront: nevertheless I

will do it once more.

Scream no more at the peril of your soul. God now warns you, by me whom he hath set over you. Speak as earnestly as you can; but do not scream. Speak with all your heart; but with a moderate voice. It was said of our Lord, "He shall not cry;" the word properly means, He shall not scream. Herein be a follower of me, as I am of Christ. I often speak loud: often vehemently; but I never scream. I never strain myself: I dare not. I know it would be a sin against God and my own soul. Perhaps one reason why that good man, Thomas Walsh, yoa, and John Manners too, were in such grievous darkness before they died, was because they shortened their own lives.

O John, pray for an advisable and teachable temper. By nature you are very far from it. You are stubborn and headstrong. Your last letter was written in a very wrong spirit. If you cannot take advise from athere apply you wight take it from

advice from others, surely you might take it from Your affectionate brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER VI.

London, Aug. 13, 1775.

I DO not give up T. R. yet: he is not out of God's reach. I am not sorry that brother Asbury stays with you another year. In that time it will be seen, what God will do with North America: and you will easily judge, whether our preachers are called to remain any longer therein. If they are, God will make their way plain, and give them favour even with the men that delight in war. In the civil wars of Rome, Atticus stood fair in the esteem of both the contending parties. And so did the Archbishop of Cambray, during the war in the Netherlands. Not only the officers, but the common soldiers, when they went by, treating him with love and regard. The clouds do indeed gather more and more; and it seems a heavy storm will follow: certainly it will, unless the prayers of the faithful obtain a longer reprieve.

A few weeks ago, I was at the gates of death, in the North of

Ireland. But

"The fever felt his touch and fled."

And I am now just as I was before it came.

You did well, to remove the books into a place of safety; if any such can be found in America. It is no wonder, that the spirits of the men who know not God are sharpened into madness. That human creatures commence lions and bears. This is the genuine fruit of war!

Certainly if they persecute you in one city you should flee to another. Peace be with your spirit! I am, dear Tommy,
Your affectionate friend and brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER VII.

THE account given in our newspapers of my death was not wholly without foundation. For I was only not dead; my pulse being quite gone, and "the wheel at the eistern without motion"

But then our Lord stepped in, and

" The fever own'd his touch and fled."

My strength returned by swift degrees; and I am now at least as

well as before my illness.

In the country places I believe you will have the largest harvest, where they know little and talk little about politics. Their hearts are engaged with something better, and they let the dead bury their dead. I am glad you are going into North Carolina: and why not into South Carolina too? I apprehend, those provinces would bear much fruit, as most parts of them are fresh, unbroken ground. And as the people are faither removed from the din of war, they may be

more susceptible of the gospel of peace.

A paper was sent to me lately, occasioned by the troubles in America: but it would not do good. It is abundantly too tart; and nothing of that kind will be of service now. All parties are already too much sharpened against each other: we must pour water, not oil, into the flame. I had written a little tract upon the subject, before I knew the American ports were shut up. I think, there is not one sharp word therein: I did not design there should. However, many are excessively angry; and would willingly burn me and it together. Indeed it is provoking: I suppose, above forty thousand of them have been printed in three weeks, and still the demand for them is as great as ever.

I was glad to receive your's by Capt Crawford. I am entirely of your mind. I am persuaded love and tender measures will do far more than violence. And if I should have an interview with a great man (which seems to be not unlikely,) I will, by the grace of God, tell him so, without any circumlocution. Our time is in God's

hands: let us stand ready for all things '

I am, dear Tommy, Your affectionate friend and brother,

J. WESLEY,

LETTERS TO MISS B

LETTER I

IT is something strange, that I should never hear of your sickness, till I hear of your recovery. Both the one and the other were

designed for blessings, and I doubt not but they have proved so to you Since I saw you first, I have not observed much occasion for reproving. But we have all need of advice and exhortation, else we should soon be weary and faint in our minds. It is to be expected, that above one half of those who not only profess great things, but actually enjoy the great salvation, will, nevertheless, be sooner or later moved from their steadfastness. Some of them will indeed recover what they had lost; others will die in their sins. The observing this, should incite us to double our diligence, lest we should

fall after their example.

The difference between heaviness and darkness of soul, (the wilderness state,) should never be forgotten. Darkness (unless in the case of bodily disorder) seldom comes upon us but by our own fault. It is not so with respect to heaviness, which may be occasioned by a thousand circumstances, such as frequently neither our wisdom can foresee, nor our power prevent. It seems your trial was of the latter kind: perhaps, too, it was partly owing to the body. But of whatsoever kind it was, you may profit thereby, it need not leave you as it found you. Remember the wise saying of Mr. Dodd, "It is a great loss to lose an affliction." If you are no better for it, you lose it. But you may gain thereby both humility, seriousness, and resignation.

We so become all things to all, as not to hurt our own souls, when we first secure a single eye, a steady design, to please all for their good to edification, and then take care that our discourse be always good to the use of edifying, and such as may minister grace to the hearers. But in order to this, we have need of power from on high, and of the wisdom that sitteth by the throne This alone can give us to order our conversation aright, so as to profit both others and ourselves. Before you can do this effectually, you must conquer your natural reserve, and exercise it only to those of whom you know nothing at all, or of whom you know nothing good. Perhaps there is one occasion more on which it will be highly expedient, if not necessary. Namely, when good persons, (at least in some measure so,) sink beneath their character, title away time, or indulge themselves in a conversation, which has no tendency to improve either the speaker or the hearer.

I think it will not be best for you to go out less than you ever did. Suppose you have more faith and more love, (as I would fain think you have,) you certainly ought to go out more. Otherwise your faith will insensibly die away. It is by works only, that it can be made perfect. And the more the love of solitude is indulged, the more it will increase. This is a temptation common to men. In every age and country, Satan has whispered to those who began to taste the powers of the world to come, "To the desert." "To the wilderness" Most of our little flock at Oxford were tried with this; my brother and I in particular. Nay, but I say, "To the Bible; to the Bible." And there you will learn, "As you have time, to do good unto all men." To warn every man, to exhore

every man as you have opportunity. Although the greatest part of your care and labour should be laid out on those that are of the household of faith, certainly you may do good to others, without any ways endangering your own salvation. What at present you much want is simplicity, in the Archbishop of Cambray's sense of the word. That grace "whereby the soul casts oft all unnecessary reflections upon itself." I wish I could say of you, as I did of a young person many years ago, when I sent her his little book:

"In art, in nature, can we find Colours to picture thee? Speak, Cambray's pen, for Sally's mind, She is simplicity"

To be enabled to relieve those who are in want, is one excellent part of self-denial. But you must not imagine, that this will be the only one: no. You have a message from God to some of those, to whom no one almost date speak the plain truth, and he will confirm the word of his messenger, especially to those who are in weakness or pain, or under any kind of affliction. At such a time, greatness stands aloof, and they are as accessible as common persons.

In religion, as well as in all things else, it is use that brings perfectness. I have long laboured under the same infirmity with you, and I find but one way to conquer. Take up your cross. When the occasion offers, break through. Speak, though it is pain and grief unto you, and it will be easier and easier, till you resemble an eminent surgeon, who once said to my brother, "Mr. Wesley, you know I would not hurt a fly; I would not give pain to any living thing. But if it were necessary, I would scrape all the flesh off a

man's bones, and never turn my head aside."

A clear conviction of the superior advantages of a single life, certainly implies a call from God to continue therein; supposing a person has received that gift from God. But we know, all cannot receive this saying; and I think, none ought to make any vows concerning it: because, although we know what we are, and what we can do now, yet we do not know what we shall be. The principal advantages of that state, are set down in the little Tract on that subject; together with the means which are proper to be used by those who desire to retain those advantages. If, at any time, Providence should seem to call a person to relinquish those advantages, I would earnestly advise her, not to lean to her own understanding, (less in this case than any other,) but to consult one or more spiritual friends, and resolutely stand to their award.

Although I am thoroughly persuaded, that those reasonings are, ma great measure, from a preternatural cause, and therefore chiefly to be resisted by continuing instant in prayer, yet I think Christian prudence not only perints, but requires you to add other means to this. That which I would especially recommend, is reading; particularly Pascal's Thoughts, (in the Christian Library,) and the two first Tracts in the "Preservative against Unsettled Notions in Religion." These temptations are permitted to give you a deep and

lasting conviction of the littleness and weakness of your own understanding, and to show you the absolute need wherein you stand of

continual light, as well as of power, from on high.

That the regulation of social life is the one end of religion, is a strange position indeed. I never imagined any but a deist would affirm this. If that good man, Dr. D. did, I suppose it must be a slip of the pen; for he could not but know, that the love, without which, St. Paul affirms, all we do profits us nothing, is that humble, meck, patient love of our neighbour, which supposes and flows from the love of God.

A degree of reasoning you certainly may and ought to use, only joined with humility and prayer. But what you more immediately want is faith. Believe, and thou shalt be saved into perfect peace. I am, Your's, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER II.

Bristol, March 15, 1777. MY DEAR SISTER,

THE sea breezes may be of service to you, it you have constant exercise. This has brought many from the gates of death. It

is beyond all medicine whatever.

I am in great hopes this sickness will not be unto death, but that the glory of the Lord may be revealed. Kempis' rule admits of many exceptions. Whatever was the case then, we have now abundant proof, that very "many are made better by sickness:" unless one would rather say in sickness. This is one of the grand means which God employs for that purpose. In sickness, many are convinced of sin; many converted to God; and still more confirmed in the ways of God, and brought onward to perfection.

His gracious design in your's, seems to be chiefly this, To wean you yet more from created things; to make and keep you dead to all below. To this end, you are in a manner cut off from every thing, that you may find your all in Him. If He should see good to restore you, you will be an instrument fit for the Master's use.

It seems the best way to profit by that retirement, which results from your present weakness, is to divide your time between reading, meditation, and prayer, intermixed with serious conversation. And when your strength will permit, you must endeavour to do a little good; only take care at first, not to go too far. Some years since we had a little Society at Southampton; perhaps you may find some fragments of it remaining. May the God of all grace, after you have suffered awhile, make you perfect, establish, strengthen, and settle you!

I am, your ever affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Oct. 18, 1778. I AM not unwilling to write to you, even upon a tender subject. because you will weigh the matter fairly. And if you have a little

prepossession, (which who has not?) yet you are willing to give it up to reason.

The original Methodists were all of the Church of England, and the more awakened they were, the more zealously they adhered to it, in every point, both of doctrine and discipline. Hence we inserted in the very first Rules of our Society, "They that leave the Church, leave us." And this we did, not as a point of prudence, but a point of conscience. We believed it unlawful to separate from the Church, unless sinful terms of communion were imposed. Just as did Mr. Philip Henry, and most of those holy men that were

contemporary with him.

"But the ministers of it do not preach the gospel."—Neither do some of the Independent or Anabaptist ministers. Calvinism is not the gospel: nay, it is farther from it, than most of the sermons I hear at the Church. These are very frequently unevangelical, but they are not anti-evangelical. They are (to say no more) equally wrong; and they are far more dangerously wrong. Few of the Methodists are now in danger of imbibing error from the Church ministers: but they are in great danger of imbibing the grand error, Calvinism, from some of the dissenting ministers. Perhaps thousands have done it already: most of whom have drawn back to perdition. I see more instances of this, than any one else can do: and on this ground also, exhort all who would keep to the Methodists, and from Calvinism, to "go to the Church, and not to the Meeting."

But to speak freely; I myself find more life in the Church Prayers, than in any formal extemporary prayers of Dissenters. Nay, I find more profit in sermons on either good tempers, or good works, than in what are vulgarly called Gospel Sermons. term is now become a mere cant word: I wish none of our Society would use it. It has no determinate meaning. Let but a pert, selfsufficient animal, that has neither sense nor grace, bawl out something about Christ, or his blood, or justification by faith, and his hearers cry out, "What a fine Gospel Sermon!" Surely the Methodists have not so learned Christ! We know no gospel without salvation from sin. There is a Romish error which many Protestants swallow unawares. It is an avowed doctrine of the Romish Church, that the "pure intention of the minister is essential to the validity of the sacraments." If so, we ought not to attend the ministrations of an unholy man. But in flat opposition to this, our Church teaches, in the 28th Article, that "the unworthiness of the minister does not hinder the validity of the sacraments." Although, therefore, there are many disagreeable circumstances, yet I advise all our friends to keep to the Church. God has surely raised us up for the Church chiefly, that a little-leaven may leaven the whole lump. I wish you would seriously consider that little Tract, "Reasons against a Separation from the Church of England." Those Reasons were never yet answered, and, I believe, they never will.

I am glad you have undertaken that labour of love, and trust, it will increase both your spiritual and bodily strength.

I am, My dear Sister, Your's, very affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR SISTER, Warrington, May 17, 1781.

I HAVE written to Mr. Pawson, and am in hopes that Keynsham will be no more neglected. I did not expect you would meet with any trial of this kind; but it is well to be prepared for all.

When Molly Maddern taught a few children at Kingswood, I saw a truly Christian school. To make the children Christians was her first care: afterwards they were taught what women need to learn. I saw another Christian school at Layton-Stone, under the care of Miss Bosanquet. I do not remember I discovered any defect, either in the former or the latter; I observed anothing done, which I wished to be omitted; nothing omitted, which I wished to have done. May I speak without reserve? I verily think I may. I hoped to see a third Christian School at ______, and I did so for a season. But I cannot say, that for some years it has quite answered my expectations. "What then was the matter?" I can hardly tell. I do not know how to express it. I did not see the simplicity which I saw at first. More of the world seemed to be crept in. Good breeding I love; but how difficult is it to keep it quite clear of affectation. And of a something which does not well agree with that mind which was in Christ.

I want your children to be trained up quite in the manner that Miss Bosanquet's were. Although they were very genteel, yet there was something in their whole manner, which told you they belonged to another world. Mrs. Castleman was one of Molly Maddern's scholars. She is genteel: yet she is a Christian.

Make Christians, my dear Sister, make Christians! Let this be your leading view. Make such Christians as Miranda, as Miss ——. Such as Miss M. was! Let every thing else which you teach, he subordinate to this: mind one thing in all! Let it be said of the young women you educate,

"Grace was in all her steps, heaven in her eye, In all her gestures sanctity and love."

But what power do you want to execute this? Ask, and it shall be given you! May you not have the earnest of it this moment?

I am, my dear Sister,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER V.

WHEN the school began at —, it was in all respects a school after my own heart, conducted exactly on the same principles as

that of Miss Bosanquet, at Layton-Stone. But it declined from its original simplicity, I know not how, by slow degrees, indeed so insensibly, that I hardly know what to blame, and so know not how to cure it.

If I have to meet the Society at Bristol again, I shall kill or cure those unwise and unkind parents, who make their children finer than themselves. I believe I shall make their ears tingle. As to you, I advise you first, to be a Bible Christian yourself, inwaidly and outwardly. Be not a hair's breadth more comforable to the fashions of the world, than you were when I last saw you. Then train up your children in the self-same way. Say to them, with all mildness and firinness, "Be ye followers of me, even as I am of Christ" Whoever is pleased or displeased, keep to this, to Christian, primitive simplicity. Perhaps at first you will lose some scholars thereby, but regard not that, God will provide you more. And be assured, nothing shall be wanting that is in the power of,

My dear Sister,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

Haverford-West, Aug. 18, 1784.

FROM the time I heard you were rejected by _____, I have had a tender regard for you, and a strong hope that without regard to the wisdom, or spirit, or customs of the world, you would (as those at _____ did once)

"Square your useful life below, By reason and by grace."

Hitherto you have not at all deceived my hope. And I am persuaded you never will. In some of the young ones you will undoubtedly find your labour has not been in vain. What they will be, one cannot judge yet therefore Solomon's advice is good. "In the morning sow thy seed, and in the evening withhold not thy hand, for thou knowest not which shall prosper"

It seems God himself has already decided the question concerning dancing. He hath shown his approbation of your conduct, by sending those children to you again. If dancing be not evil in itself, yet it leads young women to numberless evils. And the hazard of these on the one side, seems far to over-balance the little inconveniences on the other. Therefore thus much may certainly be said, You have chosen the more excellent way.

I would recommend very few novels to young persons, for fear they should be too desirous of more. Mr. Brook wrote one more, (besides the Earl of Moreland,) "The History of the Human Heart," I think it is well worth reading; though it is not equal to his former production. The want of novels may be supplied by well chosen histories such as, The Concise History of England, The Concise History of the Church, Rollin's Ancient History, Hook's Roman History, (the only impartial one extant.) and a few more. For the clder and more sensible children, Malabranche's Scarch after Truth is an excellent French book. Perhaps you might add, Locke's Essay on the Human Understanding, with the Remarks in the Arminian Magazine. I had forgotten that beautiful book, "The Travels of Cyrus," whether in French or English.

I always am, Your affectionate Friend and Brother,

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MISS BOLTON.

LETTER I.

I WANTED much to know how your soul prospered. I could not doubt but the god of this world, the enemy of all righteousness, would use every means to move you from your steadfastness. Blessed be God, you are not moved! That all his labour has been in vain. Hitherto hath God helped you. and fear not, he will help you to the end. He gives you health, as a token for good he can trust you with it, while you give him your heart. And, O' stand fast in the glorious liberty, wherewith he has inade you free You are not called, to desire suffering. Innocent nature is averse from pain. Only as soon as his will appears, your's is to sink down before it. Hark! what does he say to you now? "Lovest thou me more than these?"

"Wealth, honour, pleasure, or what else, This short enduring world can give?"

Then feed my lambs . carry the little ones in thy bosom . gently lead those that are great with young

Be not weary of well doing! In due time thou shalt reap, if thou faint not, &c. &c.

Your's most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER II

A LETTER from you is always welcome, but never more so than now, as this is the time wherein it seems good to our Lord, to try you as by fire. Fear nothing, only believe: he is with you in the fire, so that the flames shall not kindle upon you. O how will you praise him by and by, for his wise and gracious visitation! He is purging away all your dross, that you may be a vessel meet for the Master's use. Happy are they, that do his will: and happier still, they that suffer it. But whatever you suffer, cast not away that confidence, which hath great recompense of reward. In order to keep it, do not reason, but simply look up to him that loves you. Tell him, as a little child, all your wants. Look up, and your suit is Vol. 10.—H

made! He hears the cry of your heart. And tell all that troubled you to, Your's affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER III

MY DEAR SISTER, Lynn, Nov. 7, 1771.

AT length! have snatched an hour to repeat to you in writing the advices which I gave you before 1. Keep that safe which God has given you: never let shp any blessing which you have received. Regard none who tell you, "You must lose it." No: you never need lose one degree of love 2 You never will, provided you are a careful steward of the manifold gifts of God. To him that hath, that is, uses what he hath, it shall be given still, and that more abundantly. Therefore, 3 Use your every grace Stir up the gift of God that is in you. Be zealous! Be active! Spare no one. Speak for God wherever you are. But, meantime, 4 Be humble: Let all that mind be in you, which was in Christ Jesus. And be clothed with humility. Pray that you may always feel, that you are nothing, less than nothing, and vanity. In this spirit, speak and do every thing, giving all the glory to him that hives and rules in your heart by faith.

Last night I was reading some advices of a French author, part of which may be of use to you. Only observe. He is writing to one

that had hving faith, but was not perfected in love.

"How can I distinguish pride, from temptation to pride ?" "It is extremely difficult to distinguish these, and still more so, to lay down rules for doing it. Our eyes cannot penetrate the ground of our hearts. Pride and vanity are natural to us; and for that reason, nothing is more constantly at hand, nothing less observed than their The grand rule is, to sound sincerely the ground of our hearts, when we are not in the hurry of temptation For if, on inquiry, we find, that it loves obscurity and silence; that it dreads applause and distinction, that it esteems the virtue of others, and excuses their faults with mildness; that it easily pardons injuries, that it fears contempt less and less, that it sees a falsehood and baseness in pride, and a true nobleness and greatness in humility; that it knows and reveres the mestimable riches of the cross and the humiliations of Jesus Christ, that it fears the lustre of those virtues which are admired by men, and loves those that are more secret; that it draws more comfort, even from its own defects, through the abasement which they occasion, and that it prefers any degree of compunction, before all the light in the world then you may trust, that all the motions you feel, tending to pride or vanity, whether they are sudden, or are thrust against you for some time, are not sin, but temptation. And then it may be the best to turn from, and despise them, instead of giving them weight, by fixing your attention upon them "

I want a particular account both of your inward and outward health: tell me how you are, and what you are doing withhold nothing from. Your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J. WESLEY.

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Dec 5, 1772.

I KNOW not that ever you asked me a question which I did not readily answer. I never heard any one mention any thing concerning you on that account, but I myself was jealous over you. Pethaps I shall find faults in you that others do not, for I survey you on every side I mark your every motion and temper, because

I long for you to be without spot or blemish.

What I have seen in London occasioned the first caution I gave you. George Bell, William Green, and many others, then full of love, were favoured with extraordinary revelations and manifestations from God. But by this very thing, Satan beguiled them from the simplicity that is in Christ. By insensible degrees, they were led to value these extraordinary grifts, more than the ordinary grace of God; and I could not convince them, that a grain of humble love, was better than all these gifts put together. This, my dear friend, was what made me fear for you. This makes me remind you again and again. Faith and hope are glorious gifts, and so is every ray of eternity let into the soul. But still these are but means. The end of all, and the greatest of all is, love. May the Lord just now pour it into your heart, as he never has done before

By all means spend an hour every other day in the labour of love, even though you cannot help them as you would. Commending you to him who is able to make you perfect in every good word and

work, I am, your's, affectionately,

LETTER V.

YOUR late conversation was exceeding pleasant to me. I had sometimes been almost inclined to think, that your affection was lessened; but I now believe it is not! I trust your love is not grown cold. This gave me much satisfaction, though I could not but be concerned at seeing you so encumbered with worldly business. Surely it will not be so always! But God's time is best! Two or three of those little things I have sent you.

With peaceful mind thy race of duty run: God nothing does or suffers to be dine, But what thou wouldst thyself, it thou couldst see Through all events of things as well as he,

Let thy repent ince be without delay If thou defer it to another day,
Thou must repen' for a day more of sin,
While a day less semans to do it in.

Nor steel, nor flint, alone produces fire, Nor spatk arises till they both conspire, Nor faith alone, nor works without, is right, Salvation rises when they both unite. If gold be offer'd thee, thou dost not say "" l'o-morrow I will take it, not to-day "
Salvation offer'd, why art thou so cool,
To let thy self become, to-morrow's fool?

Prayer and thanksgiving is the vital breath, That keeps the spirit of a man from death, For prayer attracts into the living soul, The life that fills the universal whole. And giving thanks is breathing forth again. The praise of him who is the life of men

Two different painters, artists in their way, llave drawn religion in her full display. To both she sat one gaz'd at her all o'er, The other fix'd upon her features more Herity has figured her with every grace, That dress could gue—but Law has hit her face

The specious sermons of a learned man Are little else than flashes in the pan. The more hardgoing upon what they call Morality, is powder without a ball. But he who preaches with a Christian grace, Fires at your vices, and the shot takes place.

Faith, Hope, and Love, were question'd what they thought Of future glory, which religion taught.

Now Faith believed it firmly to be true,

And Hope expected so to find it too

Love answered, smiling with a conscious glow,

Believe? expect? I know it to be so.

Go on, in this humble, gentle love; that you may abound therein more and more, ann at nothing higher than this. And may the God of love still possess you whole, and guide your every thought, and word, and work. Continue to pray for

Your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY

LETTER VI.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Aug. 8, 1773

IT gives me much pleasure to observe, that you do not lose your simplicity. You seem not only to retain simplicity of spirit, (the great thing,) but likewise of sentiment and language. God has indeed dealt very graciously with you from the beginning hitherto. He has led you tenderly by the hand, from grace to grace, and from faith to faith. And you may well say,

"The mercy I feel, To others I show I set to my seal, That Jesus is true "

Go on in his name, and earnestly exhort all that know him, to press forward to the mark. Encourage them to aspire after full salvation, salvation into the whole image of God! Beware you do not decline in your zeal for this let no prudence hinder you. Let prudence "guide, not cool, its fires"

"Still let it for his glory burn,
With unextinguishable blaze
And tiembling to its source return,
In flames of love, and joy, and praise."

Bristol, Sept. 27, 1777.

But I had forgotten that I am in haste. I hope Mr. S. will be a blessing to many. He is alive to God. This day I set out for Bristol, and thence to Cornwall: but I hope to be at Bristol again on the 28th instant. Life is short! We have need to improve every moment!

Adieu!

J. Wesley.

LETTER VII.

UNDOUBTEDLY Satan, who well understands the manner how the mind is influenced by the body, can, by means of those parts in the animal machine, which are more immediately subservient to thinking, raise a thousand perceptions and emotions in the mind, so far as God is pleased to permit. I doubt not but he was the chief agent in your late painful exercises. And you gave him advantage by reasoning with him, that is, fighting him with his own

mind, so far as God is pleased to permit. I doubt not but he was the chief agent in your late painful exercises. And you gave him advantage by reasoning with him, that is, fighting him with his own weapons: instead of simply looking up, and saying, "Thou shalt answer for me, O Lord, my God." You undoubtedly want more thankfulness: and you want more simplicity; that grace, Cambray says, "which cuts the soul off from all unnecessary reflections upon itself." You are encompassed with ten thousand mercies, and the greatest of all is,

"Christ in a pure and spotiess heart !"

Beware of ever admitting any doubt or reasoning concerning this! Whereunto you have attained, hold fast! And use all the grace you have received. Warn every one, and exhort every one, and especially those who groun after full salvation.

I cannot, on any account, pass a whole day without commending

you to God in prayer.

MY DEAR SISTER,

I thank you for writing to me so soon. Continue to love and pray for, Your's, most affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Jan. 2, 1781.

IT is a great step toward Christian resignation, to be thoroughly convinced of that great truth, That there is no such thing as chance in the world, That fortune is only another name for Providence: only it is covered Providence. An event, the cause of which does not appear, we commonly say, "comes by chance." O no: it is guided by an unerring hand: it is the result of infinite wisdom and goodness. Such are all the afflictive circumstances that have followed you in a constant succession, almost from your childhood. He that made the Captain of your salvation perfect through sufferings, has called you to walk in the same path, and for the same end; namely, that you may "learn obedience (more full, inward obedience, a more perfect conformity to his death,) by the things that you suffer." A little while, and "He will wipe all tears from your eyes: and there shall be no more sorrow or crying: neither shall

there be any more pain!" But you shall hear the great voice out of heaven, saying "The tabernacle of God is with men! And God himself shall be with them, and be their God!" Still love and pray for, Your ever affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER IX.

MY DEAR SISTER, Bristol, Aug. 31, 1784 MANY years ago, Mr. Hall (then strong in faith) believed God called him to marry my youngest sister. He told her so. She fully believed him, and none could convince one or the other to the I talked with her about it: but she had "so often made it matter of prayer, that she could not be deceived." In a week he dropped her, courted her elder sister, and as soon as was convenient, married her The disappointed one then found exactly the same temptations that you do now. But neither did she keep the Denl's She told me all that was in her heart. And the consequence was, that by the grace of God, she gained a complete vic-So will you And you will be the better enabled, by your own experience, to guard all, especially young persons, from laying stress upon any thing but the written word of God. Guard them against reasoning in that dangerous manner, "If I was deceived in this, then I was deceived in thinking myself justified." Not at all: although nature or Satan, in the latter case, admirably well mimicked the works of God. By mighty prayer tepel all those suggestions, and after wards your faith will be so much the more strengthened and you will be more than conqueror through him that loveth Whenever you find yourself pressed above measure, you must make another little excursion. While you help others, God will help you. This may be one end of this uncommon dispensation You must not bury your talent in the earth Wishing you more and more of that

"Lovely, lasting peace of mind,"
I am, your's, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER X.

Wednesbury, March 28, 1785
VOU are in danger of falling into both extremes: of "making light of, as well as fainting under his chastening. This you do whenever you look at any circumstance, without seeing the hand of God in it. without seeing at the same instant, this unkindness, this reproach, this returning evil for good; as well as this faintness, this weariness, this pain, is the cup which my Father hath given me."
And shall I not drink it? Why does he give it me? Only for my profit, that I "may be a partaker of his holiness."

I have often found an aptness both in myself and others, to connect events that have no real relation to each other. So one says, "I am sure this is the will of God, as that I am justified." Another

says, "God as surely spake this to my heart as ever he spoke to me at all." This is an exceedingly dangerous way of thinking or speaking. We know not what it may lead us to It may sap the very foundation of our religion. It may insensibly draw us into Deism or Atheism. My dear Nancy, my sister, my friend, beware of this! The grace of God is sufficient for you! And whatever clouds may interpose between, his banner over you is love. Look to yourself that you lose not the things that you have gained; but that you may receive a full reward. Adieu! J. Wesley.

LETTER XI.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Jan. 9, 1789.

"SIR, you are troubled," said Mr. Law to me, "because you do not understand how God is dealing with you. Perhaps if you did, it would not so well answer his design. He is teaching you to trust him faither than you can see him." He is now teaching you the same lesson. Hitherto you cannot understand his ways. But they are all mercy and truth. And though you do not know now what he does, you shall know hereafter.

I am acquainted with several persons whom I beheved to be saved from sin. But there is great variety in the manner wherein God is pleased to lead them. Some of them are called to act much for God some to rejoice much: some to suffer much. All of these shall receive their crown. But when the Son of Man shall come in his glory, the brightest crown will be given to the sufferers. Look up, thou blessed one! The time is at hand!

I am, ever yours,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Leeds, August 1, 1789.

I THANK you for sending me so particular an account of your sister's death. "Right precious in the sight of the Lord, is the death of his saints!" It is well you have learned to say, "The Lord gave, and the Lord hath taken away, blessed be the name of the Lord!" And you can say it even

"When loss of friends ordain'd to know, Next pain and guilt, the sorest ill below."

But why does our Lord inflict this upon us? Not merely for his pleasure, but that we may be partakers of his holiness. It is true, one grand means of grace is the doing the will of our Lord But the suffering it is usually a quicker means, and sinks us deeper into the abyss of love. It hath pleased God to lead you in the way of suffering, from your youth up until now. For the present this is not joyous, but grievous; nevertheless, it has yielded peaceable fruit. Your soul is still as a watered garden, as a field which the Lord hath blessed. Cleave to him still with full purpose of heart. To his tender care I commend you. And am,

Yours, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, High Wycombe, Nov 4, 1790. THE more I consider your case, the more I am convinced that you are in the school of God, and that the Lord leveth whom he chasteneth. From the time you omitted inceting your class or band, you greeved the Holy Spirit of God, and he gave a commission to Satan to buffet you. nor will that commission ever be revoked, till you began to meet agam. Why were you not a mother in Israel—a repaner of the waste places—a guide to the blind—a healer of the sick-a lifter up of the hands which hung down? Wherever you came, God was with you, and shone upon your path. Many daughters had done virtuously but thou excelledst them all. Woman, remember the faith! In the name of God, set out again, and do the first works! I exhort you, for my sake, (who tenderly love you,) for God's sake, for the sake of your own soul, begin again without delay. The day after you receive this, go and meet a class or a band. Sick or well, go ' If you cannot speak a word, go, and God will go with you. You sink under the sin of onnssion My friend, my sister, go 'Go, whether you can or not. Break through! Take up your cross. I say again, do the first works, and God will restore your first love! And you will be a comfort, not a grief to, Yours, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

[This letter produced the desired effect. Miss B. had sunk under a deep nervous affliction, but the day after receiving this letter, her brother collected a few of the people together, she met them, and from that time continued to recover, till she was restored to her former health and usefulness.]

LETTER XIV.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Dec. 15, 1792.

THERE can be no possible reason to doubt concerning the happiness of that child. He did fear God, and, according to his circumstances, work righteousness. This is the essence of icligion, according to St. Peter. His soul, therefore, was "darkly safe with God," although he was only under the Jewish Dispensation.

When the Son of Man shall come in his glory, and assign every man his own reward, that reward will undoubtedly be proportioned, First, to our inward holiness, our likeness to God Secondly, to our works: and thirdly, to our sufferings. Therefore, whatever you suffer in time, you will be an unspeakable gamer in eternity. Many of your sufferings, perhaps the greatest part, are now past. But your joy is to come! Look up, my dear friend, look up! and see your crown before you! A little longer, and you shall drink of the rivers of pleasure that flow at God's right hand for evermore

Adieu. J WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MISS RITCHIE.

LETTER L

May 8, 1774. MY DEAR BETSY, IT is not common for me to write to any one first: I only answer those that write to me. But I willingly make an exception with regard to you; for it is not an uncommon concern that I feel for you. You are just rising into life: and I would fain have you not almost, but altogether a Christian. I would have you just such a one as Miranda: and you cannot be content with less. You cannot be satisfied with right notions; neither with harmlessness: no, nor yet with barely external religion, how exact soever it be. Nay, you will not be content with a taste of inward religion. This it has pleased God to give you already. You know in whom you have believed; you have tasted of the powers of the world to come. But

> "A taste of love cannot suffice: Your soul for all his futness cries."

Cry on, and never cease! Mind not those who rebuke you, "that you shold hold your peace." Cry so much the more, "Jesus of Nazareth, take away all my sins! Leave none remaining! Speak the word only, and I shall be healed!" Write freely to,

Yours, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER II.

June 3, 1774. MY DEAR BETSY, I SHALL much want to hear that you stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ has made you free. It is absolutely certain, that you never need lose any thing of what God has wrought. He is able, and he is willing, to give you always, what he has once given. He will do it, provided you watch unto prayer, and stir up the gift of God which is in you. There is one invariable rule, which God observes in all his dealings with the children of men. "Unto him that hath"-uses what he hath-" shall be given, and he shall have more abundantly." When we are justified, he gives us one talent: to those that use this, he gives more. When we are sanctified, he gives, as it were, five talents. And if you use the whole power which is then given, he will not only continue that power, but increase it day by day. Meantime be not ignorant of Satan's devices. He will as. sault you on every side. He will cast temptations upon you,

" Thick as autumnal leaves that strew the ground." But with every temptation, there shall be a way to escape. And you shall be more than conqueror through him that loves you. You can do, you can suffer his whole will. Go on, in his name, and in the power of his might, and fulfil the joy of J. WESLEY.

Your's, affectionately,

LETTER III.

MY DEAR BETSY, June 23, 1774. IT gives me pleasure to find, that you still stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ has made you free: and that in spite of various temptations. And these indeed you are still to expect. For Satan neither slumbers nor sleeps: and he will strive to torment, if he cannot destroy. Nay, God himself, as one observes, "prepareth for thee occasions of fighting, that thou mayest conquer." So that you are still called to fight the good fight of faith, and thus to lay . hold on eternal life. One admirable help toward conquering all is, for believers to keep close together; to walk hand in hand, and provoke one another to love and to good works. And one means of retaining the pure love of God, is the exhorting others to press earnestly after it. When you meet on a Sunday morning, I doubt not but this will be the chief matter both of your prayers and conversation. You may then expect to be more and more abundantly endued with power from on high: witnessing that he is faithful and just, both to forgive us our sins, and also to cleanse us from all unrighteousness. J. WESLEY. I remain, Your's affectionately,

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR BETSY, July 31, 1774.

IT gives me much pleasure to find, that you stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made you free. Trials you will have; but they will only be means of uniting you to him more closely. While your eye is singly fixed on him, your whole body will be full of light. You will be enabled

"To trace his example,
The world to disdain,
And constantly trample
On pleasure and pain."

While you are doing this, you will not find many doubts, of the way wherein you should go. The unction of the Holy one will shine in your heart, and shine upon your path: especially if you frequently consider the "Directions for preserving Fervency of Spirit," and the "Farther Thoughts upon Christian Perfection." If you should at any time be in doubt, concerning any point, either of doctrine or practice, use me as a friend: and speak freely to,

Your's, affectionately, J. Wesler.

LETTER V.

MY DEAR BETSY, September 1, 1774.

IT is an admirable providence which keeps you thus weak in body, till your soul has received more strength. It is good that you should feel how very helpless you are, that you may hang upon him continually. Are you always sensible of his presence? In what sense do you pray without ceasing? Can you in every thing give thanks? And have you a witness in yourself, that all you say and do is well-pleasing to him?

Could you but use constant exercise in the open air, I think you would need no other medicine—But it is certain, be your body well or ill, all is best, as long as your soul is stayed on him. And why should not this be, without any intermission, till your spirit returns to God. Nay, with a continual increase! For this is your calling, to sink deeper and deeper into him—out of his fulness to receive more and more, till you know all that love of God that passeth knowledge.

I hope you do not pass any day without spending some time in private exercises. What do you read at those seasons? Do you read, as it were, by chance, or have you a method in reading? I want you to make the best use that is possible, of every means of improvement. Now is the time! Now you have the fervour of youth on your side. Now animal nature is in its perfection. Now your faculties are in their vigour. And happy are you, who have been enabled to begin your race betimes. I hope you are just now minding this one thing, looking unto Jesus, and pressing on to the mark to the prize of our high calling! O run, and never tire! So shall your love and zeal always be a comfort to,

Yours, affectionately, John Wesley.

LETTER VI.

MY DEAR BETSY, November 29, 1774.

IT gives me pleasure to hear that you have recovered your health. If you find any fresh illness, you should let me know, we must not neglect the body, although the main thing is a healthful mind. There are many excellent things in Madam Guion's works, and there are many that are exceedingly dangerous. The more so, because the good things make way for the mischievous ones. And it is not easy, unless for those of much experience, to distinguish the one from the other. Perhaps, therefore, it might be safest for you, chiefly to confine yourself to what we have published. You will then neither be perplexed with various sentiments, nor with various language: and you will find enough on every head of religion, speculative or practical.

I know not whether any method of reading would be more profitable, than to read a chapter of the Old Testament, with the notes, every morning. And every evening a chapter, or at least a section, in the New Testament. At other times of the day, I advise you to read our works regularly from the beginning. marking any tract, or part of a tract, which you find most useful, that you may make it matter of meditation. Some of the most useful to believers are, Mr. Law's Tracts, the Lives of Mr. Bramerd, De Renty, and Thomas Walsh, the Tracts translated from the French, and those upon Christian Perfection.

I am glad you have been with our dear sister C. Converse as much as you can with those of her spirit, they are the excellent ones of the earth. You must not give place, no, not for a day, to mactivity Nothing is more apt to grow upon the soul the less you speak or act for God, the less you may. If elder persons do not

speak, you are called, like Elihu, to supply this lack of service. Whether you are young or old, is not material, speak, and spare not! Redeem the time. be fervent in spirit: buy up every opportunity. And be always a comfort to,

Your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VII.

MY DEAR BETSY,

January 17, 1775.

BEG. if you love me, you will send me a minute account by

I BEG, if you love me, you will send me a minute account how you are, both in body and mind. Some of the mystic writers do not choose to speak plainly, some of them know not how. But, blessed be God, we do: and we know, there is nothing deeper, there is nothing better, in heaven or earth, than love I. There cannot be, unless there were something higher than the God of love! So that we see distinctly what we have to aim at. We see the prize, and the way to it! Here is the height, here is the depth of Christian experience! "God is love: and he that dwelleth in love, dwelleth in God, and God in him."

Mr. Fletcher has given us a wonderful view of the different dispensations which we are under. I believe that difficult subject was never placed in so clear a light before. It seems God has raised him up for this very thing,

"To vindicate eternal Providence,
And justify the ways of God to man?

By confining yourself to those who write clearly, your understanding will be opened and strengthened, far more than by reading a multiplicity of authors at the same time your heart will be enlarged, and, I trust, more and more united to,

Your's affectionately,

J. Wesley.

LETTER VIII.

MY DEAR BETSY, March 23, 1775

I AM glad you have had an opportunity of spending a little time at L. and with Miss B. This, I doubt not, has been a blessed means of increasing your spiritual strength. And I trust you will find more and more opportunity of using whatever strength you have, even at O. Wherever the work of God revives, we are more particularly called to work together with him. Now be instant in season, and out of season! Redeem the time! Buy up every opportunity. In the morning sow thy seed, and in the evening slack not thy hand and God will give the increase!

In a day or two I expect to embark. Possibly in autumn we may meet again; and, in the mean time, I am persuaded you will not forget,

Your's affectionately,

J WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

MY DEAR BETSY, November, 29, 1775. "TEMPTATIONS," says one, "and distinct deliverances from temptations, avail much." I do not doubt but you have found it so,

J. WESLEY.

J. WESLEY.

with regard to your late trials; although there are none which it is harder to withstand, at your time of life. I am glad you were enabled to withstand that plausible temptation, which few young women have power to resist: particularly when you had to encounter the persuasions of those you esteemed and loved.

Mr. C. I think, will do some good: and I am persuaded he will do no hurt. I am glad Mr. T. has given you a little more employment, and a glorious employment it is! Tobe a "fellow-worker with God!" O may you be found faithful! Be zealous for God! Be diligent! Be patient! And never forget, Your's affectionately,

LETTER X.

July 15, 1776.

I SUPPOSE you wait for my writing first. Nay, I hope this is the case; otherwise I should be afraid, that you were fallen ill again. How is your health? and how is your mind? Do you find as near and as constant a communion with God as ever? Are you always happy? Does no circumstance interrupt or deaden your spirit of prayer? Do you feel nothing contrary to resignation? Can you say with your whole heart,

"Determin'd all thy will to obey, Thy blessings I restore: Give, Lord, or take thy gifts away, I praise thee evermore!"

The word of the Lord to you is, "Feed my lambs." Methinks I see you giving yourself up as far as possibly you can, to that blessed work, carrying the weak, as it were, in your bosom, and gently leading the rest to the waters of comfort. Meantime your own soul will enjoy a well of water, springing up into everlasting life. If you find any perplexing temptation in your way, you should not scruple to let me know. Youth is the season for many of the most dangerous temptations incident to human nature. But indeed you are preserved from many of these, by your settled determination to slight all dreams of creature-happiness, and give your heart to him who alone is worthy. And believe me to remain, Your's, affectionately,

LETTER XL

MY DEAR BETSY, Aug. 12, 1776.

TO talk of "thinking without ideas," is stark nonsense. Whatever is presented to your mind is an idea: so that to be without ideas, is not to think at all. Seeing, feeling, joy, grief, pleasure, pain, are ideas. Therefore, to be without ideas, is to be without either sense or reason. Mr.—certainly does not understand the word: he mistakes it for images.

O desire nothing different in nature from love. There is nothing higher in earth or heaven. Whatever he speaks of, which seems to be higher, is either natural or preternatural enthusiasm. Desire none of those extraordinaries. Such a desire might be an inlet to a thousand delusions: I wish your desires may all centre in that,

Vor. 10.—1 i

" I want the witness, Lord, That all I do is right ! According to thy will and word,
Well pleasing in thy sight!
I ask no higher state,
Indulge me but in this!
And soon, or later, then translate
To my eternal bliss!

You say, "Satan had laid a snare for you" What snare was that? I am concerned in whatever concerns you. O continue to remember, in all your prayers, Your's, most affectionately, J WESLEY.

LETTER XII.

Sept 20, 1776.

MY DEAR BETSY, SOME time since, you certainly were in danger of exchanging the plain religion of the Bible, for the refined one of Mysticism, a danger which few can judge of but those that feel it. This my brother and I did for several years This scheme, (especially as Madam Guion has polished and improved it) gives a delicate satisfaction to whatever of curiosity and self esteem lies hid in the heart. It was particularly liable to make an impression upon you, as it came recommended by one you had a friendship for, whom you knew to be upright and sincere, and who had both sense and a pleasing ad-At the same time that subtle enemy, "who beguiled Eve by his subtlety," would not fail to enforce the temptation. The more reason you have to bless God, that you are delivered out of the snare of the fowler.

"He that followeth me," says our Lord, "walketh not in darkness." Nothing can be more certain. Closely follow him, and you will never come into any darkness of soul. On the contrary, your light shall shine more and more unto the perfect day. Nothing but sin can bring you into confusion. and this, I trust, God has bruised under your feet. Surely, then, you have no need of ever losing the least part of what God has given you But you may "stand fast in glorious liberty," till your spirit returns to God.

I remain, your's affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER XIII June 16, 1777. MY DEAR BETSY,

I WRITE a few lines, on condition that you will not write, if it does you hurt; it certainly will, if you lean upon your breast, or if you write much at a time. But perhaps (of which you yourself must be the judge) you might write a few lines now and then. Do you still find your will wholly given up ? Have you no choice as to life or death? And have you no choice as to the manner of your death? Are you not afraid of the pain of dissolution? Can you freely part with all your friends here?

" And to an unknown somewhere wing away !"

Do you never lose your consciousness of the presence of the Three-One God? And is your testimony of his Spirit, that you are said from inward sin, never obscured? Are you always happy? Do you always enjoy a hope full of immortality? I ask many questions, that you may have an opportunity of being a witness for God, whether you live or die. I think in life or death, you will not forget, Your's, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER XIV.

August 2, 1777. MY DEAR BETSY, IT is with great pleasure I learn, that God has been pleased to lift you up from the gates of death, and that your strength is considerably increased, although you are far from being out of danger. When, and in what manner, was this change wrought? Can you impute it to any outward circumstance? How did you feel your mind affected, when you found a return of strength? Did you rejoice or grieve? Or calmly desire, "Let the will of the Lord be done?" In what respects are you better than when I saw you? In what respects are you the same, or worse? Give me as particular an account as you can. Do you find your soul as much alive to God as ever? Does not the corruptible body press down the soul? Do you feel faith's abiding impression, realizing things to come? Do you live in eternity, and walk in eternity? And do you still (as Mr. Do Renty says) "Carry about with you an experimental verity, and a fulness of the presence of the ever-blessed Trinity ?"

I remain, your's, affectionately, J. Wesler.

LETTER XV.

August 24, 1777. EVER since I was informed, that it had pleased God in some measure to restore your strength, I have lived in hope that he will yet be entreated, and will give you back to our prayers. Do you still find the same consciousness of the presence of the ever-blessed Trinity? Do you find it day and night? In the midst of trials, does it remain the same? But one would be ready to ask, excepting a weak body, what trials can you have?

"Secluded from the world, and all its care, Hast thou to joy or greeve, to hope or fear?"

Unless it be for this; you long to please all for their good: but you cannot succeed. You would fain give them satisfaction; but they will not be satisfied. This may be a close trial.

Send as particular an account as you can, of the state both of your body and mind, to

Your's affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XVI.

SINCE I saw her, I have had the pleasure of receiving two letters from ——, and I am more and more convinced, that she has sustained no real loss from her late trials. Indeed the greatness of them proved the greatness of her grace; otherwise, she must have

utterly fainted. But I am afraid the poor tenement of clay has received such a shock as will not easily be repaired. The wonderful behaviour of Mrs. —— was more than it was well able to bear. But the comfort is, He with whom we have to do, is the Physician.

I doubt, whether any embodied spirit can feel such entire self-abasement, as is felt by those spirits that see the face of our Father which is in heaven. And, undoubtedly, the nearer they approach

the throne, the more abased they will be.

The plcrophery (or full assurance) of faith, is such a divine testimony, that we are reconciled to God, as excludes all doubt and fear concerning it. This refers only to what is present. The plerophery (or full assurance) of hope, is a divine testimony, that we shall endure to the end; or more directly, that we shall enjoy God in glory. This is by no means essential to, or inseparable from, perfect love. It is sometimes given to those that are not perfected in love, as it was to Mr. Grimshaw. And it is not given, (at least not for some time,) to many that are perfected in love. I do not say, you ought to pray for it, but I think you may; only with absolute resignation. In this as in all things,

"His manner and his time are best."

I rejoice to hear of the continuance of your health. But you will still need constant exercise; to which should be added, as often as may be, change of air. That you may enjoy more and more health, both of soul and body, is the prayer of,

Your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XVII.

MY DEAR BETSY, February 12, 1779:
THE remark of Luther, "That a revival of religion seldom

THE remark of Luther, "That a revival of religion seldom continues above thirty years," has been verified many times in several countries. But it will not always hold. The present revival of religion in England, has already continued fifty years. And, blessed be God, it is at least as likely to continue, as it was twenty or thirty years ago. Indeed it is far more likely; as it not only spreads wider, but sinks deeper, than ever. More and more persons being able to testify, that the blood of Christ cleanses from all sin. We have therefore reason to hope, that this revival of religion will continue, and continually increase, till the time when all Israel shall be saved, and the fulness of the Gentiles shall come.

I have heard that Mr. —— is in London, but have not heard where he is, or what he does. As far as I can learn, he lives in the utmost privacy, and does not preach at all. He seems to think that

his present calling is to be a hermit in London.

Surely it is your wisdom to stand fast even in the outward liberty wherewith Christ has made you free. You are now happily disengaged from earing for the things of this world, and need only care for the things of the Lord: how you may be holy in body and spirit, and how you may promote his kingdom upon earth.

I have abundant proof that Baron Swedenburg's fever, which he

had thirty years before he died, much affected his understanding. Yet his tract is "majestic, though in ruins." He has strong and beautiful thoughts, and may be read with profit by a serious and cautious reader.

Some weeks since I began another Journal, and am going on with it, when I have any scraps of time; probably it will be finished next month. I expect to visit Yorkshire this spring, when I hope to see you.

I am, your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XVIII.

MY DEAR BETSY, London, Jan. 19, 1782.

IT seemed a little strange to me, my dear Betsy, that I did not hear from you for so long a time. But I imputed your silence to your bodily weakness, of which several of our friends sent me word.

From our brethren in various parts of England and Ireland, I have very pleasing accounts of the uncommon blessings, which many received at the time of renewing their covenant with God. I am glad to hear, that you at Otley had your share. That point, entire salvation from inbred sin, can hardly ever be insisted upon, either in preaching or prayer, without a particular blessing. Honest Isaac Brown firmly believes this doctrine, that we are to be saved from all sin in this life. But I wish, when opportunity serves, you would encourage him, 1. To preach Christian Perfection, constantly, strongly, and explicitly. 2. Explicitly to assert and prove, that it may be received now. And, 3. (which indeed is implied therein,) that it is to be received by simple faith.

In every state of mind, in that of conviction, or justification, or sanctification, I believe every person may either go sensibly backward, or seem to stand still, or go forward. I incline to think, all the persons you mention were fully sanctified. But some of them, watching unto prayer, went on from faith to faith; while the others, being less watchful, seemed to stand still, but were indeed imperceptibly backsliding. Wishing you all may increase with all the increase of God,

I am, ever your's,

J. Wesley.

LETTER XIX.

MY DEAR BETSY, Bristol, July 20, 1783.

IT seemed a long time since I heard from you, but I believe your not writing, was owing to your not knowing how to direct to me while I was abroad. The prayers of many were productive of many blessings, and in particular of the amazing friendship and good will which were shown us in every place. We always looked upon the Dutch as a heavy, dull, stoical people. But truly most, nay, I may say all, with whom we conversed familiarly, were as tender hearted, and as earnestly affectionate as the Irish themselves. Two of our sisters, when we left the Hague, came twelve miles

with us on our way. And one of our brethren of Amsterdam, came to take leave of us to Utrecht, above thirty miles. There are indeed many precious souls in Utrecht full of faith and love, as also at Haerlem, the Hague, and Amsterdam. And one and all (without any human teaching) dress as plainly as you do. I believe, if my life be prolonged, I shall pay them a visit at least every other year. Had I had a little more time, I would have visited our brethren in Friesland and Westphalia likewise, for a glorious work of God is lately broken out in both these provinces.

Miss L—— is an Israelite indeed she is a pattern to all that are round about her. One would scarcely have expected to see the daughter of the head burgomaster, dressed on a Sunday in a plain linen gown. She appears to have but one desire, That Christ may

reign alone in her heart

I do not remember any storm which travelled so far as that on the tenth. It has been in almost all parts of England, but especially at Witney, near Oxford. The next night they had a far greater, which seemed to cover the whole town for four hours, with almost one uninterrupted blaze, and it has made such an impression on high and low, rich and poor, as had not been known in the memory of man.

I expect a good deal of difficulty at this Conference, and shall stand in need of the prayers of you and your friends. Peace be with your spirits.

1 am, your's, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XX.

UY DEAR BETSY, Traceen, Pembrokeshire, Aug. 19, 1784.

I WAS a little surprised at a letter from sister D—, in which she seems to approve of all that Mrs C has done, and speaks as if it were just and right, and done in obedience to the order of Providence! I could not help saying, "There is but one advice which I can give her upon the present occasion. Remember from whence

thou art fallen Repent, and do thy first works."

I have been often musing upon this, Why the generality of Christians, even those that really are such, are less zealous and less active for God, when they are middle aged, than they were when they were young? May we not draw an answer to this question, from that declaration of our Lord, (no less than eight times repeated by the evangelists,) To him that hath, uses what he hath, shall be given;

but from him that hath not, shall be taken away that he hath. A measure of zeal and activity is given to every one, when he finds peace with God. If he earnestly and diligently uses this talent, it will surely be increased. But if he ceases (yea, or intermits) to do good, he insensibly loses both the will and the power. So there is no possible way to retain those talents, but to use them to the uttermost. Let this never be the case of my dear friend! Never abate any thing of your diligence in doing good. Sometimes indeed the feeble body sinks under you: but when you do all you can, you do enough. Remember, in all your prayers,

Your's, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXI.

MY DEAR BETSY, Dublin, June 26, 1785.

OUR Lord has indeed poured out abundance of blessings, almost in every part of this kingdom. I have now gone through every province, and visited all the chief societies, and I have found far the greater part of them increasing both in number and strength. Many are convinced of sin: many justified; and not a few perfected in love. One means of which is, that several of our young preachers, of whom we made little account, appear to be (contrary to all expectation) men full of faith, and of the Holy Ghost: and they are pushing out, to the right hand and the left: and wherever they go, God prospers their lahour. I know not whether Thomas Walsh will not revive, in two, if not three of them.

Many years ago I was saying, "I cannot imagine, how Mr. Whitefield can keep his soul alive, as he is not now going through honour and dishonour, evil report and good report: having nothing but honour and good report attending him wherever he goes." It is now my own case: I am just in the condition now that he was then in. I am become, I know not how, an honourable man. The scandal of the cross is ceased: and all the kingdom, rich and poor, Papists and Protestants, behave with courtesy, nay, and seeming good will! It seems as if I had well nigh finished my course, and

our Lord was giving me an honourable discharge.

My dear B. have you not something to do in Dublin? If so, the sooner you visit our friends the better. Peace be with your spirit!

Adieu!

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXII.

MY DEAR BETSY, London, Feb. 24, 1786.

I'T is doubtless the will of our Lord, we should be guided by our reason, so far as it can go. But in many cases, it gives us very little light, and in others, none at all. In all cases, it cannot guide us right, but in subordination to the unction of the Holy One. So that in all our ways, we are to acknowledge him, and he will direct our paths.

I do not remember to have heard or read any thing like my own

experience. Almost ever since I can remember, I have been led in a peculiar way. I go on in an even line, being very little raised at one time, or depressed at another. Count Zinzendorf observes there are three different ways wherein it pleases God to lead his people. Some are guided almost in every instance by apposite texts of Scripture. Others see a clear and plain reason, for every thing they are to do. And yet others are led not so much by Scripture or reason, as by particular impressions. I am very rarely led by impressions, but generally by reason and by Scripture. I see abundantly more than I feel. I want to feel more love and zeal for God.

My very dear friend, adieu!

J. Wesley.

LETTERS TO MISS H. A. ROE.

LETTER I.

Whitehaven, May 3, 1776. WITH pleasure I sit down to write to my dear Miss Roc, who has been much upon my mind since I left Macelesfield. Once I saw my dear friend, Miss Beresford; when I came again, she was in Abraham's bosom. Once I have seen her living picture, drawn by the same hand, and breathing the same spirit, and I am afraid I shall hardly see you again, till we meet in the garden of God. But if you should gradually decay, if you be sensible of the hour appropriate the same should gradually decay, if you be sensible of the hour approximation.

proaching, when your spirit is to return to God; I should be glad to have notice of it, wherever I am, that if possible I might see you once more before you

Glap your glad wing and soar away.

And mingle with the blaze of day."

Perhaps in such a circumstance, I might be of some little comfort to your dear mamma, who would stand in much need of comfort: and it may be, our blessed Master would enable me to teach you at once, and learn of you, to die! In the meantime, see that you neglect no probable means of restoring your health, and send me, from time to time, a particular account of the state wherein you are. Do you feel your own will quite given up to God, so that you have no repugnance to his will in any thing? Do you find no strivings of pride? no remains of vanity? no desire of praise, or fear of dispraise? Do you enjoy an uninterrupted sense of the loving presence of God? How far does the corruptible and decaying body press down the soul? Your disorder naturally sinks the spirits, and occasions heaviness and dejection. Can you, notwithstanding this, rejoice evermore, and in every thing give thanks? Certainly before the root of sin is taken away, believers may live above the power of it. Yet what a difference between the first love, and the pure love. You can explain this to Mr. Roe by your own experience. Let him follow on, and how soon may be attain it!

I am glad you wrote to Miss Yates, and hope you will write to Miss —. As to health, they are both nearly as you are; only Miss —— is a little strengthened by a late journey. I never conversed with her so much before. I can give you her character in one line. She is, "All praise, all meekness, and all love" If it will not hurt you, I desire you will write often to, Your's affectionately, J. Wesley

LETTER II.

MY DEAR HETTY, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, June 2, 1776.

IT is not uncommon for a person to be thoroughly convenced of his duty to call sinners to repentance, several years before he has an opportunity of doing it. This has been the case with several of our preachers. Probably it may be the case with Mr. Roe, God may show him now what he is to do hereafter. It seems, his present duty is to wait the openings of Divine Providence.

If I durst, I should earnestly desire that you might continue with us a little longer. I could almost say it is hard that I should just see you once and no more. But it is a comfort, that to die is not to be

lost. Our union will be more full and perfect hereafter

Surely our disembodied souls shall join,
Surely my friendly shade shall mix with thino,
To earth-born pain superior, light shall rise
Through the wide vaves of unopposing shies,
Together swift ascend heav'n's high abode,
Converse with angels, and rejoice with God.

Tell me, my dear Hetty, do you experience something similar to what Mr. De Renty expresses in those strong words: "I bear about with me an experimental verity and a plenitude of the presence of the ever blessed Trinity?" Do you commune with God in the night season? Does he bid you even in sleep, Go on? and does he "make your very dreams devout?"

That he may fill you with all his fulness, is the constant wish of, My dear Hetty, your's, affectionately, J. Wesley,

LETTER III.

MY DEAR HETTY, Bustol, Sept. 16, 1776.

AS I did not receive your's, of Aug. 28, before my return from Cornwall, I was beginning to grow a little apprehensive lest your love was declining: but you have sweetly dispelled all my apprehensions of that sort, and I take knowledge that you are still the same. The happy change wrought in Miss P R and Miss B. may encourage you to snatch every opportunity of speaking a word for a good Master. Sometimes you see present fruit, but if not, your labour is not lost, the seed may spring up after many days. I hope, though your cousins are tried, they will not be discouraged; then all these things will work together for good. Probably if they stand firm, religion will in a while leaven the whole family. But they will

have need of much patience, as well as much resolution. I am not sorry that you have met with a little blame in the affair, and I hope it was not undeserved. Happy are they that suffer for well-doing! I was almost afraid that all men would speak well of you Do you feel no intermission of your happiness in God? Do you never find any lowness of spirits? Does time never hang heavy upon your hands? How is you health? You see how inquisitive I am, because every thing relating to you nearly concerns me I once thought I could not be well acquainted with any one till many years had clapsed, and yet I am as well acquainted with you as if I had known you from your infancy. You now are my comfort and joy! And I hope to be far longer than this little span of lite,

My dear Hetty, your's, in tender affection, J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR HETTY, Bristol, Oct. 6, 1776.
TO-MORROW I set out for London, in and near which, if it

please God to continue my life, I shall remain till spring. The trials which a gracious Providence sends, may be precious means of growing in grace, and particularly of increasing in faith, patience, and resignation and are they not all chosen for us by infinite wisdom and goodness? So that we may well subscribe to those beautiful lines,

With patient mind thy course of duty run God nothing does, nor suffers to be done, But thou wouldst do thyself, if thou couldst see The end of all events as well as he?

Every thing that we can do for a parent, we ought to do, that is, every thing we can do without killing ourselves. But this we have no right to do. Our lives are not at our own disposal. Remember that, my dear Hetty, and do not carry a good principle too far. Do you still find,

"Labour is rest, and pain is sweet, When thou, my God, art here?"

I know pain or grief does not interrupt your happiness: but does it not lessen it? You often feel sorrow for your friends, does that sorrow rather quicken, than depress you soul, does it sink you deeper into God? I cannot express the satisfaction which I receive from your open and artless mainer of writing, especially when you speak of the union of spirit which you feel with, My dear Hetty,

Your ever affectionate J. Wesley.

LETTER V.

THE papers of one, who lately went to God, are fallen into my hands. I will transcribe a few particulars His experience is uncommon. And you may simply tell me how far your experience

does or does not agree with it. But beware of hurting yourself upon the occasion, beware of unprofitable reasonings God may have wrought the same work in you, though not in the same manner. "Just after my uniting with the Methodists, the Father was revealed to me, the first time—soon after, the whole Trinity—I beheld the distinct persons of the Godhead, and worshipped one undivided Jenovaii, and each person separately. After this I had equal intercourse with the Son, and afterwards with the Spirit, the same as with the Father and the Son—After some years, my communion was with the Spinit. Of late I have found the same access to the Triune God. When I approach Jesus, the Father and the Spirit commune with me.

"Whatever I receive now, centres in taking leave of earth, and hasting to another place. 'I am as one that is no more. I stand and look on what God has done, his calls, helps, mercies, forbearances, deliverances from sorrows, rescues out of evils, and I adore and devote myself to him with new ardour. If it be asked, how, or in what manner, I beheld the Triune God, it is above all description. He that has seen this light of God, can no more describe it, than he that has not. In two of those divine interviews, the Father spoke, while I was in an agony of prayer for perfect conformity to himself: twice more when I was in the depth of sorrow, and each time in Scripture words. It may be asked, Was the appearance glorious? It was all divine, it was glory. I had no conception of it—It was God. The first time, the glory of him I saw, reached even to me. I was overwhelmed with it. Body and soul penetiated through with the rays of Deity."

Tell me, my dear maid, it you have ever experienced any thing like these things? But do not puzzle yourself about them: only speak in simplicity. You cannot speak of these things to many, but you may say any thing without reserve, to, My dear Hetty, Your's, in tender affection,

LETTER VI.

IT is a great mercy, that on the one hand, you have previous warming of the trials that are at hand, and, on the other, are not careful about them, but only prepared to encounter them. We know, indeed, that these (as well as all things) are ordered by unerring wisdom; and are given us exactly at the right time, and in due number, weight, and measure. And they continue no longer than is best for chance has no share in the government of the world. The Lord reigns! and disposes all things, strongly and sweetly, for the good of them that love him. I rejoice to hear, that you have now less hinderance in the way, and can oftener converse with his people. Be sure to improve every one of those precious opportunities of doing and receiving good.

I am often grieved to observe, that, although on his part the gifts und callings of God are without repentance; although he never repents of any thing he has given us, but is willing to give it always, yet so very few retain the same ardour of affection, which they received either when they were justified, or when they were (more fully) sanctified. Certainly none need to lose any part of their light or their love. It may increase more and more. Of this you are a witness for God; and so is our dear Miss ——. You have not lost any thing of what you have received; your love has never grown cold, since the moment God visited you with his great salvation. And I hope, also, you will ever retain the same affection for,

Your's, most tenderly, J. Wesley.

LETTER VII.

MY DEAR HETTY, Liverpool, April 10, 1781.

MANY of our brethren and sisters in London, during that great outpouring of the Spirit, spoke of several new blessings which they had attained. But after all, they could find nothing higher than pure love; on which the full assurance of hope generally attends. This, the inspired writings always represent as the highest point: only there are innumerable degrees of it. The plerophery (or full assurance of faith) is such a clear conviction of being now in the favour of God, as excludes all doubt and fear concerning it. The full assurance of hope, is such a clear confidence in the person who possesses it, that he shall enjoy the glory of God, as excludes all doubt and fear concerning this. And this confidence is totally different from an opinion, that "no saint shall fall from grace." It has, indeed, no relation to it. Bold, presumptuous men often substitute this base counterfeit, in the room of that precious confidence. But, it is observable, the opinion remains just as strong while men are sinning and serving the Devil, as while they are serving God. Holiness or unholiness does not affect it in the least degree. Whereas, the giving way to any thing unholy, either in heart or life, immediately clouds the full assurance of hope; which cannot subsist any longer than the heart cleaves steadfastly to God.

I am persuaded the storm which met us in the teeth, and drove us back to England, was not a casual, but a providential thing. There-

fore I lay aside the thought of seeing Ireland at present.

I am, my dear Hetty, always your's, in tender affection,

J. WESLEY,

LETTER VIII.

MY DEAR HETTY, London, Dec. 9, 1781.

WE may easily account for those notices which we frequently receive, either sleeping or waking, upon the scriptural supposition, that "He giveth his angels charge over us, to keep us in all our ways." How easy is it for them, who have at all times so ready an access to our souls, to impart to us whatever may be a means of in-

creasing our holiness, or our happiness! So that we may well say. with Bishop Ken,

> "O may angels, while we sleep, Around our beds their vigits keep? Their love angelical instill Stop every avenue of ill 1"

Without needing to use any other arguments, you have a clear proof in your own experience, that our blessed Lord is both able and willing to give us always, what he gives once, that there is no necessity of ever losing what we receive in the moment of justification, or sanctification. But it is his will, that all the light and love which we then receive, should increase more and more unto the perfect day.

If you are employed to assist children that are brought to the birth, that groan either for the first or the pure love, happy are you! But this is not all your work. No, my Hetty, you are likewise to watch over the new-boin babes. Although they have love, they have not yet either much light or much strength, so that they never had more need of your assistance, that they may neither be turned out of the way, nor hindered in running the race that is set before them.

I should not have been willing that Miss Bosanquet should have been joined to any other person than Mr Fletcher, but I trust she

may be as useful with him as she was before

I fear our dear — will not stay long with us. I have no answer to my last letter, and Mrs. Downes writes that she is far from well. Yet God is able to raise her up. As to Peggy Roe, I have little hopes of her life but she seemed, when I saw her, to be quite simple of heart, desiring nothing more but God. My dear Hetty, adieu!

Remember, in all your prayers,

Your's, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY

LETTER IX.

MI DEAR HETTY, London, Jan. 7, 1782 'IN the success of Mr Leech's preaching, we have one proof of a

thousand, that the blessing of God always attends the publishing of full salvation as attainable now, by simple faith You should always have in readiness that little Tract, "The Plain Account of Christian Perfection;" there is nothing that would so effectually stop the mouths of those, who call this "a new doctrine" All who thus object, are really (though they suspect nothing less) seeking sanctification by works. If it be by works, then certainly these will need time, in order to the doing of these works But if it is by faith, it is plain, a moment is as a thousand years. Then God says, (in the spiritual, as in the outward world,) Let there be light, and there is light.

I am in great hopes, as J. S. got his own soul much quickened in Macclesfield, he will now be a blessing to many at Chester. A few witnesses of pure love remain there still; but several are gone to Abraham's bosom. Encourage those in M. who enjoy it, to speak

Vol. 10.-K k

explicitly what they do experience: and to go on, till they know all that "love of God that passeth knowledge."

Give all the help you can, my dear Hetty, to them, and to, Your's, most affectionately, John Welley.

LETTER X.

MY DEAR HETTY, Daylington, June 25, 1782.

IT is certain, there has been, for these forty years, such an outpouring of the Spirit, and such an increase of vital religion, as has not been in England for many centuries, and it does not appear that the work of God at all decays. In many places there is a considerable increase of it; so that we have reason to hope, that the time is at hand, when the kingdom of God shall come with power, and all the people of this poor heathen land shall know him, from the least to the greatest

I am glad you had so good an opportunity of talking with Mr. S.—. Surely, if prayer was made for him, so useful an instrument as he was, would not be suffered to lose all his usefulness. I wish you could make such little excursions oftener, as you always

find your labour is not in vain

Take particular care, my dear Hetty, of the children; they are glorious monuments of divine grace, and I think you have a particu-

lar affection for them, and a gift to profit them.

I always am, my dear Friend,

Your's most affectionately,

JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER XI.

MY DEAR HETTY, Bustol, Oct. 1, 1782

1 RECEIVED your's two days after date, and read it yesterday to Miss Stockdale, and poor Peggy Roe, who is still strangely detained in life. But she is permitted to stay in the body a little longer, that she may be more ready for the Bridegroom.

You did exceedingly well to send me so circumstantial an account of Robert Roe's last illness and happy death. It may incite many to run the race that is set before them, with more courage and pa-

tience.

The removal of so useful an instrument as your late cousin, in the midst, or rather in the dawn of his usefulness, (especially while the harvest is so great, and the faithful labourers so few,) is an instance of the divine economy, which leaves our reason behind our little narrow minds cannot comprehend it. We can only wonder and adore. How is your health? I sometimes fear, lest you also (as

those I tenderly love generally have been) should be snatched away But let us live to-day.

I always am affectionately your's,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XII.

MY DEAR HETTY, Bristol, March 15, 1783.

I SHALL not be able to visit Macclesfield quite as soon as usual this year, for the preaching-houses at Hinckley and Notting-ham are to be opened, which I take in my way — I expect to be at Nottingham on the 1st of April, but how long I shall stay there, cannot yet determine. — Thence I shall probably come, by Derby to Macclesfield.

I intended to have written a good deal more, but I am hardly able. For a few days, I have had just such a fever as I had, a few years ago, in Ireland But all is well. I am in no pain, but the wheel of hife seems scarcely able to move; yet I made shift to preach this morning to a crowded audience, and hope to say something to them this afternoon. I love that word, "And Ishmael died in the presence of all his brethren." Still pray for,

My dear Hetty, your's most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XIII.

MY DEAR HETTY, London, Oct 12, 1787

I DO not doubt but your calling at Dublin, would be in an ac-

ceptable time, especially as R. H was there

After we left you at Manchester, we pushed on, and, in all haste, set out for the Isle of Jersey. But a storm drove us into Yarmouth, in the Isle of Wight. There Dr. Coke and I preached in the market place by turns, two evenings and two mornings. A second storm drove us to the Isle of Purbeck, just where the Indiaman was lost. There I had an opportunity of preaching to a little Society, which I had not seen for thirteen years. We hoped to reach Guernsey the next evening, but could get no farther than the Isle of Alderney. I preached on the beach in the morning, and the next afternoon came safe to Guernsey. Here is an open door, high and low, iich and poor, receive the word gladly, so that I could not regret being detained by contrary winds, several days longer than we intended. The same thing befell us in the Isle of Jersey, where also there was an open door—even the Governor, and the chief of the people, being quite civil and friendly.

Jane Bisson I saw every day. She is nuneteen years old, about the size of Miss —, and has a peculiar mixture of seriousness, sprightliness, and sweetness, both in her looks and behaviour. Wherever we were, she was the servant of all. I think she exceeds

Madam Guion, in deep communion with God.

I hope you will see a revival in Cork also. See that you take particular care of the tender lambs, not forgetting poor P. L. Peace

be with all your spirits! I am, with kind love to James Rogers, my dear Hetty, Your's, most affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XIV.

My not hearing from you for so long a time, would have given me concern, but I knew it was not from want of affection. I am glad to hear you prosper in your soul: rest in nothing you have attained, but press on till you are filled with all the fulness of God. In this day of God's power, I hope many of the backsliders in Cork will be brought back, there are great numbers of them in and about the city, and many are of the genteeler soit. It seems you have a particular mission to these: perhaps they will hear none but you. I hope you have already found out Mrs. Forbes, (Captain Forbes's wife,) and that now she is more than almost persuaded to be a Christian. The pearl on my eye is but just discernible, and dulls the sight a little, but not much, as it grows no worse, I do not much regard it.

Mr. Smythe's society, I verily believe, will do us no harm, and every one may speak of me as lie will. I am just flying away as a hadow. It more than makes me amends, that James and you still

love, and pray for, My dear Hetty,

Your most affectionate, J. Wesley.

LETTER XV.

MY DEAR HETTY, February 9, 1789.

I AM glad to hear that you do not grow weary or faint in you mind, that you are rather increasing in the way of holiness. Go on in the name of the Lord, and in the power of his might, doing the will of God from the heart

It was a providence indeed, the flood did not begin in the night, rather than in the day. So it is that judgment is usually mixed with mercy, that sinners may be awakened, and not destroyed. I liked well to lodge at brother Laffan's when I was in Cork last, but certainly I shall like much better to lodge with brother Rogers and you. I shall be more at home with you, than I could be any where else in Cork. I still find (blessed be God) a gradual increase of strength, and my sight is rather better than worse. If my life and health be continued, I shall endeavour to reach Dublin about the end of March; and Cork, before the end of June. Peace be with your spirits!

I am, my dear Hetty, your's most affectionately,
J. WESLEY.

TO MISS PATTY CHAPMAN.

December 17, 1773.

CERTAINLY the more good you do, the more will many be sempted against you. But go on. So much the more will the Spirit

of glory and of Christ rest upon you. By fighting against that reserve, you will conquer it: the more it is resisted, the more it is weakened. You need not be overcome by peevishness any more' The grace of God is sufficient for you It seems that you are at present in your place how knowest thou, but thou shalt gain thy brother! The most profitable way of reading, is to read in an exact method suppose a chapter or two (as time may serve) in the Old Testament, with the Notes, in the morning; and a chapter, more or less, of the New Testament, and Notes, in the afternoon or evening. Next to this, it might be useful to read the works in order, only not too fast, not too much at a time For all reading should be joined with meditation and prayer Read a little: pray and meditate much In order to converse usefully, we had a rule at Oxford, to plan every conversation before we went into company; to consider, What subject would be most useful; and, How to prosecute it. And though of vourself you are not sufficient for these things, yet one is nigh to supply all your wants Love him, and trust him for all things, and continue to love, for his sake,

My dear Patty, your's, affectionately, J. WESLEY.

TO MR. ALEXANDER.

DEAR SIR, Near London, Nov. 21, 1783.

IT is very certain your day of grace is not past, if it were, you would be quite easy and unconcerned. It is plain, the Lover of souls is still striving with you, and drawing you to himself. But you have no time to lose; for "now is the accepted time, now is the day of salvation!" It is, therefore, your wisdom, (without considering what others do, whether clergyman or layman,) to attend to one thing, that is, "to work out your own salvation with fear and trembling." And nothing can be more sure, than that if you do this, if it be indeed your one care to "seek the kingdom of God and his righteousness, all other things shall be added unto you." To his protection I comint you and your's, and am, dear Sir,

Your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

I write a line to your Son.

ONLY let your actions correspond with your words, and then they will have weight with all that hear them. It seems highly probable to me, that Providence does not intend you should be a tradesman

I have known a young man, that feared God, acquire as much learning in one year, as children usually do in seven. Possibly you may do the same. If you have a desire to try, and we should have K k 2

till July, I will give you a year's schooling and board at Kingswood School, and you will then be the better able to judge, what it is that God calls you to.

I am, your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MR. BENSON.

LETTER I.

YOU have now twenty more volumes of the Philosophical Transactions. Dr. Burton's Latin and Greek Poems you have in the study. Malebranche, and some other books, are coming. Logic you cannot crack without a tutor. I must read it to Peter and you, if we live to meet. It would not be amiss if I had a catalogue of the books at Kingswood: then I should know the better what to buy. As fast as I can meet with them at sales, I shall procure what are jet wanting. But beware you be not swallowed up in books. An ownce of love is worth a pound of knowledge. I am, dear Joseph, Your affectionate brother.

J. Wesley.

LETTER II.

I CANNOT yet convince you of one thing, (and it is a thing of importance,) that you may make greater progress in valuable knowledge, by reading those books, (particularly if read in that order,) than you can by reading any other books, which are now extant in England. It follows, that your friend B. in this respect, is not your friend. For he puts you out of your way, he retards you in the attainment of the most useful knowledge He grathes your curiosity (a bad principle too) at the expense of your improvement. It is better for you to read these books than his: which (if they are not hurtful or dangerous at least) do not lead directly to the end you propose. Choose the best way. I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate brother,

LETTER III.

YOU do not quite take my meaning yet. When I recommend to any one a method or scheme of study, I do not barely consider this or that book separately, but in conjunction with the rest. And what I recommend, I know I know both the style and sentiments of each author; and how he will confirm or illustrate what goes before, and prepare for what comes after. Now supposing Mr. Stonehouse, Rouquet, or any other, to have ever so great learning

and judgment, yet he does not enter into my plan. He does not comprehend my views, nor keep his eye fixed on the same point. Therefore I must insist upon it, the interposing other books between these, till you have read them through, is not good husbandiy. It is not making your time and pains go so far as they might go. If you want more books, let me recommend more, who best understand my own scheme And do not ramble, however learned the persons may be, that advise you so to do! This does indulge curiosity, but does not minister to real improvement, as a stricter method would do. No: you would gain more clearness and strength of judgment by reading those Latin and Greek books, (compared with which most of the English are whipt syllabub,) than by fourscore modern books. I have seen the proof, as none of your Bristol friends have done, or can do. Therefore I advise you again, keep to your plan, (though this implies continual self-denial,) if you would improve your understanding to the highest degree of which it is capable.

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother.

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

DEAR JOSEPH, Cork, May 27, 1769.

YOU have now (what you never had before) a clear, providential call to Oxford. If you keep a single eye, and have courage and steadiness, you may be an instrument of much good. But you will tread on shippery ground: and the senious persons you mention, may do you more hurt than any others. When I was at Oxford, I never was afraid of any, but the almost Christians. If you give way to them, and their prudence, a hair's-breadth, you will be removed from the hope of the gospel. If you are not moved, if you tread in the same steps which my brother and I did, you may be a means, under God, of raising another set of real Bible Christians. How long the world will suffer them, (whether longer than they did us or not) is in God's hand

With regard to Kingswood School, I have one string more; if that breaks, I shall let it drop I have borne the builden one and twenty years: I have done what I could. Now let some one else to more. I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER V

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Dec 26, 1769.

EVERY man of sense, who reads the rules of the school, may easily conclude, that a school so conducted by men of piety and understanding, will exceed any other school or academy, in Great Britain or Ireland. In this sentiment you can never be altered. And if it was not so conducted since you were there, why was it not? You had power enough You have all the power which I have. You may do just what you please

Dirue et ædifica · muta quadrata rotundis ;*

And I will second you to the uttermost.

* Pull down, build up, make what changes you please.

Trevecka is much more to ——, than Kingswood is to me. I mixes with every thing. It is my college, my masters, my students. I do not speak so of this school. It is not mine, but the Lord's. I look for no more honour than money from it.

I am glad you defer your journey, and am,
Dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother,
J. WESLEY

LETTER VI.

DEAR JOSEPH, Bristol, Oct 5, 1770

YOU need no apology for your writing the more frequently and freely you write, the better I cannot doubt but your neighbour means well, but he is a thorough enthusiast, and has hardly one clear conception of any thing natural or spiritual Mr. Keaid, from Aberdeen, and Mr Wootton, (our new writing-master, a man of an excellent spirit,) are at Kingswood But does Mr J. know the price? Sixteen pounds a year? Does he know the rules of the school? Again. Of what age are the children? I will take none that is above nine years old. Now especially, because I will not have our children corrupted; nine of whom, together with our three maid-servants, have just now experienced a gracious visitation, and are rejoicing in a pardoning God.

I am glad you had the courage to speak your mind on so critical an occasion. At all hazards, do so still. only with all possible tenderness and respect. She is much devoted to God, and has a thousand valuable and amiable qualities. There is no great fear that I should be prejudiced against one, whom I have intimately known for these thirty years. And I know what is in man; therefore I make large allowance for human weaknesses. But what you say is exactly the state of the case. They are "jealous of their authority" Truly there is no cause. Longe mea discrepatilliet mens et ratio; I fear and shun, not desire, authority of any kind. Only when God lays that burden upon me, I bear it, for his and the people's sake.

"Child," said my father to me, when I was young, "you think to carry every thing by dint of argument. But you will find by and by, how very little is ever done in the world by clear reason." Very little indeed! It is true of almost all men, except so far as we are taught of God,

"Against experience we believe,
We argue against demonstration,
Pleas'd while our reason we deceive,
And set our judgment by our passion."

Passion and prejudice govern the world; only under the name of reason. It is our part, by religion and reason joined, to counteract them all we can. It is yours, in particular, to do all that in you lies, to soften the prejudices of those that are round about you, and to calm the passions from which they spring Blessed are the peace-makers!

You judge rightly. Perfect love and Christian liberty are the very same thing. And those two expressions are equally proper, being

equally scriptural. "Nay, how can they and you mean the same thing? They say, You insist on holiness in the creature, on good tempers, and sin destroyed." Most surely. And what is Christian liberty, but another word for holiness? And where is this liberty or holiness, if it is not in the creature? Holiness is the love of God and man, or the mind which was in Christ. Now I trust, the love of God is shed abroad in your heart, by the Holy Ghost which is given unto you. And if you are holy, is not that mind in you, which was also in Christ Jesus?

And are not the love of God and our neighbour, good tempers? And so far as these reign in the soul, are not the opposite tempers, worldly-mindedness, malice, cruelty, revengefulness, destroyed? Indeed the unclean spirit, though driven out, may return and enter again: nevertheless, he was driven out. I use the word destroyed, because St. Paul does: suspended I cannot find in my Bible. "But they say, You do not consider this, as the consequence of the power of Christ dwelling in us." Then what will they not say? My very words are, "None feel their need of Christ like these; none so entirely depend upon him. For Christ does not give life to the soul, separate from, but in and with himself. Hence his words are equally true of all men, in whatever state of grace they are, 'As the branch cannot bear fruit of itself, except it abide in the vine, no more can ye, except ye abide in me; without' (or separate from) 'me, ye can do nothing.' For our perfection is not like that of a tree, which flourishes by the sap derived from its own root, but like that of a branch, which, united to the vine, bears truit; but severed from it, is dried up and

At length, veris vincor, I am constrained to believe, (what I would not for a long time) these are not the objections of judgment, but of passion; they do not spring from the head, but the heart. Whatever I say, it will be all one. They will find fault, because I say it. There is implicit envy at my power, (so called, and a jeulousy rising therefrom. Hence prejudice in a thousand forms: hence objections springing up like mushrooms. And while those causes remain, they will spring up, whatever I can do or say. However, keep thyself pure! And then there need be no strangeness between you, and,

Dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER VII.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Nov. 30, 1770.

FOR several years I had been deeply convinced, that I had not done my duty with regard to that valuable woman: that I had not told her what I was thoroughly assured, no one else would dare to do, and what I knew she would bear from no other person, but possibly might bear from me. But being unwilling to give her pain, I put it off from time to time. At length I did not dare to delay any longer, lest death should call one of us hence. So I at once delivered my own soul, by telling her all that was in my heart. It was "my

business," my proper business so to do; as none else either could of would do it. Neither did I take at all too much upon me: I know the office of a Christian minister. If she is not profited, it is her own fault, not mine; I have done my duty. I do not know, there is one charge in that letter, which was either unjust, unimportant, or aggravated; any more than that against the doggerel hymns, which

are equally an insult upon poetry and common sense.

We had a good time, both at the Tabernacle and Tottenham-Court Chapel. The congregations were immense. Perhaps not a third part could come within hearing. And they were more quiet than could well have been expected. The sermon will be published on Monday, and sent down to Bristol. Mr. Keen and Hardy, his executors, have, I apprehend, the whole and sole disposal of the Tabernacle, Tottenham-Court Chapel, and all the other houses which were occupied by Mr. Whitefield. The Chapel and Tabernacle are supplied by Mr Joss and Brooksbank; and Mr. Neale administers the Sacrament there.

I find no such six as legality in the Bible; the very use of the term speaks an Antinomian. I defy all liberty, but liberty to love and serve God; and fear no bondage, but bondage to sin. Sift that text to the bottom, and it will do the business of poor H. and all his disciples; "God sent his own Son in the flesh, that the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us." Justitia legis, justina legalis!

Here is legality indeed!

I am glast you come a little nearer the good old Emperor's advice, The two Biblion declar confi.* That thirst is the symptom of an evil discase: and crescut indulgens sibi dirus hydrops.† What is the real value of a thing, but the price it will bear in eternity? Let no study swallow up, or intrench upon the hours of private prayer. Nil tanti.‡ Simplify both religion, and every part of learning, as much as possible. Be all alive to God, and you will be useful to men!

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Dec. 28, 1770.
WHAT a blessing is it, that we can speak freely to each other!
Without either disguise or reserve! So long as we are able to do this

we may grow wiser and better every day.

One point I advise you to hold fast, and let neither men nor devils fear it from you. You are a child of God: you are justified freely through the redemption which is in Christ Jesus. Your sins are forgiven! Cast not away that confidence, which hath great recompense of reward.

Now, can any be justified, but by faith? None can. Therefore you are a believer. You have faith in Christ. You know the Lord. You can say, "My Lord and my God." And whoever denies this.

may as well deny that the sun shines at noon-day.

* Throw away that thirst for books.

The dreadful dropsy increases by indulgence. 1 Nothing is of so much importance.

"Yet still ten thousand lusts remain, And vex your soul, absolv'd from sin, Still rebel nature strives to reign, And you are all unclean, unclean!"

This is equally clear and undeniable. And this is not only your experience, but the experience of a thousand believers beside, who yet are sure of God's favour, as of their own existence. To cut off all doubt on this head, I beg you to give another serious reading to those two sermons, "Sin in Behevers," and "Repentance of Believers"

"But is there no help? Is there no deliverance, no salvation from this inbred enemy " Surely there is else many great and precious promises must fall to the ground "I will sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean. from all your filthmess, and from all your idols will I cleanse you." "I will circumeise thy heart," (from all sin,) "to love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul." This I term sanctification, (which is both an instantaneous and a gradual work,) or perfection, the being perfected in love, filled with love, which still admits of a thousand degrees But I have no time to throw away in contending for words · especially where the thing is allowed And you allow the whole thing which I contend for, an entire deliverance from sin, a recovery of the whole image of God, the loving God with all our heart, soul, and strength And you believe God is able to give you this yea, to give it you in an instant. You trust, he will. O hold fast this also! This blessed hope, which he has wrought in your heart! And with all zeal and diligence, confirm the brethren, 1 In holding fast that whereto they have attained, namely, the remission of all their sins, by faith in a bleeding Lord 2 In expecting a second change, whereby they shall be saved from _ all sin and perfected in love.

If they like to call this "receiving the Holy Ghost," they may, only the phrase, in that sense, is not scriptural, and not quite proper, for they all "received the Holy Ghost, when they were justified God then "sent forth the Spirit of his Son into their hearts, crying

Abba, Father."

O Joseph, keep close to the Bible, both as to sentiment and expression! Then there will never be any material difference between you and,

Your affectionate Brother,

J. Wesley.

This morning I have calmly and coolly read over my letter to L. H. I still believe every line of it is true. And I am assured I spoke the truth in love. It is great pity, any who wish her well, should skin over the wounds which are there searched. As long as she resents that office of true esteem, her grace can be but small!

LETTER IX.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Jan 21, 1771
1T was of their own mere motion, that the students, when I was in Wales, desired me to come and spend a little time with them 1

had no thought or desire so to do, having work enough upon my hands. When Mr Ireland asked me, "Why I did not go thither in August," I answered, "Because my lady had written to me to the contrary" But I do not remember, that I showed him her letter I believe I did not

I know not why you should not keep the rest of your terms at Oxford, and take a Bachelor's degree Only if you should be pressed in spirit, to give yourself up to the work of God sooner, I think you must follow your own conscience Write quite fieely to,

Dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother, J WESLEY.

LETTER X

DEAR JOSEPH. London, Dec 11, 1772.

MR. HILL, however, leaves me a little time to take breath, and I have some hope, will not renew the combat. But I doubt he is too warm to be convenced. He "sets his judgment by his passion"

It is a shame for any Methodist preacher to confine himself to one place. We are debtors to all the world. We are called to warn every one, to exhort every one, if by any means we may save some.

I love prayer-meetings, and wish they were set up in every corner of the town. But I doubt whether it would be well to drop any of the times of preaching. Three-and-thirty years, they have had at least as much preaching at Bristol, as at Newcastle. And the congregations are far larger than they were ten or twenty years ago. But I should not object to the transferring Wednesday night's preaching to eight on Sunday morning.

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY

LETTER XI.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, March 2, 1773

CERTAINLY you cannot stir unless you are clearly satisfied of your call from God * An impression on the mind of another man, is no rule of action to you The reasons you give on the other side are weighty, and will not easily be answered At present you do seem to be in your place, and your labour will not be in vain If you could transfer a night in a week, from Newcastle to some new place, I think it might do well

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

We subjoin a Letter from Mr. Charles Wesley to Mr. Benson

The Foundry, March 6, 1773
I HAVE barely time to say, your own reasons for not yet going to America, and Christopher Hopper's, are unanswerable Mr F. is only the captain's echo. The captain's impressions are no more

^{*} Mr. Wesley here refers to a pressing invitation which Mr B, had received to visit America.

(or very little more) to be depended on, than George Bell's. He is an inexperienced, honest, zealous, loving enthusiast. God only knows whether you may not be called to America by and by At present your call is not clear Therefore stand still; and send our friends a loving, explicit refusal.

I do not want a heart to visit my very dear friends at Newcastle, but a body If to my willing mind, I had health and strength, still more is wanted; namely, a faithful man to attend me, and money to defray our expenses. When all these things meet, you may meet me

once more in the North

I have left my family, all but Charles, at Bristol. My old love to brother Hopper, Cownley, sister Proctor, and all my Newcastle friends. Stir them up to pray for me. I shall not need your prayers much longer

Eggado in Xeira *

LETTER XII

DEAR JOSEPH, Lewisham, July 31, 1773

I AM glad you have preached so much abroad, this will every where do most execution. Some time since I promised you to Billy Thompson, for his fellow-labourer the ensuing year and you will have no cause to repent of it, for his heart (as well as your's) is in the work. Mr Hopper desires to spend another year in the Newcastle circuit. I refer it to him, whether it would not suffice, to have preaching at Newcastle five nights in the week?

"God has made practical divinity necessary, and the Devil, controversial" Sometimes we must write and preach controversially. but the less, the better. I think we have few, if any of our travelling preachers, that love controversy But there will always be men, as the emission of Antinomians and Calvinists in particular. By our long silence, we have done much hurt, both to them and the cause of

God. I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XIII

DEAR JOSEPH, Bristol, Sept 10, 1773.

IF Mr Thompson consents, all is well. The more you preach abroad, both in England and Scotland, the better. Only take care, not to do more than you can do not to go beyond your strength And keep to the plain, old Methodist doctrine, laid down in the Minutes of the conference At Trevecka you were a little warped from this; but it was a right hand error. You will be buried in Scotland, if you sell your mare and sit still. Keep her, and ride continually. Contrive (you and Mr Thompson) how this may be. Sit not still, at the peril of your soul and body! Mr F. ought to have received their thanks.

Dear Joseph, adieu! J. Wesley.

Do all you can for poor Scotland And write how things are there

* Farewell in Christ.

* Vhose mouths it is necessary to stop

Vol. 10.—L 1

LETTER XIV.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Oct. 23, 1773.

I WISH every one of our preachers, who goes to Scotland, were of the same mind with you. We are not called to sit still in one place: it is neither for the health of our souls nor bodies. Billy Thompson never satisfied me on this head, not in the least degree. I say still, we will have travelling preachers in Scotland, or none. The thing is fixed: the manner of effecting it is to be considered. Now, set your wit to this: find out the 70 mag. How shall this matter be accomplished? You did not do well in selling your horse, and thereby laying another bar in the way. Though I am (by the exquisite negligence of my late book-keeper) a thousand pounds worse than nothing, I would have spared a few pounds to have eased that burden. However, you must do as you can. Our preachers shall either travel there, as in England, or else stay in England.

I am, dear Joseph, your's, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER XV.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Jan. S. 1774.

MANY persons are in danger of reading too little: you are in danger of reading too much. Wherever you are, take up your cross, and visit all the society from house to house. Do this according to Mr. Baxter's plan, laid down in the Minutes of the conference. The fruit which will ensue, (perhaps in a short time,) will abundantly reward your labour. Fruit also we shall have, even in those who have no outward connexion with us.

I am glad you "press all believers" to aspire after the full liberty of the children of God. They must not give up their faith, in order to do this: herein you formerly seemed to be in some mistake. Let them go on from faith to faith, from weak faith, to that strong faith, which not only conquers, but casts out sin. Meantime it is certain, many call themselves believers, who do not even conquer sin. Who are strangers to the whole inward kingdom of God, and void of the whole fruit of the Spirit.

We must not go on at Dunbar in this manner. Rather we must

quit the place. For who will pay that debt?

On Tuesday I was under the surgeon's hands, but am now (blessed be God) quite recovered.

lam, dear Joseph, your's, affectionately, J. Weslex.

LETTER XVI.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, March 4, 1774.

I AM glad you have been at Greenock, and think it highly expedient that you should follow the blow. Meantime let brother Broadbent supply Glasgow, and Billy Thompson, Edinburgh. I think with you, that it is no great matter if Dunbar be left for a season. When you have been three or four weeks at Greenock and

Port-Glasgow, brother Broadbent should change with you. But I agree with you, the harvest cannot be large, till we can preach abroad

Before I settled my plan, that thought occurred, "It would be better to go a little later into Scotland." Accordingly, I have contrived not to be at Glasgow till Friday, the 6th of May, coming by way of Edinburgh. Probably it may then be practicable to take the field. I meline to think it will be of use for you, to spend another year in that circuit. I am, dear Joseph,

Your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XVII.

DEAR JOSEPH, Sheffield, July 26, 1774.

CERTAINLY an account of the societies in the Edinburgh circuit, will be expected from you at the conference. I will then propose the case of Greenock. I am glad you have sent brother Fergison the Appeals. I believe Billy Eels might come to you directly, if you wrote to him, and to Joseph Cownly. At length I hope good may be done in Scotland, and I incline to prefer your scheme to Dr. H's. Three preachers may do better than two, provided they change regularly, according to the plan you lay down. I know not but you must make a private subscription, and wire over the cupola. "Be zealous and humble, but never be still!"

Dear Joseph, adieu! J WESLEY.

LETTER XVIII.

DEAR JOSEPH, Bristol, August 8, 1774.

I JUST snatch time to write two or three lines. Consider the thing thoroughly, and then send me word of the exact circuit wherein three preachers may follow one another. If this be steadily done, I am not without hope, that before the next conference, there will be such a flame kindled, as has not been seen for some years in poor Scotland.

I was sorry to find, that Mr P was almost discouraged from proceeding in his little labour of love. I commend you, for dealing tenderly with him. Certainly he is an honest man, and undoubtedly he is useful in his little way. Pray what becomes of Mrs L? Is she gaining or losing ground? O Joseph, fight through, and conquer all! I am, your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XIX.

DEAR JOSEPH, Bristol, Sept 18, 1774.

YOUR last proposal is incomparably the best. I approve of it entirely Without consulting any at Dunbar, (which would only puzzle the cause) immediately begin to put it in execution. Let the preacher go to Ormiston on Wednesday, Dunbar on Thursday, and return to Edinburgh, by Lenton, on Friday, every week. At pre-

sent we sate them with preaching It will be best to keep a horse then both your health and your soul will prosper.

If William Eels crawls in at last, send him directly to Aberdeen.

And you should be preparing to change with John Bredin.

I wish Dr. Hamilton would send me the receipt for extracting the opiate from sow-thistles, and give me some account of its effects.

I am, dear Joseph,

Your ever affectionate Friend and Brother. J. WESLEY

P. S I lest ninety members in the society I hope there are not sower now.

LETTER XX

DEAR JOSEPH. London, Oct 16, 1774

I HAVE written to Di Hamilton, that brother Eels must go to Aberdeen, and Edinburgh and Dunbar must be supplied by one preacher. They should have thought of preaching in the church-yard before. While I live, itinerant preachers shall be itinerants. I

mean, if they choose to remain in connexion with us.

The society in Greenock are entirely at their own disposal they may either have a preacher between them and Glasgow, or none at all. But more than one between them, they cannot have. I have too much regard both for the bodies and souls of our preachers, to let them be confined to one place any more. I hope J. B. will punctually observe your direction, spending either three days, or a week, at each place alternately. I have weighed the matter, and will serve the Scots as we do the English, or leave them. I wish you would write a letter to John Campbell, and another to R. Mackie, and argue the case with them. If J. B. does not go to Greenock, let him (or his successor) spend half his time at Dunbar, then a preacher may be constantly at Edinburgh. But give me only six days in a formight there, and I will visit all the society from house to house.

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J WESLEY.

LETTER XXI.

DEAR JOSEPH, Near London, Feb 22, 1776.

WE must threaten no longer, but perform. In November last, I told the London society, "Our rule is, to meet a class once a week; not once in two or three. I now give you warning I will give tickets to none in February, but those that have done this." I have stood to my word Go you and do likewise, wherever you visit the classes. Begin, if need be, at Newcastle, and go on at Sunderland Promises to meet, are now out of date. Those that have not met seven times in the quarter, exclude. Read their names in the society: and inform them all, "you will the next quarter exclude all that have not met twelve times:" that is, unless they were hindered by distance, sickness, or by some unavoidable business.

And I pray, without fear or favour, remove the leaders, whether

of classes or bands, who do not watch over the souls committed to their care, "as those that must give account."

I am, dear Joseph,
Your's, affectionately,
J. Wesley.

LETTER XXII

DEAR JOSEPH, Chatham, Nov. 26, 1776.

IF any leader oppose, you see your remedy; put another in his place. Nay, if he does not join heart and hand; for "he that gathereth not with you, scattereth" The "Word to a Smuggler" is plain and home, and has done much good in these parts

Taking opium is full as bad as taking drams — It equally hurts the understanding, and is, if possible, more permicious to the health, than even rum or brandy. None should touch it, if they have the least

regard either for their souls or bodies

I really think you are in the right. It is better to help R. W. where he is, than to burden the Dales with an additional weight. But then what shall we do? We have no supernumerary preachers. See if you can do any thing with Edward Jackson.

I am, dear Joseph, your's, affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXIII

DEAR JOSEPH, Shoreham, July 31, 1776.

I THINK of Joseph Fothergill and just as you do, and shall willingly propose him at the conference I believe he has considerable gifts, and is truly alive to God. You are in the right We must beware of distressing the poor Our substantial brethren are well able to bear the burden. I shall write a letter for each assistant before the conference is over. If they are in earnest, all will go well.

If the asserters of the decrees are quiet and peaceable, troubling no one with their opinions, reason is that we should bear with them But if they will not be quiet, if they trouble others, we cannot keep them. Do all you can for God! I am, dear Joseph,

Your's, affectionately, J. Wesley

Pray tell Joseph Thompson, I have set him down for Leeds.

LETTER XXIV.

DEAR JOSEPH, October 22, 1776.
I APPREHEND Joseph Fothergill was not designedly omitted.

I take him to be a good man, and a good preacher.

You did right in excluding from our society so notorious an offender. And you have now a providential call, to stand in the gap between the living and the dead. Fear nothing. Begin in the name of God, and go through with the work. If only six will promise you to sin no more, leave only six in the society. But my belief is, a hundred and fifty are now clear of blame, and if you are steady, a hundred more will amend. You must, at all events, tear up this evil by the roots. The "Word to a Smuggler" should be read and dis-

persed. And secure your fellow-labourers, that you may all speak one thing. Go on, for God is with you! I am, dear Joseph,
Your's, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER XXV.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Nov. 7, 1776.

NOT only the assistant, but every preacher is concerned to see all our rules observed. I desire brother Rhodes will give no tickets, either to those who have not constantly met their classes, or to any that do not solemnly promise, to deal in stolen goods no more. He and you together may put a store to this crying sin

and you together may put a stop to this crying sin.

I wish Edward Jackson would go into the Dales. But here is a great difficulty. Robert Wilkinson, you know, is married: therefore he cannot live (though he may starve) in the Dundee circuit. I designed that he and brother Lumley should change places. But what can be done now? Consider the matter, and advise,

Dear Joseph, your's, affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTER XXVI.

DEAR JOSEPH. Near London, Dec. 24, 1776.

THE total suppression of that vile practice, will doubtless be a difficult task. But it is worth all the labour; yea, though you should be obliged to cut off some of our oldest members. For you must absolutely go through with your work; leave neither root nor branch. Else the reformation will be but for a season, and then the evil will sprout up again.

The case of John Reed is one of the most remarkable, which has fallen under my notice. From the beginning it was my judgment, that the disorder was more than natural. I wish he would take opportunities of writing down as many particulars as he can recollect; and send me as circumstantial an account as he can. You may much assist him herein. I am, dear Joseph,

Your's, affectionately, John Wesley.

LETTER XXVII.

DEAR JOSEPH. London, Jan. 11, 1777.

Pray worry John Reed, till he writes a circumstantial account. It must be done while things are fresh in his memory; otherwise we shall lose many particulars, which ought not to be forgotten.

They have made good haste, to finish the preaching-house at Sheep-

hill already; I thought it had hardly been begun.

I have just received two letters from New-York; one of them from

George Robinson, late of Newcastle. They inform me, that all the Methodists there, were firm for the government, and on that account, persecuted by the rebels, only not to the death. That the preachers are still threatened, but not stopped; and the work of God increases much in Maryland and Virginia

I am, dear Joseph, your's, affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXVIII.

WHAT you say is unquestionably right Why then should it not immediately be put in execution? Let Berwick directly be taken into the Newcastle circuit, and Dunbar be supplied, once a fortnight, from Edinburgh Pray write this instantly to brother M Nab, and admit of no excuse. If by this means there is a preacher to spare, let him step over as soon as possible from Portpairick, and supply the place of that good young man, John Harrison, in the Lisburn circuit Mr. Smythe calls aloud for help he is zealous and active, but is quite overborne I have set down you with brother Hopper, in the Manchester circuit, and am,

Your's, affectionately, J WESLEY

LETTER XXIX.

DEAR JOSEPH, Whitney, Oct 22, 1777.

I DO not wonder you do not conceive what Grotus meant by that odd sentence, for I doubt whether he conceived it himself. I can translate it, but I cannot understand it it is well if any one can. "Every thing exists necessarily, or of itself, not as it is considered in a general view, but as it actually exists. But individual things" (only) "exist actually" There is a good English translation of this book, published some years since by Dr. John Clark, Dean of Saium. He was (I think younger) brother to Dr. Samuel Clark.

I have no objection to your printing a thousand or two of the account of Mrs. Hutton's death. It may be of use for you to visit Manchester again, when opportunity serves. Only do every thing in full concurrence with the assistant.

I am, dear Joseph, your's affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXX.

UNDOUBTEDLY Bishop Newton's book on the Prophecies is well written And he is certainly a man of sense, and of considerable learning. This he has shown in what he writes on the Revelation. But with regard to the passage you mention, I cannot agree with him at all. I believe the Romish Antichrist is already so fallen, that he will not again lift up his head in any considerable de-

The Bishop of Rome has little more power now, than any other of the Italian princes. I therefore concur with you in believ-

ing, his tyranny is past, never to return.

But there is no comparison, either as to sense, learning, or piety, between Bishop Newton and Bengelius. The former is a mere child to the latter. I advise you to give another serious and careful reading, to that Extract from his Comment on the Revelation, which concludes the Notes. There you have one uniform consistent, far beyond any I ever saw. And I verily believe, the more deeply you consider it, the more you will admire it.

Does any one deny, that a kyte is bigger than a lark? Or that Ogilvie has written a larger book than Virgil? And certainly there are larger magazines than ours. But it does not follow, that they are better. Ours is reduced to half the price, and will contain forty-

eight pages, which is the usual number for sixpence.

We are called to propagate Bible religion through the land; that is, faith working by love: holy tempers and holy lives. Let us do it with our might!

I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Friend and Brother. J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXXL

DEAR JOSEPH. London, Dec. 26, 1780.

YOU have great reason to be thankful to God; for he has dealt mercifully with you. And as long as you improve these outward blessings to the end for which they were given, so long they will be continued. But you have great need to be jealous over yourself, and to keep your heart with all diligence. You need all the power of God to preserve you, from loving the creature more than the Creator.

Dr. Edwards is a dry, unpleasing writer. And probably his main design was, to justify God in damning all the heathers, as not having a spark of virtue among them. Peace be with you and your's! J. WESLEY.

I am, your's, affectionately,

LETTER XXXII.

Manchester, April 2, 1781. DEAR JOSEPH,

ALTHOUGH our rejoicing is this, the testimony of our conscience, that we walk in simplicity and godly sincerity, this no way contradicts, "God forbid that we should glory, save in the cross of Christ." In all, and after all,

> "His passion alone The foundation we own, And pardon we claim, And eternal redemption in Jesus's name."

How admirably pardon and holiness are comprised in that one word, grace! Mercy and strength! So are our justification and sanctification woven together.

I hope your sermons will do good. But why do not you publish your poems? I think you can make verses as fast as John Murlin: yea, indeed, if need were, stans pede in uno. I commend sister Benson for her care of her mother. One can never do too much for a parent I am, dear Joseph, your affectionate Brother,

J. Wesley.

LETTER XXXIII.

DEAR JOSEPH, Warnington, May 21, 1781.

AS I have not leisure myself, I am exceeding glad that you have entered into the lists with Mr. A. And I am in hopes you will "reply at large," to all his cavils and objections. If he cites any thing from me, you should answer simply, "I never undertook to defend every sentiment of Mr. W.'s. He does not expect or desire it. He wishes me and every man, to think for hamself"

If you remember, I do not insist on the term impression I say again, I will thank any one that will find a better, be it discovery, manifestation, deep sense, or whatever it may. That some consciousness of our being in favour with God, is joined with Christian faith, I cannot doubt: but it is not the essence of it. A consciousness of

pardon cannot be the condition of pardon

But I am still more glad, that you have some thoughts of answering that pernicious book of poor Mr. Madan Analyze it first with the postscript then overturn it thoroughly from the beginning to the end You may steer between the extremes of too much roughness and too much smoothness. And see that you are plain enough for women and pretty gentlemen. I allow you a hundred pages.

I am, dear Joseph,

Your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

TO MRS. BENSON

MY DEAR SISTER, Warrington, May 21, 1781.

AS you are now one of my family, and indeed by a nearer tye than when you were only a member of the Society, I rejoice in doing you any service, or giving you any satisfaction that I can I therefore take the first opportunity of acquainting you, that I will appoint Mr. Benson for Leeds the ensuing year. I trust he will be more useful there than ever, and I doubt not but you will strengthen his hands in God If you desire any thing of me that is in my power, you may be assured it will not be refused by,

My dear Sister, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

P. S. I am now going to Whitehaven.

LETTER XXXIV.

DEAR JOSEPH, London, Feb. 22, 1782.

WHO Mr. Tyndall is, I know not; but he is just as sound a divine as Mr. Madan. I regard no authorities but those of the Anti-Nicene Fathers: nor any of them in opposition to Scripture. And I totally deny that (supposed) matter of fact, That polygamy was allowed among the primitive Christians: Or, that the converts "who had many wives, were not required to put any of them away." I have not yet time to read over the MSS.; when I do, I must read it all in a breath.

Having talked with my friends, I judge it will be expedient to visit the North this year. I expect to be at Manchester on Wednesday, the 10th of April; and in Yorkshire in the beginning of May.

I have no objection to your printing a few copies of those two sermons, to oblige your friends in the neighbourhood. I doubt, we are not explicit enough, in speaking on full sanctification, either in public or private. I am, with kind love to sister Benson,

Dear Joseph, Your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXXV.

DEAR JOSEPH, Near London, Aug. 3, 1782.

DO not you know, that all the Preachers cannot leave a circuit at once? Therefore, if you left it, brother Hopper could not. Perhaps, likewise, I can depend upon your judgment, more than that of another man.

Two or three years ago, when the kingdom was in imminent danger, I made an offer to the Government of raising some men. The Secretary of War, (by the King's order) wrote me word, "That it was not necessary: but if ever it should be necessary, His Majesty would let me know." I never renewed the offer, and never intended it. But Captain Webb, without my knowing any thing of the matter, went to Col. B. the new Secretary of War, and renewed that offer. The Colonel (I verily believe to avoid his importunity) asked him, "How many men we could raise?" But the Colonel is out of place. So the thing is at end.

I read over both the sermons, but I did not see any thing materially

wrong in either. I am, with love to sister Benson,
Your affectionate Brother,
J. Wesley.

We will consider what you propose.

LETTER XXXVI.

Bristol, Sept. 17, 1788.

I AM glad you have determined to correct Mr. Fletcher's Letters. You will observe, that it is "dangerous on such subjects to depart from Scripture, either as to language or sentiment;" and I

believe, that "most of the controversies which have disturbed the church, have arisen from people's wanting to be wise above what is written, not contented with what God has plainly revealed there." What have you or I to do with that "difficulty?" I dare not, will not reason about it for a moment. I believe just what is revealed, and no more but I do not pretend to account for it, or to solve the difficulties that may attend it. Let angels do this, if they can . but I think they cannot I think even these

" Would find no end, in wand'ring mazes lost."

Some years since, I read about fifty pages of Dr Watts's ingenious treatise upon the Glorified Humanity of Christ But it so confounded my intellects, and plunged me into such unprofitable reasonings, yea, dangerous ones, that I would not have read it through for five hundred pounds It led him into Arianism. Take care that similar tracts, (all of which I abhor,) have not the same effect upon

I like your thoughts upon Materialism, as I doubt not I should those on the separate existence of the soul. It will be best to print at Hull or York, if you can print almost as cheap, and have as good paper. Should there not be a thousand copies? Then you will reserve a hundred of them for yourself. I am, with love to sister Your affectionate Friend and Brother, Benson,

J. WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MISS COOKE.

Now Mrs. Clarke.

LETTER 1.

London, Oct 30, 1785.

MY dear Miss Cooke leans to the right-hand error. It is safer to think too little, than too much of yourself. I blame none for not believing he is in the favour of God, till he is in a manner constrained to believe it. But laying all circumstances together, I can make no doubt of your having a measure of faith. Many years ago, when one was describing the glorious privilege of a believer, I cried out, "If this be so, I have no faith" He replied, "Habes fidem sed exiguam; you have faith, but it is weak " The very same thing I say to you, my dear friend. You have faith, but it is only as a grain of mustard-seed. Hold fast what you have, and ask for what you want. There is an irreconcilable variability in the operations of the Holy Spirit on the souls of men, more especially as to the manner of justification. Many find Him rushing upon them like a torrent, while they experience

"The overwhelming power of saving grace." This has been the experience of many, perhaps of more, in this late visitation, than in any other age since the times of the Apostles. But in others he works in a very different way.

"He deigns his influence to infuse, Sweet, refreshing, as the violet dews."

It has pleased him to work the latter way in you, from the beginning: and, it is not improbable, he will continue (as he has begun) to work in a gentle and almost imperceptible manner. Let him take his own way. He is wiser than you. He will do all things well. Do not reason against him, but let the prayer of your heart be,

"Mould as thou wilt thy passive clay."

I commit you and your dear sisters to his tender care, and am,
My dear friend, most affectionately your's,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER II.

MY DEAR SISTER, Bristol, Sept. 24, 1785.

IT is highly probable, my letter to you was intercepted, by some person of the same name; who, having opened it, (likely by a mistake,) was afterwards ashamed to send it you. However, as you have now favoured me with better information, I hope there will be no such mistake for the time to come. But I beg, when you write to me hereafter, do not write as to a stranger, but a friend. Be not afraid of me, because I have lived so much longer than you. I assume nothing upon that account, but wish to stand upon even ground with you: and to converse without either disguise or reserve. I love you all three, and not a little; especially since your sisters spoke so freely to me: yet I do not say in the same degree. There is a mildness and sweetness in your spirit, such as I wish to find in one, that is more to me than a common friend. Not that I impute this to nature; whatever is truly amiable, is not of nature, but from a higher principle. Cultivate this, my dear friend, to the uttermost. Still learn of him, who was meek and lowly in heart. O what a blessing it is to be little, and mean, and vile in our own eyes. You are an amiable woman, it is true, but still you are a sinner, born to You are an immortal spirit come forth from God, and speedily returning to him. You know well that one thing, and one thing only, is needful for you upon earth: To ensure a better portion-to recover the favour and image of God. The former, by his grace, you have recovered: you have tasted of the love of God. See that you do not cast it away. See that you hold fast the beginning of your confidence steadfast unto the end! And how soon may you be made a partaker of sanctification! And not only by a slow and insensible growth in grace, but by the power of the Highest overshadowing you in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, so as utterly to abolish sin, and to renew you in his whole image! If you are simple of heart, if you are willing to receive the heavenly gift, as a little child, without reasoning, why may you not receive it now? He is nigh that sanctifieth, he is with you, he is knocking at the door of your heart!

"Come in, my Lord, come in, And seize her for thine own "

This is the wish of, My dear Friend, Your's, in tender affection,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

London, Dec. 14, 1785.

I LOVE to see the handwriting of my dear Miss Cooke, even before I open the letter. The thinking of you gives me very sensible pleasure, ever since you spoke so freely to me. There is a remedy for the evil of which you complain, unprofitable reasonings; and I do not know whether there is any other. It is the peace of God. This will not only keep you heart, your affections, and passions, as a garrison keeps a city, but your mind likewise; all the workings and all the wanderings of your imagination. And this is promised; "Ask, and it shall be given you, seek, and you shall find."

"Though it seem to tarry long, True and faithful is his word"

A small measure of it you have frequently found; which may encourage you to look for the fulness. But if you were to give scope to your reasonings, there would be no end: the farther you went, the more you would be entangled, so true it is, that to our weak apprehension

"The ways of heaven are dark and intricate, Puzzled with mazes, and perplex'd with error"

But that peace will silence all our hard thoughts of God, and give us in patience to possess our souls. I believe at the time that any first receive the peace of God, a degree of holy boldness is connected with it and that all persons, when they are newly justified, are called to bear witness to the truth. Those who use the grace, which is then freely given to them of God, will not only have the continuance of it, but a large increase; for "unto him that hath," (that is, useth what he hath,) "shall be given, and he shall have more abundantly." We shall grow in boldness the more, the more we use it; and it is by the same method, added to prayer, that we are to recover any thing we have lost. Do what in you lies, and he will do the My best service attends Mr L. who I hope will be holier and happier by means of his late union. He certainly will, if Mrs. L. and he provoke one another to love and to good works. I do not despair of having the pleasure to wait on them at the Devizes. My best wishes wait likewise on Miss S. I hope you two are one. Indeed I am,

My dear Miss Cooke, your's, in tender affection, J. Wesley.

LETTER IV.

Bath, Sept. 9, 1786.

IT gives me much satisfaction, my dear friend, to observe your are happier than when you wrote last. I do not doubt, but you have, at some times, a rich foretaste of the state which your soul pants after. And even

"These wand'ring gleams of light, And gentle ardours from above, Have made you sit, like seraph bright, Some moments on a throne of love."

But you know you are not to rest here; this is but a drop out of the ocean. Only this has been known again and again, that one of those happy moments has been the prelude of pure love. It has opened into the full liberty of the children of God. Who knows but this may be your happy experience! But the next time your soul is so caught up, he that loves you may touch your nature clean, and so take you into the holiest, that

"You may never leave the skies, Never stoop to earth again."

I am now intent upon my own work, finishing the life of Mr. Fletcher; this requires all the time I have to spare: so that as far as it is possible, I must, for two or three months, shut myself up. Two weeks I give to Bristol: after that time, I return to London I cannot therefore have the happiness of seeing Trowbridge this autumn. But might I not see you, or your sisters at Bristol? If I am invisible to others, I would not be so to you. You may always command every thing that is in the power of,

My very dear Friend, your's, in life and in death,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER V.

London, Dec. 12, 1786.

MY DEAR SISTER and FRIEND,

ONCE or twice I have been a little out of order this autumn; but it was only for a day or two at a time. In general, my health has been better for these last ten years, than it ever was for ten years together since I was born. Ever since that good fever which I had in the north of Ireland, I have had, as it were, a new constitution. All my pains and aches have forsaken me, and I am a stranger even to weariness of any kind. This is the Lord's doing, and it may well be marvellous in all our eyes. You oblige me much, (and so do your very dear sisters,) by being so solicitous about my health; I take it as a mark of your sincere affection. Meantime I wonder at you! I am almost ashamed that you should love me so well. It is plain how little you know me.

I am glad to find that the hunger and thirst after righteousness, which God has given you, does not abate. His providence cannot fail. You shall be filled, yea, satisfied therewith. But when you express it, not many will understand you, except Mrs. B. and our dear Betsy Johnson. However, do not fail to encourage all the

believers about you, to press on to this mark. Some will gladly receive the word of exhortation, and surely a few witnesses will be raised up. I cannot tell you how much I am your's,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

Macclesfield, March 31, 1787.

NOW you give me a proof, my dear Miss Cooke, that you have not forgotten me. But considering that I am usually obliged to write in haste, I often doubt whether my correspondence is worth having.

When the witness and the fruit of the Spirit meet together, there can be no stronger proof that we are of God. But still you may relapse into doubts, if you do not steadily watch against evil reasonings; and were you to substitute the deductions of reason, for the witness of the Spirit, you never would be established. That all trials are for good, you cannot always see, (at least for the present;) but you may always believe. You have doubtless reason to be thankful to God, that you feel love in your heart. Nay, indeed, thankfulness, gratitude, and love, for benefits received, are almost, if not quite the same. Accordingly, in this world, (whatever be the case in the next,) we love him, because he hath first loved us. This love is undoubtedly the spring of all inward and outward obedience. But we delight to do what he has commanded, and for that very reason, because he has commanded it. So,

"Obedience is our pure delight, To do the pleasure of our Lord."

I was a good deal refreshed with the company of you and your dear sisters, when we last met. The more so, because I trust you are all going forward in the good way. Peace be multiplied unto you.

My dear Friend adieu!

J. Wesley.

LETTER VII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Dec. 21, 1787.

YOU have unspeakable reason to praise God for his late manifestations to you. And you will generally observe, that large consolations are preceded by deep exercises of soul. And we all have reason to praise him, for the many tokens we see of his approaching kingdom. It is plain Satan, the murderer and the deceiver of mankind, is in a great measure bound already: he is not now permitted to deceive the nations, as in the past ages. And even in the Romish countries scarce any are now called to resist unto blood. If two or three of you continue instant in prayer, the work will revive at Trowbridge also. When you are met together, boldly lay hold on the promise: His word will speak, and will not lie! Peace be with all your spirits. I am, my dear Sister, your's, most affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VIII

THE great question is, What can be done for Adam Clarke? Now will you save his hie? Look round, consider if there be any circuit where he can have much lest, and little work? Or shall he and you spend September in my rooms at Kingswood, on condition that he shall preach but twice a week, and lide to the Hot Wells every day? I think he must do this, or die. And I do not want him (neither do you) to lun away from us in haste. You need not be told, that this will be attended with some expense: if it be, we can make it easy. I am apt to think this will be the best away. In the mean time, let him do as much as he can, and no more. It is probable I shall stay with you a little longer, as my strength does not much decline. I travelled yesterday nearly eighty miles, and preached in the evening, without any pain. The Lord does what pleases him. Peace be with all your spirits.

I am, my dear Sister, yours, most affectionately, J. Wesley.

LETTERS TO MR. A. CLARKE.

LETTER I.

YOU do well in insisting upon full and present salvation, whether men will hear or forbear. As also in preaching abroad, (when the weather permits,) and recommending fasting, both by precept and example. But you need not wonder that all these are opposed, not only by formalists, but by half-Methodists. You should not forget French, or any thing you have learned. I do not know whether I have read the book you speak of: you may send your translation at your leisure. Be all in earnest, and you shall see greater things than these.

I am, my dear Adam, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER II.

I LIKE the extract from Mr. Brittaine's well. Probably it may have a place in the Magazine. It is well you have broken into Stonehouse Now enlarge your borders, while I am with you probably you will have rougher weather when I am gone. You may come to the Conference. you and your fellow-labourers should spend some time in consulting together, how you may enlarge your borders. This mild weather is almost as good as summer. I preached abroad last Monday. O let us snatch every means of redeeming the time! Eternity is at hand!

I am, dear Adam, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

In a few days I shall set out for Bristol.

LETTER III.

YOU see none that trust in him, are contounded. When God is for us, who can be against us? Discipline is the great want in Guernsey; without which, the work of God cannot prosper. You did well to set upon it without delay, and to be as exact as possible. It is a true saying, "the soul and the body make the man, and the spirit and discipline make a Christian." We heard of a remarkable awakening in some part of the island. I hope those who were then awakened, are not all fallen asleep again Preaching in the morning, is one excellent means of keeping their souls awake. If you desire to have any health, you must never pass one day without walking, at least, an hour: and take care not to speak too loud, or too long. Never exceed an hour at a time. Grace be with all your spirits.

I am, dear Adam, your's affectionately, J. Wesley.

, dear Adam, your s anconomatery, v. " would

LETTER IV.

AFTER staying a few days in Bristol, I am engaged to visit the intermediate Societies between Stroud and Chester. I must then hasten to Dublin, or I shall not have time to go through the four provinces of Ireland. I shall not, therefore, have a day to spare before the Conference. Possibly, after the Conference, I may be able to stay two or three weeks. And if so, I shall pass away to Southampton, in order to spend two or three days at Guernsey, and as many in Jersey. This will we do, if God permit. I am glad you are minded to make a trial at Alderney. If God send you, he will make a way for you. The hearts of all men are in his hands. To his care I commend you, and I am,

My dear Adam, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER V.

DEAR ADAM, Birmingham, March 26, 1787.

YOU have reason to praise God for giving you such favour in the eyes of the poor people of Alderney. And I am m hopes, our brother de Queteville will meet with a blassing in watering the seed which is already sown. But I observe, in the map, the name of another island, not very far from Alderney. Are there none that understand English in the Isle of Sark? If there are, I cannot tell whether you are not a debtor to those poor souls also.

If confinement hurts you, do not submit to it. Spread yourself abroad through all the four islands But I doubt, speaking loud hurts you more, if not speaking long too! Beware of this for conscience' sake. Do not offer murder for sacrifice! But before it be too late, take the advice of,

Dear Adam, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.
M m 2

LETTER VI.

DEAR ADAM, Near London, Nov. 9, 1787.

I AM glad to hear that there is a prospect of a good work in the Isle of Alderney, as well as in the Isles of Jersey and Guernsey. I do not despair of seeing our Jersey, and Guernsey friends once more, if it should please God to prolong my life. I love them dearly; particularly the family at Mount Plaisir, in Guernsey; and I. B. in Jersey. I would take some pains, and undergo some fatigue, were it only to spend two or three days with them. One would wonder, that the prince of this world was so slow, and that he did not sooner fight, lest his kingdom should be delivered up. He will at length do what he can. But if you continue instant in prayer, God will put the bridle in his mouth. It is well we should be convinced that we have need of him. Our safety will we ascribe to him alone.

As the case of sister II. is too singular to be credited without the fullest evidence, I think you would do well, to write the account fair, and have it formally attested by Mrs. J. Mr. A. and three or four more who were eye-witnesses of the whole. You must not believe all you hear, concerning the circumstances of Mr. L.'s marriage. Indeed, you should believe nothing about them, till you have told it to themselves. Envy will innent a thousand things, and with the most plausible circumstances. (Love them, if it be possible, which can never be done by harshness; but love will "break the bone.")

The bailiff was talking of building you a house at St. Peter's: I think it may be done by and by. Be exact in every point of discipline. Keep our rules, and they will keep you. I am, dear Adam, Your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER VII:

DEAR ADAM, Bristol, March 9, 1789.

IF I should live to see you another Conference, I should be glad to have sister Clarke and you here, rather than at most other places; because I spend more time here myself, than at any other place, except London. I am glad to hear that God has raised up so able a preacher from the islands: but certainly you should spare no pains in teaching him to read and write English. And I do not doubt but if he learned with a single eye, he would be largely strengthened by the blessed God.

It would be a reason for being very wary in choosing names for our children, if that old remark were true,

⁶⁵ That our first tempers from example flow, And borrow that example from our names."

Peace be with you and your's. I am, dear Adam,
Your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

Near Dublin, June 25, 1789. DEAR ADAM, YOU send me good news with regard to the islands. Who can hurt us, if God is on our side? Trials may come, but they are all good I have not been so tried, for many years. Every week, and almost every day, I am bespattered in the public papers. Many are in tears on the occasion, many terribly frightened, and crying out, "O what will the end he?" What will it be? why-Glory to God in the highest, and peace and good will among men. But, mean-time, what is to be done? What will be the most effectual means to stem this furious torrent? I have just visited the classes; and find still in the society upwards of a thousand members, and among them, many as deep Christians as any I have met with in Lurope. But who is able to watch over these, that they may not be moved from their steadfastness? I know none more proper than Adam Clarke and his wife. Indeed it may seem hard for them to go into a strange land again. Well, you may come to me at Leeds, the latter end of next month: and if you can show me any that are more proper, I will send them instead, that God may be glorified in all that is designed by,

Dear Adam, your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEE.

LETTER X.

DEAR ADAM, Bristol, Sept. 9, 1790.

DID not the terrible weather that you had at sea, make you forget your fatigue by land? Come, set one against the other, and you have no great reason to complain of your journey. You will have need of all the courage and prudence which God has given you. Indeed you will want constant supplies of both. Very gently, and very steadily, you should proceed between the rocks on either In the great revival at London, my first difficulty was, to bring in temper those who opposed the work; and my next, to check and regulate the extravagancies of those that promoted it. And this was far the hardest part of the work. for many of them would bear no check at all. But I followed one rule, though with all calmness. You must either bend or break. Meantime, while you act exactly right, expect to be blamed by both sides give you a few directions 1. See that no prayer-meeting continue Jater than nine at night, particularly on Sunday. Let the house be emptied before the clock strikes nine. 2. Let there be no exhortation at any prayer-meeting 3. Beware of jealousy or judging one another. 4 Never think a man is an enemy to the work, because he reproves irregularities. Peace be with you and your's.

I am, dear Adam, your affectionate Friend and Brother,
J. WESLEY.

LETTER XI.

DEAR ADAM, London, Nov. 26, 1790.

THE account you send me of the continuance of the great work of God in Jersey, gives me great satisfaction. To retain the grace of God, is much more than to gain it: hardly one in three does this. And this should be strongly and explicitly urged on all who have tasted of perfect love. If we can prove that any of our local preachers or leaders either directly or indirectly speak against it, let him be a local preacher or leader no longer. I doubt whether he should continue in the society. Because he that could speak thus in our congregations, cannot be an honest man. I wish Sister Clarke to do what she can, but no more than she can. Betsy Ritchie, Miss Johnson, and M. Clarke are women after my own heart. Last week I had an excellent letter from Mrs. Pawson, (a glorious witness of full salvation,) showing how impossible it is to retain pure love without growing therein.

Wishing every blessing to you and all the family,

I am, dear Adam, your affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XII.

DEAR ADAM, London, Feb. 9, 1791.

YOU have great reason to bless God for giving you strength according to your day. He has, indeed, supported you in a wonderful manner under these complicated afflictions. You may well say, I will put my trust in thee as long as I live. I will desire Dr. Whitehead to consider your case, and give you his thoughts upon it. I am not afraid of your doing too little, but too much. I am in imminent danger of this. Do a little at a time, that you may do the more. My love to Sisters Cookman and Boyle: but it is a doubt with me, whether I shall cross the seas any more. What preacher was it who first omitted meeting the Select Society? I wonder it did not destroy the work! You have done right in setting up the Strangers' [friend] Society. It is an excellent institution; I am quite at a loss concerning Mr. Maddan. I know not what to think of him. Send me your best thoughts concerning him. At any rate, write, and send me your thoughts on Animal Magnetism. I set my face against this device of Satan. I know its principles full well. With much love I am, my dear Adam, to your wife,

Your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

[This was the last letter I had from Mr. Wesley; and was written, as the date shows, about three weeks before his death. I was then, apparently, in a dying state at Dublin. A. C.]

LETTERS TO MR. DOWNES.

LETTER I.

MY DEAR BROTHER, London, Nov 7, 1751.

YOUR first hinderance is easily removed. Most of the preachers have now all they want. So might you have had, if you had spoken to the stewards, or (in case of their neglect) to me.

As to your second, bodily weakness is a good reason for a tempo-

rary retirement.

Your third observation, that the people, in general, do not practise what they hear, is a melancholy truth. But what then ? Is this a sufficient cause why either you or I should leave them ? Why we should give them up to their own heart's lusts, and let them follow their own imaginations? In nowise Especially, while there are some among them whose conversation is worthy of the gospel of Christ.

I grant also, some of the preachers themselves do not adorn the gospel. Therefore we have been constrained to lay some of them aside, and some others are departed of themselves. Let us that remain be doubly in earnest

You should make an excursion (as to Ahmuck) now and then. Is not John Fenwick a proper person to relieve James Tucker at Whitehaven? If you think he is, pray send him thither forthwith. My love to your father and mother.

I entreat you, tell me without reserve, what you think of C Sketton? Is his heart with us or is it not? Peace be with you. Adieu!

J. Wesley.

LETTER II.

MY DEAR BROTHER, London, Nov. 28, 1751.

I THINK you write to me, as if you did not care to write.

am glad you went to Aluwick. The method you took of talking with each person in the society apart, I hear has been greatly blest to them I do not see how you could have dealt more favourably with T—G—than you did. If he will leave the society, he must leave it But if he does, you are clear.

I know not what to do more for poor Jenny Keith. Alas, from what a height is she fallen! What a burning and shining light was she six or seven years ago! But thus it ever was. Many of the first shall be last, and many of the last first.

How are you employed? From five in the morning till nine at night? (For I suppose you want eight hours' sleep?) What becomes of Logic and Latin? Is your soul alive and more atherst for God?

I am, your affectionate Friend and Brother.

J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

MY DEAR BROTHER, London, Dec. 10, 1751.

I THANK C. Errington for his letter. He should not fail to write, whenever he sees occasion. If you are straitened for preachers, could not you make use of George Atchinson (from Stockton) for a time? I suppose Jas. Tucker also is now with you. He is (I verily believe) honest of heart: but a little too wise in his own eyes. Speak plainly to him, if you should ever hear, that any thing is amiss in his preaching or conversation.

Brother Reeves will be here in a day or two. But he cannot return into the North yet. I wish you would regulate a little at a time, as you find your health would permit. But you must carefully guard against any irregularity, either as to food, sleep, or labour. Your water should be neither quite warm (for fear of relaxing the tone of your stomach) nor quite cold. Of all flesh, mutton is the best for you; of all vegetables, turnips, potatoes, and apples (roasted, boiled, or baked,) if you can bear them.

Take care you do not lose any thing you have learned already, whether you learn more or not. You must needs be here (if alive) the first of March, at our Conference. None will be present but those we invite.

How apt is the corruptible body to press down the soul! But all

shall work together for good.

Now you can sympathize a little with me. We must expect no thanks from man. Evil for good will be our constant portion here. But it is well. The Lord is at hand. I am,

Your affectionate friend and brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

DIY DEAR BROTHER, London, Dec. 28, 1751.

YOUR letter is the picture of your heart. It is honest and appright. I believe a journey to London will do you good. If you could borrow a horse to Leeds, you may take my mare from thence, which is in C. Shent's keeping. As you ride slow, and not many miles a day, I suppose she would bring you hither very well; and when you are here, we can easily find means to supply your other wants.

I think it is ill husbandry, for you to work with your hands, in order to get money: because you may be better employed. But, if you will work, come and superintend my printing. I will give you forty pounds for the first year, and it will cost me nothing so to do. Afterwards, if need be, I will increase your salary; and still you may preach, as often as you can preach. However, come, whether you print, or preach, or not. Peace be with your spirit,

I am, Your affectionate friend and brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTERS TO MISS FURLY. (afterwards Mrs. Donnes.)

LETTER I.

Dec. 22, 1756.

IT is a happy thing, if we can learn obedience by the things which we suffer. Weakness of body, and heaviness of mind will, I trust, have this good effect upon you. The particular lesson which you have now to learn is, To be faithful in (comparatively) little things: particularly in conversation. God hath given you a tongue; why? That you may praise him therewith: that all your conversation may be, for the time to come, meet to minister grace to the heavers. Such conversation, and private prayer, exceedingly assist each other. By resolutely persisting (according to your little strength) in all works of piety, and mercy, you are waiting on God in the old scriptural way. And therein he will come and save you. Do not think he is afar off. It is nigh that justifieth, that sanctifieth. Beware you do not thrust him away from you. Rather say.

My heart would now receive tnee, Lord! Come in, my Lord, come in!

Write as often, and as freely, and fully as you please to Your affectionate brother and servant, J. WESLEY.

LETTER II.

Bristol, May 18, 1757.

THE great point is, to pick out in Bristol (as in all places) such acquaintance as have a deep fear of God, a continual consciousness of his presence, and a strong thirst after his whole image. Such I take most of the leaders of bands to be; and such are many of the poor, in the society. But extremely few of the rich or honourable Methodists are of that number. My dear Sister, I have been in pain for you, on their account. When I talked with you last, you could relish the simplicity of the gospei. You were athirst for all the mind that was in Christ, and wanted to walk just as he walked. O let none persuade you (either by example or advice) to make any, the least, deviation from that good way. Make no abatement: Do not soften the plain, rough gospel. Do not

" Measure back your steps to earth again."

Be not, either inwardly or outwardly, conformed to this world, but

be a Christian altogether.

Health you shall have, if health be best. And he that gives it, will give a blessing with it: An increase of spiritual, as well as of bodily strength: but it is strength to labour, not to sit still. And this strength will either increase or decrease, in the same proportion with your sense of his love. You may lose this sense either, 1. By committing sin; or 2. By omitting duty; or 3. By giving way to pride,

anger, or any other inward sin, or 4. By not watching unto prayer, by yielding to indolence or spiritual sloth. But it is no more necessary that we should ever lose it, than it is necessary we should omit duty or commit sin. Mr. Law, therefore speaking on this head, betrays deep ignorance, both of the Scripture and the inward work of God. You are more liable to receive hurt from his late writings, than from any others which I know. I shall write to Sammy this morning it would not have been amiss, if you had spoken freely to me concerning him. Why should not you (now you have, in some measure, broke that natural shyness) speak all that is in your heart to,

Dear Miss Furly,

Your truly affectionate friend and brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER III

Newcastle-upon-Tyne, June 14, 1757

YOU have reason to praise God for what he has done, and to expect all that he has promised Indeed, if it were required, that you should work this in yourself, your impotence might be a bar to your expectations. and so might your unworthiness, if God required any merit of yours in order to his working in you? But what impotence in you can be a bar to the almighty power of God? And what unworthiness can hinder the free love of God? His love in and through Christ Jesus? So that all the promises lie fair before you. The land flowing with milk and honey, the Canaan of his perfect love is open. Believe and enter in!

It is an observation of one of the ancients, 'That it is far easier not to desire praise than not to be pleased with it. A bare conviction, that it is (generally speaking) deadly poison, may prevent our desiring it. But nothing less than humble love filling the heart, will prevent our being pleased with it. For the sense of honour is as natural to man as the sense of tasting or feeling. But when that which is spiritual is fully come, this which is corruptly natural shall be done away.

Whatever enemies you have, it is enough that you have a friend who is mightier than them all O let him reign in your heart alone! Do not spare to speak with all freedom to, Dear Miss Furly,

Your affectionate brother and servant, J. Wesley.

LETTER IV.

June 18, 1757.

I AM the more jealous over you, because I know you are hable to be much influenced by fair words: especially when they are spoken by persons of sense, and in an agreeable manner. And flesh and blood are powerful advocates for conformity to the world, particularly in little things. But, blessed be God, we have an unction from the Holy One, ready to teach us all of things. O, let us attend to this inward teaching, which indeed is always consonant with the

word. Then the word, applied by the Spirit, shall be a light in all

our ways, and a lamp in all our paths.

Fight on, and conquer! Change of place, as you observe, is but a little thing. But God has, in some measure, changed your heart, wherein you have great reason to rejoice. And, having received the first fruits of the Spirit, righteousness, peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost, patiently and earnestly wait for the great change,

whereby every root of bitterness may be torn up.

You may profitably converse with even those honourable Christians, if you watch and pray that they do not infect you, 1. With mystical notions, which stand in full opposition to plain old Bible divinity: or, 2. With their odd, senseless jargon of a Catholic Spirit, whereby they have themselves suffered great loss. The spirit of the world. I think, you are aware of already: and indeed there is danger in every approach to it.

I have heard from both Mrs. Gaussen and Miss Bosanquet. There is a poor, queer, old woman in Bristol (if she is not gone to Paradise) with whom it might do you good to talk. John Jones knows her. Her name is Elizabeth Edgecomb. Peace be with

your spirit.

I am, dear Miss Furly,

Your affectionate Brother and Servant, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER V.

York, July 1, 1757. DEAR MISS FURLY, I CANNOT write to you now so fully as I would: but I must send a few lines. Mere temptation certainly does not weaken without yielding to temptation. Yet a heaviness and soreness may remain upon the spirit till there is a fresh discovery of the love of

A jealous fear of offending God is good. But what have you to do with any other fear? Let love cast it all out; and, at the same time, make you ten-fold more afraid of doing any thing, small or great, which you cannot offer up as a holy sacrifice, acceptable to God, through Jesus Christ.

'All who are without this fear, (and much more all who call it legal, who revile the precious gift of God, and think it an hinderance to "the growing up in Christ") are Antinomians in the inmost soul. Come not into their secret, my dear Miss Furly; but pray for more and more of that "legal spirit," and you will more and more rejoice.

Your affectionate Servant, JOHN WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

Tremeneure, Sept. 6, 1757. WHY you should be afraid, on account of any thing you said to me, I do not know. Certainly if you had said whatever was in Vol. 10.-N n

your heart, it might have been a means of lessening your buithen, and not of increasing it. I believe you have often a desire, and almost a resolution, of saying a great deal to me. But when you come to write or speak, your heart fails. Why should it? Why should you repress yourself? I should not despise, but love you for your openness It is the fruit and the proof of an honest heart. I know you are weak, I know a little of your particular weaknesses. But so much the more am I concerned for you, as a tender, sickly flower. Away then with this reserve: it answers no end, but to trouble and embarrass you. Tell me, freely and plainly, any difficulty you meet with; any enemy against whom you want help; use me as a friend; as I hope you will use Sister Crosby; and you will find it a blessing to your soul. It will again bring the promise of holmess near. which indeed always seems to be far off, when we give way to any known sin, when we, any way, grieve the Spirit of God. There may be some rare cases, wherein God has determined not to bestow his perfect love, till a little before death. but this, I believe, is uncommon. he does not usually put off the fulfilling of his promises. Seek, and you shall find: seek earnestly, and you shall find speedily. Lift up the hands that hang down: deny yourself take up your cross; whether that of meeting your class, or any other. Fight on, and victory is at hand!

I am, dear Miss Furly, your affectionate Servant,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VII.

St. Austle's, Cormoall, Sept. 25, 1757.

MY DEAR SISTER,

IT is a rule with me, to take nothing ill, that is well meant: therefore you have no need ever to be afraid of my putting an ill construction on any thing you say: for I know you mean only to save your soul. In most genteel, religious people, there is so strange a mixture, that I have seldom much confidence in them. I love the poor: in many of them I find pure, genuine grace, unmixed with paint, folly, and affectation. But I think Mrs. Gaussen is upright of heart, and perhaps you may find one or two gentlewomen like her.

It is plain, God sees it best for you, frequently to walk in a thorny path. By this means he aims at destroying your pride of heart, and breaking your stubborn will. You have had large experience, that there is no substantial or lasting happiness but in Him. O be true to yourself, and to your own experience. Do not seek it where it cannot be found. Hew out to yourself no more broken cisterns: but let all the springs of your happiness be in him.

You cannot be too careful to keep out of the way of any thing that has been the occasion of sin And it is very possible to show civility and moderate respect to any person, without coming in the way of danger. All private conversation may be avoided; and

ought to be, at all hazards. Do not run yourself into temptation;

and God will deliver you from evil.

Nature and the Devil will always oppose private prayer: but it is worth while to break through. That it is a cross, will not hinder its being a blessing: nay, often the more reluctance, the greater

I think, it was not you who advised poor Sam, to be a mere regular clergyman, unconnected with the Methodists. Certainly this is the best way to preferment. But it is not the best way to heaven, or to do good upon earth. When it is too late, the awakened clergy will probably see this.

I am, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

Bristol, Oct. 21, 1757. MY DEAR SISTER,

GOD will do his own work in his own manner, and exceeding variously in different persons. It matters not, whether it be wrought in a more pleasing or painful manner, so it is wrought: so nature is subdued, pride and self-will dethroned, and the will of God done in us and by us. Therefore trouble not yourself about the experience of others: God knows you, and let him do with you as he sees best.

I judge your late distress to be partly the effect of disease, but chiefly preternatural. In the third Journal, there is a case nearly parallel: only the symptoms were more severe. For, in a moment, Lucretia Smith felt such a cloud spread over her, that she could not believe there was a God, or an after-state. You did right to pray, as you could pray: and this is the best method which can be taken, in heaviness or darkness of any kind. Then, if sin be the cause, it will be discovered. But take care, that you do not refuse any help: even rough speakers may be of service. Only spread what they say before the Lord, and he will turn it to good. I am, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

Lewisham, Feb. 9, 1758.

UNDOUBTEDLY you may arise now, and receive power from on high. You are hindered chiefly by not understanding the freeness of the gift of God. You are perpetually seeking for something in yourself, to move him to love and bless you. But it is not to be found there: it is in himself, and in the Son of his love. He did then give you a proof of this, in that fresh evidence of pardon; and he is ready to give it you again to-day: for he is not weary of welldoing. But even after this, you may or you may not use the power which attends that peace. And if you ask for more power, it shall be given you; for you have an advocate with the Father. O cast yourself upon him: learn more of that lesson,

"Thy salvation to obtain,
Out of myself I go:
Freely thou must heal my pain,
Thy unbought mercy show."

11ow much of it may you find in this hour! Look up, and see recomption near!

Your affectionate Brother and Servant,

J. WESLEY

LETTER X.

Dublin, April 13, 1758.

RUT if you find such a surprising alteration at Bonnei's Hall, what need have you of removing to Bristol? Perhaps a lodging there might answer the purpose of health full as well as one at Clifton, and the purpose of religion considerably better. There are few in that neighbourhood from whom I should hope you would receive much profit, except Sarah Ryan. If she abides in her integrity, she is a jewel indeed: one whose equal I have not yet found in England.

You ought not to drink much tea: and none without pretty much eream (not milk) and sugar. But I believe, were you to drink nettle-tea for a few mornings, it would do you more good than any other. It seems best for you to have frequent returns of weakness: it may be needful to fix seriousness upon your spirit, by a lasting impression, that there is but a step between you and eternity. But sickness alone will not do this: no, nor even the near approach of death, unless the Spirit of God sanctify both, a man may laugh and

trifle with his last breath.

MY DEAR SISTER,

You will overcome trifling conversation, and the fear of man, not by yielding, but by fighting This is a cross which you cannot be excused from taking up. bear it, and it will bear you. By prayer you will receive power so to do, to be a good soldier of Jesus Christ. But it is more difficult to resist hurtful desire: I am most afraid you should give way to this Herein you have need of all the power of God. O stand fast! Look up, and receive strength! I shall be glad to hear that you are more than conqueror, and that you daily grow in the vital knowledge of Christ. Peace be with your spirit. I am, your affectionate servant, J. Wesley.

LETTER XI

Dec. 28, 1758.

I THOUGHT it long since I heard from you: but I imputed it to your illness And I did not desire you should do any thing which would put you to pain, or increase your bodily weakness.

When you seemed confident of receiving the promise in a few days, I did not judge it needful to say any thing to the contrary; both because I was persuaded, that expectation would be a quickening to your soul, and because I knew you had one near you who was able to advise you in any emergency. See that your desires do

not cool, and you shall not be ashamed of this confident expectation. So long as it is tempered with resignation, it can do you no disservice. And what else is there worthy of a desire? Health you shall have, if health be best, even bodily health. But what is that in comparison of a healthful mind? And this you are sure to have.

I scruple S. R.'s drinking tar-water, because it is so extremely nauseous. Neither will it profit, if it occasion costiveness, unless stewed prunes be taken every second or third night instead of it. I rather wish she would resume the medicine I formerly prescribed: only taking care not to catch cold with it. Perhaps in a few days you may see, Your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Norwich, March 6, 1759.

I SHALL always be glad to hear from you, when you can write without hurting yourself. But I am almost afraid to write, for

fear of tempting you to answer, whether you can or not.

Since you left Kingswood, I hope you use the water at the 'Hot Wells as often as possible. If any thing medicinal profit you, probably it will be this. But perhaps God will not suffer you to be healed by outward medicines. It may be, he is determined to have all the glory of his own work. Meantime he designs, by this weakness of body, to keep your soul low, as a weaned child. There is a wonderful mystery in the manner and circumstances of that mighty working, whereby he subdues all things to himself, and leaves nothing in the heart but his pure love alone.

I have no doubt but God will give you the answer to that prayer,

"Let me thy witness live,
When sin is all destroyed!
And then my spotless soul receive,
And take me home to God!"

I am, my dear sister, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Aug. 19, 1759.

THE observing that rule might prevent abundance of mischief: I wish others would observe it as well as you. T. Walsh was a good and a wise man; yet there were some circumstances not commonly known, which easily account for the darkness he went through, before he went to Paradise.

I hope you have talked with Cornelius Bastable, as well as heard him preach. He is an uncommon monument of the power of grace, strengthening the understanding, as well as renewing the heart. For so weak a head, and so bad a temper as he once had, I do not know among all our preachers.

Probably the difference between you and others, lies in words chiefly. All who expect to be sanctified at all, expect to be sanctise

fied by fath. But meantime they know, that faith will not be given but to them that obey. Remotely, therefore, the blessing depends on our works; although, immediately, on simple faith

Enjoy, while you may, the advantage which I had once, and shall

have again, when God sees best.

I am, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

Certainly you may say to me whatever you have a mind, either by writing or speaking.

LETTER XIV.

MY DEAR SISTER, Athlone, June 1, 1760.

I AM persuaded, it is not a little thing which will make me angry at you. I hope your thinking evil of me, would not; for you may

have many reasons so to do.

Try: perhaps by prayer and a little resolution, you may avoid hearing those disputes about holiness. It implies no more than this: If John Jones, or any other, begins a discourse concerning the errors or sins of absent persons, tell him, "I beg you would say no more on this head: I dare not, and I will not hear, unless those persons were present" If one begins any caution of that kind, stop him. only with mildness and good humour: say, "I believe you speak out of kindness, but I must not hear: it both distresses and hurts my soul. Therefore, if you really wish my welfare, be silent or let us call another cause." Where you see good, you may add, "I consulted Mr. Wesley on this head, and this was the advice he gave me."

No one ever "walked in the light as God is in the light," (I mean, in the full sense of the expression,) till "the blood of Jesus Christ had cleansed him from all sin" "If we are perfectly saved, it is through his blood." This is the plain meaning of the text. And it may be fulfilled in you, before you sleep. God is sovereign, in sanctifying as well as justifying. He will act, when, as well as how, he pleases. And none can say unto him, What dost thou?

When the lungs are ulcerated, cold bathing not only does no huit. But is the most probable cure. Sammy is a letter in my debt. I do not know, but he is providentially called to this kingdom. I have now finished more than half my progress, having gone through two of the four Provinces. Who knows whether I shall live to go through the other two! It matters not how long we live, but how well.

I am, my dear Sister, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XV.

MY DEAR SISTER, Norwich, Jan 18, 1761.

I HAVE sometimes wondered, that not one of all the clergymen we have known, should ever cleave to me, for God's sake: nor-

one man of learning, which would ease me exceedingly. Tommy Walsh designed it,

"But death had quicker wings than love."

Perhaps it was not best: because I am so immeasurably apt to pour

out all my soul into any that loves me.

It is well for sister Clarke, that she is landed safe. And it is well for us, who are still amidst the waves, that he is with us, whom the winds and the seas obey. He is steering you to the haven, where you would be. You may well trust your soul with him, and let him

do with you as seemeth him good.

Certainly nothing can be of greater importance, than the behaviour of both of those who are renewed, and of those who are known to be pressing after it. You have need to weigh every step you take When and where do you meet now? And who are they that meet? Pray send the enclosed to your neighbour. And let all of you love and pray for, Your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XVI.

MY DEAR SISTER, St. Ives, Sept. 15, 1762. WHEREUNTO you have attained, hold fast. But expect that greater things are at hand: although our friend talks, as if you

were not to expect them, till the article of death.

Certainly sanctification (in the proper sense) is "an instantaneous deliverance from all sin," and includes "an instantaneous power then given, always to cleave to God." Yet this sanctification (at least in the lower degrees) does not include a power, never to think an useless thought, nor ever speak an useless word. I myself believe, that such a perfection, is inconsistent with living in a corruptible body. For this makes it impossible "always to think right." While we breathe, we shall more or less mistake. If, therefore, Christian perfection implies this, we must not expect it till after death.

I want you to be all love. This is the perfection I believe and teach. And this perfection is consistent with a thousand nervous disorders, which that high-strained perfection is not. Indeed my judgment is, that (in this case particularly) to overdo, is to undo and that to set Perfection too high, (so high as no man that we ever heard or read of, attained,) is the most effectual (because unsuspected) way, of driving it out of the world.

Take care you are not hurt by any thing in the "Short Hymns," contrary to the doctrines you have long received. Peace be with your spirit! I am, your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XVII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, July 16, 1763.

1. SO far as I know what will make me most holy, and most useful, I know what is the will of God.

2 Certainly it is possible, for persons to be as devoted to God in

a married, as in a single state.

3. I believe I D is thoroughly desirous of being wholly devoted to God and that if you alter your condition at all, you cannot choose a more proper person.

I am, my dear Sister, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY

LETTER XVIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Lewisham, Dec. 15, 1763.

IT has seemed to me, for some time, that God will not suffer Cornelius Bastable to live at Cork. He may starve there, but he

cannot live. The people are not worthy of him.

Salvation from sin is a deeper and a higher work, than either you or S. Ryan can conceive. But do not imagine, (as we are continually prone to do,) that it lies in an indivisible point. You experienced a taste of it when you were justified. You since experienced the thing itself, only in a low degree: and God gave you his Spirit, that you might know the things which he had freely given you. Hold tast the beginning of your confidence steadfast unto the end. You are continually apt to throw away what you have, for what you want. However, you are right in looking tor a farther instantaneous change, as well as a constant, gradual one. But it is not good for you to be quite alone, you should converse frequently, as well as treely, with Miss Johnson, and any other that is much alive. You have great need of this. I am, my dear Sister,

Your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XIX

MY DEAR SISTER, Edinburgh, May 28, 1764
CERTAINLY it would be right, to spend some time in sett

CERTAINLY it would be right, to spend some time in setting down both the outward providences of God, and the inward leadings and workings of his Spirit, as far as you can remember them. But observe withal, you are called to be a good steward of the mammon of unrighteousness. You must therefore think of this too in its place; only without anxiety. Otherwise, that neglect of your calling, will hinder the work of God in your heart. You are not serving Mainmon by this, but serving Christ. It is part of the task which he has assigned you. Yet it is true, your heart is to be free all the time. And see that you stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made you free.

I thought your name had been altered before now In a new station you will have need of new watchfulness. Still redeem the time. Be steadily serious. And follow your own conscience in all things.

I am, my dear Sister, your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

In my return from the Highlands, I expect to spend a day at New-castle-upon-Tyne, the 18th or 19th of June.

LETTER XX.

I KNOW not that you differ from me at all. You are certainly in your place at present: and it seems one providential reason of your ill health, was, to drive you thither. Now use all the ability which God giveth, and he will give more. Unto him that hath, shall be given, and he shall have more abundantly: it is the hand of the diligent that maketh rich. If you can persuade honest Alice Brammah to be cleanly, as well as gentle, she will be teachable and advisable; otherwise there is a fly in the pot of ointment. You are sent to Leeds chiefly for the sake of those, that enjoy, or thirst after, perfect love. Redeem the time! Go on in his name! And let the world and the Devil fall under your feet!

I am, my dear Sister, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXI.

YOU have abundant reason to praise God, who has dealt so mercifully with you, and to encourage all about you, never to rest

till they attain full salvation.

As to the question you propose, if the leader himself desires it, and the class be not unwilling, in that case there can be no objection to your meeting a class even of men. This is not properly assuming or exercising any authority over them. You do not act as a superior, but an equal: and it is an act of friendship and brotherly love.

I am glad you had a little conversation with Miss Ritchie. She is a precious soul. Do her all the good you can, and incite her to exert all the talents which God has given her.

I am, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XXII.

MY DEAR SISTES, London, Dec. 1, 1781.

NEVER be afraid that I should think your letters troublesome:

I am never so busy, as to forget my friends.

Mr. and Mrs. Fletcher made an excellent beginning, and, I trust, they will increase with all the increase of God! Now let all of you that remain in the neighbourhood, arise up, and supply her lack of service. Be instant in season, out of season; that all may know, you have caught her mantle!

But pray do not suffer my poor Miss Ritchie to work herself to

death. Let her do all she can, and not more than she can.

I am, your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER XXIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, Near London, Nov. 21, 1783. THROUGH the blessing of God, I find no difference at all between the health and strength which are now given me, and that which I had forty years ago. Only I had then many pains, which I have not now.

You are enabled to give a very clear and standing proof that weakness of nerves cannot prevent joy in the Loid. Your nerves have been remarkably weak, and that for many years But still your soul can magnify the Lord, and your spirit rejoice in God your Saviour!

Your affectionate Brother, J Wesley

LETTERS TO MRS. CROSBY.

LETTER I.

MY DEAR SISTER. June 14, 1757. I WAS concerned at not hearing from you for so long a time, whereas I would not willingly pass a fortinglit without it. Whenever you have lessure, write; whether any one else does or not. I shall be here near three weeks, and then at York. It comforts me to hear that your love does not decreuse: I want it to increase daily. Is there not height and depth in him with whom you have to do, for your love to rise infinitely higher, and to sink infinitely deeper into him than ever it has done yet? Are you fully employed for him? And yet so as to have some time, daily, for reading and other pritate exercises? If you should grow cold, it would afflict me much, Rather let me always rejoice over you. As for me, I seem to be only just beginning, to aim feebly at God though I have found more liberty in the respects you mention lately, than of a long scason. Dear Sally, never forget to pray for Your affectionate Brother, J WESLEY.

LETTER II.

MISS —— gave me your's on Wednesday night Hitherto, I think you have not gone too far You could not well do less I apprehend, all you can do more is, when you meet again, to tell them simply, "You lay me under a great difficulty. The Methodists do not allow of women-preachers. Neither do I take upon me any such character. But I will just nakedly tell you what is in my heart." This will, in a great measure, obviate the grand objection, and prepare for J. Hampson's criming. I do not see that you have broken any law. Go on calmly and steadily. If you have time, you may read to them the notes on any chapter, before you speak a few words. or one of the most awakening sermons, as other women have done long ago.

The work of God goes on mightily here, both in conviction and conversion. This morning I have spoken with four or five who seem to have been set at liberty within this month. I believe, within five weeks, six, in one class, have received remission of sins, and five, in one band, received a second blessing. Peace be with you all! J. WESLEY. I am your affectionate Brother,

LETTER III.

MY DEAR SISTER.

Y DEAR SISTER, Kingswood, Oct. 5, 1765.
YOU oblige me much by speaking so freely. What an admirable teacher is experience! You have great reason to praise God for what he has taught you hereby, and to expect that he will teach you all things. But whatever you find now, beware you do not deny what you had once received. I do not say "a divine assurance that you should never sin, or sustain any spiritual loss." I know not that ever you received this. But you certainly were saved from sin's: and that as clearly, and in as high a degree as ever Sally Ryan was. And if you have sustained any loss in this, believe, and be made whole.

I never doubted, but - would recover her strength, though she

has long walked in a thorny way.

A general temptation now, is the denying what God had wrought. Guard all whom you converse with from this: and from fancying great grace can be preserved without great watchfulness and self-denial. I am, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

MY DEAR SISTER, Sligo, May 2, 1766. IT is a long time since I beard either of you or from you. I hope you think of me oftener than you write to me. Let us but continue in prayer,

"And mountains rise and occans roll,
To sever us, in vain."

I frequently find profit in thinking of you, and should be glad if we had more opportunities of conversing together. If a contrary thought arises, take knowledge from whom it comes. You may judge, by the fruit of it. For it weakens your hands, and slackens you from being instant in prayer. I am inclined to think I found the effect of your prayer at my very entrance into this kingdom. And here, especially, we have need of every help: for snares are on every side. Who would not, if it could be done with a clear conscience, run out of the world? Wherein the very gifts of God, the work of God, yea, his grace itself, in some sense, are all the occasion of temptation?

I hope your little family remains in peace and love, and that your own soul prospers. I doubt only whether you are so useful as you might be. But herein look to the anointing which you have of God, being willing to follow wherever he leads, and it shall teach you of all things.

There is an amazing increase of the work of God within these few months in the north of Ireland. And no wonder; for the five preachers, who have laboured there, are all men devoted to God: men of a single eye, whose whole heart is in the work, and who

" constantly trample on pleasure and pain."

Do they gain ground in London? I am afraid [Christian] Perfection should be forgotten. Encourage Richard Blackwell and Mr. Colley to speak plainly, and to press believers to the constant pursuit and earnest expectation of it. A general faintness, in this respect, is fallen upon this whole kingdom. Sometimes I seem almost weary of striving against the stream both of preachers and people. See that you all strengthen the hands of,

My dear Sisters, your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

LETTER V.

MY DEAR SISTER, Chester, March 18, 1769.

THE westerly winds detain me here, I care not how long: good is the will of the Lord. When I am in Ireland, you have only

to direct to Dublin, and the letter will find me.

I advise you, as I did Grace Walton formerly, 1. Pray in private or public, as much as you can. 2. Even in public, you may properly enough intermix short exhortations with prayer. But keep as far from what is called preaching, as you can. Therefore never take a text. Never speak in a continued discourse, without some break, above four or five minutes. Tell the people, "We shall have another prayer-meeting, at such a time and place." If Hannah Harrison had followed these few directions, she might have been as useful now as ever.

As soon as you have time, write more particularly and circumstantially; and let S. Bosanquet do the same. There is now no hinderance in the way; nothing to hinder your speaking as freely as

vou please to.

Dear Sally, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

MY DEAR SISTER, Londonderry, June 13, 1771.

I THINK, the strength of the cause rests there; on your having an extraordinary call. So, I am persuaded, has every one of our lay-preachers: otherwise I could not countenance his preaching at all. It is plain to me, that the whole work of God, termed Methodism, is an extraordinary dispensation of his Providence. Therefore, I do not wonder, if several things occur therein, which do not fall under ordinary rules of discipline. St. Paul's ordinary rule was, "I permit not

a woman to speak in the congregation." Yet, in extraordinary cases, he made a few exceptions; at Corinth in particular.

I am, my dear Sister, Your affectionate Brother, J. Wesley.

LETTER VII.

Newcastle, May 11. MY DEAR SISTER,

NEITHER must the witness supersede the fruit, nor the fruit the witness of the Spirit. Let other men talk this way, or that, the word of the Lord shall stand.

I believe your spending a little time at P. may be of use. Probably it will remove their prejudice against (Christian) perfection. But if Mr. T. has a mind to marry our friend, I think neither you nor ! shall forward it. She is far happier, since she is free, so to abide.

Do you never find any tendency to pride? Do you find nothing like anger? Is your mind never ruffled, put out of tune? Do you never feel any useless desire? Any desire of pleasure—of ease—of approbation—or increase of fortune? Do you find no stubbornness,

sloth, or self-will? No unbelief?

Certainly the more freely you speak to me, the better. I found what you said in your last, helpful. It is of great use, to have our minds stirred up by way of remembrance, even of the things which we know already. I speak of myself very little to any one, were it only for fear of hurting them. I have found exceeding few that could bear it. So I am constrained to repress my natural openness. I find scarcely any temptation, from any thing in the world: my danger is from persons.

"O for a heart to praise my God, A heart from sin set free!" Dear Sally, Adieu-!

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VIII.

MY DEAR SISTER, London, Nov. 7, 1784.

TO those who know the world, hardly any thing that is wrong or foolish in it, appears strange. Otherwise we should have thought it strange, that so good a woman should take such a step. One would not have expected her to marry at all: at least, none but an eminent Christian. I am more and more inclined to think, that there are none living so established in grace, but that they may possibly fall:

The case of Hetty Rogers was widely different. I know more of it, (beginning, middle, and ending,) than most people in England. And I am clear, that first to last, she acted in all good conscience toward God and man. As things stood, it was not a sin for her to marry, but a duty: and to marry when she did. And never was any one woman so owned of God in Dublin, as she has been already.

T. Brisco, I am persuaded, will do some good. But his wife will do much more, if you encourage her, and strengthen her hands.

Peace be with all your spirits!

I am your affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY

Vol. 10 .- 0 o

LETTERS TO A YOUNG DISCIPLE.

LETTER L

London, February 25, 1769.

YOU have no need to take thought for the morrow: as your day, so your strength shall be. With regard to little compliances, I should be of ——'s mind: only if we begin, we know not where we shall stop. If you plead your conscience for not complying with any thing, you must use the most mild and respectful expressions you possibly can, and God will order all things well. You will want no help which is in the power of,

Your's affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER II.

January 25, 1771.

AS you desire a few directions with regard to the improvement of your mind, I will set down just what occur to me at present. Only as my business is great, and my time is short, I cannot stay to

explain them at large.

All the knowledge you want, is comprised in one book—the Bible. When you understand this, you will know enough. I advise you, therefore, to begin every day (before or after private prayer) with reading a portion, more or less, of the Old or New Testament, or of both, if you have time, together with the Notes, which may lead you by the hand into suitable meditation. After breakfast, you may read, in order, the Volumes of Sermons, and the other practical books which we have published; more or less at a time, (as other business permits,) with meditation and prayer. Young, Milton, and the Moral and Sacred Poems, you may read chiefly in the after-Whatever you write, you should write in the forenoons. Take care never to read or write too long at a time. That is not conducive either to bodily or spiritual health. If I can be of use to you in any thing else, tell me: you know you may speak freely to, Your's affectionately, J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

Dublin, March 30, 1771.

SO poor, tempted, disconsolate — was sent to London for your sake also! She was sent to you among others, to quicken your expectation of the great salvation. And what is it our Lord calls you to now? Whereunto thou hast attained, hold fast! You may undoubtedly lose what God has given; but you never need. Is not his grace sufficient for you? Is not his strength made perfect in weakness? Indeed you shall pass through the fire: but lean upon him, and the flames shall not kindle upon you. You shall go through the waters: but keep hold on him, and the floods shall not run over you. Softer all, an 'compact all.

"In every temptation, He keeps you to prove, His utmost salvation, His fulness of love !"

Be exceeding wary in your conversation, that it may be worthy of the gospel of Christ. Let not the liveliness of your spirit lead you into levity; cheerful seriousness is the point you are to aim at. And be willing to suffer with him, that you may reign with him. Deny yourself, take up your cross daily, and follow him!

I am, yours, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IV.

Bandon, May 2, 1771.

THERE is no fear I should forget you, especially at this time, when all the powers of hell are engaged against you: but let them come about you like bees, they shall be extinct as the fire among the thorns. Tempted you are and will be: otherwise you could not know your own weakness, and the strength of your Master. But all temptations will "work together for good:" all are for your profit, that you may be partaker of his holiness. You may always have an evidence, both of God's love to you, and of yours to him. And, at some times, the former may be more dear, at other times, the latter. It is enough if, in one case, or the other, you simply stay your soul upon him. S. Harper's is the ordinary experience of those who are renewed in love. S. Jackson's experience is quite extraordinary, and

what very few of them have yet attained.

There is a danger of every believer's mistaking the voice of the enemy, or of their own imagination, for the voice of God. And you can distinguish one from the other, not by any written rule, but only by the unction of the Holy One. This only teaches Christian prudence, consistent with simplicity and godly sincerity. The four volumes of Sermons, the Appeals, the Notes, and the Extracts from Mr. Law's works, and from Dr. Young, might best suit you now: meddle with nothing that does not suit your present temper. Meet with them that meet on a Friday, and speak in God's name, without fear or shame. The general rule, "not to correspond but with those who have both grace and understanding," admits of several exceptions. in favour of a few, who want one of them, or the other, or both. Be not afraid of writing too long letters to me. The longer, the more agreeable to, My dear -

Yours, affectionately,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER V.

Galway, May 20, 1771.

YOUR concern is with the present moment; your business is, to live to-day. In every sense, let the morrow take thought for the things of itself. It is true, the full assurance of hope excludes all doubt of our final salvation: but it does not, and cannot confinue any longer, than we walk closely with God. And it does not melude any assurance of our future behaviour—neither do I know any word in all the Bible, which gives us any authority to look for a testimony of this kind. But just so far you may certainly go, with regard to the present moment.

"I want the witness, Lord,
That all I do is right,
According to thy will and word,
Well pleasing in thy sight "

Seriously and steadily, my dear maid, aim at this: and you will not be disappointed of your hope. With regard to the impression you speak of, I am in doubt whether it be not a temptation from the enemy. It may occasion many wrong tempers, it may feed both puide and uncharitableness. And the Bible gives us no authority to think ill of any one, but from plain, undeniable, overt acts.

Rollin was a pious man, and a fine historian If you read one volume, you would feel whether it enlivened or deadened your soul The same trial you may make, as to serious poetry. Very probably this would enliven your soul, and certainly the volumes of Philosophy may: as Galen entitles his Description of the Human Body. "A Hymn to the Creator." Temporal business need not interrupt your communion with God, though it varies the manner of it. It is certain every promise has a condition; yet that does not make the promise of none effect, but by the promise you are encouraged and enabled to fulfil the condition. You might like it better, were there no condition but that would not answer the design of him that makes it. It is certain, there are times of nearer access to God, and that it nearly imports us, to improve those precious seasons we may find plausible objections against this; and, indeed, against The more free you are with me, the more you oblige. any thing J. WESLEY. My dear —, yours, affectionately,

LETTER VI.

Dublin, July 13, 1771.

TRUTH and falsehood, and so right and wrong tempers, are often divided by an almost imperceptible line. It is the more difficult to distinguish right and wrong tempers, or passions, because, in several instances, the same motion of the blood and animal spirits, will attend both one and the other. Therefore, in many cases, we cannot distinguish them, but by the unction of the Holy One. In the case, you mention, all self-complacency or self-approbation is not pride. Certainly there may be self-approbation, which is not sin, though it must occasion a degree of pleasure. "This is our rejoicing, even the testimony of our conscience toward God." And this joy is neither better nor worse, for being accompanied with a natural motion of the blood and spirits. Equally natural, and equally innocent, is the joy which we receive from being approved by those we love.

But, in all these instances, there is need of the utmost care, lest we slide from unocent joy, or self-approbation, into that which is

not innocent, into pride, (thinking of ourselves more highly than we ought to think:) or vanity, a desire of praise. "For thin partitions do their bounds divide."

Re all in earnest; and always speak without reserve to Yours, &c. J. WESLET.

LETTER VII.

The Hay, August 24, 1771.

IF you find any comfort or help thereby, write on; without any reasoning about the matter. The various thoughts and suggestions you mention are just such as any person of a lively imagination may expect. Satan too, very well knows whereof we are made, and always attacks us on the weak side. But these, and a thousand clouds passing over your mind, prove nothing as to the state of your heart: see that this be devoted to him, and it is enough: you have given it him: stand to your gift. However, then, your imagination may be affected, you will have the testimony of a good conscience toward God. Not but that you may plead that promise, "The peace of God shall keep your hearts and minds through Christ Jesus." As the former word takes in all your passions, so does the latter all the workings of your reason and imagination. Pray, therefore, and look for the answer of your prayer. It shall come, and not tarry!

J. Wesley.

LETTER VIIL.

Kingswood, Sept. 13, 1771.

YOUR present weakness will, I hope, be an unspeakable blessing. You are in danger of having more sail than ballast, more liveliness of imagination than solid wisdom. But it seems, God is correcting this defect, and giving you more steadiness of mind. You now see and feel what is the real value of this poor perishable world, and how little real happiness is to be found in all things under the sun.

It is right to pour out our whole soul before him that careth for us. But it is good, likewise, to unbosom ourselves to a friend, in whom we can confide. This also, is an appointed means which it generally pleases God to bless. Whenever, therefore, you have opportunity, speak all that is in your heart to

J. WESLEY.

LETTER IX.

Witney, Oct. 16, 1771;

IT is no fault to be grieved at the unkindness of those we love: only it may go to an excess: so that we have need to watch in this, as in all things, seeing the life of man is a temptation upon earth. And it is no fault not to grieve for the censure we must often meet with, for following our own conscience. Of those little ones you cannot be too tender, or too careful: and as you are frequently.

alone, you may teach them many important lessons, as they are able to hear them. But it requires immense patience; for you must tell

them the same thing ten times over, or you do nothing.

A higher degree of that peace, which may well be said to pass all understanding, will keep, not only your heart, but all the workings of your mind, (as the word properly signifies) both of your reason and imagination from all irregular sallies. This peace will increase as your faith increases: one always keeps pace with the other. So that on this account also, your continual prayer should be "Lord, increase my faith." A continual desire is a continual prayer, that is, in a low sense of the word. For there is a far higher sense. Such an open intercourse with God, such a close, uninterrupted communion with him, as G. Lopez experienced, and not a few of our brethren, now alive. This you also should aspire after; as you know, he with whom we have to do is no respecter of persons.

J. Wesley.

LETTER X.

Jan. 5, 1772.

IT is not always a defect, to mind one thing at a time. And an aptness so to do, to employ the whole vigour of the mind on the thing in hand, may answer excellent purposes. Only you have need to be exceeding wary, lest the thing you pursue be wrong. First, be well assured, not only that it is good, but that it is the best thing for you at that time: and then, whatsoever your hand findeth to do, do it with your might. But you have all things in one, the whole of religion contracted to a point, in that word, "Walk in love, as Christ also loved us and gave himself for us." All is contained in humble, gentle, patient love. Is not this, so to speak, a divine contrivance to assist the narrowness of our minds, the scantiness of our understandings? Every right temper, and then all right words and actions, naturally branch out of love. In effect, therefore, you want nothing but this, to be filled with the faith that worketh by love.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XI.

Leith, May 13, 1772.

TO set the state of perfection too high, is the surest way to drive at out of the world. The substance of that Test, I believe, I have seen; and I judge it not consistent with humanity: I mean with the state of a human soul, as long as it is united to a corruptible body. Do not puzzle yourself any more with these nice inquiries; but, in order to re-settle your judgment, give another deliberate reading to the "Farther Thoughts," or the "Plain Account of Christian Perfection." He that long ago gave you to taste of his pardoning love, gave you afterwards a taste of his pure love. Whereanto you have attained, hold fast; never cast it away, through a coluntary humility. But see that you do not rest there. Compara-

tively, forget the things that are behind. Reach forward! This one thing do: Press on to the prize of your high calling.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XII.

Whitby, June 20, 1772.

IT is of admirable use to bear the weaknesses, nay and even the faults, of the real children of God. And the temptations to anger which rise herefrom, are often more profitable than any other. Yet surely, for the present, they are not joyous but grievous: afterwards comes the peaceable fruit. You shall have exactly as much pain and as much disappointment, as will be most for your profit, and just sufficient to

45 Keep you dead to all below, Only Christ resolved to know."

Never make it matter of reasoning that you have not either a larger or a smaller share of suffering. You shall have exactly what is best both as to kind, degree, and time. O, what a blessing is it to be in

his hand, who "doth all things well !"

Of all gossipping, religious gossipping is the worst: It addshypocrisy to uncharitableness, and effectually does the work of the Devil in the name of the Lord. The leaders, in every society, may do much towards driving it out from among the Methodists. Let them in the band or class, observe, 1. "Now we are to talk of no absent person, but simply of God and our own souls." 2. "The rule of our conversation. Let us observe it (unless in some necessarily exempt cases) at all times and in all places." If this be frequently inculcated, it will have an excellent effect.

Instead of giving a caution once, as to a grown person, you must give it to a child ten times. By this means you may keep a sensible child from an *improper* familiarity with servants. Cautions should also be given frequently and earnestly to the servants themselves; and they will not always be thrown away, if they have either grace or sense.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XIII:

Bristol, August 31, 1772.

NONE are or can be saved, but those who are by faith made inwardly and outwardly holy. But this holy faith is the gift of God: and he is never straitened for time. He can as easily give this faith in a moment, as in a thousand years. He frequently does give it on a death-bed, in answer to the prayer of believers, but rarely, if ever to those who had continued unholy upon the presumption, that he would save them at last. But if he did, what unspeakable losers must they be! Could grief be in heaven, they would grieve to eternity, seeing every one there shall receive his own reward, according to his own labour.

And he will perplex you more than enough, if you listen to his sallies of imagination. "Every one has some pursuit: Therefore a man cannot be always in communion with God." I deny the consequence. While Mr. De Renty was serving the poor, he was m constant communion with God. So was Gregory Lopez, while he was writing books "At first indeed, as Lopez observed, large manifestations from God were apt to suspend the exercise of his senses, as well as of his understanding But, after some time, they made no difference at all, but left him the full exercise of his understanding and senses" I remember a much later instance of the same kind. An old clergyman told me some years since, "I asked Mi Boehm, (Chaplain to Prince George, of Denmark) 'Sir, when you are in such a huiry of business, surrounded with a crowd of people, hearing one, and dictating to another, at the same time, does it not interrupt your mental prayer? He answered immediately, "All that hurry no more hinders my communion with God, than if I was all the time sitting alone in my study, or kneeling at the altar." No business, therefore, of any kind, no conversation, need hinder one that is strong in faith, from rejoicing evermore, praying without ceasing, and in every thing giving thanks. Follow after this, and you will surely attain it. J WESLEY.

LETTER XIV.

March 23, 1773.

IF useless words or thoughts spring from evil tempers, they are properly evil, otherwise not, but still they are contrary to the Adapuc Law: yet not to the law of love. therefore there is no condemnation for them, but they are matter of humiliation before God So are those (seemingly) unbelieving thoughts, although they are not your own, and you may boldly say, "Go, go, thou unclean spirit, thou shalt answer for these, and not I."

Your affections were apt to be too impetuous, and sometimes uneven too, but nature yields to healing grace, which I trust has made you both more calm and more steady. and what will it not make you if you persevere? All that is amiable, holy, and happy! Already he that loves you, gives you a taste of what he has prepared for you. Let patience have its perfect work, and you shall be perfect and entire, lacking nothing. See that you make the best of hife! The time is short!

LETTER XV

July 23, 1773.

AT many times our advances in the race that is set before us, are clear and perceptible, at other times they are no more perceptible (at least to ourselves) than the growth of a tree. At any time you may pray,

"Strength and comfort from thy word, Imperceptibly supply"

And when you perceive nothing, it does not follow that the work of

God stands still in your soul; especially while your desire is unto him, and while you choose him for your pornon. He does not leave you to yourself, though it may seem so to your apprehension. The difference between temptation and sin, is generally plain enough to all that are simple of heart, but in some exempt cases, it is not plain: there we want the unction of the Holy One Voluntary humility, calling every defect a sin, is not well pleasing to God Sin properly speaking, is neither more nor less than "a voluntary transgression of a known law of God"

There are a thousand instances, wherein it is not possible literally to make restitution. All that we can advise in the case you mention is, 1 Let him that stole, steal no more, let him be from this how rigorously just 2 Let him be a faithful steward of the mammon of

unrighteousness, restoring all he can to God, in the poor

J. WESLEY.

LETTER XVI.

August 20, 1773.

I OFTEN heard my own mother make the same complaint with you She did not feel near 50 much as my father did But she did, ten times more than he did. You must labour to do so much the more, and pray that God would supply whatever is wanting. One degree of forgiveness is due to every one, though impenitent, still I love him as I love all men But the other degree whereby I should again receive him as a friend, is only due to one who says, "I repent," that is, convinces me that he does really repent, and is entirely changed.

It is certain God has given you a talent, and I still think it ought to be used I grant indeed to be hid, and to be still, is more agreeable to flesh and blood, but is it more agreeable to him who hath left

us an example that we might tread in his steps

One cannot be saved from evil tempers, without being devoted to God, neither can a soul be all devoted to God, without being saved from sin, but it is often exceeding hard to judge of others, whether they are saved from all evil tempers, and whether they are all devoted to God or not: yea it is hard to judge of ourselves, nay we cannot do it, without the anointing of the Holy One given for that very purpose. Out of darkness, God will command light to shine. Be plain and open to all; then, whether they are sincere or insincere, you will have a conscience void of offence. You find all things work together for good They must, while the hairs of your head are all numbered.

Your's, affectionately,

J. WESLEY

LETTER XVII

Bristol, September 8, 1773.

WE have the clearest proof, when we have to do with children, that the help which is done upon earth, God doth it himself. All our wisdom will not even make them understand, much less feel the

things of God. The "Instructions for Children" contain the best matter that we can possibly teach them. But nothing less than the finger of God, can write it on their hearts. On Saturday night, he sent another shower of grace upon our children at Kingswood. Sixteen of them were deeply affected; and, I think, thirteen found peace with God, four or five of them were some of the smallest we

had, not above seven or eight years old.

Although there may be some use in teaching very young children to "say their prayers daily," yet I judge it to be utterly impossible to teach any to "practise prayer," till they are awakened. For, what is prayer, but the desire of the soul expressed in words to God, either inwardly or outwardly? How then will you teach them to express a desire who feel no desire at all? When therefore Madame Guion talks in that manner, it often makes me afraid, that both she and her teacher, Archbishop Fenelon, talked by rote of the things they knew not Both of them had an amazing genius, but I doubt, full little experience. It is exceeding certain, neither his nor her writings are likely to do us any solid service. We have all the gold that is in them, without the dross, which is often not only useless, but dangerous. Let you and I keep the good old way:

"In doing and bearing The will of our Lord,
We still are preparing fo neet our reward"

Go on steadily in this path, there is none better By patient continuance in well-doing, seek for glory, and honour, and immortality You shall reap, if you faint not.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XVIII.

Bristol, September 29, 1773.

YOUR own experience may give an answer to your question. You did yourself enjoy a foretaste of that constant communion with God, though it did not continue long. And you know it was given you in a moment. It was the same case with all those whom I have known, that are now enabled to pray without ceasing. To every one of them that blessing was given in an instant. So it will be given to you again. although probably you will find a strong hope first, which will incite you to cry out,

"Big with earnest expectation, ber me sit At thy feet, Longing for salvation."

Grace in one sense will make all things new And I have sometimes known this done to such a degree, that there has been no trace of the natural temper remaining. But generally the innocent natural temper does remain: only refined, softened, and cast into the mould of love.

J. Wesley.

LETTER XIX.

Levisham, Dec. 3, 1773.
YOU are yourself a hving witness of this religion. But it is only

in a lew degree. I grant you are only just beginning to be a disciple of Jesus Christ. It is an unspeakable blessing, that he shows you this, in so clear and strong a light. And undoubtedly he is able to make you completely serious; and yet this is consistent with much cheerfulness. You shall have more or less of reproach, as he chooses Your post is to leave all in his hands, who orders all things well. Go straight forward, and you shall be all a Christian! I expect that you will be more and more a comfort to, my dear, your's affection-J. WESLEY. ately,

TO THE STEWARDS OF THE FOUNDRY.

Pembroke, Aug. 6, 1768. MY DEAR BRETHREN,

THE thing you mention is of no small concern, and ought not to be determined hastily. Indeed it would be easy to answer, if we considered only how to save money; but we are to consider also, How to save souls? Now I doubt whether we should act wisely in this respect, were we to give up the chapel in Spitalfields. We have no other preaching place in or near that populous quarter of the town: and a quarter which, upon one account, I prefer, before almost any other; namely, that the people, in general, are more simple, and less confused by any other preachers I think, therefore, it would not be well to give up this, if we could gain a thousand pounds thereby I should look upon it as selling the souls of men for money, which God will give us, in due time, without this. That many who live near the Foundry would be glad of it, I allow, because it would save them trouble. But neither can I put the saving of trouble in competition with the saving of souls.

I am, my dear Brethren, your affectionate brother, JOHN WESLEY.

TO CERTAIN PERSONS IN DUBLIN.

Whitefriar-street, Dublin, March 31, 1789.

MY DEAR BRETHREN,

I MUCH approve of the manner and spirit wherein you write concerning these tender points. I explained myself upon them m

some measure on Sunday: I will do it more fully now.

At present, I have nothing to do with Dr. Coke but I answer for myself. I do not separate from the Church, not have any intention so to do. Neither do they that meet on Sunday-noon separate from the Church, any more than they did before nay, less, for they attend the church and sacrament oftener now than they did two veais ago.

"But this occasions much strife." True, but they make the strife

who do not attend the service. Let them quietly either come of

stay away, and there will be no strife at all.

"But those that attend say, those that do not are fallen from grace." No, they do not give them a bad word. But they surely will fall from grace, if they do not let them alone who follow their own consciences.

But "you fear this will make way for a total separation from the church." You have no ground for this fear. There can be no such separation while I live. Leave to God what may come after.

But, to speak plainly, do not you separate from the church? Yea, much more than those you blame? Pray, how often have you been at church since Christmas? Twelve times in twelve weeks? And how long have you been so fond of the church? Are you fond of it at all? Do not you go oftener to a Dissenting meeting, than either to St Patrick's, or your parish church. My dear brethren, you and I have but a short time to stay together. "My race of glory is run, and race of shame; and I shall shortly be with those that rest." Therefore, as one that loves you well, and has loved you long, I advise you, in the presence and in the fear of God, 1. Either quietly attend the Sunday service, or quietly refrain from it; then there will be no strife at all. Now you make the strife of which you complain. 2. Make not this a pretence for being weary of well doing not, for so poor a reason, withdraw your subscription from the school or the preachers. What a miserable revenge would this be! Never let it be said, that my friend A— K—, that brother D— or B—were capable of this! From this hour, let this idle strife be buried Talk not of it any more! If it be possible, in eternal oblivion think not of it any more! Rather think, the Judge standeth at the door, let us prepare to meet our God! JOHN WESLEY.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE DUBLIN CHRONICLE

Sir, Londonderry, June 2, 1789.

1. AS soon as I was gone from Dublin, the Observer came forth, only with his face covered. Afterwards he came out, under another name, and made a silly defence for me, that he might have the honour of answering it. His words are smoother than oil, and flow (who can doubt it?) from mere love both to me and the people.

2. But what does this smooth, candid writer endeavour to prove, with all the softness and good humour imaginable! Only this point, (to express it in plain English,) that I am a double-tongued knave, an old crafty hypocrite, who have used religion merely for a cloak, and have worn a mask for these fifty years, saying one thing and meaning another.

A bold charge this, only it happens that matter of fact contradicts

it from the beginning to the end

3. In my youth I was not only a member of the Church of Eng-

land, but a bigot to it, believing none but the members of it to be in a state of salvation. I began to abate of this violence in 1729. But still I was as zealous as ever, observing every point of church discipline, and teaching all my pupils so to do When I was abroad I observed every rule of the church even at the peril of my life I knew not what might be the consequence of repelling the first magistrate's niece from the saciament, considering, on the one hand, the power lodged in his bands; on the other, the violence of his temper, shown by his declaration, "I have drawn the sword, and I will never sheathe it till I have satisfaction."

4 I was exactly of the same sentiment when I returned from America. I attended St Paul's Church, and advised all our society either to attend there every Sunday, or at their several parish churches. In the year 1743, I published "the Rules of the Society," one of which was, that all the members thereof should constantly attend the church and sacrament. We had then a large society at Newcastle-upon-Tyne, but one of the members totally left it after a few months, "Because," said he, "they are mere Church of Eng-

land men."

5. About the year 1744, a clergyman offered me a chapel in West-street, Seven Dials, (formerly a French church) and I began to officiate there on Sunday mornings and evenings, we did the same (my brother and I alternately) soon after at the French church in Spitalfields, as soon as it came into our hands. This we continued from that time and no one in England ever thought or called it leaving the church. It was never esteemed so by Archbishop Potter, with whom I had the happiness of conversing freely; nor by Archbishop Secker, who was thoroughly acquainted with every step we took as was likewise Dr. Gibson, then Bishop of London, and that great man Bishop Lowth. Nor did any of these four venerable men ever blame me for it, in all the conversations I had with them. Only Archbishop Potter once said, "Those gentlemen are irregular, but they have done good, and I pray God to bless them."

6 It may be observed, that all this time, if my brother or I were ill, I desired one of our other preachers, though not ordained, to preach in either of the chapels, after reading part of the church prayers. The both my brother and I judged would endear the church prayers to them: whereas if they were used wholly to extemporary prayer, they would naturally contract a kind of contempt, if not aversion, to forms of prayer, so careful were we, from the

beginning, to prevent their leaving the church.

7. It is true Bishop Gibson once said, (but it was before I had ever seen him) "Why do not these gentlemen leave the church?" The answer was very ready. "Because they dare not: they do not leave the church, because they believe it is their duty to continue therein"

8. When the Rev. M: Edward Smyth came to live in Dublin, he earnestly advised me, "to leave the church;" meaning thereby, (as all sensible men do) to renounce all connexion with it, to attend the Vol. 10.—P p

service of it no more, and to advise all our societies to take the same steps. I judged this to be a matter of great importance, and would therefore do nothing hastily; but referred it to the body of preachers then met in conference. We had several meetings, in which he proposed all his reasons for it at large They were severally considered and answered, and we all determined "not to leave the

- 9. A year ago Dr. Coke began officiating at our chapel in Dublin. This was no more than had been done in London for between forty and fifty years. Some persons immediately began to cry out, "This is leaving the church, which Mr Wesley has continually declared he would never do" And I declare so still. But I appeal to all the world, I appeal to common sense, I appeal to the Observer himself, could I mean hereby, "I will not have service in church hours," when I was doing it all the time? Could I, even then, deny that I had service in church hours? No But I denied, and do deny still, that this is leaving the church, either in the sense of Bishop Gibson, or of Mr. Smyth at the Dublin Conference! Yet by this outcry many well meaning people were frightened well nigh out of their
- 10. But see the consequences of having Sunday service here Sec the confusion this occasioned! Some time since, while a popular preacher was preaching at Leeds, one cried out, "Fire! fire!" The people took fright-some leaped over the gallery, and several legs and arms were broken But upon whom were these consequences to be charged? Not on the preacher, but on him that made the outcry. Apply this to the present case. I have kindled no more fire in Dublin than I did in London. It is the Observer, and a few other mischief-makers, who fright the people out of their senses, and they must answer to God for the consequence.

11 This is my answer to them that trouble me, and will not let my gray hairs go down to the grave in peace. I am not a man of duplicity I am not an old hypocrite, a double tongued knave. More than forty years I have frequented Ireland I have wished to do some good there. I now tell a plant tale, that "the good which is in me, may not be evil spoken of." I have no temporal end to serve. I seek not the honour that cometh of men. It is not for pleasure, that at this time of life, I travel three or four thousand miles a year

It is not for gain.

No foot of land do I possess, No cottage in this wilderness, A pool way-faring man . I lodge awhile in tents below, Or gladly wonder to and fro, Till I my Canaan gain.

J. WESLEY.

P. S. At the desire of a friend, I add a few words in answer to one or two other objections.

First. When I said, "I believe I am a scriptural Bishop," I spoke on lord King's supposition, that bishops and presbyters are essentially one order.

Secondly. I did desire Mr. Myles to assist me in delivering the cup. Now be this right or wrong, how does it prove the point now in question, "That I leave the church?" I ask, 2. What law of the church forbids this? and, 3, What law of the primitive church? Did not the priest, in the primitive church, send both the bread and wire to the sick by whom he pleased, though not ordained at all?

Thirdly. The Observer affirms, "To say you will not leave the church, meaning thereby all the true believers in England, is trifling." Certainly: but I do not mean so when I say, "I will not leave the church." I mean, unless I see more reason for it than I ever yet saw, I will not leave the Church of England as by law established while the breath of God is in my nostrils.

The above letter was published in the Dublin Chronicle, Thursday, June 11, 1789.

LETTERS TO MR. SAMUEL WESLEY.

THE following letters written in the early part of Mr. Wesley's life, appeared in a small collection of letters by Mr. Wesley and his friends; published in the year 1791, by Dr. Priestley.

LETTER I.

DEAR BROTHER,*

I RETURN you thanks for your favourable judgment on my sermon, and for the alterations you direct me to make in it: yet, in order to be still better informed, I take the liberty to make some objections to some of them, in one or two of which I believe you misunderstood me.

The reason why I conceive the Samaritans to have been idolaters, are, 1st. Because our Saviour says of them, "Ye worship ye know not what," which seems to refer plainly to the object of their worship; and, 2dly. Because the old inhabitants of Samaria, who succeeded the Israelites, were undoubtedly so, and I never heard that they were much amended in after times: "These nations feared the Lord, and served their graven images, both their children and their children's children." 2 Kings xvii. 41.

II. Were the Jews obliged to love wicked men? And is not our commandment extended to some cases to which theirs did not reach? To the excluding some instances of revenge, which were indulged to them?

We are doubtless to love good men more than others: but to have inserted it, where I was only to prove that we were to love them, and not how much, would not, I think, have been to my purpose.

^{*} This letter is without a date, but it appears to have been written from Oxford-

Where our Saviour exerts his authority against his opposers, I cannot think it safe for me to follow him. I would much sooner, in those cases, act by his precepts than example, the one was certainly designed for me, the other possibly was not. The author had power to dispense with his own laws, and wisdom to know when it was necessary, I have neither

No one would blame a man for using such sharpness of speech as St. Stephen does, especially in a prayer made in the article of death,

with the same intention as his

What you understand as spoken of rulers, I expressly say of private men: "As well every ruler as every private man must act in a legal way, and the latter might, with equal reason, apply the civil sword himself, as use violent means," by which I hear mean reviling, studiously and unnecessarily defaming, or handing about ill

stories of wicked men, "to preserve the church."

I believe it to be, more especially, the duty of governors, to try to amend scandalous offenders 2 That flagrant immorality is a sufficient reason to shun any one. 3. That to the weak and private Christian, it is an unanswerable reason for so doing 4 That in many cases a private Christian, in some, a clergyman is not obliged to admonish more than once. But this being allowed, still, the main argument stands, that the Scripture no where authorizes a private person to do more than to shun a heretic, or (which I expressly mention) an obstinate offender. I had not the least thought of any retrospect in them, neither when I wrote or spoke those words "It Providence has pointed you out, &c."

My mother's reason for my cutting off my hair, is because she fancies it prejudices my health. As to my looks, it would doubtless mend my complexion to have it off, by letting me get a little more colour, and perhaps it might contribute to my making a more genteel appearance. But these, till ill health is added to them, I cannot persuade myself to be sufficient grounds for losing two or three pounds

a year. I am ill enough able to spare them

Mr. Sherman says there are garrets somewhere in Peck-water, to be let for fifty shillings a year, and there are too some honest feltows in college, who would be willing to chum in one of them; and that could my brother but find one of these garrets, and get acquainted with one of these honest fellows he might very possibly prevail upon him to join in taking it, and then, if he could but prevail upon some one else to give him seven pounds a year for his own aroom, he would gain almost six pounds a year clear, if the ient were well paid. He appealed to me, whether the proposal was not exceeding reasonable? But as I could not give him such an answer as he desired, I did not choose to give him any at all

Leisure and I have taken leave of one another; I propose to be husy as long as I live, if my health is so long indulged to me. In health and sickness I hope I shall ever continue with the same sincerity,

Your loving brother,

J. Wesley.

My love and service to my sister.

LETTER II.

Gravesend, on board the Simmonds, Oct. 15, 1735.

DEAR BROTHER.

I PRESENTED Job* to the queen on Sunday, and had many good words and smiles; out of what is due to me on that account, I beg you would first pay yourself what I owe you; and, if I live till spring, I can then direct what I would have done with the remainder.

The uncertainty of my having another opportunity to tell you my thoughts in this life, obliges me to tell you what I have often thought of, and that in as few and plain words as I can. Elegance of style is not to be weighed against purity of heart, purity both from the lusts of the flesh, the lusts of the eye, and the pride of life. Therefore whatever has any tendency to impair that purity, is not to be tolerated, much less recommended, for the sake of that elegance. But of this sort (I speak not from the reason of the thing only, nor from my single experience) are the most of the classics usually read in great schools: many of them tending to inflame the lusts of the flesh, (besides Ovid, Virgil's Æneid, and Terence's Eunuch,) and more, to feed the lust of the eye, and the pride of life. I beseech you, therefore, by the mercies of God, who would have us holy, as he is holy, that you banish all such poison from your school; that you introduce, in their place, such Christian authors, as will work together with you in building up your flock in the knowledge and love of God. For assure yourself, dear brother, you are even now called to the converting of heathers as well as I.

So many souls are committed to your charge by God, to be prepared for a happy eternity. You are to instruct them, not only in the beggarly elements of Greek and Latin, but much more, in the gospel. You are to labour with all your might to convince them, that Christianity is not a negation, or an external thing, but a new heart, a mind conformed to that of Christ, "faith working by love." We recommend you and your's to God. Pray for us.

I am, your affectionate brother and servant in Christ,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER III.

Savannah, Nov. 23, 1736.

O PRAY write, and (if it may be) speak, that they may remember him again, who did run well, but are now hindered.

I think, the rock on which I had the nearest made shipwreck of the faith, was the writings of the mystics: under which term I comprehend all, and only those, who slight any of the means of grace.

I have drawn up a short scheme of their doctrines, partly from conversations I have had, and letters, and partly from their most approved writers, such as Tauler, Molinos, and the author of Theologia Germanica. I beg your thoughts upon it, as soon as you can

conveniently; and that you would give me them as particularly, fully, and strongly, as your time will permit. They may be of consequence, not only to all in this province, but to nations of Christians yet unborn.

"All means are not necessary for all men: therefore each person must use such means, and such only, as he finds necessary for him. But since we can never attain our end by being wedded to the same means, therefore we must not obstinately cleave unto any thing, lest

it become a hinderance, not a help.

"Observe, further, when the end is attained, the means cease. Now all the other things enjoined, are means to love: and love is attained by them who are in the inferior way, who are utterly divested of free-will, of self-love, and self-activity, and are entered into the passive state. These deified men, in whom the superior will has extinguished the inferior, enjoy such a contemplation, as is not only above faith, but above sight; such as is entirely free from images, thoughts, and discourse, and never interrupted by sins of infirmity, or voluntary distractions. They have absolutely renounced their reason and understanding: else they could not be guided by a divine light. They seek no clear or particular knowledge of any thing; but only an obscure general knowledge, which is far better. They know it is mercenary, to look for a reward from God, and Inconsistent with perfect love.

"Having thus attained the end, the means must cease: Hope is swallowed up in love. Sight, or something more than sight, takes place of faith. All particular virtues they possess in the essence, (being wholly given up to the divine will,) and therefore need not the distinct exercise of them. They work likewise all good works essentially, not accidentally, and use all outward means, only as they are moved thereto; and then to obey superiors, or to avoid

giving offence; but not as necessary or helpful to them.

"Public prayer, on any forms, they need not; for they pray without ceasing. Sensible devotion in any prayer they despise; it being a great hinderance to perfection. The Scripture they need not read; for it is only his letter, with whom they converse face to face. And if they do read it now and then, as for expounders, living or dead, reason, philosophy, (which only puffs up, and vainly tries to bind God by logical definitions and divisions,) as for knowledge of tongues, or ancient customs, they need none of them, any more than the apostles did, for they have the same spirit. Neither do they need the Lord's Supper, (for they never cease to remember Christ in the most acceptable manner,) any more than fasting, since by constant temperance they keep a continual fast.

"You that are to advise them that have not yet attained perfection, press them to nothing; not to self-denial, constant private prayer, reading the Scriptures, fasting, communicating. If they love heathen poets, let them take their full swing in them: speak but little to them (in the mean time) of eternity. If they are affected, at any time, with what you say, say no more: let them apply it. there; let them use them as they please, and form their own reflections upon them, without your intermeddling. If one who was religious, falls off, let him alone. Either a man is converted to God, or not: if he is not, his own will must guide him, in spite of all you can do; if he is, he is so guided by the Spirit of God, as not to need

your direction.

"You that are yourselves imperfect, know love is your end. All things else are but means. Choose such means as lead you most to love: those alone are necessary for you. The means that others need, are nothing to you. Different men are led in different ways. And be sure be not wedded to any means. When any thing helps you no longer, lay it aside. For you can never attain your end, by cleaving obstinately to the same means. You must be changing them continually. Conversation, meditation, forms of prayer, prudential rules, fixed return of public or private prayer, are helps to some; but you must judge for yourself. Perhaps fasting may help you for a time, and perhaps the holy communion. But you will be taught by the Holy Spirit, and by experience, how soon, how often, and how long, it is good for you to take it. Perhaps, too, you may need the Holy Scripture. But if you can renounce yourself without reading, it is better than all the reading in the world. And whenever you do read it, trouble yourselves about no helps: the Holy Ghost will lead you into all truth.

"As to doing good, take care of yourself first. When you are converted; then strengthen your brothren. Beware of (what is incident to all beginners) an eager desire to set others a good example. Beware of an earnestness to make others feel, what you feel yourself. Let your light shine as nothing to you. Beware of a zeal to do great things for God. Be charitable first, then do works of charity; do them when you are not dissipated thereby, or in danger of losing your soul by pride and vanity. Indeed, till then, you can do no good to men's souls; and, without that, all done to their bodies is nothing. The command of doing good, concerns not you yet. Above all, take care never to dispute about any of these points. Disputing can do no man wicked? Cast not pearls before swine. Is he imperfect? He that disputes any advice, is not yet ripe for it. Is he good? All good men agree in judgment, they differ only in words,

which all are in their own nature ambiguous."

May God deliver you and yours from all error, and all unholiness. My prayers will never, I trust, be wanting for you.

I am, dear Brother,

My Sister's and your most affectionate Brother, J. WESLEY.

Pray remember me to Philly.

^{*} The folding of this letter makes this illegible.

LETTER IV.

Marienbourn, near Frankfort, July 7, O. S. 1738.

DEAR BROTHER,

GOD has given me at length the desire of my heart I am with a church whose conversation is in heaven, in whom is the mind that was in Christ, and who so walks as he walked. As they have all one Lord and one faith, so they are all partakers of one spirit, the spirit of meekness and love, which uniformly and continually animates all their conversation. O how high and holy a thing Christianity is! And how widely distant from that—I know not what—which is so called, though it neither purifies the heart, or renews the life, after

the image of our blessed Redcemer.

I grieve to think how that holy name, by which we are called, must be blaspheined among the heathen, while they see discontented Christians, passionate Christians, resentful Christians, earthly minded Christians. Yea, (to come to what we are apt to count small things,) while they see Christians judging one another, ridiculing one another, speaking evil one of another, increasing, fastead of bearing one another's burdens. How bitterly would Juhan have applied to these. "See how these Christians love one another!" I know, I myself, I doubt you sometimes, and my sister often, have been under this condemnation. O may God grant, we may never more think to do him service, by breaking those commands which are the very life of his religion! But may we utterly put away all anger, and wrath, and inalice, and bitterness, and evil speaking!

I was much concerned, when my brother Charles once incidentally mentioned a passage that occurred at Tiverton, "Upon my offering to read," said he "a chapter in the Serious Call, my sister said, 'Who do you read that to? Not to these young ladies, I presume, and your brother and I do not want it.' 'Yes, my sister, I must tell you, in the spirit of love, and before God, who searcheth the heart, you do want it; you want it exceedingly. I know no one soul that wants to read, and consider deeply, so much, the chapter of universal love, and that of intervession. The character of Susurrus there, is your own. I should be false to God and you, did I not tell you so. O may it be so no longer; but may you love your neighbour as yourself, both in word and tongue, and in deed and truth.'"

I beheve, in a week, Mr Ingham and I shall set out for Hernuth, about 350 miles from hence. O pray for us, that God would sanctify to us all those precious opportunities, that we may be continually built up more and more in the spirit of power, and love, and of a sound mind

I am, dear Brother,

Your most affectionate Friend and Brother, J. WESLEY

LETTER V.

DEAR BROTHER, London, Oct. 30, 1738.

THAT you will always receive kindly, what is so intended. I doubt not. Therefore I again recommend the character of Susurus, both to you and my sister, as (whether real or feigned) striking at the root of a fault, of which both she and you were (I think) more guilty, than any other two persons I have known in my life. O may God deliver both you and me from all bitterness and evil-speak-

ing, as well as from all false doctrine, heresy, and schism.

With regard to my own character, and my doctrine likewise, I shall answer you very plainly. By a Christian, I mean, one who so believes in Christ, as that sin hath no more dominion over him; and, in this obvious sense of the word, I was not a Christian till May the 24th, last past. For till then sin had the dominion over me, although I fought with it continually; but surely then, from that time to this, it hath not. Such is the free grace of God in Christ! What sins they were which till then reigned over me, and from which, by the grace of God, I am now free, I am ready to declare on the house-top, if it may be for the glory of God.

If you ask, By what means I am made free, (though not perfect, neither infallibly sure of my perseverance,) I answer by faith in Christ; by such a sort or degree of faith, as I had not till that day. My desire of this faith I knew long before, though not so clearly, till Sunday, January the 8th last, when being in the midst of the great deep, I wrote a few lines in the bitterness of my soul. See Vol. I.

page 169.

Some measure of this faith which bringeth salvation, or victory over sin, and which implies peace and trust in God through Christ, I now enjoy by his free mercy, though in very deed it is in me but as a the love of God shed abroad in my heart," and producing joy in the Holy Ghost: "joy which no man taketh away; joy unspeakable, and full of glory;" this witness of the Spirit I have not, but I patiently wait for it. I know many who have already received it; more than one or two in the very hour we were praying for it. And having seen and spoken with a cloud of witnesses abroad, as well as in my own country, I cannot doubt but that believers who wait and pray for it, will find these scriptures fulfilled in themselves. My hope is, that they will be fulfilled in me; I build on Christ, the Rock of Ages: On his sure mercies, described in his word; and on his promises, all which I know are yea and amen. Those who have not yet received iov in the Holy Ghost, the love of God, and the plerophory of faith, (any, or all of which, I take to be the witness of the Spirit with our spirit, that we are the sons of God,) I believe to be Christians in that imperfect sense, wherein I call myself such; and I exhort them to pray, that God would give them also "to rejoice in hope of the glory of God," and to feel "his love shed abroad in their hearts, by the Holy Ghost which is given unto them."

On men I build not, neither on Matilda Chipman's word, whom I have not talked with five minutes in my life; nor on any thing peculiar in the weak, well-meant relation of William Hervey, who yet is a serious, humble-acting Christian. But have you been believing on these? Yes; I find them, more or less, in almost every letter you have written on the subject. Yet were all that has been said on "visions, dreams, and balls of fire," to be fairly proposed in syllogisms, I believe it would not prove a jot more on one, than on the

other side of the question.

O brother, would to God you would leave disputing concerning the things which you know not, (if indeed you know them not,) and beg of God to fill up what is yet wanting in you. Why should not you also seek, till you receive "that peace of God which passeth all understanding? Who shall hinder you, notwithstanding the manifold temptations, from rejoicing with joy unspeakable, by reason of glory?" Amen, Lord Jesus! May you, and all who are near of kin to you, (if you have it not already,) feel his love shed abroad in your hearts, by his Spirit which dwelleth in you, and be sealed with the Holy Spirit of promise, which is the earnest of your inheritance.

I am, yours, and my Sister's most affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY.

LETTER VI.

DEAR BROTHER, Bristol, May 10, 1739.

THE having abundance of work upon my hands, is only a cause of my not writing sooner. The cause was rather my unwil-

lingness to continue an unprofitable dispute.

The gospel promises to you and me, and our children, and all that are afar off, even as many of those, whom the Lord our God shall call, as are not disobedient unto the heavenly vision, "the witness of God's Spirit with their spirits, that they are the children of God:" that they are now, at this hour, all accepted in the Beloved: but it witnesses "not, they shall be." It is an assurance of present salvation only. Therefore, not necessarily perpetual, neither irreversible.

I am one of many witnesses of this matter of fact, that God does now make good this his promise daily, very frequently during a representation (how made I know not, but not to the outward eye) of Christ, either hanging on the cross, or standing on the right hand of God. And this I know to be of God, because from that hour, the person so affected is a new creature, both as to his inward tempers, and outward life. Old things are past away, and all things

become new.

A very late instance of this I will give you. While we were praying at a society here, on Tuesday, the 1st instant, the power of God (so I call it) came so mightily among us, that one, and another, and another, fell down as thunder-struck. In that hour, many that were in deep anguish of spirit, were all filled with peace and joy. Ten persons, till then in sin, doubt, and fear, found such a change, that sin had no more dominion over them: and instead of the spirit of

fear, they are now filled with that of love, and joy, and a sound mind. A Quaker, who stood by, was very angry at them, and was biting his lips, and knitting his brows, when the Spirit of God came upon him also, so that he fell down as one dead. We prayed over him, and he soon lifted up his head with joy, and joined with us in

thanksgiving.

A bye-stander, one John Haydon, was quite enraged at this, and being unable to deny something supernatural in it, laboured beyond measure to convince all his acquaintance, that it was a delusion of the Devil I was met in the street the next day by one, who informed me, that John Haydon was fallen laving mad. It seems, he had sat down to dinner, but wanted first to make an end of a sermon he was reading. At the last page he suddenly changed colour, fell off his chair, and began screaming terribly, and beating himself against the ground. I found him on the floor, the 100m being full of people, whom his wife would have kept away; but he cried out, "No, let them all come, let all the world see the just judgment of God" Two or three were holding him as well as they could He immediately fixed his eyes on me, and said, "Aye, this is he I said deceived the people, but God hath overtaken me. I said, it was a delusion of the Devil, but this is no delusion " Then he roared aloud, "O thou Devil! thou cursed Devil! Yea, thou legion of devils! Thou canst not stay in me. Christ will cast thee out. I know his work is begun. Tear me to pieces if thou wilt But thou canst not huit me." He then beat himself again, and groaning again, with violent sweats, and heaving of the breast. We prayed with him, and God put a new song in his mouth. The words were, which he pronounced with a clear, strong voice, "This is the Lord's doing, and it is marvellous in our eyes This is the day which the Lord hath made: we will rejoice and be glad in it. Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, from this time forth for evernore." I called again an hour after. We found his body quite worn out, and his voice lost. But his soul was full of joy and love, rejoicing in hope of the glory of God.

I am now in as good health, (thanks be to God,) as I ever was since I remember, and I believe shall be so as long as I live, for I do not expect to have a lingering death. The reasons that induce me to think I shall not live long old, are such as you would not apprehend to be of any weight. I am under no concern on this head.

Let my Master see to it.

O may the God of love be with you and my sister more and more! I am, dear Brother, your affectionate Brother,

J. WESLEY

TO LADY _____.

MY DEAR LADY, London, Sept. 30, 1788.

FOR many years a great person professed, and I believe had, a great regard for me. I therefore believed it my duty to speak with all freedom, which I did in a long letter. But she was so displeased, that she said to a friend, "I hate Mr. Wesley above all the creatures upon earth."

I now believe it my duty to write freely to you: will it have the same effect? Certainly I would not run the hazard, did I not regard your happiness more than your favour. Therefore I will speak. May God enable you not only to pardon it, but to profit thereby. Indeed

unless you profit by it, I do not expect you to forgive.

Be pleased to observe, I do not affirm any thing: I only beg you calmly to consider, Would it he right in me to propagate a doctrine which I believed to be false? particularly if it were not only false, but dangerous to the souls of men? Frequently hindering their

growth in grace; stopping their pursuit of holiness.

And is it right in you to do this? You believe the doctrine of absolute predestination is false. Is it then right for you to propagate this doctrine, in any kind or degree? particularly as it is not only false, but a very dangerous doctrine, as we have seen a thousand times? Does it not hinder the work of God in the soul? Feed all evil, and weaken all good tempers? Turn many quite out of the

syav of life, and drive them back to perdition?

Is not Calvinism the very antidote of Methodism? The most deadly and successful enemy which it ever had? "But my friend desired that I would propagate it, and lodged money with me for this very purpose." What then? May I destroy souls, because my friend desired it? Ought you not rather to throw that money into the sea? O let not any money, or any friend, move you to propagate a lie! To strike at the root of Methodism! To grieve the holiest of your friends, and to endanger your own soul!

Living or dying, I shall always be,

My dear Lady, your most affectionate Servant,

J. WESLEY.

TO MR. JOHN BOOTH.

YOU give me a very agreeable account of the progress of the work of God in your circuit. As to the poor, self-conceited enthusiasts in Keighley, it seems best that you should never name them in public; but when occasion offers, strike at the root of their errors, by clearly proving the truth which they deny. And whenever you

meet with any of them in private, then speak and spare not. Whenever you have opportunity of speaking to believers, urge them to go on to perfection. Spare no pains: and God, our own God, still give you his blessing.

· I am, your affectionate Friend and Brother,

J. WESLEY.

[The above, we believe, is the last letter but one, that Mr Wesley wrote 1

MODERN CHRISTIANITY,*

EXEMPLIFIED AT WEDNESBURY,

AND

OTHER ADJACENT PLACES IN STAFFORDSHIRE

Tue res agitur parces quim proximus ardet.

ADVERTISEMENT.

IT was our desire and design, that the following accounts, drawn up long since, should have slept for ever. but the gross misrepresentations of these facts, which are still spread abroad from day to day, constrain us at length to speak the naked truth, in as plain a manner as we are able, and now let any man of common humanity judge, whether these things ought to be so.

1. I JOHN EATON, of Wednesbury in Staffordshire, heard the Rev Mr. Charles Wesley, in the latter end of the year 1742, preach salvation by faith, in the Coalpit-field. I and many others rejoiced to hear it, particularly many of the poor people at Darleston, some of whom soon after began to meet together in the evenings, to sing, and pray, and read the Bible.

Some at Wednesbury used to go and meet with them; but one evening the mob at Darleston rose, pelted them with clods and stones, and broke all the windows of the house where they had been.

On the 30th of May 1743, John Adams, (whose house it was) tetched a warrant, to carry some of the rioters before Justice P. of Walsal. He desired some of us of Wednesbury to go with him, so four or five of us went: but the mob at Walsal immediately rose

^{*} This tract, being referred to, Vol. II. p. 12, it is judged proper to insert it in this collection of Mr. Wesley's Works, although a pretty large account of the persecution at Wednesbury is given in his Journal, as published in that volume. Vol. 10.-Q

apon us; so that we were obliged to take shelter in a public house. Here we were kept close prisoners till it was dark, several of us having been much hurt and abused. When it was night, we escaped one or two at a time. Francis Ward and I went last.

On the 21st of June 1743, a large mob came to my house at Wednesbury, I was then constable; so I went to the door with my Constable's staff, and began reading the Act of Parliament against riots; but the stones flew so thick about my head, that I was forced to leave off reading and retire: so they broke about half my windows and went away; but some hours after, they came again, and broke all the rest, and the door of my house, and the clock, to pieces. This is a short account of the first damage that was done to me.

JOHN EATON.

2. On the 30th of May, I James Jones went with John Eaton and some others to Walsal. As we were going to and from the Justice's house, the mob pelted us with dirt and stones. They raged more and more, till Francis Ward desired the Justice, who was present, to quiet them: but instead of that he swung his hat round his head twice, and cried, "Huzza!" On which encouragement, they grew so outrageous, that we were forced to take shelter in a public house,

and to stay there till it was dark.

On the 21st of June, a great multitude gathered together in Wednesbury Church-yard; among them was Harvey Walklet of Wednesbury, and Richard Dorset of Darleston. Harvey said to Richard Dorset, "Methinks they are not so well armed as I would have them." Richard answered, "There are many pretty fellows from Darleston; I know them to be good blood." Harvey replied, "There is John Baker with the oak bough in his hat, he will break the first pane of Mr. Eaton's windows." Accordingly, they went to Mr. Eaton's first, and from thence to other houses. Here are above foursecre houses in and about Wednesbury, in many of which, there are not three panes of glass left.

James Jones.

Wednesday, June 29.

3. I Jonathan Jones, in the county of Stafford, farmer, am willing to pay the king and country their due, might I be at peace; and go

about my lawful occasions, as I ought to do.

On the 20th of June, at my neighbour Adams's house, two or three were singing a hymn, and a parcel of apprentices and others. In a very rude manner, came and threw many stones through the windows; in particular Mr. Richard Taylor's apprentice. So my neighbour John Adams goes to Squire P. and brings a warrant for him; but Mr. Taylor goes to Walsal, to the Justice, before the offenders were brought, and he was with Squire P. when we came, who would not act at his own hall, but sent us down into the town; where a great mob was waiting for our coming.

So the Constable gave him the warrant, and he said, "What! I understand you are Methodists! I will not act for you." Then he went to the door, and told a great mob, "They might do what they would," and took off his hat and swung it about, and went away.

'They gave a great shout, and some of them swore bitterly they would murder us all. We sent for the Constable to help us out of town, but he was not to be found. So we stayed in the house about two hours, till we thought the mob was gone; but as soon as we came out, some began to hollow, and the street was quickly full. They beat and bruised us very much but through God's mercy we escaped with our lives

About a week after there arose a great mob at Darleston, and broke me nine large windows, and many of my goods. The same day my man was coming home with my team, and they met him, and beat him, and much abused my horses. At night they came to break the rest of my goods; but I gave them money, and they went away

So I was at Richard Dorset's, our church-warden, and many of the mob came in and said, "Come now, d——n you, Dorset, we have done our work pay us our wages" And I saw the drink come in, in large jugs, and every one drank what he would

What I have here said, I am ready to make oath of.

JONATHAN JONES. 4 I Francis Ward, of Wednesbury, went to Walsal, May 30, with John Eaton, to see if we could have justice done to the moters, who had abused our neighbours at Darleston. We went to Benjamin Westley's at the sign of the George when one Mr Taylor, curate of Walsal, came with the mob to the house, and, in our hearing, encouraged them to insult us. Accordingly they pelted us with dirt and stones, all the way we went to the Justice's gate The Justice came out, and said, "We must go down into the town, and then he would hear our complaint" But as we went, the mob continued to pelt us, though the Justice was with us I desired he would be pleased to read the act of parliament against riots; but he would not When we came to Benjamin Westley's, the Justice would have had a hearing in the streets, among the mob; but at last he was prevailed with to go into the house Then he called for John Adams, or his wife. and without hearing them speak, said "What, you are Methodists," or words to that purpose, and immediately went out to the mob. We stayed in the house a considerable time, hoping they would disperse; but as soon as ever we came out, they gathered round us again, and beat and pelted us with whatever they could find. One of them came to me, and struck me on the eye, and cut it so, that I expected to lose my sight I got into a shop, and had my eye dressed, and then returned to my friends The mob pursued me again, fetched me out of the house, and beat me very much; but, with much difficulty, I got from among them again, and escaped a second time into the house They fetched me out again, and dragged me along the street, and through the kennel to and fro, till I had quite lost my strength, and was so weak, I was not able to get up. There came a poor woman and said to the mob, "Will ye kill the man?" and lifted me up. With much ado I got home; but the abuse I there received threw me into a fever.

5 About Whitsuntide, I Joshua Constable, of Darleston, had all my windows broke by the mob, and many of my goods damaged or

spoiled, and so had many of my neighbours; in particular, John Cotterel, smith, Thomas Butler, Thomas Wilkinson, Aaron Longmore, William Powel, Anne Evans, Walter Carter, Samuel Foster, and Thomas Wilks, had their windows all broken.

Edward Martin, Anne Low, Joan Fletcher, Edward Hoxton, Mumford Wilks, Jos. Yardly, and Robert Deacon, had all their win-

dows broken twice.

James Foster, nailer, Sarah Hires, widow, and Jonathan Jones, had their windows broken, and money extorted, to save their houses.

John Foster, nailer, and Joice Wood, had their windows broken, and their goods broken and spoiled.

Jos. Spittle, collier, had his windows broken, his house broken

open, some goods taken, and some lost.

William Woods, brick-maker, had his windows broken twice, and-

was compelled to go along with the rioters.

Elizabeth Lingham, a widow with five children, had her goods spoiled, her spinning-wheel (the support of her family) broken, and her parish allowance reduced from 2s. 6d. to 1s. 6d. a week.

Valentine Ambersly, collier, had his windows broken twice, his wife.

big with child, abused and beat with clubs.

George Wynn had his windows and goods broken, and to save his

house was forced to give them drink.

Thomas Day had his windows and goods broken, and was forced to remove from the town.

Jos. Stubs had his windows broken twice, and his wife so frighted

that she miscarried.

6. On June 20, John Baker, Thomas Griffiths, and Daniel Oniens, at the head of a large mob, came to my house, Jonas Turner by name, at West Bromwich, near Wednesbury, and asked, "Whether I would keep from these men and go to the church?" I answered, "I go to the church very often, but I never see any of you there." Presently Daniel Oniens, with a great club, broke great part of my windows at one blow. Others laid hold of me and said, "You shall go along with us." I told them "I would not." They dragged me by force about sixty yards, and then I got loose from them. Afterwards they broke all my windows, and threw into my house three

baskets full of stones, to break my goods.

7. Some time in June, about four in the afternoon, a mob came to my house at West Bromwich; I was within, and my two daughters without. They threw in stones and bricks so fast that I thought I should have been knocked on the head. Whereupon I opened the door and ran out among them. One of my daughters cried out, "My mother will be killed." On which they fell to throwing stones at her. She ran into a neighbour's house; but before she could shut the door, they broke the bottom off with a brick end. They followed my other daughter with stones, and one with a great stake. She ran into another house, much frighted, expecting to be murdered. I asked them. "How they could come and abuse us thus?" Upon which one came with a large club, and swore, "If I spoke another word, he would knock me on the head and bury me in the ditch." There

he went to the window, and broke two or three panes of glass, which were all that were left A woman then came with a club, and broke to pieces part of the tiling of the house

Of this I am ready to make oath MARY TURNER.

8 On the 19th of June, James Yeoman, of Walsal, saw me in my father's house at Wednesbury, and swore, "By G— you are there now; but we will break the windows, and kill you to-morrow." Accordingly he came with a mob the next day: and after they had broke all the windows, he took up a stone, and said, "Now, by G—, I will kill you." He threw it and struck me on the side of the head. The blood gushed out, and I dropped down immediately.

MARY BIRD.

9 June 20, the mob came to the house of me, John Bird, and demanded 5s. of my wife, or they would break all the windows. She offered them some money, which they snatched out of her hand, and then broke ten windows in front, the sash-frames, shutters, cases chest of drawers, and hanging-press, and damaged the ceiling, doors, dresser, and many other things.

John Bird.

10. On the 20th of June, the mob came to my house John Baker by name came first They threw in stones and bricks as fast as they could throw them; so that we within were afraid of our lives They broke all the windows I had in my house, and likewise the casements and the ceiling; and when there was no more damage for them to do, one of them cried out, "I suppose now you will go to

your dear Jesus's wounds, and see them opened for you."

JOHN TURNER.

11 June 20, 1743, one Daliston, my neighbour at Wednesbury, after some words, took me by the throat, swore he would be the death of me, gave me a great swing and threw me on the ground. As soon as I arose, Equal Baker, a colher, gave me a blow on the eye, and knocked me down again. When I got up, he came after me to my house, and said, "You dog, I will kill you." I went in, got a proper application to my eye, and lay down on the bed. In about half an hour, there came a large mob to my house, and broke all the windows except about twenty panes. The kitchen windows they cleared, lead, bars, and all, and broke the window posts and threw them into the house. My shop was shut up, but they soon broke it open, broke all my pots and bottles, and destroyed almost all my medicines. They broke also the shelves and drawers in the shop to pieces, and many of my household goods.

That day and the next they broke the windows and goods, in more than fourscore houses.

HUMPHREY HANDS

12 In the latter end of June, 1743, I, John Griffiths, of Wednesbury, with Francis Ward, went to Mr. D. justice of the peace. We told him what condition we and our neighbours were in, our houses broken, and our goods spoiled. He replied, "I suppose you follow these parsons that come about." So he talked to us very roughly, refused us a warrant, and said, "I will neither meddle nor make" And after he and some gentlewomen that were with him, had made

as much game at us as they thought fit, we came away without any

justice at all.

13. I. Mumford Wilks, heard the Rev. Mr. E. say to the mob at Darleston, (after they had committed these outrages) "Well, my lads, he that has done it out of pure zeal for the church, I don't blame him. My lads, I hope you will let us settle our affairs in our own parish ourselves: but if these men should come, and they should fol-

low them, then your help will be needful."

14. Line and Mare's green have been long noted for wickedness, of every kind; for cursing, and swearing, sabbath-breaking, idleness, and all manner of debauchery. Few thereabouts used to go to church, or trouble themselves about religion, till some of them heard Mr. John and Charles Wesley, who then had a desire to flee from the wrath to come. In order to this, they set apart one evening in a week, to meet and encourage one another, by reading a chapter, singing a psalm or hymn, and praying and conversing together.

The revellers, finding their old companions had forsaken them, were enraged at them more and more; insomuch that they came one evening when they were met in November 1743, and unroofed the

shop that was aside the house, and thrust down the walls.

The next time we met, they came in more fury than before, threw great stones, broke the windows and looking-glass, and made the roof of the house to crack and sink, and seem every moment as if it would break in upon us; insomuch that we were obliged to press

out in the dark, in the midst of a shower of stones.

We thought it would be best afterwards to meet in the day, and accordingly we did. Immediately they blew a horn to gather their company together. When they had gathered fifty or sixty, they went from one house to another, threatening to kill those who would not go along with them. They went together to a house, where were things of value, with a great shout, swearing they would plunder. The woman of the house went out and asked, what they wanted? They did not make much reply; but part of them immediately went into the garden, and dashed in pieces things which cost several pounds.

We made complaint hereof to a justice, Mr. W. G. He took a warrant to fill up, and asked us what number there was in all?" we told him, "About sixty." He then said, "What, you are Methodists! Get about your business; you shall have no warrant: I am

informed you are the vilest men that live."

GEORGE HADLEY, SAMUEL HADLEY, Jos. Moore.

15. Upon January 13, 1744, I Jonathan Jones, of Darleston, about eight or nine at night, met in the street a great company of rioters, who told me they were going to destroy the rest of my goods, and pull my house down, as they had done Joshua Constable's. So I asked for Thomas Tunks, who was called the captain of the mob, and gave him many good words, and he took of me 2s. 6d. and some others I treated with ale; so they persuaded the rest to let me alone for that time.

The next day came John Stokes with a great club, and wanted some money, or he said he would break my windows; but I put him away for the present with some drink. The same night about six o'clock, came John Eagot and John Linyard, with each a great club, and said, "You have given money to others, and we will have some too; or else we will call the rest of our company, and serve you as we have done your neighbours". So I gave them some money, and they went off about nine or ten o'clock. About six or eight with clubs and staffs came after, and John Wilks, with a short gun; but my neighbours and I, with giving them some drink, persuaded them to go away.

It was some time before just after the great mob had broken all out windows, that the Rev Mr. —, with others, met at Thomas Forshew's, at the sign of the Crown, and made a writing, and the mob was sent to bring as many as they had a mind to sign it. They declared, whoever did not come and sign this, they would immediately pull his house down. It was to this effect: "That they would never read, or sing, or pray together again, nor hear Mr Wesley preach."

16. In the month of January, I, Sarah Longmore, late of Darleston, was coming to Wednesbury, with my brother and sister-in-law, and about thirty men stopped us in the fields, and asked, "where we were going?" We said, "About our business." Without any more to do, they began to throw dirt and stones at us, and then went before us and stopped us at the stile. Seeing a gap in the hedge, we offered to go through there, but they would not let us. I was knocked down only once, but was bruised in many places

Some time before this happened, the niob rose at Darleston, to near a thousand people. They took me by force out of my niother's house, gave me a club in my hand, and said, "It I did not go with them, and pull down Joseph Spittle's house, they would murder me." William Caulfield was the man who put the club in my hand, and hauled me along the street. I threw down the club, and when I came to the place, saw them break open the house, and bring out some of the goods, the people belonging to it being fled for their lives.

The same day the Rev Mr E. came to Darleston; and Nicholas Winspur, the common crier of the town, gave notice, ringing his belt, that all the people belonging to the society, must come to Mr Forshew's house, and there set their hands to a paper, signifying, "that they would not hear these men any more: but if they did not come and set their hands, they must expect to have their houses pulled down."

When I came, Mr Forshew asked me, "if I could write?" I said, No. Then he bid me make my mark, so, through fear, I did I then laid down a penny, which they made every one of us do, to make the mob drink.

17. About Candlemas, my wife was going to Wednesbury, and a mob met her in the road, and threw her down several times, and abused her sadly.

(The manner is too horrible to write The nearest parallel case, is that of the woman abused by the men of Gibcah, although in this case are many circumstances exceeding that.)

I got a warrant for some of them from justice G. As soon as this was known, the mob rose and broke all my windows again. were served with the warrant, escaped, but one the constable took, and brought before the Justice; who came back and told his companions, "that the Justice bid them go hame about their business." So they went home and told the mob; and then they came to my house, broke some goods, and went away for a little time: but when they came again, they broke and destroyed all the necessary goods we had in the house. They likewise broke and spoiled all my shoptools; threw the tiles off of the roof of the house, pulled down one room, the joists of which they carried away with them. Many things they took away, particularly all my gun-locks, both them that were filed, and them that were in the rough. They tore to pieces all my wife's linen, cut the bed and bedstead, so that it was good for nothing; broke her box into little bits, and tore her Bible and Common Prayer Book all to pieces. We retired to a friend's house; but one telling them we were there, they swore they would tear it down, if he let us stay any longer. So we went out in the frost and snow, not

knowing where to lay our heads.

18. John Allen, of Wednesbury, in the county of Stafford, John Darby, of Brerely, in the said county, and Jas. Constable, of Wednesbury aforesaid, jointly and severally make oath and say: and first. John Allen, for himself saith, that on Monday, the 6th day of this instant, February, being informed that the mob would come the next day to plunder several of his friends and acquintance, he went to the house of one Francis Ward, of Wednesbury, and assisted in removing goods and furniture; and that on Tuesday morning, (being Shrove-Tuesday,) this deponent, hearing the mole was to come to the town, assisted in removing divers other goods; and that afterwards. on the same day, he met about three hundred persons, assembled together in a riotous manner; and that presently after, one George Winspur, of Darleston, and divers others, broke and entered the house of Francis Ward, broke down the fire-grate fixed therein, and then pulled down, took, and carried away, all such goods as were left there, and which they were able to earry. After which, one John Baker, of Wednesbury, and a great number of other riotous persons. came to the house of Francis Ward, and broke the windows; and then the said Baker, with divers others, entered the same, and broke to pieces and destroyed the bedsteads, and other goods and furniture.

The said riotous company then went to another village, called West Bromwich, and returned back again to Wednesbury, where this deponent saw one Thomas Horton, of Darleston, with divers others, go in a riotous manner to the house of John Griffiths, of Wednesbury, and saw Thos. Horton, with a sledge-hammer, break open the door of that house, which he, with others, entered and destroyed, and broke to pieces divers of the household goods, and carried away the

remainder, or the greatest part thereof.

19. And the said John Darby, for himself saith, that on the said 6th day of February, he heard a great many people making a noise: that he went thereupon into his own house, and locked the door;

that in about a quarter of an hour, about seven score persons came up to this deponent's house; nine or ten of whom bade him give them money, else they would break the door: that John Hammersly, of Darleston, and several others, with a great rail of wood, broke down the door, and entered the house, and caught up a large axe, and broke to pieces and destroyed this deponent's goods and windows. After which they destroyed five stalls of bees, and killed and took away his hens, and threw the hay out of his barn, and carrying away what they thought proper. Went to the house of Samuel Smith, a quarter of a mile further, and broke his windows. Thence they went in a riotous manner to Bilston, and in the morning to Wed-

nesbury.

20. James Constable saith, that on Monday, the 6th instant, Henry Old, Thomas Adams, and Francis Longmore, all of Darleston, came to Wednesbury, and, with oaths and carses, in this deponent's hearing, protested, "that they would come the next day, and pull down the house of one Benjamin Constable, and have his heart and his liver out." That accordingly, the next day, about ten in the morning, he heard a great huzza in the town street, and went to see what was the matter, when he, this deponent, was immediately, by one Samuel Cotterel, of Darleston, and three others, seized by the collar, and forced to go into a great concourse of people, (about 300 persons) who had just broke into the house of one John Burd, and were throwing the goods of the said John Burd out of the windows of his house that those who held this deponent, then letting him go, and running to get their share of the plunder He went to the house of Benjamm Constable, and about two or three o'clock the same day, the said notous persons came up to the house, which was locked and bolted: and as this deponent stood on the outside, he saw Thomas Horton with a large hammer strike at the door, in order to break it open, which he not being able to do, Joseph Page, of Daileston, broke the window, and forced out the iron bais of the said window with a pike, and afterwards broke and entered the same. and unbolting the door, let the other noters in that Thomas Tonks, of Daileston, and divers others, with an axe, wrenched and forced open the door of Benjamin Constable's warehouse, notously entered the same, and broke to pieces and destroyed the goods therein, spilt the liquors therein placed, plundered and destroyed such goods as they could not carry away, both household goods and grocery goods, and also chandler's ware that afterwards they went to this deponent's house, broke to pieces all the windows of his house, plundered the same from top to bottom, broke to pieces many of his goods, and carried a great part of them away: that thence they went to the house of Daniel Constable, broke open and plundered it in the like manner, and from thence to the house of Thomas Atherley, which they also dainaged and plundered.

All three sworn at Serjeant's Inn, London, on Feb. 24, 1743, before Wm. John Darby, Chapple.

21. Monday, Feb. 6, we kept as a fast. Sixty or more of us met at one, and joined in prayer. About eight we heard the mob was at John Griffith's, the elder, breaking the house and spoiling his goods. This put some of us on removing our most portable goods. When I came home, my wife had awakened my youngest girls, and carried them to a neighbour's house. We then laid down and committed our own souls to God.

Next morning, Feb. 7, (Shrove Tuesday) all things were pretty still till ten. We were all very cheerful. The greatest fear we had was lest we should deny our master. For they had got a paper, which if any one would subscribe, his house was not to be plundered: but the far greater part, by the grace of God, chose rather the

loss of all things.

About half an hour after ten, great numbers of men were gathered together on the Church-hill. We could see them march down, some armed with swords, some with clubs, and some with axes. They first fell upon Benjamin Watson's house, and broke many of the tiles, and all the windows. Next they came to Mr. Addingbrook's, broke a fine clock, with many of his goods, and stole all the things they could carry away; among which were writings of importance, and papers relating to the land tax. The next house was Jane Smith's, whose windows they broke, with what little goods she The next was Mr. Bird's, where they destroyed every thing they found, except what they carried away, cutting the beds in pieces, as they did all the beds they could any where find. Thence they went to Mr. Edge's house: he was ill of a fever; so for a sum of money they past it over. The next house was mine; they were going by it; but one who used to be my familiar friend, called them They broke my counter, boxes, and drawers, to chips, and" all that axe or hammer could break, except my bedsteads. They spilt all my drugs and chemical medicines, and stole every thing they could carry, even all my wife's wearing apparel and mine, besides what we had on.

Mr. Eaton's house was next. They broke all his windows, and all his inside doors in pieces, cut the lead off his house, destroyed or stole whatever they could lay their hands on. The gentleman offered to stop them, if he would set his hand to the recantation paper. But he told them "he had felt already what a wounded conscience was; and by the grace of God, he would wound his conscience no more."

The next day they came with another mob, and said, "If he did not sign it, they would level his house to the ground." He told them, "they might do as they pleased; but he would not sign it if they tore him bit from bit."

The mob on Tuesday, after they had done at Mr. Eaton's, plundered several other houses in Wednesbury, and several in West Bromwich. It is impossible to describe the outrages they have committed. We keep meeting together morning and evening, are

in great peace and love with each other, and are nothing terrified by our adversaries. God grant we may endure to the end.

Feb. 26.

12. On Tuesday, Jan. 31, 1743. Henry Old came to John Griffith, senior's house, saying, "if they did not leave following this way, he had 100 men at his command, who should come and pull the house down." Soon after, he brought seven or eight men with him, swearing what he would do unless they gave him a guinea. They said, "a guinea was not so soon shaken out of poor folks' sleeves." Then he said he would go and fetch the mob, but the neighbours gave him money, and sent him away for that time.

Monday, Feb 6, between seven and eight at night, came part of the company. Hearing them afar off, John and his wife fastened the door, and left the house. Some of the neighbours going in soon after, found them destroying all they could. Two chairs and several bundles of linen were laid upon the fire. They did not dare to touch them, but persuaded the men all they could to go home. After they had destroyed what they could, they loaded themselves with

clothes and meat, and went their way.

John Griffiths, Sen.

23. My father sending me word, that the mob had been at his house, and broke many of his goods, and stole many, I removed as many as I could of mine, before they plundered my house. And hearing they would force me to go with them, I sent my wife and children before, and then followed them to her father's; but he did not care to receive us. My wife wept I was full of love, and not at all moved At last their hearts relented, and they took us m. This indeed was the case with many of us. We were driven out of our own houses, and our friends did not dare to receive us into otheirs. The reason for which my old companions have the greatest spite to me, is because I will not drink and game, and break the Sabbath with them, as I used to do.

John Griffiths, Jun

24. I, Edward Smith, of Wednesbury, standing by my own door, on Shrove-Tuesday, there came a great mob, and broke into and plundered Benjamin Constable's house; then they came to my house, and the foremost of them, Thomas Horton, with a great hammer, broke open the door. I begged them to let me unlock the door, but he swore, if I did not get away, he would knock me down. At the same time, Richard Adams, with a large into bar, broke the house window, and got through. A great number of them followed both ways, and plundered the house, breaking some goods, and stealing others; several neighbours endeavouring to save them, but to no purpose.

25. I, Edward Slater, of Wednesbury, was informed the night before, that the mob designed to plunder my house the next day. And between ten and eleven on Tuesday, standing in the fields, I saw them come down the town, with clubs and other weapons, to Mr. Eaton's house Then the colliers, by themselves, swinging their clubs round their hands, gave a great shout and jump together.

Then they began breaking his windows; and those who first broke into the house and went up stairs, threw the goods out of the chamber windows, which Henry Old cut to pieces with an axe. I saw some come out, with their pockets, and waistcoats, and breeches, loaded with goods. I went down the field towards my own house, got into a valley, read part of a chapter, and prayed for them. Then I got up and saw the light through my own house, both doors and windows being knocked to pieces. After they had plundered some other houses, I saw them go up the street, laden with brass, pewter, and linen.

26. According to your request, I send you some account of what the mob did on Shrove-Tuesday. When I heard they were in town, and broke and stole all before them, I got out our beds, and wearing apparel, and hid them in the bedges, and went and stood beside a

hedge, about sixty yards off my own house.

When the mob came, they began with breaking the windows. They then broke and stole all they could lay hands on. They searched and found the beds and linen which I had hid, and took all they thought worth carrying away. I waded through the brook to try if I could save some of my goods, which a man was pulling out of the ditch where I had hid them; his name was David Garrington. He told me it would be the same here as it was in Ireland; for there would be a massacre very quickly, and he wished it was now.

When they were gone, my wife, and I, and two children came home. Our house was all laid open: for both the doors were gone, and all the windows, and the middle posts were broken out. Being wet and very cold, we gathered up some of the chips, (for our goods were mostly broken into chips, and strewed about the rooms) and made a fire; but the wind blew the smoke so about, that we could not bear to sit by it. We knew not what to do, till one of our neighbours sent us word we might come to his house. But one went to Walsal, the next day, and told the landlord, who came and fold them that received us, "They must turn out." And we expected there would not be a house to receive a Methodist in the whole country.

On Ash-Wednesday, I was helping Mr. Eaton to remove some corn, which they had not found the day before; when Mr. William Horton came with a paper in his hand, and about a hundred persons with him. He pressed Mr. Eaton to sign it, who refused. Then they laid hold of me, and swore I should. I told them I would not. They caught hold of my collar, shook me, tore my shirt and waist-coat, pushed me from one to another, and asked again, "Will you sign the paper yet?" I told them, No. They then got a cord, put it about my neck, and swore they would hang me out of hand. Others cried out, "Draw him through the brook." But one of them snatched away the cord, and said, "If I would not set my hand, I might go about my business." They followed me, however, with many stones; but, by the providence of God, I was not hurt.

March 5, 1743. John Turner.

27. Having notice that the mob was coming, I, William Sitch, of West Bromwich, and my wife, (who had been delivered but a fortnight) thought it best to go out of the house, and leave it to them. My wife, with her young child, was forced to stay in the fields, none daring to take her into their house. At length one man did; but he was in a little time persuaded to turn her out again.

The rioters plundered my house three several times, and did all the mischief they could; but, blessed be God, I could rejoice therein He has said, As thy day is, so thy strength shall be. And never did I

find his promise fulfilled more than at that time

WILLIAM SITCH.

28. On Shrove-Tuesday, after two large mobs were passed by, came four or five men to my next neighbour, Jonas Turner's house. I and another woman followed them, to see what they would do. They first broke the windows, then broke down the door, and went into the house. Soon after they were in, they flung out a box at the chamber window, and swore, if any touched it they would murder them. Soon after they flung out a bible, and one of them came out, and in great rage cut it into pieces with his axc.

MARY TURNER, of West Bromwich

29. The first that came to my house, (Thomas Parks, of West Bromwich) on Tuesday, Feb. 7, were five with great clubs, whom I met at the door—They demanded "Whether I would deny hearing these parsons?"—I told them no, for I believed they spoke the truth as it is in Jesus; and if I were to deny them, I should deny him that sent them."—They told me, "If I would not, they would plunder my house."—I replied, "They must answer it at God's bar, and I would meet them there."—I asked, "Whether I had done them any haim?"—They said, "No; but they would have me keep to the church."—I told them, "Some of you may know that I worship among the Dissenters; but I love a good man, let him go where he will, for there is but one church of Christ, and if you do not belong to that church, you had better never have been born."

I told them, "God has allowed me liberty of conscience, and so have the king and parliament, and hope my neighbours will too, but if not, a day is coming, when the persecuted and the persecutor shall stand together, and if you wrong me now, God will light me then."

While I was speaking, I caught hold of their clubs, and the words seemed to have some influence on them, but by this time there was a great body of them gathered together, so they broke my windows, and then the door, and flocked into my house, and began to break my goods. But here the Lord suffered them not to go so far as they had done in other places. for they soon fell to plundering and loading themselves with the things I had for myself, a wife and seven children.

However, in a while, I had prevailed with some of them to stop. But they then said, "I must set my hand to their paper." I told them they were cloaked over with the name of Protestants; but none

Vol. 10.-R r

but a Popish spirit would tie men's consciences." So I committed

my cause to God, and withdrew from my house and them.

As I went along, one, who thinks herself a Christian, said, "Now I nuight see God was against me." I told her, "I did now feel that God was for me, and that he loved me never the less for this: for God loved Job on the dunghill with only a potsherd, as well as he did in all his plenty." I thought she, in effect, bid me curse God and die. May the Lord make her a Christian indeed!

When I returned to my house and saw it in ruins, I found nothing in my heart towards my persecutors but love. Neither could I doubt of God's love to my soul. All that is within me bless his holy name!

One day six or eight of the mob got me among them, and said, "They were going to make a law, and we should all set our hands to it." I told them, "I would submit to the laws of God and my prince; but I could not to the laws of the Devil." One of them swore, "He would break my windows again." I asked him, "if ever he heard of Jesus Christ doing so? And how he durst, when

he must answer it at his bar?" At which he stood silent.

30. On Shrove-Tuesday, about eleven o'clock, Sarah, the wife of John Sheldon, of West Bronwich, being told the mob was coming to her house, went and met them at the gate. Mr. S.—, Mr. J.—, and Mr. S.— L.—, Cornet, were at the head of them. She asked John Baker, who was captain of the mob, What they were come here for? He answered, "If she would have nothing more to do with these people, not a penny-worth of her goods should be hurt." She made no reply. Then they broke the door open, and began breaking and plundering the goods. One coming out with a fire shovel, she begged him not to take it away." He swore, "if she spoke another word he would beat her brains out."

After they had rifled the house, they went to search the barn. Some goods were hid there, which she thought would now go with the rest; so she went and sat contentedly down in the ruined house; but a man of their own, as bitter as the rest till then, desired they would not pull up the cow's stakes; so they looked no further; but seeing a calf, they beat and lamed it in such a manner, that they were

obliged to kill it.

John Sheldon was at this time helping Thomas Parkes to hide his goods, though he knew, by the noise, they were breaking his own in pieces. Between two and three he came to his house with William Sitch. William asked Sarah how she did? saying, "For his part, he took joyfully the spoiling of his goods." She answered, that seeing so much wickedness, she could not rejoice; but she blessed God she could bear it patiently, and found not the least anger in her." John Sheldon, seeing the spoil they had made, smiled and said, "Here isstrange work." His wife told him, "If she had complied with their terms not one penny-worth would have been hurt." He replied, "That if she complied to deny the truth, and he had found his goods whole on that account, he should never have been easy as

long as he lived; but he blessed God that she had rather chose to suffer wrong."

31. The mob continued to rise for six days together. The damage they did in and about Wednesbury, at the very lowest computation, is as follows:

Benjamin Constable Humphrey Hands -	•	-		-	1 s 103 0 44 6	d 0 7	Amount brought forward Joseph Spittle	_₹. 4 	1 5	đ. 5 0
John Eaton	-	-	- Car		43]]	0	Edward Holdbury	-	4 10	0
Iohn Bird	-	-	-	-0	43 0	0	Humphrey Hadley	•	13 11	9
Richard Bolton	-	-	-		40 0	0	John Griffiths	-	6 6	0
Francis Ward	-		-	-	22 14	6	Benjamin Watson		2 11	0
Godfrey Ward	-		- 10	-	22 6	4	Themas South	-	7 15	G
John Turner	~	-	-	-	20 0	0	Edward Smith	~	2 5	0
William Mason	-	-	-	-	19 0	4	William Sitch		5 6	0
Thomas Parkes -	-		-		14 0	0	Daniel Constable		2 13	5
John Sheldon	-	-	~		9 6	8	Henry Addinbrook		15 14	4
John Griffiths	**	-	~		3 15	8	Joshua Constable		14 11	0
Lydia Partridge	in.	-0	-		2 0	0	Joseph Stubs and Robert Daku	x -	2 0	0
Joseph Perry		- 10	_	-	1 10	0	Jorathan Jones		3 0	0
John Derby			_		8 13	6	William Small		4 12	7
Jonas Turner		-			3 12	Õ	Thomas Edwardly	_	5 0	0
Richard Spittle -	-	-	-	-	2 17	0	Edward Slater	-	9 12	10
					l 403 15	5	•	1. 2	504 7	10

32 I, Benjamin Constable, was induced to go to a justice of peace, on account of a warrant fetched by the wife of Joshua Constable, for abuse done to her, as she went over the field betwint Wednesbury and Darleston. She swore against five men, before Mr G. The warrant was executed upon one of them, but the justice would not act any thing against him unless the other four were brought before hun. The man returning home, raised a mob the same evening, went to the house of Joshua Constable, pulled part of it down, and destroyed his goods. This I thought proper to acquaint the justice of.

A second thing which induced me was, That on the last day of January, there came to my house Henry Old, Francis Longmore, and Thomas Baylis, and demanded money, "else, they said, they would break my goods." But it being day-time, and their strength small, (though they had large clubs in their hands) I refused.

I sent to the constable at Darleston, to know if he would execute his warrant on the other four. He sent me word, "He duist not

do it, for tear of having his house pulled down."

I went on February 2, to Mr. G. and gave him the foregoing account; and withal told him, that on the Tuesday following, February 7, they threatened to use and pull down our houses. He answered me in a rough manner, and asked, "What I would have him to do? He could do no more than give out his warrant, and if the constable would not, or could not execute it, he could not help it." I desired that he would write a line to the officers of Wednesbury and Darleston, to exert themselves for the discouraging of any rising on Tuesday. But he refused, and told me, "If we could not agree among one another, we must go to the Devil which way we would."

33. John Bird, of Wednesbury, in the county of Stafford, carpenter, is ready to make oath, That he, together with William Mumford, and Mary Bird, on the 10th day of this instant April, went to the house of W-G-, Esq. justice of peace, in order to have a warrant for some of the principal rioters, who had lately done great damage to this deponent, and divers other persons; but the said justice refused to grant any warrant against them: that William Mumford then demanded a warrant against some of the said rioters, who had done damage to him: to which the said justice said, They were Methodists: and, after several other words, refused to grant it: that on the 13th instant this deponent, together with Mary Mumford, and Mary Bird, went to the house of J- D-, Esq. a justice of the peace, in the said county, and requested the said justice to grant him a warrant to take up some of the rioters, which the said justice refused to do: so that on the 17th instant, this deponent together with Mary Bird, went to the house of W-P-, Esq. justice of the peace for the said county, and requested a warrant to take up some of the rioters; to which the said justice answered him roughly, That he and the rest of the justices in the neighbourhood, had concluded and agreed to grant us no warrant. And this deponent further saith, That he himself bath sustained damage by the rioters to the value of fifty pounds and upwards: and that neither he, nor any other person. who hath sustained damage by them, are able to bring the said rioters to justice; because not any of the above-mentioned justices of the peace, will grant any warrant to apprehend them.

Such is the liberty of conscience which Protestants grant one au-

other! Does not He that is higher than the highest regard it?

34. Wednesday, October 19, 1743. I, John Wesley, came to Birmingham, in my way to Newcastle. Thursday, October 20, several persons from Wednesbury carnestly desired me to call there. I yielded to their importunity, and went. I was sitting writing at Francis Ward's, in the afternoon; when the cry arose, That the Darleston mob had beset the house. I called together those that were in the house, and prayed, that God would scatter the people that delight in war. And it was so: one went one way, and one another; so that in half an hour the house was clear on every side. But, before five, they returned with greater numbers. The cry of all was, "Bring out the minister."

I desired one to bring the captain of the mob into the house. After a few words interchanged, the lion was as a lamb. I then desired him to bring in one or two more of the most angry of his companions. He did so; and in two minutes, their minds were changed too. I then bade them who were in the room make way, that I might go out among the people. As soon as I was in the midst of them, I said, "Here I am: what do you want with me?" Many cried out, "We want you to go with us to the Justice." I told them, "That I will with all my heart." So I walked before, and two or three hundred of them followed, to Bentley-Hall, two miles from Wednesbury: but a servant came out, and told them, "Jus-

tice Lane was not to be spoken with." Here they were at a stand, till one advised, to go to Justice Persehouse, at Walsal. About seven we came to his house: but he also sent word, "That he was in bed,

and could not be spoken with."

All the company were now pretty well agreed, to make the best of their way home: but we had not gone a hundred yards, when the mob of Walsal came pouring in like a flood. The Darleston mob stood against them for a while, but in a short time, some being knocked down, and others much burt, the rest ran away, and left me in their hands.

To attempt to speak was vain, the noise being like that of taking a city by storm. so they dragged me along till we came to the town, at a few hundred yards distance; where, seeing the door of a large house open, I endeavoured to go in; but a man, catching me by the hair, (my hat having been caught away at the beginning,) pulled me back into the middle of the mob, who were as so many ramping and roaring hons. They hurned me from thence, through the main street, from one end of the town to the other I continued speaking all the time to those within hearing, feeling no pain or weariness. At the west end of the town, seeing a door half open, I made towards it, and would have gone in, but a gentleman in the shop would not suffer me, saying, "They would pull the house down, if I did." However, here I stood, and asked, "Are you willing to hear me speak?" Many cried out, "No, no, knock his brains out" Others said, "Nay, but we will hear him speak first." I began asking, "What hurt have I done to you ' Whom among you have I wronged in word or deed?" And continued speaking, till my voice failed. Then the floods lifted up their voice again, many crying out, "Bring him away, bring him away."

Feeling my strength renewed, I spoke again, and broke out aloud into prayer. And now one of the men, who had headed the mob before, turned and said, "Sir, follow me not a man shall touch a hair of your head" Two or three more confirmed his words. At the same time the Mayor (for it was he that stood in the shop) cried out, "For shame, for shame; let him go." An honest butcher spoke to the same effect, and seconded his words by laying hold of four or five, one after another, who were running on the most fiercely. The people then dividing to the right and left, those three or four men who had spoken before, took me between them, and carried me through the midst. bitterly protesting, "they would knock down any that touched him." but, on the bridge, the mob rallied again, we therefore went on one side, over a mill-dam, and thence through the meadows, till a little after ten, God brought me safe to Wednesbury, having lost only a part of my waistcoat, and a little skin

from one of my hands.

I never saw such a chain of providences before, so many convincing proofs, that the hand of God is on every person and thing, over-ruling him as it seemeth him good.

Among these I cannot but reckon the circumstances that follow.

1. That they endeavoured, abundance of times, to trip me up, as we went down hill, over the wet, slippery grass, to the town; as well judging, that if I was once on the ground, I should hardly rise again: but I made no slip, nor the least stumble at all, till I was entirely out of their hands. 2. That although many strove to lay hold on my collar, or clothes, they could not fasten at all; their fingers, I cannot tell how, slipping along, without fixing once: only one man seized the flap of my waistcoat, and took it away with him; the other flap, in the pocket of which was a twenty pound bank note, was torn but half off. 3. That a lusty man, just behind, struck at me many times with a large oaken stick; with which if he had struck me, on the back of the head, I should probably have preached no more: but every time the blow was turned aside, I know not how, for I could not move to the right hand or left. 4. That another man came rushing through the press, raised his arm to strike, let it sink again, and stroking my head, said, "What soft hair he has! I cannot find in my heart to hurt him." 5. That I went as straight to the Mayor's door, when I was a little loosed for a few moments, as if I had known it, (which they probably thought I did,) and found him standing in the shop, which gave the first check to the fury of the people. 6. That no creature (at least within my hearing) laid any thing to my charge, either true or false; having, in the hurry, it seems, forgot to provide themselves with an accusation of any And, lastly, That they were equally at a loss to know what to do with me, none proposing any determinate thing. The cry of most was, "Away with him, away with him:" of others, "Kill him at once." But none so much as once mentioned how; only one or two (I almost tremble to relate it) screamed out, (with what meaning I cannot tell,) " Crucify the dog, crucify him."

By how gentle degrees does God prepare us, either for doing or suffering his will! Two years since, one threw at me a piece of brick, which grazed on my shoulder, but hurt me not. It was a year after, that another threw a stone, which struck me between the eyes; but the hurt was soon healed, and still no man had power to lay a hand upon me. At St. Ives, last month, I received one blow, the first I ever had, on the side of the head; and this night two, one before we came into the town, and one after I was gone out into the mendows. But though one man struck me on the breast with all his might, and the other on the mouth, so that the blood gushed out, I felt no more pain from either of the blows, than if they had touched me with a straw.

October 22, 1743.

"Lo I come, if this soul and body may be useful to any thing, to do thy will, O God. And if it please thee to use the power thou hast over dust and ashes, over weak flesh and blood, over a brittle vessel of clay, over the work of thine own hands; lo, here they are, to suffer also thy good pleasure. If thou please to visit me either with pain or dishonour, I will humble myself under it, and through thy grace, be obedient unto death, even the death upon the cross. Whatsoever may befall me, either from neighbours or strangers.

since it is thou employest them, though they know it not, (unless thou help me to some lawful means of redressing the wrong,) I will not open my mouth before the Lord, who smitch me, except only to bless the Lord. Hereafter no man can take away any thing from me, no life, no honour, no estate; since I am ready to lay them down, as soon as I perceive thou requirest them at my hands. Nevertheless, O Father, if thou be willing, remove this cup from me, but if not, thy will be done. Whatever sufferings hereafter may trouble my short, thy will be done. Whatever sufferings hereafter may trouble my heads will I commend my life, and all that concerneth it. And if thou be pleased, either that I live yet a while, or not, I will, with my Saviour, bow down my head, I will humble myself under thy hand; I will give up all thou art pleased to ask, until at last I give up the ghost."

AN

ANSWER TO MR. R. H.'s TRACT,

ENTITLED

"IMPOSTURE DETECTED"

IN the Tract just published by Mr R. H. there are several assertions which are not true. And the whole Pamphlet is written in an unchristian and ungentlemanlike manner. I shall first set down the assertions in order, and then proceed to the manner.

I 1. "Throughout the whole of Paul's Epistles, he can scarcely write a single line without mentioning Christ," p. 3 I just opened on the 15th chapter of the First Epistle to the Coninthians In the last thirty verses of this chapter, how often does he mention Christ?

In every single line?

2. "In that wretched havangue, which he calls a sermon, he makes

himself the only subject of his own panegyrics," page 4.

Being aware of this charge, I have said, "I am, in one respect, an improper person to give this information, as it will oblige me frequently to speak of myself, which may have the appearance of ostentation. But with regard to this, I can only east myself upon the candour of my hearers, being persuaded they will put the most favourable construction, upon what is not a matter of choice, but of necessity. For there is no other person, if I decline the task, who can supply my place, who has a perfect knowledge of the work in question, from the beginning of it to this day." See Vol. VI. p. 107.

I give an account of the use of this work at Oxford, from 1725 to 1735, ibid. At London and elsewhere, p. 108, 109. In all this there is not a line of panegyric upon myself, but a naked recital of facts. Nor is there any panegyric on any one in the following pages,

but a plain account of the Methodist Doctrines.

It may be observed, (if it is worth observing,) that I preached in the open air, in October, 1735. Mr. Whitefield was not then ordained.

3. "Not a single line tending to vindicate or illustrate any one fundamental doctrine of the gospel, appears throughout the whole," p. 4. Yes, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God," is one fundamental doctrine of the gospel. "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself," is another. And both these are vindicated and illustrated for several pages together.

4. "His sacrilegious hand violates the ashes of the dead, traduces the character of Mr. Whitefield, insinuates that he was the first who preached in the open air; with the greatest bitterness of speech, tra-

duces the dead, as a dissenter from the church," p. 16.

My words are, (p. 114) " A good man, who met with us at Oxford, while he was absent from us, conversed much with dissenters, and contracted a strong prejudice against the church. And, not long after, he totally separated from us;" from my brother and me. This is every word I say about Mr. Whitefield. And is this "violating the ashes of the dead ?" Is this "traducing his character ?" Certainly not traducing him as "a dissenter from the church;" much less " with the greatest bitterness of speech." Where is the bitterness? And this is the whole ground, for pouring out such a flood of abuse, obloquy, and calumny! But Mr. Hill goes on: "With ungodly craft he class up the ashes of the dead. He says, Mr. Whitefield, by conversing with the dissenters," (I mean chiefly the Presbyterians in New England,) "contracted a strong prejudice against the church," p. 18. I say so still. And how will Mr. Hill disprove it? Why, "he manifested his strong attachment to the church, by creeting Tottenham Court Chapel for the celebration of the church service: yea, and reading the liturgy himself." Nay, if this proved his strong attachment to the church, it will equally prove mine. For I have read the liturgy as often as he. And I am now erecting a Chapel, (Hine illæ lachrymæ!) for the celebration of the church service.

5. "He cast lots for his creed," p. 8. Never in my life. "That paltry story is untrue." They who tell it, cast no honour upon him, who published a private letter, written in confidence of friendship.

6. "He gives up the righteousness of Christ," p. 9. No more than I give up his godhead. But I renounce both the phrase and

thing, as it is explained by Antinomian writers.

7. "He gives up the atonement of Christ. The atonement, and the righteousness of Christ, he considers as mere words," p. 10. Nothing can be more false. It is not concerning these I advise,

Projecte ampullas, et sesquipedalia verba.

"But a man cannot feur God, and work righteousness, evangelically, without living faith." Most certainly. And who denies this? I have proved it a hundred times.

8. "He renounced the grand protestant doctrine of justification by faith alone, in those harrid Minutes." I never renounced it yet,

and, I trust, never shall. The horid Menutes Mr. Fletcher has so effectually vindicated, that I wonder Mr. Hill should mention them

any more.

9. "After all possible candour and forbearance had been shown to hum," (by whom? By Mr Toplady—Mr Richard Hill—or Mr. Rowland Hill, who has excelled them all?) "this interloper" (a pretty word, but what does it mean?) "has totally renounced the Gospel of Christ," p. 11. Totally false, unless, by the gospel, be meant, Antinomian Calvinism.

10. "In his last year's Minutes, he speaks of the doctrines of Grace" (Calvinism) " with as much venom as ever" Just as much.

Let the reader judge. The words occur, p. 11.

"Q 26. Calvinism has been the greatest hinderance to the work

of God. What makes men swallow it so greedily?

"A Because it is so pleasing to flesh and blood, the doctrine of Final Perseverance in particular

" Q 27. What can be done to stop its progress?

"A 1. Let all our preachers carefully read our Tracts, and Mr Fletcher's and Mr Sellon's

"2. Let them preach universal redemption frequently and explicitly, but in love and gentleness. taking care never to return rading for railing. Let the Calvinists have all this on their side."

Ecce signum ! 11. "He is most marvellousty curious in forbidding

his preachers to say, My lady."

Were ever words so distorted and missepresented? The words in the Minutes are, (p. 12,) "Do not imitate them," (the Calvinists of Trevecka in particular,) "in screaming, allegorizing, calling themselves ordained, boasting themselves of their learning, the college, of my lady."

Is this "forbidding them to say, my lady?" No more than forbid-

ding them to make a bow.

- 12. "A vast number of sluts had taken possession of the preaching-houses," (no, the preaching-houses were not in question.) "and female-servants, by countesy, called maids," (civil and kind! but neither were servants in question,) "are filthy slovens in their persons, dress and manauvres" (See, Mr II understands French!) "So Mr. John gives the public to understand" No, not Mr John, but Mr H. He goes on "And how is this mighty girevance to be redressed? Why, says this Solomon in a cassock," (is not that witty?) "sluts are to be kept out, by not letting them in," p. 12. And is all this wit bestowed upon three poor lines! The words ere just these:
 - "Complaint is made that sluts spoil our houses.

"How then can we prevent this?

"Let no known slut live in any of them"

What a colour does Mr. Hill put upon this? But, meantime, where is conscience? Where is honour?

13. "He denies the doctrines of the Church of England," p. 13, That is, absolute predestination. Mr. Sellon has abundantly proved,

that this is no doctrine of the Church of England. When Mr. H. has answered his arguments, I will give him some more. jections against lay-preachers, (which come ill from Mr. H.) I have largely answered in the Third Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion. But I know not that any lay-preachers in connexion with me, either baptize children, or administer the Lord's Supper. I never entreated any thing of Bishop Erasmus, (who had abundant unexceptionable credentials as to his episcopal character,) nor did he "ever reject any overture" made by me, p. 14. Herein Mr. H. has been misinformed. I deny the fact; let him produce his evidence. The perfection I hold, is so far from being contrary to the doctrine of our church, that it is exactly the same which every elergyman prays for every Sunday; " Cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of thy Holy Spirit, that we may perfectly love thee, and worthily magnify thy Holy Name." I mean neither more nor less than this. In doctrine, therefore, I do not dissent from the Church of England,

14. However, "he renounces the discipline of the church," p. 15. This objection too I have answered at large in my letters to Dr. Church; another kind of opponent than Mr. R. H. A gentlemen, a scholar, and a Christian; and as such he both spoke and wrote.

15. "He falsely says, Almost all who were educated at Treveoka, except those that were ordained, and some of them too, disclaimed the church; nay, and spoke of it upon all occasions with exquisite bitterness and contempt." This is a terrible truth. If Lady H. requires it, I can procure affidavits, both concerning the time and place.

16. "He professes, he stands in no need of Christ's rightcousness," p. 23. I never professed any such thing. The very sermon referred to, (the fifth in volume V.) proves the contrary. But I daily deny that sense of imputed rightconsness, which Mr. II. contends for.

17. "He expressly maintains the merit of good works, in order to justification," p. 24. Neither expressly, or implicitly. I hope Mr. H. has not read Mr. Fletcher's Checks, nor my Sermons on the subject. If he has not, he has a poor excuse for this assertion: if he has, he can have no excuse at all.

18. "He contradicts himself concerning Enoch and Elijah. See his notes, the former edition," p. 28. Wisely directed! For Mr.

H. knew the mistake was corrected in the next edition.

19. "He is ever raising malicious accusations against the lives and doctrines of all Calvinists, whether churchmen or dissenters, throughout all the kingdom," p. 29.

Thousands of Calvinists know the contrary, both churchmen and

dissenters.

20. "He exerts all his art, to irritate the civil powers against all the people of God," p. 30. "He says, the dissenters revile, and lightly esteem, the sacred person of the king." I answer, I. Are the dissenters, are the Calvinists, all the people of God? 2. If you think they are, do all these defend the American rebels? Who affirms it?

I hope, not a quarter, not a tenth part of them. 3 Do I say, All the dissenters revile the king? I neither say so, nor think so. Those that do, are guilty of what you impute to me. They "irritate

the civil powers" against themselves.

21. "He says, he will no more continue in fellowship with Calvinists, than with thieves, drunkards, or common swearers" No: I say, "I will have no fellowship with those who rail at their governors, (be they Calvinists or Arminians,) who speak all manner of evil of them in private, if not in public too." "Such is the character he gives of the Calvinistic Methodists," p 31 I do not; no more than of the Arminians. But I know there have been such among them if they are wiser now, I am glad. In the mean time, let him wear the cap whom it fits, be it Mr. Wilkes, or Mr II. himself.

22 "This apostate miscreant" (civil!) "invites the king and his ministers, to fall upon," whom? Those who "rail at their governors, who speak all manner of evil of them in private, if not in public too." I am glad they cry out, though before they are hurt, and I hope they will cease to speak evil of dignities, before those who bear not the sword in vain fall upon them, not for their opinion, but their evil prac-

tices.

23. "He says, Calvinists and all dissenters are rebels," p. 32. I never said or thought so. "But a few years ago, he himself thought the Americans were in the right." I did; for then I thought that they sought nothing but legal liberty: but as soon as I was convinced they sought independency, I know they were in the wrong. Mr. Evans's low and scurrilous tracts have been confuted over and over.

24. "He trumpets himself forth, as the greatest man that has ever lived since Constantine the Great," p. 37. This too is in Italies, it might have been in Capitals, but it is an utter falsehood. Mr. Hill might as well have said, "He trumpets biniself forth as the King of Great Britain" The passage to which I suppose he alludes, and the only one he can allude to, is this, "When has true religion, since the time of Constantine the Great, made so large a progress within so small a space," Vol. VI p 111. Is this "trumpeting myself forth as the greatest man that has ever lived since then?"

25 "All his disciples are commanded, Not to read what is written against him," p 38 No: it is the Tabernacle disciples are commanded Not to read Mr. Fletcher. And leason good; for there is no resisting the force of his arguments. Thousands, if they read them with any candour, would bee, That GOD willeth all men to be

saved

26. Mr. H. concludes, "I should have been glad to have addressed him in the softest and most tender style; but those are weapons he turns to ridicule," p 39. When? Show me a single instance. Indeed, I never was tried. What Calvinist ever addressed me in a soft and tender style? And which of them did I turn to ridicule? I am utterly guiltless in this matter.

11. 1. I have now done with the ments of the cause, having refuted the charge in every article. And as to the Manner, let any man of can-

dour judge, whether I have not spoken the truth in love. I proceed now to take some notice of the Manner wherein Mr. H. speaks: to illustrate which, I need only present a few of his flowers to the im-

partial reader.

2 "All the divinity we find in this wretched harangue, which he calls a sermon, are a few bungling scraps of the religion of nature, namely, Love to God, and Love to man, which a heathen might have preached as well as Mr. John," (polite!) "and probably in a much better manner. Erase half a dozen lines, and I defy any one to discover, whether the lying apostle of the Foundry, be a Jew, a Papist, a

Pagan, or a Turk," p. 4.

"Else I should have treated his trumpery with the silence and contempt it deserves. But to see Mr. Whitefield scratched out of his grave, by the claws of this designing welf," (there is a metaphor for you!) "is enough to make the very stones cry out, or (which would be a greater miracle still,) redden even a Wesley's forehead with a blush," p. 5. I think it would be a greater miracle still, to make a colf blush.

"The dictatorial Mr. John lyingly maintains argument enough,

for the gaping dupes whom he leads by the nose," p. 6.

"He and his tay-lubbers go forth to poison the minds of men," p. 11. Are not, then, the lay-lubbers and the gaping dupes just fit for each other?

But who are these lay-lubbers? They are "Wesley's ragged legion of preaching tinkers, scavengers, draymen, and chimney-sweepers," p. 21.

3. "No man would do this, unless he were as unprincipled as a

ROOK, and as silly as a JACKDAW."

"His own people say, He is a very poor preacher, and that most of his laymen, raw and ignorant as they are, preach much more to the purpose. Indeed, the old gentleman has lost his teeth; but should be not, then, cease mumbling with his gums?" p. 25.

"Why do they not keep the shatter-brained old gentleman locked

up in a garret P' p. 36.

4. "I doubt not but, for profit-sake, he would profess himself a

staunch Calvinist," p. 16.

"The Rev. Mr. John, Mr. Whitefield's quondam understrapper," ib. How sadly, then, did he mistake, when he so often subscribed himself, "Your dutiful, your obliged and affectionate Son?"

"Mark the venom that now distils from his graceless pen," p. 17. "The venomous quill of this gray-headed enemy to all righteousness,"

p. 19.

5. "The wretch thought himself safe, but the crafty slanderer is

taken in his own net," p. 20.

"This truly Socinian, truly heathen, truly infernal passage, is

found in that heretie's sermon," p. 23.

"The most rancorous pretences that ever actuated the prostituted pen of a venal profligate," p. 30.

With him Devils and Dissenters are terms synonymous. If so,

what a Devil must he be !" ib.

"The sole merit of the disappointed Orlando Furioso," (how pretty and quaint that is!) "is seeking to enkindle a flame of ecclesiastical and civil discord." No: to put it out; which, I bless God, is done already to a great degree "And his sole perfection consists in perfect hatred of all goodness, and all good men," p. 31.

6. Now let all the world judge between Mr H. and me. I do not say, all the religious would, but all that have the smallest portion of common sense and common humanity. Setting every thing else aside, suppose him to be my superior in rank, fortune, learning, and understanding is this treatment for a young man to give to an old one, who, at least, is no fool, and who, before Mr. H was born, was in a more honourable employ then he is ever likely to be? What can inspire this young hero with such a spirit, and fill his mouth with such language ? Is it any credit to his person, or to his cause? What can men think, either of one or the other? If he does not reverence me, or common decency, should be not reverence himself? Why should he place himself on a level with "the ragged legion of tinkers, scavengers, draymen, chimney-sweepers ?" Nay, there are many of these, who would be ashamed to let such language come out of their mouth. If he writes any more, let him resume the scholar, the gentleman, and the Christian. Let him remember Him, who left us an example, that we might tread in his steps. in meekness instructing those that oppose themselves, peradventure God may bring them to the knowledge of the truth

LONDON, June 28, 1777.

THOUGHTS ON SLAVERY.

1. BY Slavery, I mean Domestic Slavery, or that of a servant to a master A late ingenious writer well observes, "The variety of forms in which slavery appears, makes it almost impossible to convey a just notion of it, by way of definition. There are, however, certain properties, which have accompanied slavery in most places, whereby it is easily distinguished from that mild domestic service, which obtains in our country."*

2. Slavery imports an obligation of perpetual service, an obligation which only the consent of the master can dissolve. Neither, in some countries, can the master hunself dissolve it, without the consent of judges appointed by the law. It generally gives the master an arbitrary power, of any correction not affecting life or limb. Sometimes, even these are exposed to his will, or protected only by a fine, or some slight punishment, too inconsiderable to restrain a master of a harsh temper. It creates an incapacity of acquiring any

^{*}See Mr. Hargrave's Plea for Somerest the Negro. Vol. 10.-S s

thing, except for the master's benefit. It allows the master to alconiate the slave, in the same manner as his cows and horses. Lastly, it descends, in its full extent, from parent to child, even to the last

generation.

3. The beginning of this may be dated from the remotest period, of which we have an account in history. It commenced in the barbarous state of society, and, in process of time, spread into all nations. It prevailed particularly among the Jews, the Greeks, the Romans, and the ancient Germans: and was transmitted by them to the various kingdoms and states, which arose out of the Roman empire. But, after Christianity prevailed, it gradually declined in almost all parts of Europe. This great change began in Spain, about the end of the eighth century; and was become general, in most other kingdoms of Europe, before the middle of the fourteenth.

4. From this time, slavery was nearly extinet, till the commencement of the sixteenth century; when the discovery of America. and of the western and eastern coasts of Africa, gave occasion to the revival of it. It took its rise from the Portuguese, who, to supply the Spaniards with men, to cultivate their new possessions in America, procured Negroes from Africa, whom they sold for slaves to the American Spaniards. This began in the year 1508, when they imported the first Negroes into Hispaniola. In 1540, Charles the Fifth, then king of Spain, determined to put an end to Negroslavery; giving positive orders, That all the Negro-slaves in the Spanish dominions should be set free. And this was accordingly done, by Lagascar, whom he sent and empowered to free them all, on condition of continuing to labour for their masters. But soon after Lagascar returned to Spain, slavery returned and flourished as before. Afterwards other nations, as they acquired possessions in America, followed the examples of the Spaniards; and slavery has taken deep root in most of our American colonies.

II. Such is the nature of slavery; such the beginning of Negroslavery in America. But some may desire to know, what country it is from which the Negroes are brought? What sort of men, of what temper and behaviour, are they in their own country? And, in what manner they are generally procured, carried to, and treated in

America?

1. And, first, What kind of country is that from whence they are brought? Is it so remarkably horrid, dreary, and barren, that it is a kindness to deliver them out of it? I believe many have apprehended so: but it is an entire mistake, if we may give credit to those who have lived many years therein, and could have no motive

to misrepresent it.

2. That part of Africa whence the Negroes are brought, commonly known by the name of Guinea, extends along the coast, in the whole, between three and four thousand miles. From the river Senegal, (seventeen degrees north of the Line,) to Cape Sierra Leone, it contains seven hundred miles. Thence it runs eastward about fifteen hundred miles, including the Grain-coast, the Ivory-

coast, the Gold-coast, and the Slave-coast, with the large kingdom of Benm. From thence it runs southward about twelve hundred

miles, and contains the kingdoms of Congo and Angola.

3. Concerning the first, the Senegal coast, Mons. Bene, who lived there sixteen years, after describing its fruitfulness near the sea, says, "The farther you go from the sea, the more fruitful and well-improved is the country, abounding in pulse, Indian corn, and various fruits. Here are vast meadows, which feed large heids of great and small cattle. And the villages, which lie thick, show the country is well peopled." And again: "I was surprised to see the land so well cultivated; scarce a spot lay unimproved: the low lands, divided by small canals, were all sowed with nice, the higher grounds were planted with Indian corn, and peas of different sorts. Their beef is excellent; poultry plenty, and very cheap, as are all the necessaries of life."

4. As to the Grain and Ivory-coasts, we learn from eye-witnesses, that the soil is in general fertile, producing abundance of nice and roots. Indigo and cotton thrive without cultivation. Fish is in great plenty; the flocks and herds are numerous, and the trees

loaden with fruit.

5. The Gold-coast and Slave-coast, all who have seen it, agree, is exceeding fruitful and pleasant, producing vast quantities of rice and other grain, plenty of fruit and roots, palm-wine and oil, and fish in great abundance, with much tame and wild cattle. The very same account is given us of the soil and produce of the kingdoms of Benin, Congo, and Angola. From which it appears, that Guinea, in general, is far from a horrid, dreary, barren country; is one of the most fruitful, as well as the most pleasant countries, in the known world. It is said, indeed, to be unhealthy: and so it is to

strangers, but perfectly healthy to the native inhabitants.

6. Such is the country from which the Negroes are brought. come next to inquire, What sort of men they are, of what temper and behaviour, not in our plantations, but in then native country. And here, likewise, the surest way is, to take our account from eye and ear-witnesses. Now those who have lived in the Senegal country, observe, it is inhabited by three nations, the Jalots, Fulis, and Mandingos. The king of the Jalofs has under him several ministers, who assist in the exercise of justice, the chief justice goes in circuit through all his dominions, to hear complaints, and determine controversies. And the viceroy goes with him, to inspect the behaviour of the alkadi, or governor of each village. The Fulls are governed by their chief men, who rule with much moderation o them will drink any thing stronger than water, being strict Ma-The government is easy, because the people are of a hometans quiet and good disposition, and so well instructed in what is right, that a man who wrongs another is the abomination of all. They desire no more land than they use, which they cultivate with great care and industry: if any of them are known to be made slaves by the white men, they all join to redeem them. They not only support all that are old, or blind, or lame, among themselves; but have frequently supplied the necessities of the Mandingos, when they

were distressed by famine.

7. The Mandingos, says Mons. Brue, are right Mahometans, drinking neither wine nor brandy. They are industrious and laborious, keeping their ground well cultivated, and breeding a good stock of cattle. Every town has a governor, and he appoints the labour of the people. The men work the ground designed for corn; the women and girls, the rice-grounds. He afterwards divides the corn and rice among them; and decides all quarrels, if any arise. All the Mahometan Negroes constantly go to public prayers thrice a day; there being a priest in every village, who regularly calls them together: and it is surprising to see the modesty, attention, and reverence, which they observe during their worship. These three nations practise several trades; they have smiths, sadlers, potters, and weavers. And they are very ingenious at their several occupations. Their smiths not only make all the instruments of iron which they have occasion to use, but likewise work many things neatly in gold and silver. It is chiefly the women and children who weave fine cotton cloth, which they dye blue and black.

8. It was of these parts of Guinea, that Mons. Adanson, Correspondent of the Royal Academy of Sciences at Paris, from 1749 to 1753, gives the following account, both as to the country and people: "Which way soever I turned my eyes, I beheld a perfect image of oure nature: an agreeable solitude, bounded on every side by a charming landscape; the rural situation of cottages, in the midst of trees; the ease and quietness of the Negroes, reclined under the shade of the spreading foliage, with the simplicity of their dress and manners: the whole revived in my mind the idea of our first parents, and I seemed to contemplate the world in its primitive state. They are, generally speaking, very good-natured, sociable, and obliging. I was not a little pleased with my first reception; and it fully convinced me, that there ought to be a considerable abatement made, in the accounts we have of the savage character of the Africans." He adds, "It is amazing that an illiterate people should reason so pertinently concerning the heavenly bodies. There is no doubt but that, with proper instruments, they would become excellent astronomers.22

9. The inhabitants of the Grain and Ivory-coasts, are represented, by those that deal with them, as sensible, courteous, and the fairest traders on the coasts of Guinea. They rarely drink to excess: it any do, they are severely punished by the king's order. They are seldom troubled with war: if a difference happen between two

nations, they commonly end the dispute amicably.

10. The inhabitants of the Gold and Slave-coasts likewise, when they are not artfully incensed against each other, live in great union and friendship, being generally well tempered, civil, traetable, and ready to help any that need it. In particular, the natives of the kingdom of Whidah, are civil, kind, and obliging to strangers. And

they are the most gentleman-like of all the Negroes, abounding in good manners toward each other. The interiors pay the utmost respect to their superiors: so wives to their husbands, children to their parents. And they are remarkably industrious, all are constantly employed, the men in agriculture, the women in spinning

and weaving cotton.

11. The Gold and Slave-coasts are divided into several districts, some governed by kings, others by the principal men, who take care each of their own town or village, and prevent or appease tumults. They punish murder and adultery severely; very frequently with death. Theft and robbery are punished by a fine proportionable to the goods that were taken. All the natives of this coast, though heathens, believe there is one God, the Author of them and all things. They appear, likewise, to have a confused apprehension of a future state. And, accordingly, every town and village has a place of public worship. It is remarkable, that they have no beggars among them, such is the care of the chief men, in every city and village, to provide some easy labour, even for the old and weak. Some are employed in blowing the sinith's bellows; others in pressing palmoil, others in grinding of colours. If they are too weak even for

this, they sell provisions in the market.

12 The natives of the kingdom of Benin, are a reasonable and They are sincere and moffensive, and do no good-natured people injustice either to one another or to strangers. They are emmently civil and courteous: if you make them a present, they endeavour to repay it double. And if they are trusted till the ship returns the next year, they are sure honestly to pay the whole debt punished among them, although not with the same severity as murder. If a man and woman, of any quality, are taken in adultery, they are certain to be put to death, and their bodies thrown on a dunghill, and left a prey to wild beasts They are punctually just and honest in their dealings, and are also very charitable. the king and the great lords taking care to employ all that are capable of any work. And those that are utterly helpless they keep for God's sake : so that here also are no beggars. The unhabitants of Congo and Angola are generally a quiet people They discover a good understanding, and behave in a friendly manner to strangers, being of a mild temper, and an affable curriage. Upon the whole, therefore, the Negroes who inhabit the coast of Africa, from the river Senegal to the southern bounds of Angola, are so far from being the stupid, senseless, brutish, lazy barbarians, the fierce, cruel, perfidious savages they have been described, that, on the contrary, they are represented, by those who have no motive to flatter them, as remarkably sensible, considering the few advantages they have for improving their understanding: as industrious to the highest degree, perhaps more so than any other natives of so warm a climate; as fair, just, and honest, in all their dealings, unless where white men have taught them to be otherwise: and as far more mild, friendly, and kind to strangers, than any of our forefathers were. Our forefathers!

Where shall we find, at this day, among the fair-faced natives of Europe, a nation generally practising the justice, mercy, and truth, which are found among these poor Africans? Suppose the preceding accounts are true, (which I see no reason or pretence to doubt of,) we may leave England and France, to seek genuine honesty in Benin, Congo, or Angela.

III. We have now seen what kind of country it is from which the Negroes are brought; and what sort of men, (even white men being the judges,) they were in their own country. Inquire we, thirdly, In what manner are they generally procured, carried to,

and treated in America?

1. First. In what manner are they procured? Part of them by fraud. Captains of ships, from time to time, have invited Negroes to come on board, and then carried them away. But far more have been procured by force. The Christians, landing upon their coasts, have seized as many as they found, men, women, and children, and transported them to America. It was about 1551, that the English began trading to Guinea; at first, for gold and elephants' teeth, but soon after for men. In 1556, Sir John Hawkins sailed with two ships to Cape Verd, where he sent eighty men on shore to catch Negroes. But the natives flying, they fell farther down, and there set the men on shore, "to burn their towns, and take the inhabitants." But they met with such resistance, that they had seven men killed, and took but ten Negroes. So they went still farther down, till, having taken enough, they proceeded to the West-Indies and sold them.

2. It was some time before the Europeans found a more compendious way of procuring African slaves, by prevailing upon them to make war upon each other, and to sell their prisoners. Till then, they seldom had any wars; but were, in general, quiet and peace-But the white men first taught them drunkenness and avarice, and then hired them to sell one another. Nay, by this means, even their kings are induced to sell their own subjects. So Mr. Moore, (factor of the African Company in 1730,) informs us, "When the king of Barsalli wants goods or brandy, he sends to the English governor at James' Fort, who immediately sends a sloop. Against the time it arrives, he plunders some of his neighbours' towns, selling the people for the goods he wants. At other times he falls upon one of his own towns, and makes bold to sell his own subjects." So Mons. Brue says, "I wrote to the king, (not the same,) if he had a sufficient number of slaves, I would treat with him. He seized three hundred of his own people, and sent word, he was ready to deliver them for goods." He adds, "Some of the natives are always ready," (when well paid,) "to surprise and carry off their own countrymen. They come at night, without noise, and if they find any lone cottage, surround it, and carry off all the people." Barbot, another French factor, says, "Many of the slaves sold by the Negroes are prisoners of war, taken in incursions they make into their enemies' territories. Others are stolen. Abundance of little blacks, of both seves, are stolen away by their neighbours.

when found abroad on the road, or in the woods, or else in the cornfields, at the time of year when their parents keep them there all day to scare away the devouring birds. That their own parents sell them, is utterly false. Whites, not blacks, are without natural affection!

3. To set the manner wherein the Negroes are procured, in a yet stronger light, it will suffice to give an extract of two voyages to Guinea on this account. The first is taken verbatim from the ori-

ginal manuscript of the surgeon's journal.

"Sestro, Dec. 29, 1724" No trade to-day, though many traders came on board. They informed us that the people are gone to war within land, and will bring prisoners enough in two or three days; in hopes of which we stay.

"The 30th No trade yet: but our traders came on board today, and informed us the people had burnt four towns, so that to-

morrow we expect slaves off.

The 31st Fair weather, but no trading yet. We see each might towns burning But we hear many of the Sestro men are killed by the inland Negroes, so that we fear this war will be unsuccessful.

"The 2d of January. Last night we saw a prodigious fire break out about eleven o'clock, and this morning see the town of Sestro burnt down to the ground." (It contained some hundreds of houses) "So that we find their enemies are too hard for them at present, and, consequently, our trade is spoiled here. Therefore, about seven o'clock, we weighed anchor to proceed lower down."

4 The second extract, taken from the journal of a surgeon, who went from New-York on the same trade, is as follows. "The commander of the vessel sent to acquaint the king, that he wanted a cargo of slaves. The king promised to furnish him, and in order to it, set out, designing to surprise some town, and make all the people prisoners. Some time after, the king sent him word, he had not yet met with the desired success, having attempted to break up two towns, but having been twice repulsed: but that he still hoped to procure the number of slaves. In this design he persisted, till he met his enemies in the field. A battle was fought, which lasted three days. And the engagement was so bloody, that four thousand five hundred men were slain upon the spot!" Such is the manner wherein the Negroes are procured! Thus the Christians preach the gospel to the heathens!

5. Thus they are procured. But in what numbers, and in what manner are they carried to America? Mr. Anderson, in his History of Trade and Commerce, observes, "England supplies her American Colonies with Negro-slaves, amounting, in number, to about a hundred thousand every year." That is, so many are taken on board our ships. but at least ten thousand of them die in the voyage; about a fourth part more die at the different islands, in what is called the seasoning. So that, at an average, in the passage and seasoning together, thirty thousand die: that is, properly, are murdered. O

earth! O sea! cover not thou their blood!

1/

- 6. When they are brought down to the shore in order to be sold, our surgeons thoroughly examine them, and that quite naked, women and men, without any distinction: those that are approved are set on one side. In the mean time, a burning iron, with the arms or name of the company, lies in the fire, with which they are marked on the breast. Before they are put into the ships, their masters strip them of all they have on their backs, so that they come on board stark naked, women as well as men. It is common for several hundreds of them to be put on board one vessel: where they are stowed together in as little room as it is possible for them to be crowded in. It is easy to suppose what a condition they must soon be in, between heat, thirst, and stench of various kinds. So that it is no wonder so many should die in the passage; but rather, that any survive it.
- 7. When the ressels arrive at their destined port, the Negroes are again exposed naked, to the eyes of all that flock together, and to the examination of their purchasers; then they are separated to the plantations of their several masters, to see each other no more. Here you may see mothers hanging over their daughters, bedewing their naked breasts with tears, and daughters clinging to their parents, till the whipper soon obliges them to part. And what can be more wretched than the condition they then enter upon? Banished from their country, from their friends and relations for ever, from every comfort of life, they are reduced to a state, scarce any way preferable to that of beasts of burden. In general, a few roots, not of the nicest kind, usually yams or potatoes, are their food; and two rags, that neither screen them from the heat of the day, nor the cold of the night, their covering. Their sleep is very short, their labour continual, and frequently above their strength; so that death sets many of them at liberty before they have lived out half their days. The time they work in the West Indies is from day-break till noon, and from two o'clock till dark; during which time they are attended by overseers, who, if they think them dilatory, or think any thing not so well done as it should be, whip them most unmercifully; so that you may see their bodies long after, whealed and scarred usually from the shoulders to the waist. And before they are suffered to go to their quarters, they have commonly something to do, as collecting herbage for the horses, or gathering fuel for the boilers. So that it is often past twelve before they can get home. Hence, if their food is not prepared, they are sometimes called to labour again, before they can satisfy their hunger. And no excuse will avail. If they are not in the field immediately, they must expect to feel the lash. Did the Creator intend that the noblest creatures in the visible world should live such a life as this?

" Are these thy glorious works, Parent of Good?"

S. As to the punishment inflicted on them, says Sir Hans Sloane, "they frequently gold them, or chop off half a foot! After they are whipped till they are raw all over, some put pepper and salt upon them: some drop metted wax upon their skin; others cut off their

cars, and constrain them to broil and cat them. For rebellion," (that is, asserting their native liberty, which they have as much right to as the air they breathe,) "they fasten them down to the ground with crooked sticks on every limb, and then applying fire by degrees to the feet and hands, they burn them gradually upward to the head !"

9 But will not the laws made in the plantations, prevent or redress all cruelty and oppression? We will take but a few of those

laws for a specimen, and then let any man judge.

In order to rivet the chain of slavery, the law of Virginia ordains, "That no slave shall be set free, upon any pretence whatever, except for some meritorious services, to be adjudged and allowed by the Governor and Council: and that where any slave shall be set free by his owner, otherwise than is herein directed, the church-wardens of the parish, wherein such Negro shall reside for the space of one month, are hereby authorized and required, to take up and sell the said Negro, by public outery "

Will not these law-givers take effectual care to prevent crucky and

oppression?
The law of Jamaica ordains, "Every slave that shall run away, and continue absent from his master twelve months, shall be decined rebellious" And, by another law, fifty pounds are allowed, to those "who kill, or bring in alive, a rebellious slave." So their law treats these poor men with as little ceremony and consideration, as if they were merely brute beasts! But the innocent blood which is shed in consequence of such a detestable law, must call for vengeance on the murderous abettors and actors of such deliberate wickedness.

10 But the law of Barbadoes exceeds even this "If any Negro under punishment, by his master, or his order, for running away, or any other crime or misdemeanour, shall suffer in life or member, no person whatsoever shall be liable to any fine therefore. But if any man, of wantonness, or only of blood-mindedness, or cruel intention, wilfully kill a Negro of his own," (now observe the severe punishment!) "he shall pay, into the public treasury, fifteen pounds sterling And not be hable to any other punishment or forfeiture for the same!"

Nearly allied to this, is that law of Vuginia: " After proclamation is issued against slaves that run away, it is lawful for any person whatsoever to kill and destroy such slaves, by such ways and means

as he shall think fit."

We have seen already some of the ways and means which have been thought fit on such occasions And many more might be menthoned. One gentleman, when I was abroad, thought ht to roast his slave alive! But if the most natural act of "running away" from intolerable tyranny, deserves such relentless severity, what punishment have these law-makers to expect hereafter, on account of their own enormous offences!

IV. 1. This is the plain, unaggravated matter of fact. Such is the manner wherein our African slaves are procured: such the manner wherein they are removed from their native land, and wherein

they are treated in our plantations. I would now inquire, Whether these things can be defended, on the principles of even heathen honesty? Whether they can be reconciled, (setting the Bible out of the question,) with any degree of either justice or mercy?

2 The grand plea is, "They are authorized by law" But can law, human law, change the nature of things? Can it turn darkness into light, or evil into good? By no means. Notwithstanding ten thousand laws, light is right, and wrong is wrong still. There must still remain an essential difference between justice and injustice, crucity and mercy. So that I still ask, Who can reconcile this treatment of the Negroes, first and last, with either inercy or justice?

Where is the justice of inflicting the severest evils, on those that have done us no wrong? Of depriving those, that never injured us in word or deed, of every comfort of life? Of teating them from their native country, and depriving them of liberty itself? To which an Angolan has the same natural right as an Englishman, and on which he sets as high a value? Yea, where is the justice of taking away the lives of innocent, moffensive men? Murdering thousands of them in then own land, by the hands of their own countrymen? Many thousands, year after year, on shipboard, and then casting them like dung into the sea! And tens of thousands in that civel slavery, to which they are so unjustly reduced?

3 But waving, for the present, all other considerations, I strike at the root of this complicated villany. I absolutely deny all slave-holding to be consistent with any degree of natural justice.

I cannot place this in a clearer light, than that great ornament of his profession, Judge Blackstone, has already done. Part of his words are as follows:

"The three origins of the right of slavery assigned by Justinian, are all built upon false foundations—1—Slavery is said to arise from captivity in war. The conqueror having a right to the life of his captive, if he spares that, has then a right to deal with them as he pleases. But this is untrue, if taken generally, That by the laws of nations a man has a right to kill his enemy. He has only a right to kill him in particular cases, in cases of absolute necessity for self-defence. And it is plain, this absolute necessity did not subsist, since he did not kill him, but made him prisoner—War itself is justifiable only on principles of self-preservation. Therefore it gives us no right over prisoners, but to hinder their hurting us by confining them. Much less can it give a right to torture, or kill, or even enslave an enemy when the war is over. Since therefore the right of making our prisoners slaves, depends on a supposed right of slaughter, that foundation failing, the consequence which is drawn from it must fail likewise."

"It is said, secondly, Slavery may begin, by one man's selling himself to another. And it is true, a man may sell himself to work for another, but he cannot sell himself to be a slave, as above defined. Every sale implies an equivalent given to the seller, in lieu of what he transfers to the buyer. But what equivalent can be given for life or liberty? His property likewise, with the very price

which he seems to receive, devolves ipso facto to his master, the instant he becomes his slave: in this case therefore the buyer gives nothing. Of what validity then can a sale be, which destroys the

very principle upon which all sales are founded (**)

"We are told, thirdly, that men may be born slaves, by being the children of slaves. But this being built upon the two former rights, must fall together with them, if neither captivity, nor contract can, by the plan law of nature and reason, reduce the parent to a state of slavery, much less can they reduce the offspring " It clearly follows,

that all slavery is as irreconcilable to justice as to mercy.

4. That slave-holding is utterly inconsistent with mercy, is almost too plain to need a proof. Indeed it is said, "That these negroes being prisoners of war, our captains and factors buy them, merely to save them from being put to death. And is not this mercy?" I answer, 1. Did Sir John Hawkins, and many others, seize upon men, women, and children, who were at peace in their own fields and houses, merely to save them from death? 2 Was it to save them from death, that they knocked out the brains of those they could not bring away? 3. Who occasioned and fomented those wars, wherein these poor creatures were taken prisoners? Who excited them by money, by dunk, by every possible means, to fall upon one another? Was it not then selves? They know in their own consciences it was, if they have any consciences left. But, 4. To bring the matter to a short issue. Can they say before God, that they ever took a single voyage, or bought a single negro, from this motive? They cannot, they well know: to get money, not to save lives, was the whole and sole spring of their motions.

5 But if this manner of procuring and treating negroes is not consistent either with mercy or justice, yet there is a plea for it which every man of business will acknowledge to be quite sufficient Fifty years ago, one meeting an emment statesman in the lobby of the House of Commons, said, "You have been long talking about justice and equity, Pray, which is this bill [Equity or justice?" He answered, very short, and plain, "D-n justice it is necessity." Here also the slave-holder fixes his foot. here he rests the strength of his cause. "If it is not quite right, yet it must be so there is an absolute necessity for it. It is necessary we should procure slaves: and when we have procured them, it is necessary to use them with severity, considering their stupidity, stubbornness, and wickedness.

I answer, You stumble at the threshold I deny that villanv is ever necessary. It is impossible that it should ever be necessary, for any reasonable creature to violate all the laws of justice, mercy, and No circumstances can make it necessary for a man to burst in sunder all the ties of humanity. It can never be necessary for a rational being to sink himself below a brute. A man can be under no necessity of degrading himself into a wolf. The absurdity of the supposition is so glaring, that one would wonder any one could help

seeing it.

6. This in general. But to be more particular, I ask, 1. What is necessary? And, secondly. To what end? It may be answered, "The whole method now used by the original purchasers of negroes, is necessary to the furnishing our colonics yearly with a hundred thousand slaves." I grant this is necessary to that end. But how is that end necessary? How will you prove it necessary, that one hundred, that one of those slaves should be procured? "Why, it is necessary to my gaining a hundred thousand pounds." Perhaps so: but how is this necessary? It is very possible you might be both a better and a happier man, if you had not a quarter of it. I deny that your gaining one thousand is necessary, either to your present or eternal happiness. "But, however, you must allow, these slaves are necessary for the cultivation of our islands: inasmuch as white men are not able to labour in hot climates." I answer, 1. It were better that all those islands should remain uncultivated for ever, yea, it were more desirable that they were altogether sunk in the depth of the sea, than that they should be cultivated at so high a price, as the violation of justice, mercy, and truth. But, secondly, the supposition on which you ground your argument is false. For white men, even Englishmen, are well able to labour in hot climates: provided they are temperate both in meat and drink, and that they inure themselves to it by degrees. I speak no more than I know by experience. It appears from the thermometer, that the summer heat in Georgia, is frequently equal to that in Barbadoes, yea, to that under the line. And yet 1 and my family (eight in number) did employ all our spare time there, in felling of trees and clearing of ground, as hard labour as any negro need be employed in. The German family likewise, forty in number, were employed in all manner of labour. And this was so far from impairing our health, that we all continued perfectly well, while the idle ones round about us, were swept away as with a postilence. It is not true therefore that white men are not able to labour, even in hot climates, full as well as black. But if they were not, it would be better that none should labour there, that the work should be left undone, than that myriads of innocent men should be murdered, and myriads more dragged into the basest slavery.

7. "But the furnishing us with slaves is necessary, for the trade, and wealth, and glory of our nation." Here are several mistakes. For, 1. Wealth is not necessary to the glory of any nation; but wisdom, virtue, justice, mercy, generosity, public spirit, love of our country. These are necessary to the real glory of a nation; but abundance of wealth is not. Men of understanding allow, that the glory of England was full as high in Queen Elizabeth's time as it is now: although our riches and trade were then as much smaller, as our virtue was greater. But, secondly, it is not clear, that we should have either less money or trade, (only less of that detestable trade of man-stealing.) if there was not a negro in all our islands, or in all English America. It is demonstrable, white men, inured to it by degrees, can work as well as they, and they would do it, were negroes out of the way, and proper encouragement given them. However, thirdly, I come back to the same point: better no trade, than trade procured by

villany. It is far better to have no wealth, than to gain wealth at the expense of virtue Better is honest poverty, than all the riches bought by the tears, and sweat, and blood of our fellow-creatures.

8 "However this be, it is necessary when we have slaves, to use them with severity" What, to whip them for every petty offence till they are all in a gore of blood? To take that opportunity of rubbing pepper and salt into their raw flesh? To drop burning sealing-wax upon their skin? To castrate them? To cut off half their foot with an axe? To hang them on gibbets that they may die by unches, with heat, and hunger, and thirst? To pin them down to the ground, and then burn them by degrees, from the feet to the head? To roast them alive?—When did a Turk or a heathen find it necessary to use a fellow-creature thus?

I pray to what end is this usage necessary? "Why, to prevent their running away, and to keep them constantly to their labour, that they may not idle away their time So miserably stupid is this race of men, yea, so stubborn and so wicked ' Allowing them to be as stupid as you say, to whom is that stupidity owing? Without question it lies altogether at the door of their inhuman masters. who gave them no means, no opportunity of improving their understanding. and indeed leave them no motive, either from hope or fear, to attempt any such thing. They were no way remarkable for stupidity, while they remained in their own country. the inhabitants of Africa, where they have equal motives and equal means of improvement, are not inferior to the inhabitants of Europe; to some of them they are greatly superior Impartially survey in their own country, the natives of Benin, and the natives of Lapland Compare, (setting prejudice aside) the Samoeids and the Angolans And on which side does the advantage lie, in point of understanding? Certainly the African is in no respect inferior to the European. Their stupidity, therefore, in our plantations is not natural otherwise than it is the natural effect of their condition Consequently it is not their fault, but your's. you must answer for it, before God and man

9 "But their stupidity is not the only reason of our treating them these, as well as the other, lie at your door; are not stubbornness, cunning, pilfering, and divers other vices, the natural, necessary fruits of slavery? Is not this an observation which has been made, in every age and nation? And what means have you used to remove this stubbornness Have you tried what mildness and gentleness would do? I knew one that did: that had prudence and patience to make the experiment. Mr Hugh Bryan, who then lived on the borders of South Carolina. And what was the effect? Why, that all his negroes, (and he had no small number of them) loved and reverenced him as a father, and cheerfully obeyed him out of love. Yea, they were more afraid of a frown from him, than of many blows from an overseer And what pains have you taken, what method have you used, to reclaim them from their wickedness? Have you carefully taught them,

Vol. 10—T t

"That there is a God, a wise, powerful, merciful Being, the Creator and Governor of heaven and earth? That he has appointed a day wherein he will judge the world, will take an account of all our thoughts, words, and actions? That in that day he will reward every child of man according to his works:" that "then the righteous shall inherit the kingdom prepared for them from the foundation of the world: and the wicked shall be east into everlasting fire, prepared for the Devil and his angels." If you have not done this, if you have taken no pains or thought about the matter, can you wonder at their wickedness? What wonder if they should cut your throat? And if they did, whom could you thank for it but yourself? You first acted the villain in making them slaves, (whether you stole them or bought them.) You kept them stupid and wicked, by cutting them off from all opportunities of improving either in knowledge or virtue: and now you assign their want of wisdom and goodness as the reason for using them worse than brute beasts!

V. 1. It remains only to make a little application of the preceding observations.—But to whom should that application be made? That may bear a question. Should we address ourselves to the public at large? What effect can this have? It may inflame the world against the guilty, but is not likely to remove that guilt. Should we appeal to the English nation in general? This also is striking wide; and is never likely to procure any redress for the sore evil we complained of.—As little would it, in all probability, avail, to apply to the purliament. So many things, which seem of greater importance, lie before them, that they are not likely to attend to this. I therefore add a few words to those who are more immediately concerned, whether cap-

tains, merchants, or planters.

2. And first to the captains employed in this trade. Most of you know the country of Guinea: several parts of it at least, between the river Senegal, and the kingdom of Angola. Perhaps now, by your means, part of it is become a dreary, uncultivated wilderness, the inhabitants being all murdered or carried away, so that there are none left to till the ground. But you well know, how populous, how fruitful, how pleasant it was a few years ago. You know the people were not stupid, not wanting in sense, considering the few means of improvement they enjoyed. Neither did you find them savage, herce, cruel, treacherous, or unkind to strangers. On the contrary, they were, in most parts, a sensible and ingenious people. kind and friendly, courteous, and obliging, and remarkably fair and just in their dealings. Such are the men whom you hire their own countrymen, to tear away from this lovely country; part by stealth, part by force, part made captive in those wars, which you raise or foment on purpose. You have seen them torn away, children from their parents, parents from their children: husbands from their wives, wives from their beloved husbands, brethren and sisters from each other. You have dragged them who have never done you any wrong, perhaps in chains, from their native shore. You have forced them into your ships like an herd of swine, them who had souls as immortal as your own: (only some of them, leaped into the sea, and

resolutely stayed under water, till they could suffer no more from you) You have stowed them together as close as ever they could he, without any regard either to decency or convenience. And when many of them had been poisoned by foul air, or had sunk under various hardships, you have seen their remains delivered to the deep till the sea should give up its dead. You have carried the survivors into the vilest slavery, never to end but with life such slavery as is not found among the Turks at Algiers, no nor among the Heathens in America.

3 May I speak plainly to you? I must. Love constrains me:

love to you, as well as those you are concerned with.

Is there a God? You know there is. Is he a just God? Then there must be a state of retribution. a state wherein the just God will reward every man according to his works. Then what reward will be render to you? O think betimes! before you drop into eternuy! Think now, "He shall have judgment without mercy that bath showed no mercy ' Are you a man? Then you should have a human heart. But have you indeed? What is your heart made of? Is there no such principle as compassion there? Do you never feel another's pain? Have you no sympathy? No sense of human wo? No pity for the miserable? When you saw the streaming eyes, the heaving breasts, or the bleeding sides and tortured limbs of your fellow-creatures, were you a stone, or a brute? Did you look upon them with the eyes of a tiger? When you squeezed the agonizing creatures down in the ship, or when you threw their poor mangled remains into the sea, had you no relenting? Did not one tear drop from your eye, one sigh escape from your breast? Do you feel no relenting now? If you do not, you must go on till the measure of your iniquities is full. Then will the great God deal with you, as you have dealt with them, and require all their blood at your hands And at that day it shall be more tolerable for Sodom and Gomorrah than for you' But if your heart does relent, though in a small degree, know it is a call from the God of love. And to-day, if you will hear his voice, harden not your heart. To-day resolve, God being your helper, to escape for your life Regard not money! All that a man hath will he give for his life! Whatever you lose, lose not your soul: nothing can countervail that loss. Immediately quit the horrid trade, at all events be an honest man

4. This equally concerns every merchant, who is engaged in the slave-trade. It is you that induce the African villain to sell his countrymen, and, in order thereto, to steal, rob, murder men, women, and children, without number. by enabling the English villain to pay him for so doing, whom you overpay for his execrable labour. It is your money, that is the spring of all, that empowers him to go on so that whatever he or the African does in this matter, it is all your act and deed. And is your conscience quite reconciled to this? Does it never reproach you at all? Has gold entirely blinded your eyes, and stupified your heart? Can you see, can you feel no hair minerein? Is it doing as you would be done to? Make the case your own. "Master, said a slave at Liverpool (to the merchant that

owned him) what if some of my countrymen were to come here, and take away my mistress, and master Tommy, and master Billy, and carry them into our country, and make them slaves, how would you like it?" His answer was worthy of a man: "I will never buy a slave more while I live." O let his resolution be your's! Have no more any part in this detestable business. Instantly leave it to those unfeeling wretches, "who laugh at human nature and compassion!" Be you a man! Not a wolf, a devourer of the human species! Be

merciful, that you may obtain mercy!

5. And this equally concerns every gentleman that has an estate in our American plantations: yea, all slave-holders, of whatever rank and degree: seeing men-buyers are exactly on a level with men-stealers. Indeed you say, "I pay honestly for my goods: and I am not concerned to know how they are come by." Nay but you are: you are deeply concerned to know they are honestly come by. Otherwise you are partaker with a thief, and are not a jot honester than he. But you know they are not honestly come by: you know they are procured by means, nothing near so innocent as picking pockets, housebreaking, or robbery upon the high-way. You know they are procured by a deliberate series of more complicated villany, (of fraud, robbery, and murder) than was ever practised either by Mahometans or Pagans: in particular by murders of all kinds: by the blood of the innocent poured upon the ground like water. Now it is your money that pays the merchant, and through him the captain, and the African butchers. You therefore are guilty, yea principally guilty, of all these frauds, robberies, and murders. You are the spring that puts all the rest in motion: they would not stir a step without you: therefore the blood of all these wretches, who die before their time, whether in the country or elsewhere, lies upon your head. "The blood of thy brother," (for whether thou wilt believe it or not, such he is in the sight of Him that made him) "crieth against thee from the earth," from the ship, and from the waters. O, whatever it costs, put a stop to its cry before it be too late: instantly, at any price, were it the half of your goods, deliver thyself from blood-guiltiness! Thy hands, thy bed, thy furniture, thy house, thy lands, are at present stained with blood. Surely it is enough, accumulate no more guilt: spill no more the blood of the innocent! Do not hire another to shed blood: do not pay him for doing it: Whether you are a Christian or not, show yourself a man! Be not more savage than a lion or a bear!

6. Perhaps you will say, "I do not buy any negroes: I only use those left by my father." So far is well: but is it enough to satisfy your own conscience? Had your father, have you, has any man living, a right to use another as a slave? It cannot be, even setting revelation aside. It cannot be that either war, or contract, can give any man such a property in another as he has in his sheep and oxen. Much less is it possible, that any child of man, should ever be born a slave. Liberty is the right of every human creature, as soon as he breathes the vital air. And no human law can deprive him of that

right, which he derives from the law of nature.

If therefore you have any regard to justice, (to say nothing of mercy, nor the revealed law of God.) render unto all their duc. Give liberty to whom liberty is due, that is, to every child of man, to every partaker of human nature. Let none serve you but by his own act and deed, by his own voluntary choice. Away with all whips, all chains, all compulsion. Be gentle toward all men, and see that you invariably do unto every one, as you would be should do unto you.

To thou God of love, thou who art loving to every man, and whose mercy is over all thy works; thou who art the Father of the spirits of all flesh, and who art rich in mercy unto all; thou who hast formed of one blood, all the nations upon the earth; have compassion upon these outcasts of men, who are trodden down as dung upon the earth! Arise, and help these that have no helper, whose blood is spilt upon the ground like water! Are not these also the work of thine own hands, the purchase of thy Son's blood? Stir them up to cry unto thee in the land of their captivity, and let their complaint come up before thee; let it enter into thy ears! Make even those that lead them away captive to pity them, and turn their captivity as the rivers in the South. O burst thou all their chains in sunder; more especially the chains of their sins: thou, Saviour of all, make them free, that they may be free indeed!

The servile progeny of Ham,
Seize as the purchase of thy blood?
Let all the Heathens know thy name
From idols to the living God
The dark Americans convert,
And shane in every Pagan heart?

THE END

A GENERAL INDEX

TO THE

TEN VOLUMES

OF

MR. WESLEY'S WORKS.

The Letters refer to the Volumes, and the Figures to the Paging.

Λ

ABEL, the death of, mentioned in 96	1 A
Abraham's bosom, import of the phrase vi 52	A
Absolution, priestly, cannot remove the fear	
of death in 80	LA
according to the church of Rome,	A
what? x 45	A
Abstinence, what? v 294	A
Abuse of a doctrine, no argument against it	A
	A A
71 17	A
Academy of Sciences at Paris, a strange ac-	
count in the Memoirs of 1x 49	
A-n, Ann, a remarkable account of m 196,	
197	A
Act of Uniformity mentioned x 118, 119	
Conventicle noticed x 119, 120	A
Adam, a censure on, for introducing sin and	
death into the world vi 234, 235	A
his fall may be turned to a good ic-	-
count vi 235 241	
and Christ, a parallel between 1x 345	
347	
and Christ, a comparison between ix	
208, 209. 212 215	
the consequences of his sin, what?	A
ix 212 214, 215	A
how the representative of mankind ix	Λ
273 275 308, 311	
how in a state of trial for all mankind	A
13. 288, 289	44
Adams, Mr. T a letter from, to Mr Wesley,	A
on leaving the Church x 240, 241	7
Mr. Wales appearance week @42	
Mr Wesley answer to x 241-243	
his comment on the Epistle to the	A
Romans consured in 358	
Adare, once a strong town, some account of	
n 341	
Addison, Mr. what he says of Taste v 165 167	
Address, Calm, to our American Colonies x	
130—136	
Adrian, the emperor, his dying words vi 346	
Adrian, the emperor, his dying words vi 346 what he said to his soul vii 241	
Advertisement, a singular, at Devizes ii 102	A
Advice, the danger of bad 11365	**
Marice, the danger in part	
Affections, the necessity of right, in a minis-	
ter vm 81	
the corrupted state of the 1x 370	
Africans, the miserable condition of vi 333	A
Agalino, Count, picture of, mentioned iv 303	
Age, how it steals upon us 17 258	A
.,	

sgents, moral, how men are
hir, the original state of the, and observatious on the
vi 216, 332 tious on the view they and observa-tious on the view of, mentioned in 324, 325 Albans, 5t the Abbey of, described in 307, 308 -Alex Mr. a letter from Mr Wesley to x 307 Altred, King, his tower mentioned iv 140 Alley, Mr. how killed x 105 Mms-giving. direction. Alms-giving, directions for v 279—281

does not supply the place of love remarks on 11 356, 357 Alnwick, a temarkable custom at 11 282 castle of, mentioned 17 37 Ambition, its influence and various shapes x 109, 110 merica, Methodist preachers first sent to in European settlements in, mentioned two letters from Mr Wesley to preachers in cause of the discontents of x 133, 134 msterdam, some account of 198
nabaptists, an address to vin 322, 323
inderson, Dr. his account of the Hebrides recommended is 172
ndrews, St. some account of the college,
Sc. of 114
187 necdote, a singular, of some persecutors of a poor man in distress iv 21
ngels, how under the law v 365
good, the understanding, holmess,
and power of vi 350-353
how they minuter to the heirs of salvation 11 353-355 evil, their nature and properties vi then employment, what? vi 357-359
then employment, what? vi 359-384
remarks on the worshipping of x 25
nger, the danger of
what? what? how excited by gay apparel via 69 how encouraged in children via 130 when not similal x 300 807 when not similal x 300 307 nimals, microscopic, observations on vi 333 condition of, since the fall 1x 294, 295 nimate nature, constitution nimate nature, conjectures on vi 295, 296

Annesley, Dr. some account of i 5-8. 280	Atheists, who?
mentioned iii 266 his sermon on Universal Con-	(AN 211-212
scientionness quoted vii	an account of two 312 Atmosphere, reflections on the vi 216
207-209	Atonemout, the doctrino of the, mentioned x
Anson, a remark on his Voyages ii 325	262
Answer to an important question x 98-100	Atoning blood, the need of the iii 57
Antinomianism, what? is 350	on the necessity of the, a let-
a true picture of ii 78	on the necessity of the, a let- ter from Mr. Wesley to S. F. x 260
the main pillar of what? v	Atterbury, Bishop, same account of 139
practical, the prevalence and	Attorneys, honest, remarks on viii 309
evit of v 381, 382	Atterbury, Bishop, some account of i 39 Attorneys, honest, remarks on viii 309 Attributes of God, what? vii 249, 250
a caution against viii 55	Augustine, St. his uncharitable spirit censured
remarks on ix 484, 485	vì 323
what the quintescence of x 209	u remarkable saying of vii 43, 44
a landerance to the work of	what he says of the suffi-
God x 305	ciency of the Scriptures X
Autinomians, the difference between them and	. 13
the Methodists ii 41-46	Austin, St. what he said of Divine Provi-
Antisthenes, the case of, proposed — in 192 Autonium, Moreus, his remarkable dream re-	dence vi 319 a remarkable saying of x 15
ferred to vi 354	Austin, Mr. providentially preserved from
Apathy, a remark on x 806, 307	drowning ii 454, 455 Authors, ancient, how they polished their writings
Apoeryphal writings, remarks on x 15	Authors, ancient, how they polished their
Apologies of the Christian Fathers, remarks	
en ix 51, 53	Awaking, what it imports v 26. 29
Apostacy of mankind, how proved ix 318, 320 the great, foretold by St. Paul vi	В
270, 271	flabel, remarks on the building of ix 169
Apostles, how they lived and preached x 72, 73	Backelider, a remarkable note from a ili 400
Apparitions, remarks on iii 245, 246	the remarkable conversion of a
Appeal, Westey s, to men of reason and re-	iii 108, 109
ligion in 78	Backstiders, why some cast away hope vii 46-48
Application, necessity of, in preaching iv 39 a fine example of v 41, 45	the state of, described x 203, 264
Arbroth, some account of the abbey at iii 300	penitent, have no cause to de-
Arians know Christ only after the flesh vii	spair vii 48, 54
264	cantian to vii 54
Ariello, Thomas, how raised to supreme x 129	Backsliding, a letter on the subject of, from Mr. Wesley to Lady - x 263
Aristocracy, what? x 129	exemplified ii 39
Armagh, some account of, and of the Pri-	Bacon, Lord, his Ten Centuries mentioned
mate's palace iv 19, 20	iv 172
Arminian, what? ix 473-476	Baily, Rev. Mr. a letter to the viii 562-580
Arminians, wherein they differ from the Cal-	Balligarrene, a town in Ireland innabited by
Arminiue, James, a short account of ix 474	Belloons, remarks on iv 201
Arnobious, what he says of easting out evil	Bamff, some account of ill 435, 436
spirity ix 22	Bands, the origin and rules of, iv 336 among the Methodists ix 478, 479
Article 31st of the Church of England, affirms	among the Methodists 7 ix 478, 479
the dectrine of universal re- demetion in 515	defended against objections iv 337 observations on . viii 426
demption ix 515 4 shburn, the Rev Solomon, epitaph of iti 506	select, the origin of iv 338
a remarkable beguest of 19 42	Band-meeting recommended iv 375
Assembly, in Scotland, some account of the	Banger, some account of ii 550, 353
General 30 139, 130	Bankrupts, how to be treated iv 376
of divines at Westminster, what their thoughts on necessity ix	Haptism, the necessity of ii 233 not the new-birth v 178, 203-206
459	a treatise on ix 155-165
Associations, the design of vi 21, 22	the nature of ix 155-157
Assurance, a remark on the word x 200	what the Quakers say of ix 115
what ? viit 553	what the Church of Rome says of
remarks on vili 388. 494 the doctrine of, held by hishops	x 57 the permanency of, in the Christian
Leighton, Hall, &c. 11123	church ix 158, 159
Athanasius, his opiniou concerning the opera-	the proper subjects of, who ix 159
tions of the Holy Spirit viii!	remarks on the mode of administer-
258, 259	ing ix 491, 525
	infant, thoughts of the Ancient Fa- thers upon ix 162
Atheism, how promoted by riches vii 227: Atheist, the conversion of an i 343	thers upon 1x 163 objections to, answered ix 163—163
Atheist, the conversion of an i 343	objections to an attended as a source

Eaptism, remarks on x 34, 35	Bellamy, Mrs. a censure on her Late av 285, 286
an elderly Quaker a subject of, men- tioned 11 40	Bellarmine, a dying saying of vi 246
Baptists, remarks on their separation from	Repufactors, an account of some 111 322
the Church of England vin 358 Barelsy, Robert, Mr Winley's opinion of	Bengelius, his thoughts on some of the pro-
his Apology 11 43 44 what he said of imputed	his opinion of the controverted
righteothai su vi 136	B., Miss, seven letters from Mr Wesley
his Apology mentioned ax 110—117	10 \$ 210254
Barton, Mr his Lectures recommended in 351, 352	Bennet, Mr John, mentioned in 256 Bennets, John, some account of in 195
Baul, St what he says on learning the floly	Bennis, Mrs of Limerick, twenty-seven let- ters of Mr Wesley's 'o X
Scriptures x 36, 97 Bass, some account of the ni 348, 349	329—340
Bate, June, a letter on Experience from 11	Benson, Mr. Joseph, thirty-eix letters from
Bateman, Mr. the singular house of, men-	338115
Baxter, Mr Richard, his history of his own	B, Miss, six of Mr Wesley's letters to x 363-369
life and times come	Rentley, Dr mentioned vii 166
wended in 375, 376 line his tory of the coun-	Berridge, the Rev Mr some account of 11411 greatly blessed in ministe-
eds mentioned it 902 his life relei red to a 800	rut labours n 426—450. 436—415
a quetition from, on	Beverley, Minister, some account of un
visiting iv 370 a quittion from, on	Bible, how to be estimated v 34
reproving am vi 209	strongly recommended by a Denst vii 269
his back on apparitions is entioned in 337	Bigotry, what? ▼ 409, 410
what he thought a sul- ficient equartity of	
sleep vii 108	Bishop, Miss, a letter from Mr Wesley to, on the Atonement x202
what he thought requi- site qualifications for	Bishops and Presbyters of the same order in 76
teaching children x 318	obedience to, how far it ex- { in 454 tends } iv 362
Beam in the eye, what? v 333 Beard, Thomas, an account of the treatment	Bisson, Jeannie, her remarkable piety no-
of 11.22	Blackburn, Archdeacon, a work of his uni-
Beasts, their original and present state, with respect to man 1x 268 271 285	madverted on in 264 Blackmore, Sir Richard, his Prince Arthur
B William, an account of 11 St-33 Beattre, Dr his Essay on Fruth recommend-	unticed in S82
ed 111 347	Black william, an account of 19 19, 92
Beauchamp, Ann, an account of it 342-344	Blackwell, Mr his Sacred Classics com- mended av 199
Beauty, me vanity of	a remarkable saying of x
Bedel, Bishop, the interription on the tomb-	Blair, Dr a critique upon his Sermons iv 36
stone of 17 207 the castle where he was con-	Blanchland, a description of 11 109 Bland, Mr his account of the antiquity of the
final mentioned av 270	Hebrew paints 11 101
Beggars, a town of, mentioned in 438 Behmensm, destructive of genuine Christ-	Blase Castle, the woods nigh to, mentioned iv 117
Behmen, Jacob, thoughts upon x 174	some account of av 255
hu Niysterium Magnum, a	Blasphemy against the Holy Ghost, what?
critique on 1 396 his singular hypothesis of the	Blessing given to Noah, remarks on the 12 289
earth vi 294	Blessings of God, how of his grace v 9
the obscurity of his philoso- phy x 172	Blisters, remarks on x 278 Blood, remarks on eating n 66
his divinity censured x 172,	observations on the circulation of the
Belfast, an insurrection at 111 374, 375	Blond of Christ cleanestle from all sin, how
some account of 1v is Believing the gospel, what? v 72	to be understood v 436 Blow at the Root 1x 453—457
Belisarius, a critique on m 363	Boasting, Remarks on viii 447
Bell, Gro separates from Mr Wesley iv 415 Hell, Captain, the affecting case of in 449,	Body, remarks on the human vi 211, 212.
450	226. 334

Bachms, Mr. his Sermons mentioned iii 430 Bahler, Peter, a letter of his to Mr. Wesley
Bohler, Peter, a letter of his to Mr. Wesley
Holter, Peter, a letter of his to Mr. Wesley i 187, 188 liolton, persecution at ii 157
a surprising change among the perse- cutors at ii 191
Sunday-school of, mentioned iv
the singing at, commended in 236 Bolton, Mr. his curious works mentioned iv
the Rev. Air, his directions for com-
fortably walking with God, no- ticed ii 801
Miss, fourteen letters from Mr Wes-
ley to x 369-376 Bolzius, J. Martin, of Georgia, a letter from,
to Mr Wesley il 187, 188 Bonavici's history of the war in Italy, mentioned iii 365
Bondage, the spirit of, exemplified v 86, 67, 135-137
Coniface III. first entitled a universal bishop
Books, how to read is 380
förged, remarks on ix 13, 14 were opened, the import of vi 42, 43
Booth, Elizabeth, a singular account of ii 286, 287
Mr. John, a letter from Mr. Wesley
Burlomachi, Moneieur, his treatise on the Law of Nature considered vii
Borlase, Mr. his Antiquities of Cornwall
Commended ii 383 Born of God, what the phrase imports v
190183
bow he that is, sinneth not v
Boston, some account of { iii 60 iv 61
Boston, Mr. an extract from his Four-fold State ix 352, 376
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from it 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from it 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonicite, the character of iii
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Autonicitie, the character of iii Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of iii 890 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of iii Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octugon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Johnnal mentioned ii 194
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of tii Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned ii 194 the Life of, recommended iii
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonicite, the character of liii 890 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 875 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned ii 194 the Life of, recommended iv 161 Branches in the true Vine, who? iii 286 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictto, the character of liii 390 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Journal mentioned ii 196 Branches in the tue Vine, who? iii 286 Branchesin the true Vine, who? iii 196 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for y 287
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of the second of the
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonicite, the character of iii 390 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 390 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 190 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned ii 194 the Life of, recommended iv the Life of, recommended iv 163 Branches in the true Vine, who 7 iii 296 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Brent Hill mentioned iii 286 Brerewood, Mr. his account of the moral state of the moral state of the world ix 178
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of iii 390 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Johnnal mentioned iii 196 the Life of, recommended iv 161 Branches in the true Vine, who? iii 296 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v. 287 Breat Hill mentioned Bread, daily, the import of \$\frac{1}{2}\text{821}\text{821}\text{821}\text{821}\text{178}\tex
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed 330, 446 Bourgnon, Antonicite, the character of life Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 190 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned ii 194 the Life of, recommended iv 161 Branches in the true Vine, who? iii 296 Brandenburg, Meniors of the House of, mentioned iii 198 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Brent Hill mentioned Brerewood, Mr. his account of the moral state of the moral state of the world referred to ix 95 Brihery, how to be removed iv 326 Bridge at Coal Brook Dale mentioned iv 326
Bosworth, John, an excellent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictte, the character of iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 194 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned ii 194 the Life of, recommended iv 161 Branches in the true Vine, who? iii 296 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned Breat Will mentioned iii 286 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 286 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 286 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 286 Bridge, at Coal-Brook Dale, mentioned iv 392 a remarkable one, mentioned iv 392 a remarkable one, mentioned iv 118 Bridges, two remarkable, in Scotland iv 241 Bridges, two remarkable, in Scotland iv 241
Bosworth, John, an excelent letter from in 78 Botts, Mr. his history of India noticed iii 430, 446 Bourgnon, Antonictto, the character of iii 390 Boyne, the river, passed by King William iii 375 Bradford, the octagon chapel at, mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned iii 196 Brainerd, Mr. his Joannal mentioned iii 196 Branches in the true Vine, who? iii 296 Brandenburg, Memoirs of the House of, mentioned iii 196 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 286 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 286 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 280 Bread, daily, the import of the petition for v 287 Breat Hill mentioned iii 281 It would the world vi 281, 282 It vi 281, 282 It vi 281, 282 It vi 281 Brihery, how to be removed iv 376 Brihery, how to be removed iv 376 Bridge, at Coal-Brook Dale, mentioned iv 312 a remarkable one, mentioned iv 118

Bristol, the besetting sin of the people of iv
381
British nation, the wickedness of, compared to the Jewish nation viii
294—320
Brown, Dr. his Animadversions on Shaftes-
bury's Characteristics, men-
tioned iii 268
Bishop, a remark of, on the doctrine of the Trinity x 302
his thoughts on Arianism and
Socialanism x 305
John, mentioned i 426
Jane, the singular case of iv 16. Bette, a short account of iv 72.
Brownfield, James, mentioned iii 303
Brute creation, mercy to, recommended li
349
Brute creatures, the original state of, and
how distinguished from mun vi 254, 255
the present state of vi
255257
the deliverance of vi
257—261 remarks on their sufferings
ix 358
soon arrive at a state of
perfection x 156
Bryant, Mr. his Ancient Mythology mea- tioned iv 36
Buckingham, the Rev. Mr. renounces all
connexion with the Me-
thodists iii 202
Building on a rock, what? hii 435 Bull, Bishop, his Harmonia Apostolica ana-
lyzed i 351
Ditto mentioned vii 323
maintained the doctrine of jus- tification by works vii 325
his account of reportance vii
326
Binvan, John, his Holy War quoted vi 398
Burke, Mr. Hichard, some account of in 449
Burnet, Dr. his Theory of the Earth men- tioned in 291
Hurning sermons, remarks on iv 24
Burslem mentioned iv 71
a remarkable work of God at iv
197, 198
Bushfield, Mr. his house and improvements mentioned iv 22
Rusiness, Remarks on vii 78, 79
Butler, Bishop, his Analogy recommended
iii 245
Byrom, Dr. his Poems recommended iii 376, 377
Byron, Commodore, a surprising account of
iii 270
c
Cabins, Irish, remarks on fii 297

Cabins, Irish, remarks on fil 297
Cadogan, Dr. his Treatise on Chronological
Diseases mentioned iii 332
Casar, his character ix 177, 178
Call to preach the gospal at a new place,
what?
Calvin, John, his account of the death of
Servetus i 332
what he sups of election and
reprobation ix 378—380
what Mr. Wesley thought of

Calvinism fully stated and refuted in 430-439	Charity, what?
fue miscusedons eneces of in 202	the serial management
a hinderance to boliness 1v 368	our English Bible vii 90, 91 bow to be practised vii 63
Campbell, Mr. what he thought of Hades vii	how to be practised viii 63 or love, remarks on the permanency
	of 1x 93—95
Dr his answer to D. Hume re- ' commended ni 276	Charities, public, reflections on the abuses
Camp, a Roman, mentioned in 349	of var 311
Canterbury cathedral, reflection on visiting	Charlemont, Lord, his seat mentioned iv 21,
n 229, 230	Charles, king of Sweden, mentioned vi 168
Carishbrook Castle, some account in 289	Charter school, the state of a 19 112 Chartety, how to preserve 91 162—164
	Cheesebrook, Mary, a strange monument of
Carnal, and sold under sin, who? 1292	(zod a mercy 11 127, 128
imports ix 246	Cheesement, Captain an account of 19 100
Carn-brae, a celebrated hill, an account of	Chepstow, the beautiful walks, woods, &c.
m 308	at m 284, 285 Chester, a short account of u 261
Carrel, Captain, his Travels recommended	
Cartoons, some remarks on the 1v 64	
Cartoons, some remarks on the 1v 64 Castle Barnard, some account of 11 321	censured 3 170, 180;
Catechism, the Assembly's, mentioned vi 417	Cheyney, Dr his method of curing diseases
quoted in 59-62.	recommended 1 386
of the Church of England, a re-	a short account of his
mark upon the x 321	Cheeks on Indian a conference with a 197
Reman, with a Reply x 10-49 Catechumens, some account of iv 330 j	Chicale, an Indian, a conference with 1 137,
Catechumens, some account of tv 330 Catholic spirit, what? v 359, 353	Chicasaws, some account of their religion in
wherein it consists x 251, 232	181
Catlow, Mr Ionathan, a singular ancedete	Child, a remarkable account of a 11 309
of n 285, 286	the sudden conversion of a n l
propagates a nen	the genuine (sperionce of a 11 ld
Cate, his character doctrine in 108	the every piety of an 83, 8, 8, a remarkable account of a, at Dud
Cate, his character - ix 176	ley in 12
Caution against religious delusion, remarks on i 273-275	death of a remarkable 111 269
Cave, Dr his Primitive Christianity men-	the remarkable death of a 1v 11! Children formed into Classes by Mr. Wesle in 2
tioped ii (69)	Children tormed into Classes by Mr. Wesley
a stricture on	iii 2:
Galana what he said of the Private of China	the conversion of 111 87, 8
Celsus, what he said of the Primitive Christians 1x24, 25	greatly affected with divine thing
Cennick, Mr John, embraces Calvinism, and	a remarkable work among 1v 13.
opposes Mr Wesley 1 333, 334.	three drowned 1v 17:
338341	bow to instruct in 38
his case alluded to vin 394,395	ought to be instructed 1v 40
Chadsey, Mrs. the remarkable case of vii 18, 19	the duty of parents to vi 171-17. the duty of vi 187-18
Chasse-drivers, a strange combination among	in what way they ought to go in
ıv 7, 8	199 19
Chancery Bill, animadversions on a 11 31	how to be hindered from crying vi
remarks on a 11 454	
Chancery, delay in, considered viii 309, 310	their wills to be broken, how to
Chandler, Joseph, his want of veracity 320, 321	185, 19 the moral condition of 1x 270 298
Chapel, City Road, the Sermon preached on	29
laying the foundation of the vi 106	remarks on the sports of 1x 30
opened for divine war-	ot wrath, what the phrase import
ship by Mr. Wesley iv	1 x 340, 34
Methodist, the first built at Bristol	thoughts on the manner of educatin
1 257	China, some account of the population of a
at Snowsfields, an ac-	19
count of the 1 426, 427	Chinese, some account of the customs an
a singular one described in 439	religion of the ix 182, 18
at Sheerness, how built iv 187	Uninese fragment, a remark on 1y 19
without windows, an account of a	Chipping, a disgraceful circumstance in th
Allenels a form top settling of 203 901 900	Christian in het the Chamber of December 11 27
Chapels, a form for setting 17 293, 391, 392 two remarkable 11 396, 397	Chrism, what the Church of Rome says of
Chapman, Miss Patty, letter to x 396, 337	Christ, the Divinity of, proved 11 32
Charges, groundless and absurd, preferred by	how the true God vi 400-40
Mr H. against Mr. Wesley x	how eternal life vi 402-40
484-487	the person of what vu 371-92

Christ, the sole Lawgiver, Judge, and Sove- reign in his Church ix 151, 154
here the Bourse of the land
how the Representative of all believers
Christian almost, what 7 ix \$10, \$11 v 16-20
Christian almost, what 7 v 16-20 altogether, what 7 v 20-23
who? vii 218
a Portraiture of a ix 56-60
duty, an Analysis of vin 83, 84
Christians, their holiness not to be measured
by that of the Jews x 195
their as vantages over Deist-, viii
their weeki diess no objection to
real Christianity vi 271
the state of, in carkey, &c. vi 282 Christian country, in what \ viii 448, 449 sense England so \ ix 101, 102
Christian country, in what I viii 448, 449
sense England so { ix 101, 102
Christian world, the present state of the ix 184
Christian Experience and Practice, a letter from Mr. Wesley to Miss A. on
non his. Westey to Miss M. On
Christianity, what 7 { viii 193, 194 } 2.24, 235 ix 60—63
Christianity, what? 234, 235
(ix 6063
(ix 60—63 how it differs from morality vii 314
how it different from board in
how it differs from heathenism v 194, 195
in its rise, &c. v 34-37
as spreading from one to another
v 37—39
as covering the earth v 39-41
true, what it implies x 154
Chrysostom, a remarkable saying of vii 273
Chrysostom, a remerkable saying of vin 273 his judgment of the operations of the Holy Ghost viii 253—
258
what he saith of Judas ix 434 (is 310)
iv 352
('burch, what
viii 209, 210 vi 374—378
ix 127
why termed holy vi 379, 350
the wisdom of God in carrying on
his work in the vi 315-329
why termed holy vi 379, 380 the wiedom of God in carrying on his work in the vi 315-329 the state of the primitive vi 284, 265.
320
the opinion of the primitive, con-
errning the operations of the Holy Gliest rili 253-259
Ghost viii 253—259
Church of England, what? (iv 352)
/x 95
laws of the vili 210, 211
the canons of, mentioned viii 211
relating to the viii 261—265 operation of the ix 105—107
operation of the lix 105—107
an examination of the power of
the, to decree rites and ceremo-
nies ix 152
how she ought to act towards in-
corrigible sinners viii 366
reasons against a separation from
the x88—93
thoughts on a separation from the x 93, 94
farther thoughts on a separation
from the x 95—97
the expediency and lawfulness of

the Methodists separating from the, considered x 204, 205 Church of England, remarks on the Liturgy, &c. of x 204, 206 her idvantages over the Church of Home in what instances the Methodists have left the Church of Rome, what she professes to be x 10 the authority she claims x 10-12 why called Catholic x 10 what she teaches concerning the Scriptore x 12-14 why she does not suffer the people to read the Scriptures x 14—16 what the teaches concerning repentance x 16 what she asserts concerning the merit of good works x 16 her doctrine of Indulgences x 16. 18 her doctrine of Purgatory x 18. 20 her doctrine of Confirmanon x 36, 38 her notions of Limbo x 22 her views of Divine Worship x 22, 24
she directs prayers to be
addressed to angels and
sants x 24, 26, 28
guilty of worshipping images, relies, &c. x 28. 30. 32 what she says of the num-ber of the Sacraments 3 32, 84 what she teaches concerning haptism x 34, 36 her doctrine of the Eucharist × 38, 40, 43 her doctrine of Penance x her notion of extreme Unction x. 44. 46
what she says of the Socrament of Orders x. 46
her doctrine concerning
Marriage x. 46. 48
a statement of several of her doctrines x 66, 67 the state of public worship in the x 67, 68
how she attempts to prove
that the pope is the Head
of the Church x 69 maintains the lawfulness of putting heretics to death viii 307 Churchwardens, their duty viii 307 Church Governors, how far to be obeyed viii 423 Church, the Rev. Mr. his Remarks answered Vill S74—456 Cicero, what he says on the rules of honesty vil S01 a remarkable saying of v. 274
some of his sayings concerning Divine Providence vi 310, 311
Circumcision of the heart, what? v 183-188 v. 274 Clarke, Mr. Adam twelve letters to x 420-404

iv 348

Clarke, the Rev. Mr. a letter from Mr. Wes- Collier, deliverance of a, from a coal-pit in 367, 398 ley to, on a Catholic Sp. rit x 31, 232 Colne, the gallery of the new chapel at falls Clarleville, the monument of the Earl of, noin 455 z 130, 131 of, 107

10, 217

Colony, what?

130, 181

176

Comfort, the tine ground of the Assault on 11264

184, 149

Commandments, those of the New Testament binding on believers 1 320, 321

What the word imports v 288

what breaking the least of reason 11333 | Commons, House of, in Ireland, mentioned 12 1214 Classes, Methodist, the origin oi, how examined by Mr. Wesley, at N. weastle ii 108
how divided by Mr. Wesley at 76 Nowcastle if 108 how divided by Mr Wesley is 76 consonant to Scripture and reason Class-Mertings, how to be made most useful Communion with God, various degrees of Clayton, the Rev Mr a short account of a 86
Clement, William, some account of, when in
Germany 172
Clergy of the Church of England, how many
1 281 282
the ge- (vii 198—198
neral character of viii 208 315—320
the impiety of many of Communion of saints, what? x 319, 320 Condemunion, none to them that are in Chi ist who hable to the greatest Condition, remarks on the word \ \begin{array}{c} 18 & 498 \\ \ \ \ \ \ 283, 284 \end{array} the, not a sufficient reason for leaving the Church will 199—202 the impiety of the, hinders not the commonication of grace by the ordinances they administer the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of a control of the first Methodist, some account of the first Methodist, some account of the first Methodist, some account of the first Methodist of the f of the first held in Lou-don, June, 1747 in 116 vii 199—202 why so generally despised viii 320-359 ie, 1747 an account of a, beld 1751 is 234 why they do not avail themselves of the assistance of the Methodist × 98-100 beld in May, 1755 ii 312 some account of the the sinfulness of railing against abusing the, how to be underfirst the method of proceeding in a 1v 396, 397
Confession, Protestant, of Faith, diawn up at Paris, referred to 1x 341, 342
at the Synod of Dort, referred to 1x 341, 342 viii 463 some of the, contradict the Articles, &c. an Address to VIII 67-82 Clergyman, the awful death of a \ \ \begin{cases} 1 427, 428 \\ 1 327 \end{cases} referred to referred to 1x 578
of Faith, the Assembly's, noticed 1x 578
Confidence, a believer should not cast away his 1 321
Confirmation, remarks on x 37, 59
what the Church of Rome says
of x 58 an account of a persecuting in 276, 277 a pleasing account of a 11 40 a pleasing account of a in 40 some account of a candid in 177 a, censured for reading badly hi \$46, 347 anecdote of a, famous for discovering different sorts of butter-flies vi 411 Clergymen, ungodly, censured vi 273 Cl.fton, Miss Goldney's garden at, mentioned v 111, 112 Conscience, what? VII 202-{ v 112—114 vn 205 a good, what? natural, what? vi 205
natural, what? and how preserved vii 206—209
a bardened, what? vii 206, 207
a scrupulous, what? vii 206
how defiled ix 370, 371 Clones, some account of 111 414 the character of the people of 17 184 Clonmel, a description of 11 288, 339 Cloud, a strange account of a 11 280 Coats, Mr Alexander, some account of the death of 11 180, 181 how defiled 1x 370, 371 a man of, who 7 1x 185, 186 not always an infallable guide x 307 reath of in 180, 181 fauthfully admonstrated x 2.58, 259 Cobbam, the gardens of, mentioned 1v 48, 49 Cobeleth, or the Preacher, remarks on in 286 Coke, Dr. mentioned in 441 Colchery and 441 Col Consecration of places of worship, remarks on the mi 152 341 of Churches and burnal-grounds Colchester, a short account of the eastle of described 11 410 11 413 thoughts on the x 97, 98 ron the x 97, 98
required by law in x 97, 98
not required by law Collect of the Church of England quoted Collection, Yearly, the effect of the, when first mentioned in Manchester in 186, 187

Colley, Benjamin, some account of the death of un 239, 325

Consequence, the, proved 1x 435

Consequence, the, proved 1x 435

Constantia, see Theodosius and Constantia x 97 vm 299, 300 Vol. 10 .-- U u

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Constatine the Great, how he served the	Covenant made with Abraham, what 7 in 404
Church vii 184, 196 how injurious his	Covenant relating to David, what? ix 440, 44%
bounty proved to the Church	between the Father and Sou, has no foundation in Scripture x 194
vi 269 Contention between Paul and Barnabas al-	Covenant, everlasting, remarks on the in 513
loried to x 18	New, not made with Christ x 202 the origin of tonewing the ii 319
Contentions about religion, the evil of vi 242	renewal of the ii 319
the can-s and remedy of vi 243 Controversial Divinity, a semant on li 251	Covetonsness, an observation on v 180, 181 the mischievous effects of x 169
Controversy between Dr. Clarke and Leib-	how to escape x 310, 824
nitz, remarks on iii 413 C. Mr. an extraordinary account given by	Cowley, Mr. his Reflections mentioned in 186
iii 429	Cowmendow, Mr. John, a short account of iv 189
Conventicle Act mentioned 1 262 reproducted iv 228	Cownley, Mr. Three letters from Mr. Wes-
Conversation, the evil of unprofitable	ley to x 275, 276 Cowper, Bishop, a critique on, as a writer
x 273, 280	ix 528
at meals, what kind most pro- per vii 80	Cox, Sir Richard, his History of Ireland mention of iii 174
Conversions, many remarkable i 250, 253	Coxon, Francis, awful account of it 109, 110
258. 260 two remarkable, at Congleton	Crantz, Mr. his account of the Missonaries in Greenland iii 224
iii 100	Grawford, Captain, the perfidy of iii 449, 450
Conviction does not always imply condemna- tion x 283, 284	Creation, the gradation of beings in vi \$56, 357 Creature, new, what? 1 239, 240, 246
Conway, some account of ii 353	how made subject to vanity
Conyers, Dr. mentioned iv 105 Cook, Licutenant, remarkably preserved in	Credition, some account of
many engagements iii 170 Captain, his Voyages consured iii 283	Credulity of the primitive Christians, remarks
Captain, his Voyages censured hii 383	on the ix 15
Mr. mentioned ii 468 Mary, a short account of ii 54, 55	Creed, founded on common sense, remarks on a ii 225
Cooper, Jane, the death of, mentioned iii 97	Crosby, Mrs. Eight letters from Mr. Wesley
an account of her death, with one of her letters viii 39—42	lo x 438—411 Cross, what to take up and bear the vi 84, 85
Cork, the Grand Jury of, makes a singular	how the taking up the, prevented
presentment ii 193 the shameful persecutions at	Crucifying the Son of God afresh, what?
5 569 -571	vii ōl
riots at ii 203, 204. 207-211 Dr. Brown, bishop of, his Treatise	Cudworth, Mr. mentioned ii 416 Culamites, an absurd name given to the ori-
or the lithman onactatanens recom-	ginal Methodists in Lincoln-
mended ii 358	Shire i 414
Corporation of Cork, remarks on the conduct of the viii 576, 577	cumberland, the Duke of, his triangular tower mentioned iil 336
why they persecuted the first Methodist Preachers	Cure, a remarkable ii 98
viii 578, 579	Curse, the original, for the sin of man ix 296, 297
Corpse, a strange account of a 🕟 💮 ii 378 🖠	Curtius, Q. commended as a fine writer ii 116
Corrupters of the word of God, who?	Custom, the influence of, with respect to dress vii 72
Corrupting the word of God, reasons against	Cutts, Lady, mentioned viii 58
Causeil of Trent, the Decree of the respect-	Cyprian, St. his illustrations of eternity vi 203 his account of the state of reli-
Council of Trent, the Decree of the, respect- ing Faith viii 203, 239	gion in the third century vi 268
how it acted with respect to Indulgences x 19	Cyprian, St. a defence of his character ix
Council of Constance, the Decrees of the,	his wonderful relation concern-
animadverted upon x 77, 78 the conduct of the,	ing some apostates ix 44 Cyril, what he says concerning the Scrip-
towards John Huss x 81—84	tures x 13
an account of the proceedings of the x 82, 83	ъ
Country Common Sense, a letter from the	Daff, Mr. his Essay on Genius mentioned x
author of 1292	Daille, his Tract on the Use of the Fathers,
lovenant of Grace, all mankind under the	exceptionable ii 168
iv 359 }	Dales, some account of the work of God in
opposed to that of works v 55, 59, 60	the iv 178-182 Dalrymple, Sir John, his Memoirs of the
Porchant of God made with Adam, what?	Revolution criticised
iv 328 f	111 303, 303

thens in the sun of many some account of a 175, 176 New, described of New St. 130, 486, 185, and an after God's own beart, how one account of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, St. some account of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 213 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 219 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 219 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 219 David, Christian, specimens of his Sarmon of 1908—210, 219, 219,		Devices of Satan, how to be improved 18-21
Dargie New, described New, de		
Darkenes, spiritual, not necessary to the work of holiness of 1921 and a preservative 2 minst the power of 1363, 864 and an after God's own heart, heavy a man after God's own heart, heavy a strangs account of the vicar and the case of the chapel of, states we will be a man of the chapel of the c	Darrie Mount some account of iti 175, 176	how cast out v 403, 406
Darkness, spiritual, not necessary to the work of holiness vi 68, 70 David, the sun of, mentoned vi 183, 184, 185 A man after God's own heart, how? A man after God's own heart, how? A man after God's own heart, how? Board, Christian, specimens of his Sermons (200, 212, 213) David's, St some account of 1905 David's, St some account of 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 210, 212, 214 David's, St some account of 210, 212, 214 David's, St some account of 210, 212, 214 Desim, an account of 210, 212, 214 Desim, remarks on 113, 212, 214 Desim, remarks on 212, 214, 214 Desim, remarks on 212, 214, 214 Desim, remarks on 212, 212, 214	New, described 17 214	the power of the \$1350-365
David, the ein of, mentioned v 183, 184, 185 David, Christian, specimens of his Symons Some account of 1208— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1208— 210, 212, 213 David's included a 1208 David's included a 1208 David's included a 1208 Declaration, a, what? 1209, 212 David's included a 1208 Density, the fault of a, what? 1209, 212 David's included a 1208 Density, an Address to 1208, 190 Density in fail and account of 1208 Density in fail and account of 1208 Density, an Address to 1208, 190 Density, an account of 1208 Density, an account of 1208— 212 Density, an Address to 1208 Density in fail and account of 1208— 212 Density, an account of 1208— 212	Darkness, spiritual, not necessary to the work	a preservative against the power of
David, Christian, specimens of his Sermons of 2008—200 213, 213 David's, St. some account of 2008—210, 213, 213 David's, St. some account of 1974 Davier, the Rev. Dr. (of Virein a) two letters from 1931, 392, 258, 359 Sr. John, bus historical relations of carried of 1905 Davigreen, in Yorkshire, mentioned 19305 Dr. Taylor's singular notion of 19305 Dr. Taylor's singular notion of 19305 Declaration, a, what? 19305 Declarat	David, the sin of, mentioned v 183, 184, 185	Devils, temarks on casting out, in the primi-
David's, St. some account of 1908—1908—1908—1908—1908—1908—1908—1908—	a man after God's own heart, now	five Church 1x 37—40
some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 212, 213 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 217 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 217 David's, St some account of 1908— 210, 217 David's include, not 1908— 210, 217 David's include,	± 192	Dewsbury, a strange account of the vicar and
Some account of 1908—101 David's, St. some account of 1974 Davies, the Rev Mr. rolf Viven a) 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190	David, Christian, specimens of his Sermons	
David's, St. some account of 1974 Davier, the Rev bir. tof Viren a) two letters from a 1331, 523 538, 339 Sir John, his historical relations of occurning lieland, noticed at 63, 464 quoted vi 9365 Davgreen, in Yorkshire, mentioned vi 935 Deadness, annitual, causes of 19265 Dauk, an axi fil 1401 the nature of that which God denounced against Adam vi 202 327 Dauk, an axi fil 1401 the nature of that which God denounced against Adam vi 202 327 Discontent, remarks on 1926 Dr. Taylor's singular notion of xx 300 Dr. Taylor's s	some account of 1208-	iv 27
Davies, the Rey Mir. (of Virena a) two letters from Mr ters from a 1331, 323 588, 339 Sir John, his historical relations of corring freland, nobleed 463, 483 quoted with 305 peadness, shifted, causes of 1905 peadness, shifted, and with 1905 peadness, shifted, and the christian 1905 peadness, shifted, and the christian 1905 peadness partial, in children, what? and how to be cored with 1905 peadness, and the christian 1905 peadness partial, in children, what? and how to be cored with 1905 peadness to 2 1905 peadness, the case of the shifted peadness of the shifted peadness of the case of the shifted peadness of the case of the shifted peadness of the case of the shifted peadness	210, 212, 213	Dialogue between a Predestinarian and his
ters from 1 331, 332 358, 339 Sir John, bus thatorical relations concerning Ireland, noticed at 463, 464 quoted vin 905 Deadness, spiritual, causes of 1 205 Deadness, spiritual, causes of 1 205 Deadness, spiritual, causes of 1 205 Death, an axiful 1 401 the nature of that which Gold denounced against Adam 12 202 Albow it passed on all men 1 223 why not desired by alt who are perfected in live 2 25 how it reigned from Adam to blocks 1 X 510 Dr Taylon's singular notion of 1 X 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 1 165 Deed, Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, 1 167 Deism, remarks on 1 127 Deism, remarks on 2 11 326, 327 an Address to 2 1213, 214 an account of a win 185 Deist, the faith of a, what? 1 181 a prayer recommended to a 1 191 a prayer recommended to a 1 191 a prayer recommended to a 1 191 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning Demons, what? 2 19 Democracy, what? 1 183 Demons, what? 2 19 Democracy, what? 2 19 Democracy, what? 2 19 Democracy, what? 3 19 Democracy, what? 4 19 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy, what? 4 19 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy, what? 4 19 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy what? 4 19 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy what? 4 19 Democracy and account of 1220-2 Democracy and account of 12		Friend 1X 430-13
Design remarks on a 11 191 an address to a 12 13 21 an account of a win 191 aprayer recommended to a 198 aprayer and a 198 aprayer recommended to a 198 aprayer and a 198 aprayer an	ters from 11 331, 332 358, 359	Wesley to \$ 300, 330
Design remarks on a 11 191 an address to a 12 13 21 an account of a win 191 aprayer recommended to a 198 aprayer and a 198 aprayer recommended to a 198 aprayer and a 198 aprayer an	Sir John, his historical relations or n-	Dinahoul Ouarry, an aurigl occurrence at 1
Dawkgreen, in Yorkishire, mentioned in 905 Deadines, simitual, causes of in 401 the nature of that which Godder nounced against Adam in 202 327 Signature. Signature of the attention of the which Godder nounced against Adam in 202 327 Signature. Signature of the author of that which Godder nounced against Adam in 202 327 Signature. Signature of the author of that which Godder nounced against Adam in 202 327 Signature. Signature of the author of th	cerning freland, noticed a 463, 464	301, 301
Deadiness, smittual, causes of 19 205 Death, an awful 19 406 the nature of that which God deanuneed against Adam 19 202 327 Solution and provided mean 19 202 327 how it passed on all men 19 213 why not desired by all who are perfected in late 19 221 how it reigned from Adam to Moses 19 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 216, 217 Desim, remarks on 11 226, 217 Desim, remarks on 11 226, 217 Desim, remarks on 11 226, 217 an Address to 2 11 213, 214 an account of 2 11 213, 214 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning 19 89 Dell William, the works of mentioned 11 213 Demonates, the case of, considered vin 436—Demopater, 31r two letters from, to Mi. Westerney, what? 21 222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted 21 185. 195 Desures for the eye, what? 4185 Desures for the fleet, what? 4185 Desures of the eye, what? 4185 Desures of the eye with fleet and while in primon surface and	Quoted VII 305	Directions how to please all men for their
Distrib, an an full the nature of that which God de nounced against Adam in 202 327 how it passed on all men in 213 why not desired by at who are perfected in the 223 how it reigned from Adam to Moses in S10 Dr. Taylor's singular notion of it 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? In 2	Deadness, anustual, causes of 1v 205	
the nature of that which Gold denounced against Adam is 202 327 how it passed on all men is 213 why not desired by all who are perfected in live 202 how it reigned from Adam to Moosa 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 218, 217 Deism, remarks on 1 185, 190 Dest, the faith of a, what? 326 Densit, an Address to 2 1123, 214 an account of a 2 113, 214 an a	Death, an an ful 11 401	Christian, the importance of vi
blow it passed on all men is 213 why not desired by alt who are perfected in two is 221, and the perfected in two is 221, and the perfected in two is 221, 217 how it reigned from Adam to Moves 1x 510. Dr. Taylon's singular notion of ix 210, 217 Declaration, a, what? Declaration, a, what? Declaration, a, what? Deism, remarks on a ni 187 Deism, remarks on a ni 189 Deis	the nature of that which God de-	
why not desired by alt who are perfected in lave x 2921 how it reigned from Adam to bloses in \$310. Dr. Taylon's singular notion of in \$210. 210. 217 Declaration, a, what? In 55 Deed, Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a nit? 187 Deism, remarks on a nit? 187 Deism, remarks on a nit? 187 Deism, remarks on a nit? 187 Deist, the faith of a, what? virilly an Address to a virilly an Address to a virilly a prayer recommended to a virilly a prayer recommended to a virilly a prayer recommended to a virilly Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning nine peliverance, a remarkable saying of vil 500 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220—222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted vil 363 a remarkable saying of vil 164 Levil 1363 a remarkable saying of vil 169 Levil 1363 a remarkable saying of vil 169 Desire of the eye, what? vil 202 Desire of the flesh, what? vil 202 Desire of the flesh, what? vil 203 Desires, worldly, to be avoided vil 354 Desire of the sey, what? vil 203 Desires, worldly, to be avoided vil 354 Desire of the flesh, what? vil 203 Desires, worldly, to be avoided vil 354 Desire of the sey, with? vil 204 Desire of the sey, what? vil 204 Desire of the sey, what? vil 204 Desire of the sey, what? vil 204 Desire of the sey, with? vil 204 Desire of the sey, with? vil 204 D	nounced against Adam 14 202 327	Discontent, remarks on vin 254 505, 50
why not desired by alt who are perfected in lave x 2921 how it reigned from Adam to bloses in S10 Dr Taylor's singular notion of ix 210, 217 Declaration, a, what? in 55 Deed, Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a 1189, 1926, 397 Deism, remarks on 1189, 193 Deist, the faith of a, what? vii 193 a prayer recommended to a viii 191 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning in 98, 99 Dell William, the works of mentioned in 248 Demoracy, what? vii 363 Demonacs, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonacy, what? viiii 193 Demonacy, what? viiii 193 Demonacy, what? viiii 193 Demonacy what? viiiii 193 Demonacy what? viiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii		how to be cared to 124-13
how it reigned from Adam to Moses in Side Dr. Taylor's singular notion of ix Side Dr. Taylor's singular notion of ix Side Dr. Taylor's singular notion of ix Side Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 187 Decoration, a, what? In 55 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 187 Deism, remarks on a in 187 Deism, remarks on a in 187 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 188 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a in 189 Dr. Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool	why not desired by all who are per-	Disorder, an account of a strange in 139, 14
Der Taylor's singular notion of 216, 217 Declaration, a, what? 1165 Deced, Trust, of a chapel at Liverpool, remarks on a 1177 Deism, remarks on 2 1185 Deist, the faith of a, what? 1185 Deist, the faith of a, what? 1185 Deist, an Address to 2 1113, 214		Dispensation, the difference between the Jew
Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what? Description of the eye, what? Vision of the eye, what?	now it reigned from Adam to moves	14h and the Christian V 423-
Description, the ease of, considered vin 1829 Demouth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 1010		Dispensations of Grace, the four, mentioned
Description, the ease of, considered vin 1829 Demouth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 1010	216, 217	va 209, 210
Deism, remarks on a 1147 Deism, remarks on 2 11826, 397 Vii 195 Deist, the faith of a, what? 1195 an Address to 2 11213, 214 an account at 2 1113, 214 an account at 2 113, 214 an account at 2 1113, 214 best at 2 1114 best at 2 1114 best at	Declaration, a, what? In 55	Dispute, a mole of settling ii 21
Deism, remarks on \[\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{ 185} \\ \text{ 218}, \text{ 214} \\ \text{ an account of a virights 23, 214} \\ \text{ an account of a virights 23, 214} \\ \text{ an account of a virights 23, 214} \\ \text{ an account of a virights 23, 336} \\ \text{ Deissts, an Address to virights 23, 336} \\ \text{ Deissts, an Address to virights 23, 336} \\ \text{ Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning in 98, 99} \\ \text{ Deli William, the works of mentioned in 248} \\ \text{ Deli William, the works of mentioned in 248} \\ \text{ Deli William, the works of mentioned in 248} \\ \text{ Demonse, what ? virights 246} \\ \text{ Demonseur, quoted } \text{ x 308} \\ \text{ what he said of the levil 1 185 226} \\ \text{ Desire of the eye, what ? } \text{ 185. 195} \\ \text{ 1 185. 195} \\		Disputes, the evil of 11 29
Desist, the faith of a, what? Desist, the faith of a, what? The proper recommended to a virible an account of a prayer recommended to a virible an account of a prayer recommended to a virible an account of a prayer recommended to a virible an account of a prayer recommended to a virible an Address to virible	Deign van vite en § 11 826, 927	Disquisitions, free and candid, remarks on a
an Address to a vii 181, 214 an account of a vii 181 a prayer recommended to a vii 333, 336 Deists, an Address to viii 189, 190 330 De Jersey, Mr his gridens mentioned iv 218 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning in 98, 99 Dell William, the works of mentioned in 248 Demoracy, what? Demoracy, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonis, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonis, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonis, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonis, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Demonis, what? Demonise, the case of, considered viii 436 Destile the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 60 Distillation, observations on viii 16 Destillation, observations on vii 16 Distillation, observations on vii 16 Di		22
an account of a viii 191 a prayer recommended to a viii 333, Desists, an Address to viii 180, 190 530 De Jersey, Mr his gridens mentioned iv 219 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning 11 98, 99 Dell William, the works of mentioned it 248 Demerit of believers, a consciousness of, necocessary 2139 Demooracy, what? Demonace, the case of, considered viii 436 Demoth, Christopher, an account of 1220 Ley x 559 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220 Ley x 559 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220 Levi x 1363 a remarkable saying of viii 20 k x 1363 a remarkable saying of viii 20 k viii 20 k viii 20 k viii 20 lessindation, observations on viii 20 Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Distillers noticed the ground, nature, and fruits of the ground, nature, and fruits of Disturbances, now occasioned by the recached viii 240 Disturbances, now occasioned by the recached viii 240 Diversions, public certained viii 361 Saying of the guspel viii 276 Desire of the eye, what? Pesire of the eye, what? Desire of the flesh, what? Pesire of case, what? Desires, who the zorat in what stance the Methodists an not viii 20 Dissipation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 246 Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Dissipation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 246 Dissiraction, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recached viii 361 Disturbances, now occasioned by the recached viii 361 Description, what? Distillation, observations on viii 20 Distillation, observations on viii 21 Distillation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 24, 160 Distillation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 24, 160 Distillation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 24, 160 Distillation, what? Not the ground, nature, and fruits of viii 24, 160 Distillation, what? Not the	Deist, the faith of a, what? Yilly 10	Dissent from the Church of England, the
a prayer recommended to a viii 335, 336 Desits, an Address to viii 189, 199 330 De Jersey, Mr his gridens mentioned iv 219 Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning in 98, 99 Dell William, the works of mentioned in 248 Dement of believers, a consciousness of, necessary viii 33 Democracy, what? iv 369 Democracy, what? iv 476 Demoniaes, the case of, considered viii 436—438 Demons, what? viii 436 Demons, what? viii 436 Demoth, Christopher, an account of 1220—222 Demoth, Christopher, an account of 1220—222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted viii 436—436 What he said of the levil 1 viii 436 What he said of the levil 1 viii 436 A remarkable saying of viii 20 Viii 20 Viii 20 Desire of the eye, what? viii 20 Desire of the fee, what? viii 20 Desire of the fees, what? viii 59 Desire of the fees, what? viii 59 Desires, woildly, to be avoided viii 344 Deepmin, the evil efficits of viii 446—446 Dissipation, what? viii 446—446 V	an account of a viii i91	
Dell William, the works of mentioned it 248 Demerit of believers, a consciousness of, necessary visa Democracy, what? Democracy, what? Demons, the case of, considered vin 436 Demons, what? Demons, what? Demopster, 3lr two letters from, to Mi. Wesley Ley x 359 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted x 368 What he said of the levit visa of the ground arrangement of with 363 what he said of the levit visa of the sample visa of the ground arrangement of with 364 366 Levit visas a remarkable saying of visas mentioned visas arrangement of with 364 368 Visas 198 Vi	a prayer recommended to a vin 335,	in what since the Methodists or
Dell William, the works of mentioned it 248 Demerit of believers, a consciousness of, necessary visa Democracy, what? Democracy, what? Demons, the case of, considered vin 436 Demons, what? Demons, what? Demopster, 3lr two letters from, to Mi. Wesley Ley x 359 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted x 368 What he said of the levit visa of the ground arrangement of with 363 what he said of the levit visa of the sample visa of the ground arrangement of with 364 366 Levit visas a remarkable saying of visas mentioned visas arrangement of with 364 368 Visas 198 Vi		not iv 38
Dell William, the works of mentioned it 248 Demerit of believers, a consciousness of, necessary visa Democracy, what? Democracy, what? Demons, the case of, considered vin 436 Demons, what? Demons, what? Demopster, 3lr two letters from, to Mi. Wesley Ley x 359 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted x 368 What he said of the levit visa of the ground arrangement of with 363 what he said of the levit visa of the sample visa of the ground arrangement of with 364 366 Levit visas a remarkable saying of visas mentioned visas arrangement of with 364 368 Visas 198 Vi	De Jersey. Mr. his gradens mentioned av 219	Dissimplation observations on will 521, 522
Dell William, the works of mentioned in 248 Demerit of believers, a consciousness of, neccessary visa? Demoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desimpster, 31 two letters from, to Mi. Wester a x-359 360 Demoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desimpster, 31 two letters from, to Mi. Wester a x-359 360 Demoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desimpster, 31 two letters from, to Mi. Wester a x-359 360 Demoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desimpster, 31 two letters from, to Mi. Wester a x-359 360 Demoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desmoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Desmoniacs, the case of, considered vin 436— Distriction, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasioned by the recaching of the ground, nature, and fruits of via 160, location, see Dissipation Disturbances, now occasione	Deliverance, a remarkable, from drowning	
Dement of believers, a consciousness of, necessary vists Demonaction, what? is 476 Demonation, the case of, considered viii 436— Demons, what? 10349 Demons, what? 1040 Demons, what? 1050 Describe on the ground, nature, and fruits of x 180, 180 Distribution, see Dissipation Distribution, see Dis	11 98, 99	VII 249
Coesary vita? Democracy, what? Democracy, what? Demonse, the case of, considered vii 436— Demons, what? Demons, what? Demons, what? Dempster, Mr two letters from, to Mi. Wesley x 339 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Monsieur, quoted { x 308 what he said of the levil 1368 a remarkable saying of vii 20 { x 83, 184 } Desire of the eye, what? { x 185, 185 } Desire of the flesh, what? { x 108, 183 } Desire of the flesh, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of the flesh, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Desire of case, what? { x 100, 183 } Distilers noticed the pisting of instraction, see Dissipation Distirest, an amazing unstance of in 184 of instraction, see Dissipation Distirest, an amazing unstance of instance of instraction, see Dissipation Distirest, an amazing unstance of instance of ins		how to be avoided 11419
Demoracy, what? Demonace, the case of, considered viria 436— Distraction, see Dissipation Distraction,		x 160, 161
Demons, what? Dempster, Mr two letters from, to Mr. Wester S. 239 360 Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220—222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted x. 363 360 what he said of the levit 1936 a remarkable saying of visual 1936 a remarkable saying of visual 1936 Desire of the eye, what? Desire of the flesh, what? Desire of case, what? Desires of case, what? Desires of case, what? Desires of the flesh, what? Desires of case, what? Desires of the flesh, what? The first flesh and while in gracial was a flesh and was a flesh and while in gracial was a flesh and was a flesh a	Democracy, what? ix 476	Distillers noticed vi 100
Demons, what? Dempster, Mr two letters from, to Mi. Westerpances, now occasioned by the preaching of the gospel visual 252 276 Demouth, Christopher, an account of 1220-222 De Renty, Monsieur, quoted { 10 168 168 188 184 188 193 188 194 188 193 188 194 188 193 183 18		
Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220- De Renty, Monsieur, quoted { 10 168		Disturbances number assumed by the presch
Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220— 222 Christopher, an account of 1220— De Renty, Monsieur, quoted		ing of the gospel vin 275 276
De Renty, Monsieur, quoted x 308		Ditton, his C senarse on the resurrection of
De Renty, Monsieur, quoted { x 308	Demuth, Christopher, an account of 1220—	
what he said of the levil 1363 a remarkable saying of vi 183, 194, 185. 193 (vi 185, 194, 195) (vi 185, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194	f 100	
what he said of the levit vis 363 a remarkable saying of vis 383, 184, 184 Desire of the eye, what? { 185, 185, 184, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185	The reputy, tradusted, quited { x 308	ar summents against vii 367, 368
Desire of the eye, what? Desire of the first of the firs		remarks on vn 90-82
vii 20 (v 183, 1-24, 185, 1-193, 193, 194, 185, 193, 194, 185, 193, 194, 185, 193, 194, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195		Divisions in families, their especianed has the
Desire of the eye, what? 185. 193	vii 90	preaching of the gospel vin 276—
Desire of the flesh, what? Viii 59 227 Vii 69 Viii 69, 410 Desire of case, what? Vii 59 Desires, wolldly, to be avoided Vii 247 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Vii 344-46 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Vii 44-46 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Vii 44-46 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Viii 44-46 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Viii 44-46 Desmond, Earl of scane account of Viiii 34-46 Desmond, Earl of scane accou	(v 183, 194,	278
Desire of the flesh, what? { vii 59 \\ vi 409, 410 \\ Desire of case, what? { vii 59 \\ Desire of case, what? \\ Vii 340, 410 \\ Vii 347 \\ Desire of case, what? \\ Vii 348 \\ Desire of case, what? \\ Desire of case, what? \\ Vii 348 \\ Desire of case, what? \\ Desire of case, wh		Dobbs, Mr his Universal History mentioned
Desire of the field, what? Vi 409, 410 Desire of case, what? Desires, worldly, to be availed vii 59 Desmond, Earl of sense account of vii 341 Desmond, the evil effects of vii 44-46 an Auswer to viii 341	I am 50	Doctrine, Christian, a departure from con-
Desire of case, what? Desires, woildly, to be availed visual vis	Desire of the nest, what \ \\ \tag{vi 409, 410}	sured vii 324—326
Desires, worldly, to be availed: 911 247 Desmond, Earl of scale account of 111 341 Desmond, the evil efficts of 911 44—461 an Auswer to 9159—156	Desire of case, what?	Dodd, Dr. his state of mand while in prison
Despite, the evit entities of $v_1 = 44 - 46$; an Augmento $v_1 = 53 - 156$	Desmand, Earl of some account of	ın 453 - 456
Devices of Satan, what? vi 17, 18 Doddridge, Dr. a letter from 11 84, 85	Despite, the evil efficial of \$11.44-46 (an Auswer to x 153—156
	Devices of Satan, what? vi 17, 18	Doddridge, Dr. a letter from 11 84, 85

Dog, a strange account of a iv 102, 103	Dying believers, the use they are of to those
Donatists supposed to have been real Christ-	E Short them - oac
Dorset the Duke of his and and it is	Dykern, Baron, the Rt. Hon. Geo. Charles,
Dorset, the Duke of, his seat mentioned iv 67 Douglas Cause, the, mentioned iii 236	, a remarkable account of ii
Douglas Cause, the, mentioned iii 236 Downes, Mr. taken for a soldier ii 20	D the Per Manufacture 18-421
four letters from Mr. Wesley to	D—, the Rev. Mr. a letter from Mr. Wes- ley to x 244, 245
× 425, 426	*
Mr. John, the character and sudden	Ε
death of iii 405 the Rev. Mr. a letter to viii 628—538	Earth, observations on the vi 211, 832, 833
Downpatrick, some account of iv 19	the change that will be effected in the
Doxology to the Lord's Prayer, what it im-	vi 294, 295 the original and present state of the.
norts + see	considered ix 265, 266
Drake, Sir Francis, mentioned viii 315	the present state of the, what? ix 292
Dram-drinkers and venders mentioned vi 100 Dream, a remarkable ii 56, 57	_294
a useful ii 50, 57	Earthquake, that at Lishon referred to iii 122
a remarkable, realized iv 196	at Lisbon, serious thoughts oc-
Dreams, two remarkable iii 362, 363 ii 379	casioned by the viii 165—174 the cause of viii
ii 379	168, 169
remarks on the nature and sources of vii 286, 287	near Madely, an account of the
and visions, how to be regarded? iv	id 376 Earthquakes, an account of some ii 370, 371.
353	982
Dresden, the Palace, Church, Bridge, &c.	in London, some account of the
mentioned 1 203 the difficulty of gaining admittance	ii 197, 199
into, by strangers i 203, 223	Easterbrook, Rev. Mr. some account of the
Oress, advice to Methodists on vi 153-161	East Indies, the propriety of sending Mis-
the evil of gay and costly vii 68	sionaries to the, considered
ornamental, for children, condemned	iv 122
vii 129, 137	Enting and drinking unworthily, the import of
remarks on { vii 145, 146 ix 491, 522, 528	vii 174, 175 our own damnation, the
observations on [vii 261	import of vi \$83
/ (x 309)	Ecclesiastes, remarks on iii 448
advice relating thereto viii 58 what the Quakers say of ix 150	Edinburgh, some account of { ii 238
what the Quakers say of ix 150 low to deal with professors of religion	Edmundson, William, (the Quaker) some ac-
who are addicted to x 3(0)	count of in 174, 175
Oropheda, account of the siege of ii 394, 395 Dropsy, how cured iii 258	Education, remarks on bad ix 201
Dropsy, how cured iii 258	Edwards, President, his scheme of Necessity
Drunhcal T: mple, some account of a iv 153 Drummer-nave, a description of ii 399	stated x 142—144 what he says of Absolute Nes
Drummer-nave, a description of ii 399 Drunkard, a notorious, converted ii 286	cessity ix 462
a, converted 11286	his thoughts concerning men's
a Word to a viii 143—145	voluntary and involuntary
Drunkards, when and how to be reproved vi	actions ix 466 Election, Calvinistic, what 7 ix 381, 382, 420
Drunkenness, observations on the evil of viii	—429
306	unconditional, implies reprobation
Dublin, a description of ii 124	ix 379
the College of, mentioned ii 893 a letter from Mr. Wesley to certain	the cause of, what 7 ix 422—424 remarks on ix 482, 483
persons in x 451, 452	unconditional, observations on ix
Chroniele, a letter from Mr. Wesley	515
to the Printer of the x 452-455	the Scripture doctrine of, what? ix
Duchesne, her account of the declaration of	981, 382, 420 429 of Members for Parlament, how
Dudley, John, a strange account of ii 213—	conducted ii 118
215	Middlesex, remarks on the measures
Duel, an account of a in 401	relative to the x 105-107
Duff. Mr. a remark on his Essay on Genius	Electrical experiments, observations on 126, 127
iv 228 Dumfries a short account of ii 580 281	Electricity, why decried by some medical
Dumfries, a short account of ii 280, 281 Dundee, Lord, the character of iii 363, 364 Dunmore, the park of, described ii 212	men ii 275, 276
Dunmore, the park of, described ii 212	strongly recommended in 356, 357
the gave of, mentioned iii 55	Elements, what ? vi 292, 293
Dunscombe, Mr. a mistake of, corrected i 32	Elliott, the Rev. Mr. of New England, a say-
Duration, boundless, remarks on vi 200, 201 Durham Castle, some account of iv 59	ing of 111 138
Dutch, the character of the ir 114	Elm-tree, a very large, mentioned iii 392
	22100-11113 11113 11113 11113

Eloquence cannot supply the place of love vn 93-95	Eusebius's Ecclesiastical History censured
Else, Mr. a Treatise of his mentioned in 356	Evans, John, some account of, when in Flan-
Ely, Isle of, controuned in 407 Endicot, Governor of New England, his	Exidence of Christianity, traditional remarks
treatment of the Quakers at 16 Enemies to God, how wished men are ix	internal is 63—66
566, 367 to Carist 1x 367—369	E., James, a candid letter from 1: 14 46 Evil, the origin of moral and natural vi-
to the Holy Ghost 18 369	moral, the origin of vii 301
England, the moral state of the people of ax	the general spread of vii 301-305
English, Andrew, the remarkable preserve-	of several kin is, how to remove iv 376 deliver us from, the import of v 239
th n ot, m a coal-pit n 424	eye, what? r 507, 308 speakin, wherein it consists? vi 59-91
Englishman, a Word in Seam to an viii	penal, how the hand of God is in it
English nation, the character of the 11 421	vii 361, 362
Enthusiasin, what? 1 247	how to account for the origin of 7216
di fined 111 95 what 2 viii 398—404 428—440	mord, how to cure x 219, 220
proper guards against 177	Ewer, John, a backs wher, some account of it 8
a remark on 11202 a candid discourse concerning 1 275, 276 an instance of 1 411	Example, the great importance of {vi 124
an instance of 1411	the influence of 1x 200
exemplified ii 278 the derivation of the word s	Exeter, Br-hop of, his palace, &c mentioned
391, 392 the nature and different sorts of	Exterters in Cornwall, some account of 11 448 Exercism, as practised in the Church of
v 393—398	Rome x 37 Experience, how to judge of x 305-307
the dreadful evits of v 395 how to guard against v 398, 399 remarks on vii 235, 243	Experiment, a singular 1 348
a caution against 1 in 53, 54	Extravegance in religion, examples of iv 170 Extraversion, what it signifies vi 420
observations on \{ viii 254 \\ ix 63-65	Eye, single, what 'vii 269
of the Metrodust and Papists Compared, a letter to the au-	the importance of, in marriage x 158 Eye, cvil, what? vii 270-272
thor of vm 471—486	the consequences of vii 272-275
Enthusiastic ardour, remarks on 1111 227 Enthusiasts, who accounted vin 267, 268	Eye-service, what? vi 193 Lye, right, the import of the term v 240, 241 Eye-water, an excellent ii 462
Episcopacy, Diocesan, remarks on 237 Episcopal form of Chuich Government, a re-	
remark on x 231 Ordinat on, not essential to the ex-	Facts, objects of faith vi 212, 213
ercise of the Curistian ministry	Jiv 348
Episcopius's Account of the Synod of Dort	VI 375, 376
referred to 1952 Epsam, M: his house and gardens descriled	definition of, by the Church 5: 185
Epworth, nucedote of a poor woman at 11 50	definition of, by the Church (1 185 of England (1 viii 203) the doctrine of, intolerable to the car-
Erskine, the Rev Ralph, a letter from 1 259, 270	nd men 1 188, 180 justifying, what? 1 183, 194
the Rev. Mr. lns Sermons censu. ed	an instance of the power of 11 400, 401 wear, what?
some observations concern- ing x 266	a definition of \$ 111 285, 286
Dr remarks on his account of saving	(v 209, 210
faith ni 285, 286 remarks on his Defence viii	of a heathen, what?
Esau, the case of, stated 543—552 1x 402	of the apostles, while Christ was on earth
Essay on the Lafe to Come, a remark on an	in Christ, what? v 10, 11
Figure roughs on 5 vi 200	the condition of justification v 52, 53
of God, observations on the vi 931	why the condition of justification v 54 how the condition of justification will
Cucharist, remarks on the x 39 41, 43 Eupolis, an account of, note	380
Europeans, the moral state of many vi 336, 337	how imputed for righteousness { v 58 vi 247

Faith, included in the circumcision of the !	Feeling, inward, in religion, a defence of vill
heart v 165	560, 561
a mark of the new-birth v 171, 172 the fruits of v 172—174	Fell, Captain, how he served Arbroath iv 130
the fruits of v 172—174 how the loss of, precedes the commis-	Fencion, Bishop, what he says of simplicity
sion of outward sin v 186	Feoffecs, the power of i 257
of assurance, what Alr. Wesley thought	Ferries, a remark on crossing iii 150
of the i 369	Fidelity, remarks on viii 51
of God's cleet, what? v 271	to the grace of God, two letters on,
the loss of vi 60 hours is noted in relation to futurity will	from Mr. Wesley to Miss R. x
how it acts in relation to futurity vi 205, 206	in servents, what? vi 195
cannot supply the place of love vii 34. 97	in servents, what? vi 195 Field's Bible, how to distinguish the genuine
the several sorts of, what? vii 210	iv 100
saving, what? vii 212	6 W 918
how it supplies the place of sense vii	Fingal, a Poem, mentioned { iv 174
what meant by the full assurance of	Fire, destruction of the world by v 146, 147
vii 240	of hell, whether material or not vi 369, 370
what to really he wis 0.45	not quenched, what it imports vi 368, 369
the evidence of things { vii 292—500 not seen, how? } viii 188,189.409 how the gift of God? viii 18	clectrical, remarks on ii 276, 277 a great, in Lesdenhall-Street iii 182
not seen, how? viii 189,189,409	a great, in Lesdenball-Street iff 182
how the gift of God? viii 18	an account of a iii 405, 406
remarks on a definition of vili 388	(VII 303
how men are saved by? viii 192 remarks on x 200, 201	Fisher, Bishop, what he says of purgatory x 21. 23
remarks on the light of x \$16	Fits, remarks on viii 402, 411
Faithfulness of God, what? ix 403	epileptic, a strange account of a young
how far engaged to keep	man affected by iii 241
believers from falling away ix 406	Flamborough Head mentioned iv 40
man's, remarks on iv 369	Flattery, remarks on Vii 167 Flame of love, how to keep up the iv 152
Fall in Adam, the nature of the vii 369-371 of man, occasioned the loss of commu-	Flesh, what the term imports v 73, 74
nion with God ix 283, 236	remarks on the word in 234, 331, 332
the doctrine of the vi 220-229	Fletcher, the Rev. John, men- 5 ii 361, 362
bow it brought God's displeasure	tioned iv 124
upon the human race ix 236	some account of, as a preacher
Falling away, the possibility of, proved ix	iv 82 Lative of Switzerland vi 140
406-416. 439-449 Falsehood, the evil of encouraging, in chil-	hative of Switzerland vi 140
dren vii 130, 131	a remarkable anecdote of vi 140
dren vii 130, 131 Family religion strongly recommended iii 205	joins the Methodist Society vi 140
the great importance of vii	enters Holy Orders, and begins to
Fanaticism, remarks on viii 3:19	preach vi 141
	presented to the living at Madeley vi 141
Farmers, a singular engagement entered into by some ii 303, 304	cuters upon the superintendence of
their employment and character iii	Lady Huntingdon's College at
204	Trarecka vi 141
East Days, the utility of ii 387, 414, 415	enters upon a vindication of Me-
Fasting, the Society at Bristol agree to prac-	thodist Blinutes of 1770 vi 141 character of his writings vi 141, 142
tise i 277 and prayer, the utility of iii 107	his self-denial and diligence vi 142
the nature and degrees of v 293—295	visits his native country vi 142
reason for v 295—300	his marriage vi 142
Homily on, quoted v 296	his early piety vi 143
objections to, answered v 300-302	deeply convinced of his want of
remarks on VII 202	taith vi 143 obtains a sense of God's favour vi
in what manner to be performed v 302-395	144
Fate, remarks on ix 467, 468	remarkably preserved from being
Fathers, Primitive, an acquaintance with the,	burnt to death vi 144
viii 76	builds a chapel at Madeley Wood
their thoughts on the state	vi 144
of the saints immediately after death x 21—23	Lis humility, patience, and love vi 144, 145
after death x 21-23 From and trembling, the import (xi 191, 192	Fletcher, the Rev. John, his deep communion
Fear and trembling, the import { vi 191, 192 of the phrase } vii 41	with God vi 145, 146
Feasts, the manner of the Jewish and Roman	his sickness and death vi 146-151
vi 53, 54	his general character vi 151, 152
	his epitaph vi 152 a quotation from vii 209, 210
Feder, Albinus Theodorus, the experience of i 216	a letter from Mr. Wesley to x 279
Feeling, remarks on viii 400, 557-559	255

Flood, some account of a remarkable, at Hay- field 1158	G
" Polloweth not with us," what the import of	Galatians, the design and subject of the Epis- tle to 1v 351
Folly, worldly, exemplified via 275-279	Garden, Physic, at Chelsea, remarks on 11
Fondling expressions in religion, remarks on	161
vn 265—208	a singular, at Oxford, mentioned iv
Food, remarks on taking vn 79, 60	102
"Foolish and hurtful desires," what? 11 59	Gardiner, Colonel, his death mentioned it 127
Forbearance, the want of, productive of great	G., Mr his rudeness consured in 201, 202 Gardiston, Lord, his example recommended
Foreknowledge, remailing on vi 230, 231 233	17 292
a remark on x 219	Garlie, the utility of, in restoring the voice
I orgetfulness of God, the evil of vin 295]	IV 180
Forgiveness of our trespisses, on what terms	Garson, Sir John, -ome account of ui 157
we pray for v 288	Garvaix Abbey mentioned 17 56
Formality in public worship, how to avoid it \$38	Gate, wide, and broad way, what the terms import v 340-345
Foster, Mr his Voyages censured 1v 276	strait, and narrow way, what? v 342-
Foundry, a letter from Mr. Wesley to the	343
Stewards of X 451	how to enter in at the v 9-15, 846
Pos, Mr a critique on his Book of Martyrs	Geddes, Dr bis account of the Roman Inqui-
Toy, Captain, the proposal of, to pay off t e	sition vii 98 his account of Popish Cruelty ix 186
debt of the Methodists x 149	Gele, Dr his Essay toward an amendment of
Frames and feelings, remarks on in 358	the last translation of the Bible
Frankfort, the difficulty of getting admittance	mentioned in 451
into 1 183	Genius, what?
Free Agency, how possessed by man 11231 Free, Dr a remark on, as a writer 11395 406	\(\)\text{thoughte on} \(\)\text{x 186, 187} \(\)\text{x 186 187}
a letter to vin 525—527	Gentiles, what meant by their state of nature
Freenolder, a Word to a vin 159-161	ız 223—225
French generosity exemplified in 384	Gentleness observations on vin 51
the landing of the, at Carrickfergus	George the Second King, the death of in 23
11 465, 466	George the Third, King, some account of, as a speaker 17 167
language, see Voltaire gold, the influence of, in exciting dis-	a speaker 19 167 the sickness and recovery
content in England \$ 109	of 1v 260, 261
Fretfulness, how increased by riches wil 229	Georgia, a description of 1 57-161
Friendship, what? x 304	Gerard, Dr. his E-say on Taste censured iv 228
with the world, what kind allow-	his Essay on Genius mentioned
ed by Christianity vi 423, 424 what kind of, forbidden vi 424	x 186 Gerurd's Meditationes Sacræ censured ii 202
	Giant's Causeway, some account of w 17
nleas for, what? vi 427-429	Gibson, Bishop, what he said to Mr. Wesley
Frome, the breat diversity of religious opi-	concerning Christian Perfection
Francista Common on sustance of 1199 900	x 270 Gift, heavenly, what? ix 446, 447
Frugality, German, an instance of 1199, 200 Fruit, why not lasting 11347, 348	Gitt, heavenly, what? ix 446, 447 free, what? ix 213
of the Spirit, remarks on 12 74	of tangues in the primitive Church, re-
Front of the Source what? 5 1240	marks on the ix 17-19
- (4111 001	Gifts, extraordinary, of the Holy Ghost, re-
Fruits of faith, what? IV 3.9 Fry, blr. his case of marriage referred to 11	marks on the vin 75
354	what s
his Tract on Marriage recommend-	what necessary for a minister of the
ed iv 166	gospel van 68, 71 74, 77
Mrs some account of the death of m	spiritual, remarks on 1x 17—19
F-d, Mr. George Robert, an account of	Gilbert, Miss, mentioned in 239 Gillies, Dr. his Historical Collections, com-
iv 268, 269	mended 1, 91
the life of, men-	James, learns to read in a surprising
tioned iv 275	James, learns to read in a surprising
1'-, Mrs a strange account of 11 345	Glanvill, his relation of witchcraft mentione.
Fuller, Miss, a letter from Mr. Wesley to x	his Suddyersmus Transplator
Fulneck, the Moravian establishment at 1112	, his Sudducismus Triumphatics no
Pulness of God, how described vin 59	Glasgow, some account of 11 255
I and, Preachers', rules of the first iv 389, 390	mentioned n 373
how to raise a general 1v 394-396	the character of the people of u
Fundamentals, remarks on the term vi 209	1 389
Purly, Mus, twenty-three letters from Mr. Wesley to x 427-438	Glastenbury Tower mentioned in 324 Glory, how the body shall be raised in 121346
# #21	1 oran 1 man ene north stirm de Lamed IN 151346

Gloncester, the Bishop's palace at, mention-	Gwenap, the natural amphithenire of, de-
ed 1v 196	scribed in 201 260
Gobalin, Monsieur, his Primitive World	G-, the Rev Mr. a letter from Mr Wes-
Analyzed mentioned in 188	ley to a 243, 211
God, in what sense our Father ! v S37	4
his e'ernity \$1 200—207	ļ II
the unity of hu 243—256	Haddweton the cent of the Poul of martine
worketh in you to will and to do, the import of vii 40	Haddington, the scat of the Earl of, mention-
God's dear son, remarks on the phrase 111	
260	remarks on the word vn 292, 293
Godliness, the form of what? w 18 19	Haerlem Wood, some account of iv 182, 183
Good, how to do? Good-breeding reflections on "Good Match," remarks on a "1 355, S56 1 245, 246 vii 121	Hagley Park mentioned iv 96
Good-breeding reflections on 1 240, 246	Hague, some account of the n 110
"Good Match," remarks on a vii 121	Hail-stones, an account of some remarkably
Cood sort of men, who is 1x 78	large 11/122
Goodness, remarks on 1111 77, 78	Ha me, John, an account of, when in Flau-
Goodshall, Lucy, a short account of 1405,	ders, as also of the work of food
406	there 119 27, 28
Gordon, Mr his curious gurden mentioned	his account of a battle in
ni 409	Flanders n 73
Lord George, mentioned in S31	Hall, Mrs an account of 185
Gorgeous apparel, what? 11 67 Gospel, what? 71	the Rev Mr a lamentable account of it 130-133
	the death of m 428
the spread of 17 147 Gospel Glass commended 11 356	Hairs of your head are numbered, the import
Governor, how God acts as a 1x 472, 473	of that saying 12 311
Gower, in Wales, described in 150	of that saying vi 311 Hart, Mr a letter from Mr Wesley to x
Gowrie conspiracy, some account of the in	283, 284
390	H-, Mr his low and abusive language no-
Grace, free what? vi 115-125	ticed x 498
how it abounds by Christ in 213	Halle, an account of the Orphan-House at a
the absolute necessity of, for a manu-	202
ter of the grapel viii 71-73 77-82	Halley, Dr. his observations respecting the
the overwhelming power of, remarks	great comet vin 170, 171
on the av 149	Hallowed be thy name, the import of the pe- tition v 284, 285
Graces before and after meat vin 139	tition v 284, 285
Gradin, Arvid, (a Swede,) some account of	Hampton Court, some account of \(\begin{array}{c} \text{in 340} \\ \text{iv 188} \end{array} \)
	Hand, right, see Eye, right
Grange-Green Castle, some account of 111	what to give the, to another v
Graves, Caspar Charles, his declaration and	415—417
recintation 1 403, 404	Hannibal mentioned ix 176
Gravitation, some remarks on vi 401	Hanway, Mr. his History of Shaw Nadir, re-
Gray, his works mentioned in 417	
	terred to 11 358
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of	Happiness, reflections on the nature and
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of iv 105	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407
Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254,
Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1/105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be disinced from God vin 254, 255 how to be obtained? vin 199
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Dr a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in number x 169	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 224, 255 how to be obtained? viii 199 the folly of seeking, in created
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmooy in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 255 how to be obtained? viii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 150
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255, how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in nuisic x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured Giamshaw, the Rev William, some account	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 224, 255 how to be obtained? viii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 150 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 199
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 106 Glimshaw, the Rev William, some account of in 68-70	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be district from God vii 254, 255 hou to be obtained? viii 189 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in number of harmony in 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168-70 a letter from,	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be distinct from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? viii 189 the folly of seeking, in created good x 150 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 189 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat in 181 Harcowood House, some account of its Se
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in insic Crenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Glimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1ii 68-70 a letter from,	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 159 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv Harcwood House, some account of iii 86
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Glimshaw, the Rev William, some account of a letter from, to the Society in London in 71-73 Givensey, the Island of, inentioned iv 219	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 159 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv Harcwood House, some account of iii 86
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account in 68—70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 71—73 Guernscy, the Island of, incutioned iv 219 Guides, sputtinal, who? yis 11, 142	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be dished from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 191 Harcwood House, some account of vii 281 Harris, Howel, his condial and 1309, 310 Harris, Howel, his condial and 1430, 310 place able temper 1 44
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168-70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68-70 Guernscy, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219 Guides, spiritual, who? 1v 111, 142 an inquiry into their cha-	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 111 Harcowood House, some account of vii 254 Harries Fresh, remarks on vii 284 Harries, Hovel, his condid and praceable temper in 196
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168—70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 71—73 Guernscy, the Island of, incutioned iv 219 Guides, spiritual, who? vii 111, 142 an inquiry into their character ix 194, 195	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? viii 189 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv Harcwood House, some account of vii 256 Harniessness, remarks on vii 254 Harris, Howel, his enable and \$1360, 370 pcaccable temper a powerful orator vii 196 what he said of Lady Hun-
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of a letter from, to the Society in London in 71-73 (Sucrescy, the Island of, incitioned iv 219 Guides, spiritual, who? vii 11, 142 an inquiry into their character ix 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of in	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404—407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? viii 189 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv Harcwood House, some account of vii 256 Harniessness, remarks on vii 254 Harris, Howel, his enable and \$1360, 370 pcaccable temper a powerful orator vii 196 what he said of Lady Hun-
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in nusic x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consumed x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 10 68—70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68—70 Guernscy, the Island of, incitioned 1v 219 Guides, spiritual, who? xii 111, 142 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of mi	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be distinct from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat it will thank the seat of the world, in the seat of the world, in the seat of the world, in the seat of the world, some account of it is 86 the world, in the seat of the world, in the seat of the world, in the seat of the world will be seat o
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of av 105 Gregory, Dr a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in number in 169. Grenville, Mr George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of a letter from, to the Society in London in 71-73 Guernsey, the Island of, mentioned iv 219 Guides, sprintial, who? viril, 142 an inquiry into their character ix 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for the society in London in 184, 245 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for the society in 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for the society in 194, 265 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph some account of many for 195 Guilford, Mr Josep	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be dished from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 154 of the people of the world, in what it consists vii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 111 Harcwood House, some account of vii 281 Harris, Howel, his endid and 1309, 310 placeable temper 1 44 a powerful orator n 190 what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awild account of in 198 Hartley, All his Definee of the Mystic
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of a letter from, to the Society in London in 68—70 Guernscy, the Island of, incritioned vi 219 Guides, spiritual, who? xi 111, 142 an inquiry into their character ix 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of m 453 Guilt, imputed, observations on ix 260, 261 Gunon, Madam, remarks on her writings	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be disinced from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 181 Harcwood House, some account of iii vi S6 Harniessness, remarks on vii 284 Harris, Howel, his candid and 1869, 370 peaceable temper iii 44 a powerful orator what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students iii 359 Benjamin, an awith account of iii 98 Hartley, Mr his Definee of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon iii 125
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in number of the formula, Mr. 169 Grenville, Mr. George, his political conduction of 1v 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev. William, some account of 1v 68—70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68—70 Guernscy, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219 Guides, spiritual, who? virilit, 143 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr. Joseph, some account of 1v 219 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings 186	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 111 Harcwood House, some account of in vist Harriessness, remarks on vii 284 Harris, Howel, his condid and 1369, 370 peaceable temper 144 a powerful orator in 190 what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awild account of the Mystic Writers, in Critique upon in 125 Di his scheme of necessity con-
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, Di a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in number of the formula, Mr. 169 Grenville, Mr. George, his political conduction of 1v 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev. William, some account of 1v 68—70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68—70 Guernscy, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219 Guides, spiritual, who? virilit, 143 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr. Joseph, some account of 1v 219 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings 186	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be disinced from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv 111 Harcwood House, some account of iv 86 Harnis, Howel, his candid and 1869, 370 peaceable temper 1 14 a powerful orator in 196 what he said of Lady Humtingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awild account of in 98 Hartley, Mr his Defined of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon in 125 Di his scheme of necessity consured.
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 164 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of a letter from, 106—70 a letter from, 106—70 a letter from, 106—70 a letter from, 10 the Society in London in 68—70 a letter from, 10 the Society in London in 11, 11, 142 an inquiry into their character ix 194, 195 Guilford, Nr. Joseph, some account of m 453 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings x 306 a stricture on her writings x 320	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be disinced from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of secking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists viii 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat iv the consists viii 199 Harcwood House, some account of iii vist Harmiessness, remarks on vii 284 Harris, Howel, his cradid and 1369, 370 peaceable temper viii 284 a powerful orator viii 284 Harris, Howel, his cradid and 1369, 370 peaceable temper viii 284 a powerful orator viii 284 Harris, Howel, his cradid and sold Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awill account of iii 98 Hartley, Mr his Defines of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon iii 125 Di his scheme of necessity censured viii 125, 467, 468 what he says on the formation
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168-70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68-70 (Guernsey, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219) Guides, spiritual, who? 111, 142 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of 145 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings 1896 a stricture on her writings 1896 Guimy Cystus, a curious flower described	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat in 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat in 191 Harcwood House, some account of in 186 Harniessness, remarks on vii 284 Harris, Howel, his cradid and 1869, 370 peaceable temper in 44 a powerful orator in 190 what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awill account of in 198 Hartley, Mr his Defence of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon in 125 Di his scheme of necessity censured what he says on the formation of our thoughts x 141 Unite how it defeat from hurry x 310. Sil
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168-70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68-70 (Guernsey, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219) Guides, spiritual, who? 111, 142 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of 145 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings 1896 a stricture on her writings 1896 Guimy Cystus, a curious flower described	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be desired from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it counts will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat in 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat in 191 Harcwood House, some account of in 186 Harniessness, remarks on vii 284 Harris, Howel, his cradid and 1869, 370 peaceable temper in 44 a powerful orator in 190 what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awill account of in 198 Hartley, Mr his Defence of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon in 125 Di his scheme of necessity censured what he says on the formation of our thoughts x 141 Unite how it defeat from hurry x 310. Sil
Greenwood, Mr Charles, the happy death of 1v 105 Gregory, D1 a remark on his advice to his daughters in 389 what he says of harmony in music x 169 Grenville, Mi George, his political conduct consured x 107, 108 Grimshaw, the Rev William, some account of 1168-70 a letter from, to the Society in London in 68-70 (Guernsey, the Island of, inentioned 1v 219) Guides, spiritual, who? 111, 142 an inquiry into their character 1x 194, 195 Guilford, Mr Joseph, some account of 145 Guilt, imputed, observations on 1x 260, 261 Guion, Madam, remarks on her writings 1896 a stricture on her writings 1896 Guimy Cystus, a curious flower described	Happiness, reflections on the nature and causes of vi 404-407 to be distinct from God vii 254, 255 how to be obtained? vii 199 the folly of seeking, in created good x 157 of the people of the world, in what it consists will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat it with the consists will 199 Harcourt, Lord, some account of his seat it will harms, flowel, his conditional of 1050, 370 peaceable temper in 44 a powerful orator in 190 what he said of Lady Huntingdon's students in 359 Benjamin, an awill account of min 98 Hartley, Mr his Defence of the Mystic Writers, a Critique upon in 125 Di his scheme of necessity consured in 467, 468 what he says on the formation of our thoughts x 141

Hay, Mr on Deformity, a remark on 11 301 Hay don, John the singular account of vin	Hernhuth, an odd custom at, and an account of their mode of burying 1 205
435 Headach, a singular instance of the removal	Hervey, the Rev. Mr quoted 1x 203, 204. 249 274 452
of a 11 79 Healing the sick in the primitive Church, re-	some passag s in his heron and Aspa-
marks on 1x 35, 36 Healths, obscene, the custom of giving, men-	sio censured x23 a letter to, on his re-
tioned 1v 243, 244	solving to write against Mr Wes-
Heart right with God, what? v 414 right toward our neighbour, what? v	lev x 205
true to God, what? vii 86	Hervey, the Rev Mr the authenticity of his Eleven Letters doubtful viii 543,
the decentfulness of vii 300—306 how decentful and desperately wicked	Hestod quoted vi 349
1× 247, 248	icks the Rev Mr see Berridge Hieroglyphics, the use of x 87
Heathen honesty, what? v17	Highlands in Scottand, what?
lieathens, they lamitted the cormulion of i	an observation on one of his
human nature 1x 258, 254 298 the condition of the, what 1 ix 267 the moral state of the, what 1 ix	works in 456 animadverted on as a writer ix
319, 320	477, 476 the effects of his Calvinum ix
the present state of the, what?	506, 507
the faith of, what? vii 210 the in perfect state of their know-	advice to 14 530 Sir Richard, his Letter to Mr. Madan
ledge v 138, 39 Heaven, remarks on the word v 1291, 292	commended 17 73 History, an acquaintence with, of great im-
what? a 322	portance to ministers viii 95 Hitchens, William an account of ii 360, 361
Heaviness, how it differs from spiritual dark-	Hordley's Method of Prayer censured vii 267
ness 11 405 418 character of those who are hante	Houseness, a cure for a 1v 66 Hobbes, hir what he thought of reason v 196
to be in vi 71-73 the nature and causes of vi 73-77	his dying words vi 345 Hobson, Elizabeth, the surprising account of
the ends of vi 77-79 Hebden, the Rev Samuel, his doctrine of	ni 246253
Original Sin stated 14, 324-350	Hodge, Dr his account of the plague in Lon- don ii 153, 154
Helier's, St. the Island of, mentioned iv 220 Hell, what?	a remark on his Elihu 111 333 Holiness, what? x 298
the duration of vi 204 371 373 in what the torments of, consists vi 366	Intic known at Oxford var 329, 330 Holydays, the English manner of keeping 11 71
the doctrine of the torments of, proced	11 71
Helpers, the office and rules of 1v 377 378 how they ought to employ their	Holy Ghost, the operations of the, on the souls of men, what? viii 242, 243
time iv 378	the distinction between the
not suffered to follow trades 17 378, 379	ordinary and extraordinary operations of the viii 243—
important questions proposed to 19 384—386—389	259 effects of the operations of the,
Helplessness, how it applies to the children of God v 134 138	in believers vii 373875
Henderson, Mr. his method of treating luna-	remarks on the extraordinary gift of the v 33
the death of, mentioned iv	the ordinary gift of, what? viii 2:9—263
Henry, the Rev. Mr. Philip, mentioned 1375	not yet given, how to be un- derstood v 427
a remarkable saying of mi 303	Holv Island, some account of m 138, 139
hts temper and con- duct conmended	Holy Spirit, by what kind of sine is grieved vii \$52, \$53
viii 259—264	floly things not to be given to dogs v 336
the Rev Matthew, mentioned 1 375 Herbert, Lord his life censured in 376	Udyssey, a critique on in 286
Herestes, what? vi 383, 384 Heresy, remarks on the term v 232 237, 238	Homilies of the Church of England quoted
and Schism, a letter from Mr. Wes- ley on, to Mr — x 237—239	Honesty, a remarkable instance of it 335
Heretic, observations on the application of	Honouring parents, what implied in vi 187,
the word 11 53 If cross, his writings mentioned 12 20, 21	Hook's Roman History, a critique upon
Heraliuth, description of 1203	337

Hoole's Translation of Tasso's Jerusalem De-	Hutchinson, Mr. strictures on his system (1)
livered, a critique upon ili 834	395. 404, 405
Hooly, Ano, the remarkable case of hii 88 tiope, what?	What he says of the ancient
included in the circumcision of the	inhadmants of Egypt vii 181, 182
heart the circumcision of the	teicharu, a remarkable child for
the full assurance of, what? viii 553	Huyman Ma roundly piety ii 210, 111
Horne, the Rev. Dr. a letter to viii 538-543	Huygen, Mr. remarks on his scheme of a plu- rality of worlds vii 191, 192
his Commentary on the	his conjectures on the planetary
Psalms mentioned iv 106	system mentioned ii 450
Horse, the sudden recovery of a lame ii 79 Horses, how to keep from falling iii 297	Hymns, Methodist, the advantage of using in
how to be treated iv 384	divine worship x 233
Hoskius, Martin, a remarkable account of iv-	I
23	"I can do nothing," a stricture on the saying
Hospital, Royal, at Plymouth, some account of the	ix 457
of the St. Lake's, mentioned in 98	Ideas, innute, remarks on vii 13
at Nottingham mentioned iv 179	Idleness, not produced by gennine Christian-
Dr. Stephene's, some account of	ity viii 281, 282 Idolatry, fallen man guilty of v 192
11 179	idolatry, fallen man guilty of vi 407-415
House, the import of the word vii 116 of fadustry at Cork, some account of	how promoted by riches vit 227
the iv 204	Idols, what? vi 408-418
a singular account of a ii 598	how to be kept from vi 413-415 how they rival God vii 251, 252
Hower, the Rev. Mr. a quotation from his	"If we say we have no sin," &c. how to be
Howe, the Rev. Str. a quotation from his Living Temple ix 238, 239	understood v 430, 431
Howl, the Irish, at funerals, what? ii 211	Ignorance, how a cause of spiritual durkness
Huddersfield, some account of the people of	Miterate preachers, an inquiry concerning
ii 364	their competency to guide immor-
the Methodist Preachers with-	tal souls viii 554-557
drawn from, to gravity the Rev. Mr. Venn π 255	lil-nature and unmercilulness, the folly of on-
Humane Society, the rise and plan of vii	couraging in children vii 131
158, 159	Image of God, what? } ix 291. 308. 312
the success of the vir 159,	
160 the excellency of the vii	in what it con- \ v 46 si-ts 7 \ \ vi 259, 260
160-162	natural, what? vi 275, 276
Hume, David, censured in 347	moral what? vi 209
his work on Miracles condemn-	remarks on the ix 241—243.
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268	remarks on the - ix 241—243. 278—280
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 animadverted on vii 305	remarks on the fix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chil- dren ix 258, 859
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word what? vi 378, 379	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—292 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chil- dren how communicated to
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word v 222 what? vi 378, 379 included in the circuncision of the	remarks on the ix 241—243. 275—250 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chil-ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 animadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word v 222 what? vi 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart v 164	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children dren bow communicated to his posterity is 356—357
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word v 222 what? vi 378, 379 included in the circuncision of the	remarks on the ix 241—243. 275—250 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chil-ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word v 222 what? vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart v 164 particular questions relating to viii	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 358, 359 bow communicated to his posterity is: 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the impiety of representing God by
his work on Miracles condemn- ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, nuong Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 Iiii 268 Ilumility, remarks on the word v 222 what? vi 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart v 164 particular questions relating to viii 95 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtul account of ly 300, 301	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, nuong Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 375 what? vii 378, 379 included in the circuncision of the leart particular questions relating to viii 99; in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtul account of iv 300, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, nuong Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 Iliumility, remarks on the word vi 305 Iliumility, remarks on the word vi 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart vi 164 particular questions relating to viii in what it consists x 295 Iliumphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awint account of vi 300, 301 Iliumger and thirst, the Import of the words ii 407, 406 Iliumperic, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—387 Image-worship, the origin of, usong Christians x 86—98 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of how first introduced into churches
his work on Miracles condemn- ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 359 bow communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into cherches x 87
his work on Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 258, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 35, 356 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 85 how first introduced into churches x 87 Imaginations of the heart, how cvil? v 189
his work on Miracles condemned ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the leart particular questions relating to viii 99 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtil account of iv 300, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words ii 407, 408 Humneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor ix 49 Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Huntergam, Lord, what he ward of the mo-	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 359 bow communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into cherches x 87
his work on Miracles condemn- ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart vii 678, 379 in what it consists vii in what it consists viii in what it consists viii 200, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 408 Hunneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor is 49. Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Hantingdom, Lord, what he vaid of the nin- rality of the Hible viii 252	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 258, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 358-357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 35, 356 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 85 how first introduced into churches x 87 Imaginations of the heart, how cvil? v 189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, how 7 vii 314—316 Impressions, remarks on viii 495
his work on Miracles condemn- ed animadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 305 what? vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the leart particular questions relating to viii 99 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtid account of vi 304, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 405 Humneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor ix 49 Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of vi 191 Huntingdon, Lord, what he said of the norrality of the Hibbe x 282 Husbands, the authority and duty of vi 175—	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 359 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introdured into churches x 87 Imaginations of the heart, how cvil? v189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, bow? vii 314—346 Impressions, remarks on wiid 495 Impressions, remarks on improving grace, the great importance of iii
his work ou Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches the family introduced into churches and interpretations of the heart, how evil 7 v 189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, how 7 vii 189 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Improving grace, the great importance of iii
his work on Miracles condemn- ed animadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 305 what? vii 378, 379 included in the circuncision of the heart particular questions relating to viii 95 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtul account of iv 307, 408 Humper and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 408 Humneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor ix 49 Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Huntingdon, Lord, what he said of the non- raity of the Hibbe x 292 Husbands, the authority and duty of vi 175— Huss, Joha, how treated by the papiets x 31—84	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—292 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children in 328, 359 how communicated to his posterity ix 358-357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians Images, the impiety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches x 87 Imaginations of the heart, how cril? v 189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, how? vii 344—346 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, remarks on viii 496
his work on Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children ix 338, 859 bow communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches will a compared the heart, how cvil? v 189 Important, the body shall be raised, how? vii 314—346 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, remark
his work on Miracles condemn- ed animadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word vii 305 what? vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the particular questions relating to viii 99 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awtid account of iv 304, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 405 Humter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Huntingdon, Lord, what he said of the morabity of the Hibbe x 292 Husbands, the authority and duty of vi 175— Huss, John, how treated by the papists x 31—84 Hutcheson, Professor, what he thought of benevolence vis 83, 84 What he says of a	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children in 238, 359 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—8 Images, the impiety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduted into churches introduced into churches x 87 Imagelnations of the heart, how evil? r 189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, how? rii 340—251 Impressions, remarks on viii 462 Imputed righteousness, what? iii 462 Imputed righteousness, what? iii 462 the doctrine of, started the doctrine of, started the doctrine of, started the danger of abusing
his work on Miracles condemned ed iii 268 animadverted on vii 305 Humility, remarks on the word what? vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart vii 646 particular questions relating to viii 95 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awint account of ix 300, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 406 Hunneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor x 49 Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Hantingdon, Lord, what he vaid of the morality of the Hible x 282 Husbands, the authority and duty of vii 175— 180 Huss, John, how treated by the papiets x Hutcheson, Professor, what he thought of benevolence vii 83, 84 what he says of a moral sense vii 201;	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chilix 338, 359 bove communicated to his posterity ix 358—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 36 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of the use the Church of Rome makes of how first introduced into churches and interesting the body shall be raised, bow? vii 489 Imagersions, remarks on x 314—368 Impressions, remarks on wiii 495 Impressions, remarks on the doctrine of stated the danger of abusing the doctrine of viii 465 Imputed righteousness, what? iii 462 The danger of abusing the doctrine of viii 495
his work ou Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children in 328, 359 how communicated to his posterity ix 358-357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the impiety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches x 87 Imaginations of the heart, how cril? v 189 Immortal, the body shall be raised, how? vii 341—346 Impressions, remarks on viii 492 Impressions, remarks on viii 492 Imputed righteousness, what? ith doctrine of, stared the danger of abusing the dortrine of vii 462—251 the danger of abusing the dortrine of viii 462
his work on Miracles condemned ed iii 268 aninadverted on vii 305 What? vii 378, 379 included in the circumcision of the heart viide particular questions relating to viii 95 in what it consists x 295 Humphreys, Mr. Joseph, an awint account of iv 300, 301 Hunger and thirst, the import of the words if 407, 408 Hunneric, an Arian prince, a cruel persecutor Hunter, Dr. a critique on the lectures of iv 191 Huntingdon, Lord, what he said of the morality of the Hibbe x 292 Husbands, the authority and duty of vi 175— Huss, John, how treated by the papiets x Hutcheson, Professor, what he thought of benevolence vii 83, 84 what he says of a moral sense vii 201 his acheme of reli- gion censured vii 233, 254	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chilix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches of how first introduced into churches 1841—346 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, value of value of value of
his work ou Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—293. Image of fallen Adam, found in all his children in 328, 359 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357. Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—8 Images, the impiety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches well x 187 Imaginations of the heart, how cvil x 189 Impressions, remarks on viii 462 Impressions of the deart, how cvil x 190 Impressions, remarks on viii 462 Impressions, remarks on viii 462 Impressions of
his work on Miracles condemned ed	remarks on the ix 241—243. 278—280 278—280 Image of fallen Adam, found in all his chilix 338, 859 how communicated to his posterity ix 356—357 Image-worship, the origin of, among Christians x 86—88 Images, the implety of representing God by x 33, 56 graven, remarks on x 31 the use the Church of Rome makes of x 56 how first introduced into churches of how first introduced into churches 1841—346 Impressions, remarks on viii 495 Impressions, value of value of value of

Indians, a remarkable conference with some 1 13%, 140	Irregularity, how Mr Wesley defended his
their cruel mode of putting their pri- soners of was to death 1 150, 151	Irresistible grace, the doctrine of, incompati- ble with the Scriptures ix 416,
Georgian, an account of the 1161,	417
Anicrican, the miserable state of the	Isle of Man, some account of the { 111 454, 455 17 77, 78 Israel the religious state of, in the time of
Indictments, remarks on the form of 17 68	Ahab ix 172
Indolence, how hurtful to the spirits x 183 Indulgences, the doctrine of, as laughed at	Israelites, cont. asted with the Greeks and Romans ix 176
by the Church of Rome x	J
Infidel, a strange account of an 12 19 52	"Jacob have I loved, and Esau have I hated,"
Infidelity, the danger of the increase of 1149	the import of ix 102 Jacobites mentioned in 308
their notion of religion censured vii	Jamaica, an anecdote of the governor of iv
Infirmary at Nottingham watmly commended	Jane, Mr John, some account of the death of
ıv 229	n 223
Lemmer and Aminora	Jealousy, the evil of vi 168, 169 Jena, an account of 20!
sins of, what? v 122 Ingham, the Rev Mr what he said of leaving	an account of the schools of 1234, 235
the Church vi 113	Jennings, Dr. quotations from, on Original Sin 1x 228, 257
Injustice, how encouraged in children vii 131	Jenyug, Mr his Tract on the Interna! Evi- dences of the Christian Religion
"In many things we offend all," (v 430, 431	censured 311 440, 441
Inns in Holland and Germany, difficulty of	Jeroboam, the sin of, what? v 418 Jerome, St what he says of the Apocryphal
precuring admittance into 1197.203 Inquisition, Romail, remaiks on a custom in	writings x 15
the to 17, 18	Jew, the faith of the, what? vii 211 Jews, remarks on the worship of the, at Rot-
of Portugal, called the house of mirey viii 165	terdam 1237 a remarkable form of prayer used by
Juscription, a remarkable in 411	the 11 330
Inscriptions, sepulchral, remarks on the va- nity of 11 424	their wickedness, according to their own prophets and historians with
Insects, observations on vi 256	287—294
Inspiration, the doctrine of, maintained by the church of England v S0	Johnson, Dr. his Tour to the Western Isles
of the Holy Ghost, remarks on	mentioned in 435 his Tour through Scotland men-
observations on vni 495, 520-521	tioned 1v 78
Instantaneous conversion, remarks on 1 185	Jones, David, an awful relation of 1146, 147 Abraham, an example of the justice
examples of in 86	and mercy of God n 195
justification, { \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Mr. some account of 111 78, 79 his Essay on the Principles of
Instruction to be given to families vii 118, 119 Intemperance, how it affects the spirits	his Essay on the Principles of Natural philosophy mentioned
183 184	bis Tract upon Clean and Unclean
Intention, the importance of purity of vi 419 purity of, in a minister, of vast im-	Beasts commended in 340 his Book on the Trinity recom-
portance viii 77-80	meuded x 321, 322
Introversion, what meant by vi 420 Inundations, a strange account of some Hi	Mrs singular cure of 1v S0S the case of alluded to vin 418—
Inverness, some account of in 141	420
Invocation of saints, not a doctrine of revela-	Jot, whit it imports? v 267 Joy in the Holy Ghost, what? v 67, 222
tion v 16 Ireland, the state of the natives of iv 189, 190	Joy, the nature and ground of a Christian's
Irenacus, what he says of the miraculous	v 116—118
powers of the primitive Church	Judas, how elected ix 381 "Judge not, that ye be not judged," how to
remaiks on his character as a writer	be understood v 332—S35
what he says of raising the dead in	Judges, important hints to v 148 Judgment, the circumstances which shall pro-
Irish, the character of the	code the day of v 140, 141
language censured iv 154, 1.5 l	the nature of the proceedings of the v 141145
It egularity in the clergy of the Church of	a few circumstances which will
England defended 7 248-252	follow the general v 145-147

Judgment, private, the right of v 413	Katherine, St. mentioned, and her (111 62
Judgments of God on opposers of the truth,	life referred to 1 viii 423
remarks on the vili 401, 402	Kempis, an admirable saying of ii 396
Jumpers, some account of the iii 113, 114,	uis Christian l'attern mentioned
Junius recommended as a writer x 101	viii 5 Kenn, Bishop, his poetical prayer mentioned
Juries, grand, their duty viii 298, 299	vi S53
"Just man falleth seven times," the import	quoted vii 297
of the saying v 426 "Just shall live by faith," how to be under-	Kennedy, Mr. and Mrs. the unhappy fate of
stood ix 412—414	Kensington Gardens, a remark on iii 327
Justice, a monument of divine, mentioned iv	kilby, Isaac, some account of ii 55, 56
179	Kilkenny, a description of iii 16
Justice of God, how displayed in acquitting or condemning man ix 382	Killconnell, some account of the church of it
Justice of the Peace, the intolerance of a	Kilmore, Rishop of, his gardens and palace
Lincolnshire ii 244, 245	mentioned iv 207
the strange conduct of a	King, Lord, his account of the primitive
Justices of the Peace, the duty of viii 298	Church referred to ii 76
J-s, Mrs. a remarkable account of i 336	Archbishop, his work on the Origin of Evil, referred to x 216—218
Tastification what? \ iv 348	Mr. John, a letter from Mr. Wesley to
how it differs from the new birth	x 361
v 180	King Charles I. by whom deprived of his power x 129
not to be confounded with sanc-	II. by whom raised to the
tification v 48 the doctrine of, stated viii 176,	throne x 129
the doctrine of, stated viii 176, 177, 182, 415—417	George II. a remarkable saying of vii 221
the conditions of viii 182, 184.	how far the public affairs of
538—541	his reign, accord with, and differ from, those of
the effects of viii 182, 184, 185 the assurance of viii 182, 183	the reign of Charles I. x
the meritorious cause of, what?	1111
viii 224, 225 by faith, not opposed to holiness	III. his character defended x
viii 225, 226	102-104 King of France, an anecdote of the v 95
the importance of distinguish-	Vandam of Cod whee? 5 v 64-68
Ing, from sanctification vii 216—218	{ vis }
by faith, how? viii 384, 387, 388	remarks on being born into the ix 255
remarks on the conse-	the way to the v 64-68
quences of viii 390 a destrine of Chris-	and his righteousness, what?
timity, and of the	v 306, 327 cometh not with observa-
Ch. of England 1293	tion, how to be under-
St. Paul's doctrine of,	stood vi 306, 308
reconciled with St. James's justification	heaven, what? v 222, 425, 436 what to be the least in the
by works viii 541-543	what to be the least in the
strongly maintained by	Kingdom come, the import of the petition v
Mr. Wesley viîi 548, 548	285, 286 Kingsford, Mr. the remarkable recovery of
observations on ix 489.	iv 227
520, 521	Kingston, William, born without arms iv
what Robert Barday says ix 111	Kingswood, some account of the (i 302
final, the conditions of	Kingswood, some account of the { i 302 work of God at { iii 342, 343
viii 384, 385 twofold, how? ix 487.	School, the opening of ii 148 a fire at ii 387
519, 520	the case of ii 242, 243
Justified state, remarks on the phrase in 487.	the rules of, read and confirmed
520	ii 554 some account of the work of
K	God at iii 311—313. 379. 380
Kaim, Lord, his Essays on Morality, &c.	God at iii 311—313. 379, 380 an account of iv 393, 394 Kinsale, the fort at, mentioned ii 340
censured iii 390	Kinsale, the fort at, mentioned ii 340 Kitely, Mrs. some account of iii 158
his scheme of materialism ceu- sured vii 210	Kitely, Mrs. some account of iii 158 Knowledge, human, the imper- (vi 329-340
his sentiments on religion vii	lection of 7 1x 2/, 20
360, 301	the desire of, universal vi 329
his scheme of absolute necessity consured x 142, 145	its imperfection with respect to futurity x 300
Vonsuicu A 136, 130	2000

	40
Knowledge and virtue, the state of man with regard to, from the earliest ages in 168-176	Chaint X 201
the present state of man with regard to ix 178-200, 297-300	Law, the Rev. William, his book on the New Birth criticised i 289
Knox, Mr. his History of the Church of Scot- land mentioned iii 193	his Spirit of Prayet consured ii 181
(of Londonderry) a letter from Mr. Wesley to x 280, 281	his Serious Call re- commended vii 268
his Essays criticised iv 65 Koran, some account of the ix 183, 184	his Serious Call and Christian Perfection mentioned viii
K—, Mrs. of Stratford, a strange account of 415	5, 8 a letter to in 118—
Ι,	his philosophy cen-
Labour of man, an effect of sin ix 296 Lactantius, what he says of casting out evil	sured ix 119—127 his scheme (after be
spirits ix 22 Ladies in Parls, the example of, recommend-	commenced mystic) goes to deny the
Lady —, a letter from Mr. Wesley to x 464	deny the fustice of God ix 129-133
Lancaster, John, some account of, and seve- ral other malefactors if 163-166	his scheme goes to deny the scriptural doctrine of justi-
Landlord, how a wicked, was punished iv	fication ix 13: what he says of the
Land's End (Cornwall) described if 388 : Language, plain, what the Quakers say of ix	new birth ix 139—141 what he says of faith
Language of heaven, what? i 113 Languages, original, the importance of a	ix 141 what he says of the
Languages, original, the importance of a minister's understanding the viii 75	less of fervour ix 142, 143 what he says of
Larwood, Samuel, mentioned ii 325	Christ being in the soul of man in 143-143
Lassels, Mr. some account of i 185 Laughing, remarks on viii 400	his singular touch- stone ix 143
Laughter, involuntary, strange accounts of 317	bis writings mentioned ix 491, 493
Laval's History of the Reformed Churches of France mentioned i 875	a quotation from, or electration from, or vii 110, 111
Lavington, Bishop of Exeter, a letter to viii 481-486	a quotation from, or education vii 123, 12
flaw, moral, the origin of the v 986 the union and properties of the	his advice on prayer recommended vii 77, 75 two letters from Mr.
v 367—370 the uses of the v 379—373	Yestey to z 277
how made void { v 375—982 x 199	Laws, human, the necessity of ix 357, 353 how consented to, by those who have
how to establish the v 383—390 in what sense believers not un- der the v 380	no voice in making them x 131 Lawyers, the character of the generality of
bow a mystery v 384—385	Lawyers, the character of the generality of ix 193, 194, Lay-preaching, an apology for viii 353—358
not abolished by Christ v 266	a letter on, from Mr. Wesley
how fulfilled by Christ v 266, 267 its barmony in connexion with	to Mr. — x 248 Lazarus and the rich man, the story of, a real bistory vi 51—55
the gospel v 267	Leader, the business of a iv 332, 345 Leaders of Classes, the duty and authority or iii 320-303
ness of tenching men to break the v 270, 271	have there were the
mistakes concerning the, recti- fied v 363, 365	how they may be mos uscful iv 363
how Christ is the end of the vili 43 how believers dead to the, and under	their original office x 148 Learned world, remarks on the viii 353 Learning the state of in the University
it to Christ vill 43 what it importe? ix 274, 275	Learning, the state of, in the University of Oxford vii 32s. Leasing-making, what?
what it requires? 1x 299, 300 ix 487	Leasing-making, what? Leasows, (a farm so called) described by 97 Leaving the first principles of the doctrine of
"cremonial, Christ came to abolish the	Christ, how to be understood vii
v 265 (civil) the difficulty of obtaining re- dress in the courts of viii 308—311	Lecturers in the Church of England, the quali-
Mosaic, what? viii 45	Lee, Dr. his Sophron criticised iii 38:
Adamic, what it required? y 50, 57	Joseph, an awful account of iv 167

A GENER.	AL INDEX.
Legacy for a charitable purpose, how to leave	Linum Asbestum, what?
Leland, Dr. a critique upon his History of	Liquors, spiritnous, remarks on the use of
Ireland in 376 Lending stock, the origin and use of { it 85 the iv 343	Liturgy of the Church of England, abounds with petitions for perfect holiness
Lesley, Dr. some account of his Do- iv 20 main (iv 21)	Liverpool, some account of ii 310, 364, 365
Letter, circular, a singular, from an Arch- bishop vill 227	London, the Bishop of, a letter to the vin 457-467
to an Irish clergyman viii 468-470 from a preacher to Mr. Wesley ii	Londonderry, an account of the siege of, by Mr. Walker, recommended it 594, 395
from a nervon fallen from grace ii 95	some account of the bishop of
to the Public Advertiser x 74-76 relating to public calamities ii 327,	the bishop's gardens, &c. at,
328	mentioned iv 209 the honesty of the people at
Letters, two, to the Editors of the Freeman's Journal, in answer to Mr. O'Lea-	Longmore, Elizab. a witness of Christian
ry's remarks x 77-85 commendatory, what 7 is 335	perfection iii 294 Long-suffering, what?
some excellent ii 37-60 Leusden, his Dissertation on the Kebrew	Longworth, R. the happy death of 1270,
Points mentioned ii 357 Levitions, the general subject of the book of	Lopez, Gregory, his life mentioned i 404 "Lord, Lord," what implied in saying
vi 297 Le Vrayer, an extract from his Animadver-	Lords, House of, an observation on the iv 145
sions on the Ancient Historians iv 158	in Ireland mentioned in Old
Lewin, Miss, some account of the death of	Lord's Supper, the duty of receiving the vii 171-179
iii 203, 204 Lewis, Mr. his Hebrew Antiquities mentioned	bow often the primitive Chris- tians received the vii 172
Lewisham mentioned iv 97	objections against receiving the, answered wil 170
Liberality to the poor exemplified ii 106 Liberty, how a property of the soul vii 233	an appointed means of grace v 157
what? v 88 Christian, what? i 112, 113	who may partake of the, with profit viii 237, 461, 462
religious, what? x 118—120	what the Quakers say of the
the love of, the glory of rational be-	ix H6 observations on the, by the An-
ings x 116 civil, observations on x 120—124	cient Fathers ix 13 Lough-Neagh, a beautiful lake, noticed ii 399
differs from licentiousness x 114, 115 remarks on the different kinds of	Louise, some account of fives, 89, 123, 164 Love, what?
x 116-118 how the ancient Britons defended	the origin, &c. of v385, 387
their x 115 the state of, in America, while under	the nature and proper- \(\frac{\psi \ 98-93}{110-110} \)
the British Government x 134	(357, 558
Library, public, at blanchester, mentioned ly 285	the necessity of, in order to happine- vit 338, 359
Christian, remarks on ix 481, 482 Libarnum tree, some account of the iii 119,	the necessity of, in order to render death comfortable vii 859, 360
Licensing preachers, &c. the mode of iv 228	perfect, does not supersede the neces- sity of Christ's priestly office x 196
the proper end of, what? vii 284, 285	brotherly, how it mani- { 1 345, 346 fests itself
human, represented under the idea of a dream vii 285—291	of God, included in the circumcision of the heart v 167
of God in the soul of man, what?	the fruits of the v 177 a mark of the new birth v 176
reflections on the lave of in 316—318 Light, the promise of, explained v 28—33	the great importance of v 266 how a more excellent way v 260
how to sinke nefore men - v 200, 202 ;	disinterested, an instance of 1113 particular questions relating to viii 92
what it imports v 306, 307 observations on vi \$10, 333	how the end of the commandment, &c.
of the world, who the v 258, 258 Lilly, Mr. W. remarks on the life of in 119, 120	the less of vi 61
Limbo, what the Church of Rome says of	Love of our neighbour, the properties and ex- ecllence of - v 283-237, 239
Lincoln, the minister at, mentioned iv 208	particular questions relating to the
Linner, Michael, the experience of i 213. 211	200, 201

Laye of the world censured 1 m 312	Magdalen di Pazzi mentioned \\\ \text{in 475}
the cyil of encouraging the, in children vii 128, 129	Mohamet, the Life of, censured in 200
in children vii 128, 129	the faith of, what? vii 210, 211 Mahometans, moral condition of the vi 28:
Love of married persons to each other, what? vi 164-167	Mahometans, moral condition of the vi 28: present state of the ix 183, 184
effects of the	Malefactor, a word to a condemned VII
167—171	191-100
Lovefeast, the origin ol, among the Method-	Malefactors, an account of some 1 184 241
ists iv 396	Mallet, Miss Sarah, the singular case of
ists iv 396 a remarkable, in Fetter-lane 1 246 Low liness, what? vi 378 Lowness of surfit, remarks on 1 271	Mammon, what?
Lowness of spirits, remarks on 1271	what to serie? v 322, 325
	the service of, cannot consist with
lowth, Bishop his Lectures "De Poesi He- bræa" commended in 185	the serving of God VI 51
Loxdale, Miss, eight letters from Mr. Wes- ley to x 324-328 Loyala, Ignatius, remarks on the Life of 1 403	of unrighteousness, what? vi 97
ley to x 374-378	Man, in what state born into the world 12 249, 250
Lucian, what he said of the Primitive Chris-	the our mal state of what 7 ix 289, 290
trans 17 21	philosophical reflections on vii 231, 23.
his Dialogues mentioned iii 438	TOTA (NOT FIRST) KLOWIGIDE OF AN 1907
Lunatic, an account of a 1249 Lunton, Dr. an aneedole of an ignorant young man by iv 370	191 1 temerkable old, noticed in 233
Lupton, Dr. an ancedote of an ignorant young	Manichecs, how they supposed evilcame into
Luther, Martin, his Life mentioned in 178	the world x 214
his comment on Gaiatians	their notion of fate ix 458 461.
criticised 2 350	163
what he said of a revival of	Mansfield, Lord, a famous speech of a 106
religion to 285 confused in his ideas of sanc-	Mann, Elizabeth, the power of grace exem-
tification vii 217	plified in 11 27
his Comment on the Gala-	Manners, Mr John, a short account of u
tians mentioned vii 217	82. 191. 133
what he said of the people	extracts from the letters of 1182—88
called by his name vii 184 what he said of himself v 131	Marcus Antomnus, the character of 11 6:
what he said of justification	Mattenboth, some account of the family at
by faith v 16	1 199, 200
pronounced St James's Epis-	Marriage, important hints on the subject of
Tle an Epistle of Straw 1 198	rules concerning by 375, 376
Lutherans, how some conclude the Lord's	rules concerning iv 375, 376 believers not to enter into, with un-
Day in Holland 198	helievers vi 42:
their mode of wership 1 204	Mr. Wesley's remarks on his own
and Papists, their eninity to each other	1X 50%
other 1233 Luxury, modern, reflections on vin 306, 307	* thought upon x 158, 159 contracts vii 273—273
Lying, remarks on vii 167	the lawfulness of, proved a 47. 49
Lynn, a description of 21 206	forbidden to the elergy of the
Lyttleton, Lord, his Dialogues of the Dea	Church of Rome v 61
consured in 309 commended as a fine writer	Married persons, the duty of vi 162-187
in 430	then duty to each other 183—185
L Miss, a letter from Mr. Wesley to x	their duty to their children
225, 226 L. Rev. Mr. a letter to x311, 312	vi 195, 186
	their duty to their servants
M	Marsay, Count, the life of, a remark on mi
Macburney, Mr. shamefully abused by a mob	417
in 371, 372	Marsh, Ehrabeth, the banny death of u 95
Machiarel, Nicholas, some account of, and of his book 1 144, 145	Marshall, Mr his groupel mystery of sanctifi-
Maclain, Dr. his translation of Mosheims	
Ecclesiastical History mentioned	Martyn, Justin, what he says of extraordinary
m 331 j	remarks on his character as
Mac Nab, Mr mentioned iv 50	a witter and a nitness 13
Madeley mentioned 111 148	26—30, 31
the origin of the Society at 1v 89 Madness, religious, remarks on viii 188	Martinglam the college saying of 1x 52
403. 437. 438	Martyrdom, the suffering of, cannot supply the place of love in 98
remarks on 403, 437, 438	Martyrs, remarks on then constancy vin
whether the effect of Methodism	475, 476
VIII 282—286	Mary, Queen of Scotland, the probable inno-
Hagazine, Arminian, proposals for the, drawn	cence of m 241
71 401	her character in 290

Mary, the mother of our Lord, not an object of religious worship x 27, 29, 55 Mason, Mr. the death of ii 456 Masonry, Free, remarks on a tract on iii 375 Mass, what the Church of Rome says of x Massacre, Irish, some account of the ii 128 mentioned an account of a, at Slign An account of a, at Sligor iv 15
Masters of families, their duty vil 115—122
Materialist, the faith of a, what? vil 210
Mathematical knowledge, an unsafe guide on religious subjects x 216, 219
Matlock, the valley of, described iii 58, 59
Matter, in what sense eternal vi 201, 202 vi 201, 202 Matthews, John, an excellent account of 157 Masseleum, a curious, built by Mr. Pelham Maxield, Thomas, powerfully awakened imprisoned in Cornwall ii 46, 47 divides the Society iii 99—105 London Maxwell, Lady, thereto letters from Mr.
Wesley to x 341—351
Wayer of Cork, the conduct of the, conmercial iv 204, 205 the impartiality of a Mayoralty at Cork described 11 97 iv 205 M'Bride, Dr. his practice of physic mentionin 461 v 152 Means of grace, what ? mistakes concerning the v 150-152 mistakes respecting the use of the ii 299, 302, 322remarks on the vii 147 the importance of using the vii 147, 148 objections to the use of the, suswered v 158-160 the order and nanner of using the v 160—162 the people of God v 208 Mecko, a heather chief, a saying of 111 470 viii 473 Mechtildis mentioned Slediator, Christ the only x 27, 55
Medical Essays mentioned iii 358
Medicine, the following fashion in adminis-Medicines, an institution for administering, iii 374 iv 341 mentioned vi 379 Meckness, what ? remarks on Christian, what? viii 51 v 227, 228 ii 178, 174 Melancholy account Melancthou, Philip, a remarkable narrative vii 86, 87 alchody in music strongly recommended x 170 alchody, observations on x 188 Mentz, a singular sentence on the door of a church et 1236 Merchants, English, observations concerning the Mercici, Mr. a strange account of Merciful, who? v 233-237 Werit, remarks on the word iv 404 remarks on the doctrine of ix 490. 521, 522

17. 52 Methodism, what? ri 109-115 iv 413 the origin of × 148, 149 iv 413 a short history of the rise of viii 337, 338 peculiar character vii 111—114 and spread of vii 139, 340 the change which, as an instru-ment, it effects in men's hearts and lives viii 337, 338 viii 337, 338 the purity of the religion it re-commends viii 339, 340 its freedom from enthusiasm and viil 340 not mixed with rice or ungodliviii 340, 341 Dane. its freedom from the spirit of persecution viii 341 why the doctrines of, are objected to viii 348, 349 not justly chargeable with jealons-ics and divisions viii 501, 505 does not undermine morality viii 505-509 has no tendency to popery 511-515 Thoughts upon x 145—150 only acriptural Christianity x 149 in the control of the vii 9-11 Methodist, the character of a viii 493 the distinguishing marks of a the principles of a, what? origin and applica-; 171, 72 tion of the term 7 vi 107, 108 Methodists, the origin and pro-gress of the vii 218—220 the original design of the iv 284 a plain account of the iv 328 the doctrines of the iv 328, 414 strange reports concerning the what required by those who join who meant by, and why I iv 417 so called the design of God in raising up in what sense a new people 418, 419 advice to the people called is 417an Address to the, on the Love of Money vii 62—65 the discipline of the vii 220, 221 opposition against the vii 221 obtain legal redress vii 221 effects of the preaching of the viii 452—454. 476 unjustly charged with skepticism viii 495—498 unjustly charged with uncharita-bleness—viii 498—500 bleness vin 498—500
do not oppose St. Paul to St.

James in the article of justifiyiii 525 cation

dinary gitts of the Holy Ghost vin 529 do not teach people to neglect not justly chargeable with making the way to heaven too broad win 531, 532, 534, 535 believe that regeneration is a supernatural work win 553, 534, 535 not justly chargeable with en-thusiasm 110 534 thussasm not an independent sect viii 547, 548 VIII 534 do not undervalue human learning vin 553, 554 not a tallen people in 460 Meyrick, his wonderful rich ery from a dan-Meyrick, his varieties 1412
Middleton, Mi his Essay on Church
Government commended 1 404 Dr his book against the Fathers mentioned in 168 a letter to a letter to in 1—666
the stiffness of his style consured x 252, 253
Millennium, a letter on the, from Mr Wesley to the Rev. Mr H— x 265–268
Miller, Mr a curious invention of in 74, 374
Mills, Rebecca, a short account of in 230
Milton, what he says of Adam when newly in 466 Mind of Christ, on possessing the, a letter irom Mi. Wesley to Miss ₹ 227 Ministers, how far they may resemble the Apostles vin 352, 353 Apostles x 147 who oppose the truth, how to act toward Cospel, thoughts concerning x 146 on union among, a letter from Mi Wesley to on the unfaithfulness of, a
letter from Mr. Vesley to
the Rev. Mi. L. x 271
Minutes, General
of the Confeience of ix 501 524
1770, remarks on { x 317
Minutus Fthx, what he says of casting out
devis ix 21
Minutus three of Church purpose the desire Miracles, those of Christ prove the divinity of his mission vi 304, 305 when they ceased in the Christian Church, and viry 1, 95, 96 why
pretended, observations on 1x 14
Gospel, remarks on 1x 56
the aureasonable-(1111363, 381 40)
ness of requiring 440—446
ring 440—446
ring 440—446
ring 440—446
ring 440—446 ring (1x 96 would not convince those who will not be convinced without them ix 96, 98-101

Victhodists, do not profess infallibility viii Miracles, the unreasonableness of requiring, in proof of the doctrines of the Gospel already established by Orspel already established by miracles ix 101, 102. Miraculous powers of the Apostles, what 7 ix 16, 17 68 why given to the Apostles, it is 7 ix 8-10, 17 grifs of the Christian Failers, remarks on the ix 17-50 why so soon withdrawn from why so soon withdrawn from the Church 11 220 Miranda, Mr Law's, the example of, recommended vii 151
Miseries of mankind, a proof of their degeneracy in 801-304 313-316. 356, 357 Misery, the universality and cause of ix 196 -200 Missionaries, a strange account of two in 53 Mitchel, Mr. Thomas, some account of the suff-rings of in 256, 257 M-ttlestadt, a malcfactor, recentes a pardon at the place of execution in 448, 449 Mob, a remarkable account of a in 399 Mobs, see Persecution Mompesson, Mr the drumming at his house Mompesson, air the drumming at in 1001se
confirmed in 246
Money, how to save and to use in 232, 233
the folly of leaving, to those who
will do no good with it is 32
how the love of, the root of all ent how to gain and to save vi 99—104 how to he used vi 93 104—106 the fatal effects of the love of vi 264, 265 the love of, idolatry vi 412 how to be disposed of vii 61 82, 83 a remark on leaving, by will vi 173 Monates, some account of a 1v 301 Montague, Lady Mary Wortley, ber account of the Mallometans v 282 Montanists, what Mr. Wesley thought of the Montanus mentioned Montesquien, Baron, a critique on his Spirit of Laws x 175, 176 Moon, observations on the use of the 1218 Moore, William, separates from the Alethod-Morality, the state of, in the university of Oxford Vii 327

Moravian Church, Mr. Wesley's Address to the improperly so called in 225, 226 Blotavians, the doctrines of the 11 226, 227 or Germans, the humility and for-titude of the 126, 127 their general walk 130 their mode of ordaining a bishop, in Georgia 130 their oractice consured 227 their practice censured the effect of their doctrines 11 227 n melancholy account of some of the 11 251—254. 295, 296 a Declaration of the, published in the Dark Port 11 188 189 the Daily Post in 188, 189 at Hernhuth, give account of their opinions to the Emph. 1210, 212

Moravians, the unsettled state of their opi-	Music, ancient, the power of exemplified x
nions i 210—212, 213	168-170
the rule and discipline of the 1 223—228	wherein it differs from mo-
their account of justifying faith,	dern music x 169
and the way to obtain it i 306,	modern, consured x 170 Mysteries, in what sense not to be believed
30 7 i	x 900
their notions of propagating the faith i 307, 308	Mystery, observations on believing a vi
than ideas of decrease of 600, 308	212, 213
their ideas of degrees of faith 1	Mystery of iniquity, how it hath wrought vi
specimens of their eloquence ii 63	262—273
specimens of their easuistry, note	Mystic writer, remarks on a vi 76, 77 writers mentioned x 218
i 358, 359 remarks on w	
their account of the new creature	Mysticism, the evil effects of iii 114, 385
i 344, 345	Mystics, their erroneous notions of the doc-
the spring of their errors i 350 their erroneous doctrines i 363—	trine of human redemption x 221
365	, 14
au account of some iii 270	
a singular account of their casting	Naked, the import of the term ix 203 Nash, Mr. of Bath, mentioned i 262 Nathanael, a true Israelite, who answer the
lots ii 12, 13, 21	Nash, Mr. of Bath, mentioned 1262
at Ziest, an account of the iv 114	character of vii 85
their want of hospitality blamed iv 158	Natural man, the state of the v 82-84
their want of candour censured	Nature of man, how produced by God ix
vili 377	276, 277
their conduct examined viii 378	Navy, British, the state of religion in the
Morgan, Mr. a short account of his sickness i 84, 85	Viii 315
Morgan, Mr. a short account of 172, 73 his sickness 184, 85	Neath, a singular account of twelve young men at ii 88
his death and clegy i 85-87	Necessity, thoughts on ix 457-471
Morsay, blr. his Life mentioned iv 21	absolute, does away the idea of
Mortification, particular questions relating to	vice and virtue 1x 463
Tii 99	makes man neither re-
Mortmain, a remark on the Statute of ii 318	wardable nor punish- able ix 468
Mosaic Dispensation, its glory chiefly exter- nal vi 157	renders the day of judg-
Hoses, why the place of his burial was con-	ment a mere farce ix
cealed x 29	463
Niose, Richard, a strange account of ii 56, 57	renders it impossible for
Motion, who the author of vi 401	man to blame himself for doing wrong ix
Motives, remarks on ix 469, 470	464
Moultray, Monsieur, what he said on seeing Charles XII. of Sweden mortally	makes God the author
wounded vii 288	of sin ix 465
Mountains, the antiquity of vi 215	forther thoughts on x 141—145
Mourners, such as our Lord describes v 224— 226	Needham, Mr. what he says of microscopic animals il 404
Md, Mrs. her relation of some uncom-	Needles, an account of the rocks at the 1125
mon circumstances iv 26	Negro, the ignorance of a i 140, 141, 147,
Muncey, Jane, a pattern of piety and good	148
works 1 353, 354	Negroes, the best method of instructing i 148 in Virginia, some account of the fi
Murder, a remarkable account of a iv 206 Murmoring, the cause and effects of vi 265	in Virginia, some account of the fi
Murmuring, the cause and effects of vi 265 Mestray, Catherine, the experience and death	319, 319 Nelson, John, some account of 1393, 394
of iii 219—222	a letter from ii 81, 82
Murray, county of, some account of the iii	
194	persecuted ii 111, 112
Muscular motion, observations on vi 212	a letter from, to Mr. Wesley ii 389
Museum, British, some account of { ii 454 } iv 68	Nervous disorders, thoughts on x 162-185
Mr. Cox's, a remark on ii 286	causes of x 182-184
Sir Ashton Lever's, mentioned iv	the way to care x 185
52	Nonsser, Augustine, the experience of i 216,
in Spring Gardens, the wax-work	Wensel, the experience of 1317
at the, mentioned iv 230	Wensel, the experience of 1217, 218
Music, modern, remarks on iii 125, 126 the fenduces of lions and tigers for	Zecharias, the experience of 1218,
ne tonuness of none and opens for ni 157, 158	219
	(1189
thoughts on the power of x 168-171	Newshirth, what? viii 520

New Buth, necessity and ground { v 197-199 of the, stated { vx 330-333	o
v 199-201	Oakhill, remarkable account of the society
vin 47 220	at 11 86
how it differs from sanctification	Oaths, remarks on the number and obligations of vin 298-303
Newcastle-upon-Tyne, the building of the	what the Quakers say of 1x 51 Obedience to parents, of universal obligation
first Methodist Chapel at 1412 Mr. Wesley's minute	what? vn 132, 135
examination of the Classes	to spiritual guides, what? vii
at 1419, 420 the illiberality of	to the governors of the Church of
three dissenting ministers at 1 1 421	England, the nature and extent of vin 211, 212
the humanity of the mobat 1 426	Observations, important, on the state of the
the construction of	Octinger, Mr censured as a writer 11414
the people of, on hearing that the Pretender had en-	Officinee, the giving of, unavoidable iv 419—421
New, Mr some account of m 226—228	Offences, inseparable from a work of God vin 369, 370
New, Mr some account of in 226—228 Newgate, Britol, improvements in in 31 "New Heavens and a new earth," remarks	Officers of his Majesty's Customs, what required of viii 302
on the • 147	of Excise, remarks on the conduct
Newport, in Ireland, mentioned in 346 Newport Bay, observations respecting in	of 18 192 Oglethorpe, Lady, her testimony concerning
Newton, Sir Isaac, how he illustrated the im-	King Chules receiving ex- treme unction in 363
mensity of God vi 330, 331 Bishop, what he says of the times	Oil consecrated, romaiks on 1x 11 Oldham, Mr some account of the death of
of Constantine vii 184	in 269
Rev Mr. experience of the, men- tioned in 233	on the \$330, 331
Nightingales, remarks concerning iv 123 Nightly Cereus, some account of the 1v 64	what use to be made of the vi 49—51
Nitschman, David, the experience of	O'Neal, Sir Phelim, the infamous conduct of in 327
Nixon, Prudence, her strange account of her husband in 171	Opinion, remarks on, as distin- in 161-166
Noah, the condition of, compared with Advin	doctrine
Nobility and gentry, the generality of the,	the danger of substituting, in the place of faith viii 322 371. 373
devoid of religion 17 194 Noise, an account of a remarkable 17 91	Opinions, orthodox, may be held without true religion vi 208
Non-juring congregations in Scotland, begin to pray for King George in 241	Opposers of the truth remonstrated with vin 372-374
Non-residence, see Pluralities Norris, Mr. what he says of history a 298	Orders, remarks on x 47 60, 61 Ordinances of God, neglect of the, censured
what he says of purity of heart	• viii 296
Norwich, some account of 11 408 417	of Chast, believers subject to the
the society of 1 in 119, 120, 406 the character of the peo- j in 316	the evil of neglecting the 11 63
ple of { 1v 165 the history of, mentioned in 425	Ordination, remarks on x 237 Origen, his opinion of the operations of the
"Not a just man that simueth not," how to	Holy Ghost, what 7 vm 254-258
Notions in religion, remarks on vin 228, 229	what he says of casting out devils
Nottingham, melancholy account of the con- gregation at 1353	Orlando Furioso, a critique upon 17 122
hinderances of the work at in 78 the character of the people of	Orthodoxy, remarks on { viii 513, 544, 545, 74
Novelty, how gratified? vi 411	x 239 Othen, Nathanael, the account of, referred to
Nowell, Dr. his Answer to Mr. Hill noticed	n 407
Nowers, Mi refused admittance into the	Ovid, a quotation from 18 198 Oxford, the walks and garden at, mentioned
Methodist Society, for resolving to disjute about election 1319	17 116

Perfe

P	Perfe
Paddy, Grace, convinced of sin, justified, and renewed in love, in twelve hours iii 178	
Paoli, Pasehal, mentioned iii 288 iii 288 iv 122, 141 Papists, why se couled viii 156	hadde our rore supply into
then doctries vili 156, 157 the additions they have made to the	1 Peri
things contained in the Bible §279 not to be converted by pend statutes is 123	Perfec
how to be treated iv 67 the personation of, disavawed x 85, 86	e consequente de la consequencia della consequencia della consequencia della consequencia della consequencia
an Address to viii 228, 329 Irish, the character of the ii 399 Irish, drown many protestants in the Black Water iii 329	
Paradize, the residence of the blessed x 21, 35 Paragraph, a remodisable, in an Edinburgh	o y ve y haddele de haddele
Pardon and acceptance joined together x 194. Parents, their duty to their children.	and the state of t
Parish discipline, how far a priest is confined to one viii 423, 425, 426 the nature of, in Scotland	11.00
Parnell, Dr. his Hermit cited vi 353 Partiality, observations on iv 83—85	
the evil of vi 265 Pascal, Mr. a remark on, as a writer ii 268 a fine remark of vii 27, 28	The same of the sa
Passionate temper censured vii 166, 167 Passions, irregular, depress the spirits x 164, 185	
Patience, what? vii 22 the perfect work of, what? vii 23—25	
Patrick, St. observations on the history of il 143	
Paustoobec, a remarkable saying of vi 310 Peace of God, what? v 67, 222	
the end of the upright man vi 109 Peace-makers, who? Pearls, not to be cast before swine v 336, 337 Pearson, Bishop, the extraordinary case of	
x 276 a fine quota- { viii 259, 260 tion from { ix 102-104	
Pedantry, what? 252 Pedantry, why called a heretic vi 323	
Pelican, description of the 1v 301 Penages, remarks on x 43, 43 what the Church of Rome says of	
Yenitents, the origin of the meetings for	
Penn, Sir William, a remark on the life of iv 167	Perfect
Pennant, Mr. a remark on his Journey through Scotland iii 436	Perjury
Pensions, remarks on granting x 103 Pentecost, the day of, what Mr. Charles Wesley thought of the 193	Perrone
People, the, who? x 126, 127 in middling circumstances, their advantages over the rich is 403	
Perfect, an offensive term to many v 420	

ct, in what sense Christians are not
in what sense Christians (v 420—423 v 423—434
are \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Christian, who? { iv 351 viii 19, 22
and upright man, who? vi 138, 139 fecting of the saints," what? iii 122
stion, Christian, what? \begin{cases} \text{iii 94, 279} \\ \text{viii 27.459,460} \\ \text{x 153, 255} \\ \text{x 258, 259} \\ \text{x 258, 259} \end{cases}
ii 456 457, 458 460, 461 iii 92, 56 vii 282
viii 24, 25 lii 164—106 258, 259 stated, iv 402, 403
proved, and de- viii 178, 179 fended 201, 202 x 234-286 283
the abuse of the doc- trine of, no argument against it in 107
marks of x 293 exalts Christ x 258 observations on the
doctrine of viii 546
objections to the doc- trine of, answered ix 501-505 promised viii 23 prayers for, referred to
viii 24 commands relating to viii 24
does not render its pos- sessors independent of the blood of Christ viii 28, 29, 45
eald to have attained viii 33 whether gradual or in-
etantaneous viii 64, 65 how far gradual, and how far in- stantaneous viii 33
important reflections relating to viii 58—64
a letter on, from Mr. Wesley to Miss II——————————————————————————————————
the doctrine of, re- viewed viii 64—67
genuine, contained in 1 Cor. 13th Chapter x 303 too strong an account
of, corrected viii 18, 19 sinless, remarks on ix 492, 495 525—527
an exceptionable phrase x 267 tions of God, how displayed at the day
of judgment v 114 the prevalence and wickedness of viii 208
et, Rev. Mr. mentioned iv 104 Miss, the melancholy account of
Rev. Vincent, some account of the death of iv 152
Mr. Charles, some account of his experience x 309, 310

•	
Perronet, Miss, a letter from Mr Wesley to	Pleasing men for their good,21 what implied vii 166-170
Persecuted for righteousness' sake, who?	Blooming of mankind, reflections and observa-
v 245-247 Persecution, how the children of God should	Pleasure, how to cure the u sos 335 369
behave under v 249—251	Pliny, what he says of man Pliny, what he says of man Pliny, what he says of man
\x 118-120	1 113
at Bustol 1913 at Roughlee 11 155, 156	Plymouth-Dock, how protected from the French and Spanish flects
in Moorfields 1 329 331	Poetry, a specimen of, from the Methodist
at Wednesbury, in 10, 12 Walsal, Darlaston viu 843 348	Hymn Rook x 226, 223
in Cornwall, and at Leeds	Points of importance, some in 233, 234 Politics, how far the duty of ministers to
11 16 18 20 26 27 46. 53 60	preach x 137 Polycarp, remarks on his Epistle to the Phi-
in Lincoinshire in 166	lippians is to
protestants sometimes guity of v 238	tvrdom ix 20
overruled and regulated by infi- nite wisdom v 247	Pompey, his character 18 177 Pontopiden, Bishop of, his Natural History
why permitted vi 265, 266 268	of Norway mentioned in 02
promoted by clergymen vin 435 Perseculor, at Bristol, the awful death of a	dons, and of dispensing with an oath
Persecutors, the awful death of some in 980	X /3
the great evil of oncouraging	Pone Me a critique on his Verses to the
now disarmed of their fury ii 127	Memory of an Unfortunate Young Lady x 180, 181
the wickedness and cruelty of	nn example from, on an casy style
Perseverance of the samts, serious thoughts	his notions of Providence censured
and observations on ax 439—450 510	Popery calmly considered v 50—64
Persuasion, not to be effected by one rising from the dead	remarks on the doctrines of ix 528, 529
Peru's Treatise on the Gravel and Stone re-	on a friend embracing, a letter from
Peter, St the dissimulation of, condemned	why so many converts to? III 182
v 184. 181 referred to	remarks on Till 512
the Great, the life of, mentioned it SS	pardons, the cheapness of n 172
Peters, Sarah, some account of ii 161, 162, 16	Population of the world, reflections on the
Petitioning system, observations on the	of Great Britain, remarks on the
Pharaob, the case of, stated x 103, 10	1 10 404 2 the increase of 111 431
Phariseen, who? v 27. Phelps, Rev. Mr a short account of 111 9	Portsmouth, some account of 11 288
Phenomenon in Cornwall 11 32	Potter, Archbishop, his Grecian Antiquities
Philadelphia, a letter from Mr Wesley to the Rev Mi Cooper, of x 31	
Philip's, Fort St the siege of, mentioned in 403, 40	what he said of the cler-
Physicians, reflections on the ignorance of	reign viii 555
Some 11 41 Pictures, the origin of their introduction int	7 Rev Mr. a letter to vin 519-524 9 Poverty of aparit, what? v 216
Churches x 86—8	S Power, the origin of x 125-130
n modest, how to serve n 37 Pike, Mr. a remark on his Philosophia Sacr	ā how derived from God x 125, 126
n ss	6 the people x 128, 129 130
Pilson, Susanna, a short account of 111 32 Pitcaire, Dr a critique on his works 139	
Pitt's Virgil quoted vil 16 Placatt, Mons. his Treatise on Conscience	2 Practice, holy, necessary for a minister o
recommended vii 20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Plain dealing, not offensive to the Scotch	who were possessed by the Devi
Platina, what? III 43 Play-houses, &c. mertioned vi 10	the success and prevalence [11 21
VI I	the good effect of un 21

Prayer, recommended vn 77, 78	1 1000
private, the tast import- v 186, 187	Press gangs, observations, &c. upon \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 272 \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
unce of \$\begin{cases} \pi & 186, 187 \\ \pi & 285 \end{cases}\$	Presumption, the danger of vii 44
directions for v 282	Presumptuous sins, the evil of 111 358
the necessity of importunate v 337, 338	Pretender enters Edinburgh 11 61 Preterition, remarks on 11x 479
diseases cured in answer to vin 403, 404 438—440	Preternatural incident, an account of a av
hypocrasy to be guarded against in v 281	Price, Dr a remark on his Observations on
the Lord's, explained v 282-290	Pride, how dress contributes to produce 111
a poetical paraphrase on v 290, 291	the prevalence of vin 312, 313
extempore a detence of viii 275	of life, what? {\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
one of the primary objects of, what?	how encouraged in children vii 127,
the prevalence of, for others x 323	100
the several parts of vin 83	Priestley, Dr. his book on Electricity re- commended in 235, 236
a collection of Forms of, for Adults, Families, and Children vin 85—	a remark on his English Grammai in 802
112. 112—129 129—139	Priests, the wickedness of the Jewish VII
Praying for the dead, remarks on 12 11 Priach, how to? 1 596	Prince, Mr Christian, remarks on his Chris-
Preacher, Methodist, the business of a in 328	tian History ii 277
the advantage of having a who	David, some account of ni 198 Prior, Mr a delence of his character x 177
lives the gost el x 233 unportant advice to a x 268, 269	a comparison between, and Mr.
Pennelian, home to try those a ho thrub thom.	a critique on his untings x 177-
selves called to preach the gos- pel 19 389, 38	Mrs a remarkable account of 111 157
of the gospel, admonshed vi	Prisoners, Dutch, an extraordinary work of God among the iv 119
329, 329 liish, the character of the 11 278	reflections on the condition of
Preaching Christ, what implied in v 385, 386	vni 314, 315
the best method of 1v 387 morning, remarks on 1v 123—125	Programms, what? 146 Promises, how conditional? 1x 443
itinerant, a deli nol pi vili 213 2/4	Prophesy in the name of Christ, what to v
field, Mr Wesley began, after his return from America vi 108, 109	357, 358
the utility of 31 115 148 172 228	cannot supply the place of love a remarkable vii 95, 96
an anclosy for 3111 207	Prophesying, a remark on viii 488, 489
recommend- { 11421 431 450 ed } v 568	Branbata falsa mba /
a detence of vin 270—272. 360, 361–472	observations concurring viii
remarks on 1 157, 158	265
Predestinarians hinder the work of God by disputing 11 255	the appearance they assume v 349, 350
Predestinite, the import of that word vi 232	whether they ought to be
Predestination, the doctrine of, stated 11	heard v 351—358 an address to v 359, 354
not a doctrine of the Church	Protestant, the faith of a, what? vil 212 the faith and practice of a true
calmiv considered ax S77-	1x 532—586
an observation on x 267	Providence, remarks on a general and parti- cular v 397
the nature and effects of	Divine, the doctrine of, asserted
Prejudice, how it blieds men's minds viii	vi 310-320 the foundation of vi 312,
Presbyteriane, remarks on their separating	318 a three-fold circle of vi
from the Church of England viii 370	314316 402
Presbyters, we Bishops Presence of God with his people, the certain-	particular, proved vi 316—319 general, remarks on vi 318, 319
ty of the 197, 98	general, remarks on vi 318, 319 Divine, the use of the doctrine of vi 319, 320
Presentment, a memor ble, at Cork viii 567 Preservative, Air Wedey's, why published	1emarks on the interfe-
11 289 288	rence of π 310 the mystery of π 335—
Press, the licentionishess of the, censured x	337

A GENERAL INDEX.				
Providence, Divine, an awful general and particular, reflections on Providential interposition Providential interposition Providence, Christian, what? Prudence, Christian, what? Pussey, the Moravian settlement at, men tioned Purbeck, some at count of the island of in widence with the count of the island of in widence with the count of the island of in widence with the count and indern Pure in heart, who? Pure in heart who and in 103 Pure in his billion of the Scriptures Pye, Dr his Dialogue between Moses and Lord Rolingbroke mentioned In 318 Pyrah, Jonathan, a remarkable account of Pure in heart with the count of a pure in his proving with search with and different with agrees with, and differ	Raven, an extraordinary account of a iv 291 Raynal, the Abbé, his tristory of Thade, &c. animadverted on vi 24, 13 Reason, what? { vi 341, 342			
Questions, weighty 176, 77 relating to holiness vil 88, 39 to be proposed before evening devotion vil 87 which may be used every morning	true, the sum of vii 252 true, the sum of vii 252 the life of, not a life of misery vii 32 37 founded on reason viii 194 the state of, in England, when God			
R. Rabin, a place near Castlebar, the character	experimental and practical, thirty- arven letters on, from Mr Wesley to a member of his Society			

-∂ U	A GENER	RAL IND	EX,
Rej	iv 348 v 69-70 vili 218, 219, 384	Riches,	the fatal of of the desire
	416, 449, 450 x 17, 52 must precede faith and justification viii 415, 418 how consequent on justification	41	what to the the genuin how they sorrows
Rej	of believers, what? v 211, 212 probation, Calvinistic, what? is x 879 unconditional, results from un- conditional election ix 379—		how they
	331 irreconcilable		what to see
	with the Scriptures ix 382-389 incompatible with the justice of God	ne vedido e lidelaturario	how to av-
	ix 386—293 inconsistent with	esse des es en	how to be
	the truth of God ix 398 inconsistent with		how to pre
	the sincerity of God ix 393—595 inconsistent with the love of God ix 395, 396		as overmuch asness, orig
Rep	the permissions consequences of ix 418, 419 proof, how to be given iii 263. well received ii 206		fe impi o
	gentle, but important, in a letter from Mr. Wesley to Mrs. S. R.	Administration	of G ph
Rep	roving sin and error, the duty and impor- tance of vi 297—299 in what maner to		of C
Rep	be done vi 299—303 ablican form of government arbitrary		6.43
Res	entment, how excited x 45 ignation, true, in what it consists viii 59, 60	Righteon	isness of Cl puter
	particular questions relating to viii 102, 103		putat
	training recople from sin, how to be effected vii 116-118		
	urrection of the body, the doctrine of the, stated vii 341—349		on the
	relation, the necessity of vii 298, 299 the best means of rational conviction vi 59		of the
Rev	iew, Monthly, a letter from Mr. Wesley, on a passage in the x 247, 248 iewers, Monthly, remarks on the ii 355 iewers, Monthly, remarks on the ii 355	1	
Rev	iewers, Monthly, remarks on the 11335 their want of candour and orthodoxy proved x 227—230	Step Triffications steps what examples the	in of fai
	eards, future, according to what rule given x 301	TE P TAINET TO THE THE TAINET TO THE TAINET	tin inher
	nolds, his house and singular conduct described iii 335		ace what
Riel	h, what implied in being vii 56—58. 225 they ought to visit the vii 152 sick x 308, 309	Riots, sc	rig and e Persecuti
iticl	an Address to the vil 230 bard the Hf. King, the innocease of, ren- dered probable iii 277	Koad, a	remarkable emarks on t
	11. the death of, mentioned iii 398	1	James, a r
Riel	hes, what meant by vii 316—319 iii 12 iv 47	Robertse	n, Dr. ani: ar bi
	the danger of iv 368 vil 55-65 x 383, 324	Robinko	cens ed's Bay, a

ne tendency of vi 272
pierce through with many
vii 60
hinder holmess vii 225, ptation to unboly tempers vii 227—230 oppose self-denial vii 262 t our hearts upon vii oid setting our hearts upon vii 321, 323 to true religion, how? to true religion, how? x 150
employed vii 259, 261
event their fatal effects
ch, what to be i 79, 80
ginal, what? ix 278-288
326, 327, 352-354
lost by the first ofence ix 333, 339
utted, remarks ix 462, 518
on dish, what the fix 450-452
hruse imports x 193
Christ, his Divine, what?
yi 244 vi 241 his human, what? his passive, what? vi 241 brist, when and how im-d vi 245—243 by whom denied vi 248, 249 remarks on the im-tion of the ix 321—324 how imputed to all
on iv 350
the nature of the v
65, 67, 222, 231
the folly of trusting
the v 60, 61
th described v 58
the wisdom of submitout table v 61, 62 to the vision of submit-graph to the vision of our ceptance with God visids that which exceeds the theorems of the Seribes d Pharisees v 274—278 ion of in Holland i 197 the mode of repairing hii 36 remarkahla backslider ili 21 nadverted on as a historis History of Charles V. iii 346 sured n account of ii 593

v 358—S60 Salvation, conscious, a doctrine of the Church 361—363 of England viii 239, 240 by absolute decrees, remarks on the doctrine of x 188—140 Rock, what to build upon a Rogers, Dr. his Essay on the Ancient Mistory Rollin, Mr. a critique on his Ancient History in 397 Rogers, Dr. his Essay on the Learning of the by works, remarks on v 138-140 by resistible grace, displays the wisdom, justice, and love of God Romaine, Rev. Mr mentioned rx 399-401 his book on the Life of Faith mentioned in 158

Roman ancient authors, their account of the moral state of mankind iv 171 173.

Roman Catholic, a letter to a ix 531-536; Roman Catholics, the faith of the, what? ₹ 214 entire, remarks on { 1v 383, 366 x 236, 257 whether gradual or invn 211 the state of religion among stantaneous v21t a short method of convert-ing x 70-73 rived how instrutaneous 111 rhat their opinions, ing faith with heretics x 110 how the end of predestination Roman wall, some account of the old in 312
Roslin Castle, some account of iv 58
Rotterdam, an account of iv 108—110 instantaneous, examples of in the promise of, illustrated in Rousseau, his 1 reatise on Education consured how it differs from justification Rowe, Mrs her Derout Exercises recom-mended in 280 T,133 whether by faith or works Rule, our Saviour's golden, of justice and how to be preached vn 371
a letter from Mr Wesley to
Lady on x 284 mercy v 338, 339 Rules of the Society at Fetter-line i 186 and Bands 1 econsidered med 11 354 and confirmed 1354
Rules, General, of the Methodist Societies 1234
Rushford, Jonas, strange relation by 11157, 58
Rushf Sandeman, Mr. Hussystem, what? x 283, 221 Sanderson, Mr. Hugh, how served in Scot-land in 391, 392 Subbath-breaker, a word to a vin 140—142
Subbath-breaking, the wickedness and prevalence of vil 304
Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, what the
Church of Rome says of the x
58, 69 Sarum, Old, some account of Saving souls, the vast importance of vin 368, Scape-goat, how a figure of Christ { vi 382-} x 237 how administered in Scotland in Schism, what? x 237, 239 bow to be avoided vi 387, 393 bow to be avoided Sacramentarians, who so called? 171, 72
Sacraments, the nature and number of, in the
Church of Christ x 33
what the Church of Rome says of thodists 17 \$30, 931 { viii 365 x 230 remarks on a caution against
Schneider, David, the experience of x 58, 59 **TUI 57** Sailors, British, the moral condition of of 13. Saints, who?
Romish, remarks on the lives of in 97 School, the origin of a charter, some account of a, in Ireland { vi 161 of the world, who? Schools, boarding, remarks on { in 343, 344, vii 121, 122 Salvation, different acceptations of the word IV 360 religious, observations on (v 11-13 207-Schurman, Anna Maria, the life of, mentioned in 385 what? vn 40, 41 vin 219 by faith, a defence of 1 in 202
thoughts on x 193—140
productive of boliness and
good works v 13—16
the dectrine of, no enconragement to continue in Sciences, an acquaintance with, of importance to the ministerial character VIII 76 Scone, some account of the royal palace of mi 241 299 Scotch towns, the antiquity and oddness of how to be worked out vii 41, 42 the condition of viii 235—237 11 236, 237 Scotland, the state of the unhabitants of how gradual and instantaneous viii why the work of God hes not prospered in 18

Vol. 10.—X x

Screaming in preaching, the evil of iii 347	Sick, in what manner to be signed and to
Scribes, who the, mentioned by our Lord v	Sick, in what manner to be visited, and by whom vii 150-152
271	what unplied in visiting the vii 148-
and Pharisecs, the rightenusness of	155
the, what? v 272—277 Scriptural doctrines, what? x 244	Sight, second, some account of lii 151, 152
Scriptures, four arguments in support of the	Sigismund, Emperor, his perfidious conduct toward John Huss x 82-84
truth of the × 162	Signs of times, what? and why not discern-
scarching the, a means of grace t	ed vi 306309
135, 157 a sufficient rule of faith and man-	Silence, remarks on viii 489, 490
ners x 13	Silent waiting on God, what the Quakers say of ix 114, 115
the knowledge of the, necessary	Simeon, Rev. Mr. mentioned iv 143
for a minister vin 75	Simple, the import of the term vi 420
remarks on the method of expound-	Simplicity, Christian what? 3 iii 98
ing the, in the primitive Church ix 45, 46	(vi 419
the reading of the, not generally	remarks on \ vii 88, 89
allowed in the Church of Rome	x 304
x 51, 52, 65, 66	Sin, a definition of ix 166
Scudamore, Rirs. her Life mentioned iv 299,	original, defined v 119
Scaled by the Holy Spirit, what (vii 353)	- 100 100
meant by viii 50	the moeting of any and and and
Seceders, their uncharitableness iii 209, 210	/ix 108—376
Seed, Rev. Mr. his Sermons commended iii 166	arguments drawn from Scrip-
Self-denial, the nature and importance of vi	ture in support of the doctrine of ix 343347
60-89	arguments from reason in sup-
Self-indulgence, what? ii 25, 24	port of the doctrine of ix
Self-will increased by riches vii 220	√ 348, 349
Seneca quoted { v 335 ix 201;	the doctrine of, admitted by every church in Europe v 119
Separation, causeless, the evil of vi 385, 386	what the Ancient Futhers say of
Serpent, brazen, why destroyed by Hezekiah	ix 349. 350
± 49. 55 }	ix 349, 350 several arguments in proof of
observations on the, which beguited Eve vi 222	the mountain ax ans—are
Eve vi 222 Servants, directions to vi 190—197	remarks on the propagation of ix 220, 277
professing godliness, how they ought	the importance of the doctrine
to behave in 283, 284	of 1x 352—376
Servetus, Michael, his case mentioned viii	the origin of the phrase ix 339
Serving God, what implied 5 v 320, 321	an examination of the supposed consequences of the doctrine
in { vii 115, 116	of ix 320—324
and mammon, the impossibility	Adam's, in what sense im- (iv 350
of v 322—324	puted to all mankind (ix 320-324
Sexton, a strange account of a iii 222 Shaftsbury, Lord, censured as a writer iii	how imputed to Christ ix 335—337 how it precedes the loss of faith v 186
268	remarks on viii 29
Shakespeare, a quotation from . ili 833	actual, springs from original depravity
Shannon, the river, described if [41]	ix 229
Sharp, Dr. some account of his tracts on the Rubric and Canons ii 315	the effects of vi 65—68 how it remains in believers v 120—127
Shaw, Dr. his Travels mentioned in 244	the dreadful effects of vi 277, 279
Shea, Catherine, a strange account of it \$76	unto death what? iii 438
Sheep's clothing, what meant by coming in v	against the Holy Ghost, what? vii 52, 53
Sheffield, the first Methodist Chapel at, pull-	how not imputed, where there is no law
ed down by a mob ii 43	ix 210, 211
Shelburne, Lord, his house, &c. described	how he that is born of God (v 183
ili 421	dorh not commit { x 154-156
Shenstone, Mr. mentioned viv 97	does not produce homility x 196 Sins of commission, the effects of vi 62
Sheridan, Mr. a remark on his Essays on Elecution iii 417	of omission, observations on v 133
Sherlock, Dr. an anecdate of ii 376	a caution against viii 56
Stilloh, the prophecy concerning, cited vi	the effects of vi 68
504	Sincerity, what? { iv 358, 359 v 19, 20, 114, 115
Shinstra, Mr. his Letter against Fanaticism consured iii 331	remarks on viii 45, 46
Shipwreck of faith, what to make is 441	€ iii 256
Shirley, Rev. Mr. his conduct toward Mr.	Singing, remarks on ir 72 vii 89, 89
Wesley consured ix 500, 501	र हा हर, हह

•	
Singing, true, recommended 1v 398	Society, Methodist, in Bristol, origin of the
II funerals, the custom of, recoid-	1 202 •
mended iii 92	in London, agitated by disjutes 1815, 816
psalms, what the Quakers say of	restored to
Single eye, what?	peace 1 328
Singleness of heart, what to serve with vi 193	the rules of the 1V
Skelton, Mi recommended as a writer in 328	345—347
Sketches of the History of Man, remarks on	how often strang rs may
m 991	be present at the meetings of the
Slavery, what? x 489 the prevalence of x 489, 499	Benevolent, or Stranger's Friend.
	originated with the Methodists
a short history of x 490 arguments for, confuted x 497—409	1v 283
arguments against x 499-502	Societies for Reformation, some account of
Slaves, many die on their voyage x 496	1 88
how used when brought on shore x	for the Distribution of Books re-
496	commended 11 976
the grievances under which they la- bour x 196	religious, the necessity of forming
Slave Trade, a Treatise on the, mentioned	Socinians represented as knowing Christ after
ın 340, 841	the flesh vii 265
alluded to m 451	Socials, what he said of redemption (1x 219
Sleep, remarks on the quantity necessary	by Christ (x 221
vii 77 107 109	Socrates, some of his sentiments relative to
what and how to redeem time from vii 107, 108, 112, 113	his death and his Demon vi 346
the bad effects of too much vn 103-	Soldier a word to a vm 161-164
112	Soldiers, the cineity of some iv 153
when hurtiul to the perves x 184	Soldiery, the moral state of the 5 viu 315
Sligo, the county of, described 1 899	British } ix 191
the people of, described in 169	Solutude, remarks on 1 169 Solutay Moss, a phenomenon at 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111
smith, Mr his state of the City of Water-	Solvay Moss, a phenomenon at in 338 Son of God, how manifested under various
ford recommended mil4	dispensations vi 277—279
Dr. strictures on his Sermon x 135,	Sorrowing for the dead, the unprofitableness
136	of vii 332
Smollet, a passage in his History of England	how to prevent vii
censured 17 34, 35	\$35
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150	Soul, the origin of the un 120, 121
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of in 181	Soul, the origin of the un 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to un 286
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147-155 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society	Soul, the origin of the un 120, 121
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of in 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92	S35. Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 334 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156,
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Sauggling, the evil of mi 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of	Soul, the origin of the til 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to m 266 observations on the vil 211 334 reflections on the vil 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156,
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147-150 Smuggling, the evil of in 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of rt 191	S35. Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147-155 Smuggling, the evil of in 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of r 191 how to prevent r 376 remarks on x 24, 246	Soul, the origin of the un 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to un 266 observations on the vii 211 334 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society m 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of r 191 how to prevent r 376 remarks on x 24b 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. memoned r 50	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vii 232—234 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society m 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of r 191 how to prevent r 376 remarks on x 24b 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. memoned r 50	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his jus-
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147-155 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guily of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 241, 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned ir 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vil 39, 50 in 237	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 286 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his jus- tice ix 390, 391
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of re 191 how to prevent re 245 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned re 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vii 49, 50 remuks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opeaed u 151	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of re 191 how to prevent re 245 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned re 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vii 49, 50 remuks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opeaed u 151	Soul, the origin of the til 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vil 211 374 reflections on the vil 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend has justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vil 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mighing, the evil of mil 81 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 241, 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned for the Devil, what? vil 35, 50 sowsfields Chapel operated in 137 Snowsfields Chapel operated in 136 Society, Royal, remarks on the 11256 Society for the Reformation of Manners, the	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a 1x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? tice 1x 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of 11 402—405
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to re- main in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of re 191 how to prevent re 245 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned re 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vii 49, 50 remuks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opeaed u 151	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a 1x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? tice 1x 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of 11 402—405
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mighing, the evil of mil 81 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 240 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned solution of the Devil, what? vi 250 Sare of the Devil, what? vi 257 Snowsfields Chapel opeaed in 151 Society, Royal, remarks on the Society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the recedings of the vi 22—25	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 120, 121 a Treatise on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? x 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of Gethof Spey, a very impetuous river { 111 292, 300 Spey, a very impetuous river { 112 293, 300 iv 128, 122 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 Smuggling, the evil of m 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society m 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 245—246 Singlyth, Rev Mr. mentioned remarks on x 245—246 Saure of the Devil, what? vil 39, 50 Snowsfields Chapel opened n 11, 137 Shociety, Royal, remarks on the proceedings of the rile origin of the rile proceedings of the rile—256 the excellency of the	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 574 reflections on the vi 222—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereignly, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on v471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 299, 300 [vi 128, 129 Spirit helpeth our infirmatics, remarks on the
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mild mone guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 24, 246 Singth, Rev Mr. mentioned viv 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vii 48, 50 Snowsfields Chapel opened in 151 Shociety, Royal, remarks on the in 256 Society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vii 22—25 the excellency of the vii 25—28	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 574 reflections on the vi 222—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereignly, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 does not suspend his jus- tice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 299, 390 [vi 128, 129 Spirit helpeth our infirmatics, remarks on the
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147-150 millst none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 240 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned 10 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vil 39, 50 Snowsfields Chapel opeard 11 11 Shociety, Royal, remarks on the Society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vil 22-25 the excellency of the yil 25-28 the qualifications re-	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on x 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 800 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the passage vin 249—231 how he enlightens the under-
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mighting, the evil of might society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the 91, 92 constitution of the prevalence of remarks on the 91, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 574 reflections on the vi 222—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereignt, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of 11402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { 1129, 300 { 1128, 129 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the passage vin 249—251 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 50 how he enlightons the understanding 1x 45
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 milest surghing, the evil of milest none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society milest surghing of the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 245—246 Singleth, Rev Mr. mentioned 17 50. Sane of the Devil, what? vii 39, 50 remarks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opened 18 Society, Royal, remarks on the 12 256 Society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vii 22—25 the excellency of the vii 25—25 the excellency of the vii 25—25 the qualifications required in the members of the vii 28 the spirit in which its members ought to act vii 31	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473 Spencer, Susanual, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river win 249—251 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the passage viii 249—251 Spirit, Holy, how grieved viii 50 how he enlightens the understanding in 455 how he acts as a Comforter ix 45
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 miggling, the evil of mil 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 191, 92 remarks on the prevalence of 181 how to prevent 182 vin 191 how to prevent 183 vin 191 vin 193 vin	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 221 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 does not suspend his jus- tice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river (128, 129 Spirit, Holy, how grieved viii 249—251 Spirit, Holy, how grieved viii 50 how he enlightens the under- standing ix 45 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 miggling, the evil of mi 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of ri 191 how to prevent ri 376 remarks on x 24.0 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned ri 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vi 25, 26 Snowsfields Chapel opened in 1.1 Shociety, Royal, remarks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opened in 1.1 Shociety, Royal, remarks on the corgin of the ri 22 the proceedings of the vi 22—25 the excellency of the vi 22—25 the excellency of the vi 25—28 the qualifications required in the members of the vi 28 the spirit in which its members ought to act in invito leave a dunation by will to the	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of 11 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { 111 299, 800 Spey, a very impetuous river { 111 299, 800 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the passage vin 249—251 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 50 how he enlightens the understanding ix 45 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 milest surging, the evil of milest none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 31, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the 12 36 Saught, Rev Mr. mentioned 17 50 Saught, Rev Mr. mentioned 18 50 Saught, R	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 286 observations on the vi 211 574 reflections on the vi 212 -234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 does not suspend his justice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of 11402 -405 Spey, a very impetuous river { 11129, 300 { 1128, 129 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 29 - 251 { 1129, 300 { 1128, 129 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 29 - 251 { 1129, 300 { 1128, 129 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 29 - 251 { 1129, 300 { 1128, 129 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 29 - 251 { 1129, 300 { 1128, 129 { 112
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 miggling, the evil of mi 181 none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 91, 92 remarks on the prevalence of ri 191 how to prevent ri 376 remarks on x 24.0 246 Smyth, Rev Mr. mentioned ri 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vi 25, 26 Snowsfields Chapel opened in 1.1 Shociety, Royal, remarks on x 237 Snowsfields Chapel opened in 1.1 Shociety, Royal, remarks on the corgin of the ri 22 the proceedings of the vi 22—25 the excellency of the vi 22—25 the excellency of the vi 25—28 the qualifications required in the members of the vi 28 the spirit in which its members ought to act in invito leave a dunation by will to the	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 221 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereignt, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his jus- tice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 300 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 300 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 249—231 Spirit helpeth our infirmities, remarks on the passage yin 249—23 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 50 how he enlightens the under- standing in 1245 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent ix 95 Spirit, soul, and body, what meant by x 162, 163
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mills mone guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 191, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 24.0 246 Single, Rev Mr. mentioned viv 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vil 49, 50 Snare of the Devil, what? vil 49, 50 Scorety, Royal, remarks on x 237 Snowfields Chapel opened in 1.256 Society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vil 22 the excellency of the excellency of the excellency of the proceedings of the vil 25—28 the spirit in which its members origin all the spirit in which its members origin to act vil 35 society, Methodist, at Oxford, of whom it originally consisted the declarison of the vil 25 the declarison of the vil 25 society, Methodist, at Oxford, of whom it originally consisted the vil 25 the declarison of the vil 25 the declarison of the vil 25 the declarison of the vil 25 society, Methodist, at Oxford, of whom it originally consisted the vil 25 society of the declarison of the vil 25 society.	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 { in 299, 390 } in 402—231 } in 402—405 { in 299, 390 } in 402—405 { in 299, 390 } in 402—405 { in 299, 390 } in 402—405 { in 399, 390 } in 402—405 { in 39
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 miggling, the evil of miles on the prevalence of vin 148—150 miles on the prevalence of vin 191, 92 remarks on vin 192, 92 remarks on the vin 192, 92 remarks on	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 1206 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 222—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Itee ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of viii 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of viii 299, 300 iv 128, 122 Spirit, Holy, how grieved viii 50 how he enlightens the understanding ix 45 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent ix 95 Spirit, soul, and body, what mean by x 162, 163 Sp. its, good and bad, conversations of Elizabeth Hobson with in 248—233 happy, their employment vii 286—238
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 min 181 mone guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 191, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the 193 society for the Devil, what? vii 49, 50 remarks on the 193 society floyal, remarks on the 193 society floyal, remarks on the 193 society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vii 22 consistence of the vii 23 the excellency of the vii 24 consistence of the vii 31 how to leave a douation by will to the society, Methodist, at Oxford, of whom it originally consisted 179, 95, 284 members, and the proceedings of the rocealings of the members, and the proceedings of the 179, 95, 284 members, and the proceedings of the rocealings of the members, and the proceedings of the proceedings of the proceedings of the remarks on the proceedings of the proceed	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 266 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vii 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a x 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his justice in 390, 391 thoughts on vi 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 299, 300 } { in 402—405 } { in 309, 301 } { in 402—405 } { in 309, 301 } { in 402—405 }
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 mills none guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 191, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the prevalence of remarks on x 24.0 246 Singuith, Rev Mr. mentioned 1 x 50 Si	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 126 observations on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the tolly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 156, 157 Sovereignt, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignt, God's, what? in 455 does not suspend his jus- tice ix 390, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { 10, 299, 300, 391 thoughts on vx 471, 473 Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { 10, 299, 300 iv 128, 129 Spirit helpeth our infirmatics, remarks on the passage vin 249—251 Spirit, Holy, how grieved vin 50 how he enlightens the under- standing ix 45 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent ix 95 Spirit, soul, and body, what meant by x beth Hobson with in 248—233 happy, their employment vii 296—298 evil, their power vii 1256—298 Statt House, some account of the in 335
Sauggler, a word to a vin 147—150 min 181 mone guilty of, are suffered to remain in the Methodist Society in 191, 92 remarks on the prevalence of remarks on the 193 society for the Devil, what? vii 49, 50 remarks on the 193 society floyal, remarks on the 193 society floyal, remarks on the 193 society for the Reformation of Manners, the origin of the vii 22 consistence of the vii 23 the excellency of the vii 24 consistence of the vii 31 how to leave a douation by will to the society, Methodist, at Oxford, of whom it originally consisted 179, 95, 284 members, and the proceedings of the rocealings of the members, and the proceedings of the 179, 95, 284 members, and the proceedings of the rocealings of the members, and the proceedings of the proceedings of the proceedings of the remarks on the proceedings of the proceed	Soul, the origin of the in 120, 121 a Treatise on the, referred to in 120, 121 a Treatise on the vi 211 374 reflections on the vi 232—234 the immortality of the, proved x 156, 157 the folly of attempting to satisfy it with worldly good x 150, 157 Sovereign, how God acts as a ix 471, 472 Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Sovereignty, God's, what? Spencer, Susanuah, the experience and happy death of in 402—405 Spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 300 spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 300 spey, a very impetuous river { in 299, 300 spey, a very impetuous river { vii 299, 300 how he enlightens the understanding in 455 how he acts as a Comforter ix 92, 93 the design of his being sent ix 95 Spirit, soul, and body, what meant by x 162, 163 Sp. nts, good and bad, conversations of Elizabeth Hobson with in 246—233 happy, their employment vii 296—298 evil, their power vii 295, 296 Statt House, some account of the in 335 Stanton-Drew, a famous monument of anti-

Sterne, a remark on his Sentimental Journey	Taylor, Dr. what he said of Christ vh 205, 264
Steward, how man is a vi le when man shall cease to be a vi	hiiss, an anecdote of x 177 Tes, some account of iii 409
Stewards, Methodists, the business and rules	observations on the use of { ix 523 } x 183
of the iv 343	the advantages of abstaining from ii 85
Stewardship, when man will give up his vi	Teachers, the character of the Jewish vii 198
Stillness, what? 42—45 vin 386	Teaching, the subject of our Saviour's, what?
Stillness, what? 1 111 356 Stoled insensibility, remarks on viii 397, 398	V 216
Stole philosophers, what they taught con-	Telemachus, a critique on ii 454 Temperance, remarks on viii 51
cerning late 1296, 300, 306	Temperance, remarks on viii 51 Tempera, evil, who freed from v 432
Stonchouse, Mr. his scheme animadverted	Temptation, what the word imports v 289
upon iii 378	how a cause of spiritual dark-
the remarkable case of,	ness vi 65
mentioned vin 317	different sources of vii 15-17.
Stourport, how the Calvinists served the Ar- minians at iv 233, 234	21. 22
Stourton, the gardens at, described iii 445	the faithfulness of God, a source of comfost under vii 17, 18
Stow, the gardens at, described iv 48, 49	bow God will make a way to
Strong, Joseph, an extraordinary genius iii	escape, with the vii 18-20
434	how the rich fall into vii 58
Study, a course of, for a female 225, 226	how to distinguish, from cor-
Stumbling-blocks in religion, remarks on	ruption viii 46, 47
viii 369-371 Succession, uninterrupted, cannot be proved	Temptations of the Devil, remarks on the
x 94	riii 490, 491 Tertullian, his account of the Christian
Sufferings of mankind, remarks on the ix 257	Church vi 268
Suicide, how to prevent x 164	what he said of a woman who
thoughts on x 164	went to the theatre ix S7
Suu, reflections on the vi 210, 216, 218	Thankfulness, several grounds of, mentioned
Superintendant preachers, their office ly	Thankspirium the promistry of setting angel
338, 339. 381, 382 Swearer, a word to a viii 142, 143	Thanksgiving, the propriety of setting apart
Swearing, the prevalence and wickedness of	particular questions relating to
viii 296, 297	viii 110
Streating sickness, some account of the 111425	day, an account of an extraor-
Sweden, King of, his Tract on the Balance of Power mentioned iv 901	dinary ii 453, 454 Thanksgivings, instances of many i 406, 407
Swedenbourg, Baron, the character and wri-	Theatre at Newcastle, a singular account of
tings of in 293	confusion in the 11 5, 6
his Theologia Cales-	Themison, the founder of an ancient sect of
tis mentioned iii 338	physicians mentioned i 72
remarks on his Ac-	Theodore, King of Corsica, his Life referred
S. Dr. a singular genius iii 339	Theodorius and Constantia, the correspond-
S. Dr. a singular genius iii 339 Swift, Dean, his works censured iii 422	Theodosius and Constantia, the correspondence between, mentioned iii 429
his Sermon on the Trinity com-	Theophilus, what he says of casting out
mended vi 209	devils ix 21
	Thinketh he standeth, &c. the import and
T	use of the passage vii 14, 20 'Thinking, how to be learned x 303
Tabernacle at Norwich, Mr. Wesley preach-	Thinking, how to be learned x 303. Thomas, Molly, some account of the happy
es in the	Thomas, Molly, some account of the happy death of ii 55
Talbot, Miss, her Essays commended iii 430	Thompson, Mr. a remark on the poetical
Tale-bearing, the bane of love x 916	abilities of iii 860
Taste, an Essay on, mentioned iv 32	Rev. Mr. some account of iv 99 Thorn in the flesh, St. Paul's, what? v 428, 428 Thought concerning temporal things, bow far allowed by our Saviour v 324, 325
observations on x 165, 166 what? x 165, 187	Thought concerning temporal things, bow
dall, what? x 166, 167	far allowed by our Saviour v 324, 325
good, what? x 167	tor to decirote, now to be understood
how to judge of X 167	▼ 327—330
how to be acquired x 167, 168 Favation, how far admissible without repre-	Thoughts, evil, who freed from v 481, 432 wandering, different sorts of, what?
sentation x 130—133	ri 67
Paylor, Bishop, his Rules of Holy Living	the occasion of, what?
and Dying quoted vi 419 ;	vi 7—9
his Rules mentioned vii 268	which sinful 7 vi 9, 10
what he said of the quantity of sleep necessary vii 108	which sort may we ex- pect to be saved from
of sleep necessary vii 108 Dr. his chapel described ii 388	vi 10
animadyersions on his scheme of	which are likely to be
Christianity 51.433	permanent vi 11, 12

The law and buildman the most offerde of	1
1 hunder and lightning, the good effects of, at Witney 19 116	Try the spirits, how to \\ \tau 111479
Thurloe, the Memoirs of, mentioned iv 88	Tybee Island, a short account of 1127
Thurst, Minsieur, a remaikable account of	U
Tiberus, an anecdote of 12 488	Ulster, some account of 11 78
Tiberius, an auecdote of 1x 458 Tickets, (Mithodist,) to whom they should	Unbelief, remarks on being delivered from x
be given iv 375	302, 303
Tillotson, Archbishop, a passage in his works	Unchangeableness of God, how to be under-
Time, what? censured vii 325	Uncharitableness, remarks on vin 349, 350
Time, what? vi 200, 201 Titles of honour, what the Quikers say of	Unction, extreme, remarks on 3 45. 60
ıx 116, 117	"Unction from the Holy One," remarks on
Tiverton, an account of a great fire at in 247	the pussage val 252, 253
Toad, a remarkable anecdote of a vu 311,	Understanding, the blindness of the in 360-
Todmorden, the vale of, described 11 S10,	Ungodly, how justified? v 50, 51. 54
SII	Union, Christian, strongly recommended in
Told, Silas, some account of 17 29	132—134
Toleration Act, remarks on the viii 270-272	how to promote 1v 386, 387
the Methodists not suffered to avail themselves of the	how to preserve 1v 400, 401 with God, how to obtain x 222
× 374—376	of the elergy of the Church of Eug-
Toma Chachi, (an Indian,) a speech of 1129	land, proposals for the x 254—256
Tougues, the confusion of, a remark on 11	Upright, how man made 1x 324, 325
Tooggad New Mr. his Researc for Discent	Usher, Archbishop, what he says of Christ
Toogood, Rev. Mr his Reasons for Dissent criticised n 311 389, 390	dying for reprobates ax 435
a letter to 1x 151-155	his Letters mentioned iv
Tracts, the distribution of, in London ii 108	222
Trade, the increase of in 434 Trades, a remark on following particular vi	a quotation from 17 373 the last words of 11 78
17ades, a remark on ionowing particular vi	the dying prayer of v 109
Tradesmen, remarks on the conduct of 13.	Uticcht, some elegant gardens at, mentioned
192. 197	ıv 183
Tradition, what the Church of Rome says of	the University of, mentioned iv 184 the political state of iv 184
	the lounters state of 14 103
Trance, a temarkable account of a young	77
Trance, a remarkable account of a young woman in a 11 89—91	V
Woman in a 11 89—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doc-	V Vallancy, Major, his Irish Grammar men-
Youan in a in 89—91 'Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctrme of x 39 41	tioned iv 154
Woman in a 11 89—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the document of the d	Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 265, 266
woman in a 19-91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316	tioned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress vii 69
woman in a 168-91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308-310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and wby?	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress * vii 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some ac-
woman in a 19-91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God n 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dres vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a imalefactor,) some account of n 357, 358
woman in a 11 89—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trine of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 315 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1129 two letters to x 245—	toned iv 154 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God n 265, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 11 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on reflections on the qualities of,
Woman in a 189—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 316, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Trembath, Mr. John, some account of 129 two letters to x 245— 247	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 286 Vanity, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a imalefactor,) some account of it 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on vin 333 reflections on the qualities of, since the fall of man ix 294
Woman in a 189—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trime of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 10 earthen vessels, what, and wby? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of iv 99 two letters to x 245— 247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured iv	toned iv 164 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 11 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on vi 333 reflections on the qualities oi, since the fall of man ix 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr.
Woman in a 189—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trime of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245— 47 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 7288 Trespusses, what meant by? v 288	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 286 Vanity, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a imalefactor,) some account of it 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on vin 333 reflections on the qualities of, since the fall of man ix 294
Woman in a 11 89—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 10 earthen vessels, what, and wby? 11 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 11 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 11 Trespusses, what meant by? 12 v 288 Trevecka, a strange account of a young wo-	toned iv 154 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pioduced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 11 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 11 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities oi, since the fall of man 12 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? vii 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141.
woman in a 189—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 509, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man ix 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what vin 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered 141.
Woman in a 11 89—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 10 earthen vessels, what, and wby? 11 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 11 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 11 Trespusses, what meant by? 12 v 288 Trevecka, a strange account of a young wo-	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of in 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on vin 333 reflections on the qualities of, since the fall of man in 294 Venn, Rev Mir. his conduct toward Mir. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? vin 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of ix 574, 575
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245— Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 284 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a yoing woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 1ii 212. 324 Irial, an extraordinary, described 1ii 408,	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 286 Vanity, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a imalefactor,) some account of in 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on vin 333 reflections on the qualities of, since the fall of man in 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? vin 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of in 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vin 215 the grapes which the
Woman in a 189—91 Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245— 47 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v Trespusses, what meant by? v 288 Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 112, 234 Trial, an extraordinary, described in 408, 410	toned iv 164 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pioduced by dress Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on vi 333 reflections on the qualities oi, since the fall of man ix 294 Venn, Rev Mir. his conduct toward Mir. Wesley Versicity, what? vii 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 1x 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vii 215 the grapes which the Lord expects it to
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245— Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 284 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a yoing woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 1ii 212. 324 Irial, an extraordinary, described 1ii 408,	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God n 265, 266 Vanty, how produced by dress vin 69 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1857, 558 Vegetables, remarks on 1857, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man 1x 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? vil 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 1x 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vil 25 the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what?
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doc- trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v Trespusses, what meant by? v 288 Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 1ii 122, 324 Trial, an extraordinary, described 1v Trunty, remarks on the use of the term viii 1v the incomprehensibility of the, no	toned iv 154 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress in 285, 266 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on it 357, 558 vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man in 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley in 255 Veracity, what? vis 255 Veracity, what? vis 255 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered if 141 Vigils, the nature and origin of it 574, 578 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vis 215 the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what? vis 222—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 509, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 246, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 112, 284 Ivial, an extraordinary, described 140, 400 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term 200 the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it 11	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress in 1857, 258 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man in 294 Venn, Rer Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley in 255 Veracity, what visit and origin of it 354, 575 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered it 144 Vigils, the nature and origin of it 354, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what visit is the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what visit is 1292—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind visit is 292—224
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310. 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured iv 286, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? v 288 Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at in 244, 225 some account of the house at in 112. 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described in 409, 410 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term vi 409, 410	toned toned iv 164 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pioduced by dress vin 63 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on vi 333 reflections on the qualities oi, since the fall of man ix 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? vii 86, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 1x 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vii 215 the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what? vii 222 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind vi 207 Visions in the Pramitive Church, remarks on
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245— 247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 208, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a yoing woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 1ii 24, 225 Irial, an extraordinary, described 104, 400 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term 104 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term 105 argument against believing 1v 105 argument against believing 1v 105 the doctrine of the, mentioned 105 502, 322	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizabeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 285, 266 Vanity, how produced by dress in 165, 266 Varley, Richard, (a imalefactor,) some account of it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man it 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley it 295 Veracity, what it is conduct toward Mr. Wesley it 36, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered it 144 Vigils, the nature and origin of it 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what it is the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what it is the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what it is sin-disordered mind it 207 Visions in the Primitive Church, remarks on its 133—137 Visitation, day of, the importance of know-
Transubstantiation, the absordity of the doctrine of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured viii 224, 225 some account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 112, 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described viii 409, 114 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term viii 201—213 the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it viii 201—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 202. 322 Trumpet, how God bloweth his viii 363	toned toned iv 154 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pinduced by dress vin 63 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities oi, since the fall of man 1294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley 1368, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 1x 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 1125 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 1125 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 1125 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind vi 207 Visions in the Primitive Church, remarks on 1133—137 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowing the vin 388, 369
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor Transuc, in what sense we are foot dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured iv 246, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 122, 324 Trial, an extraordinary, described in 409, 410 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term viii. 203 the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it viii. 210—213 the doctrine of the, mentioned x 502, 322 Trumpet, how God bloweth his viii 363 Truth, the want of, lamented viii 311, 312	toned toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God 1285, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress 1285, 268 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on 16 qualities of, since the fall of man 1294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley 2826 Veracity, what? 184, 878 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 12574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 1222—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind 1207 Visions in the Primitive Church, remarks on 1133—137 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowing the 186, 369 of the clergy in South Carolina, an
Transubstantiation, the absordity of the doctrine of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured viii 224, 225 some account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 112, 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described viii 409, 114 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term viii 201—213 the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it viii 201—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 202. 322 Trumpet, how God bloweth his viii 363	toned toned iv 164 Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pin duced by dress Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 1357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on v1333 reflections on the qualities oi, since the fall of man 1x 294 Venn, Rev Mir. his conduct toward Mir. Wesley x 255 Veracity, what? 1186, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 1x 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vii 215 the grapes which the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what? Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind v1207 Visions in the Primitive Church, remarks on 1133—137 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowing the of the clergy in South Carolina, an account of a 147
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doctor of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 In earthen vessels, what, and why? vii \$06, \$309, \$10 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 246, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225 some account of the house at 1ii 224, 225 Frembath, Mr. John, some occur of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured 1v 208 Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at 1ii 224, 225 Some account of the house at 1ii 224, 225 Trunty, remarks on the use of the term 200 the incomprehensibility of the, non argument against believing it via 210—213 the Coctrine of the, mentioned 1v 11311, 312 Trumpet, how God bloweth his 1ii 314 Tullamore, Lord, his gardens described 1ii 37 Turks, the two supposed, described 1ii 97 99	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 265, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress in 165, 266 Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on it 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man ix 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley in 255 Veracity, what? vistey in 26, 257 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of ix 574, 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? vis 215 the grapes which the Lord expects it to bring forth, what? vis 222—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind vi 207 Visions in the Primitive Church, remarks on the the clergy in South Carolins, and the clergy in South Carolins, and account of a in 147 Visiting from house to house, the im (ii) 369
Transubstantiation, the absordity of the doc- trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Trembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured trespusses, what meant by? vii 308, 309, 310 Trembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured til 224, 225 some account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 400, 1112, 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it vii 210—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 210—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 363 Truth, the want of, lamented viii 311, 312 Tullamore, Lord, his gardens described Turks, the two supposed, described in 97 Turner, Mr. his remarkable Providences re-	toned Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pio duced by dress Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 11 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 12 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 13 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 13 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 14 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 15 36, 367 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley Veracity, what? Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 13 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 14 44 Virgils, the nature and origin of 15 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 16 12 22 22 22 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to bring forth, what? Visitons in the Primitive Church, remarks on 13 33-37 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowning the 13 583, 369 of the clergy in South Carolina, an account of a 14 47 Visiting from house to house, the im- 11 360 portance of 11 360 Visiters of the sick, their business
Transubstantiation, the absurdity of the doc- trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 508, 309, 310 Frembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured iv 246, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? Trevecka, a strange account of a young woman at some account of the house at in 1224, 225 some account of the house at in 142, 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described in 408, 410 Frunty, remarks on the use of the term vii 201—213 the acction of the, mentioned x argument against believing it vii 120—213 the acction of the, mentioned x 322, 322 Trumpet, how God bloweth his vii 363 Truth, the want of, lamented viii 311, 312 Tullamore, Lord, his gardens described in 97 99 Furner, Mr. his remarkable Providences referred to in 275	toned iv 154 Vandome, Elizubeth, a witness of the perfect love of God in 265, 266 Vanity, how pinduced by dress in 165, 266 Variety, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of in 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on in 357, 558 Vegetables, remarks on the qualities of, since the fall of man in 294 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley in 255 Veracity, what? in 186, 87 Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of in 574, 574, 578 Vineyard of the Lord, what? in 222—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to bring forth, what? vii 222—224 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to heal the sin-disordered mind vii 207 Visions in the Finnitive Chirch, remarks on the Primitive Chirch, remarks on the clergy in South Carolina, an account of a in 147 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowing the vin 368, 369 of the clergy in South Carolina, an account of a in 147 Visitation from house to house, the im 5 in 360 Visitates of the suck, their business in 360 Visitates of the suck, their business in 360 Visitates of the suck, their business in 360 Voltaine, the death of, mentioned in 25
Transubstantiation, the absordity of the doc- trme of x 39 41 Treasure, in what sense we are forb dden to lay up, on earth v 308—310, 315, 316 in earthen vessels, what, and why? vii 308, 309, 310 Trembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured trespusses, what meant by? vii 308, 309, 310 Trembath, Mr. John, some account of 1v 99 two letters to x 245—247 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trespusses, what meant by? vii 306, 267 Trenck, Baron, the Lafe of, censured til 224, 225 some account of a young woman at in 224, 225 some account of the house at in 400, 1112, 284 Trial, an extraordinary, described the incomprehensibility of the, no argument against believing it vii 210—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 210—213 the coctrine of the, mentioned x 363 Truth, the want of, lamented viii 311, 312 Tullamore, Lord, his gardens described Turks, the two supposed, described in 97 Turner, Mr. his remarkable Providences re-	toned Vandome, Eliz ubeth, a witness of the perfect Vanty, how pio duced by dress Varley, Richard, (a malefactor,) some account of 11 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 12 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 13 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 13 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 14 357, 358 Vegetables, remarks on 15 36, 367 Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley Venn, Rev Mr. his conduct toward Mr. Wesley Veracity, what? Vibrations, the doctrine of, considered x 141. Vigils, the nature and origin of 13 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 14 44 Virgils, the nature and origin of 15 574, 575 Vineyard of the Lord, what? 16 12 22 22 22 Virtue, the recommending of, not sufficient to bring forth, what? Visitons in the Primitive Church, remarks on 13 33-37 Visitation, day of, the importance of knowning the 13 583, 369 of the clergy in South Carolina, an account of a 14 47 Visiting from house to house, the im- 11 360 portance of 11 360 Visiters of the sick, their business

Voltaire, his Henriade, a critique on ii 355 Voters for Members of Partiament, the oath viñ 302, 303 Yowler, Rev. Mr. mentioned W Wake, Archbishop, his Translation of Hermas mentioned ix 20, 21 Walcham, Elizabeth, some account of the experience and death of ii 240 Walker, Rev. Mr. several important letters x 204-Walking by sight, what implied in vii 242-Walk worthy of God, what to vi 378-380 Walpole, Mr. his Historic Doubts referred to vi 378-380 Sir Robert, a remarkable saying of vii 305 Walsh, Mr. Thomas, a letter from on the Divinity of Christ in 329, his extraordinary Biblical knowledge vii 96 War, reflections on ix 187—159
Warburton, Bishop, a letter to ix 67—110
Wardrobe, Mr. his sudden and happy death
mentioned ii 340, 341 Ware, Sir James, remarks on his Antiquities of Ireland ii 142 Warksworth Castle and Chapel, some account of iii 47 Warner, Dr. his History of the Irish 5 iii 282 { iv 50 vi 272, 275 } i 388 Rebellion mentioned Watchfulness, the necessity of Watch-nights, the origin of Water, observations on the creation of vi 215, 216 remarks on the changes it will under-PO spout, an account of a. iii 21 Watts, Dr. his Improvement of the Mind mentioned his Treatise on the Passions noa remark on his Essay on Liberty iv 58 iii 267 his reflections on the Divine Mercy vi 373 a quotation from, on Original Sin a quotation from, on Unconditional Reprobation ix 394 Wedding garment, what? { iv 234, 282, 288 vii 283—285 Wednesbury, the persecutions of the Methodists at x 465—483 ists at Wesley, Rev. Bartholomew, ejected by the Act of Uniformity il Rev. John, sen. bis ejectment and imprisonment is his death and cha-Rev. Samuel, (father of the late Mr. John Wesley,) leaves the Dissenters when a boy i 8, 9 18,9 his great diligence at i 9 Oxford refuses to support the Popish interest 29, 10 approves of the revo-

Westey, Rev. Samuel, writes in defence of it, and is presented with the livings of Epworth and Wroote 100 indetairgable in his pastoral office, and in his studies i 10, 11 a remarkable saying vii 20 his opinion of the Sepill, tuagint his poetical talent exemplified i 12-16 his peaceful death Susannah, (mother of the late Mr.
John Wesley,) examines the
controversy between the Church
of England and the Dissenters i 18, 13 her method of devotion i 19-21 her mode of educating her children holds religious meetings house i 38-35 at her house her thoughts preaching her death and epitaph i 28, 29 Rev. John, his remarkable deliver-ance when his father's house was burnt i 52-54 receives the sacrament when eight years old 155 distinguishes himself by his diligence and learning at the Charter-House School 155 elected to Christ Church, Oxford his judgment and affec-tions much influenced by reading two devotional books i 57 ordained Deacon elected Fellow of Lincoln College specimens of his early chosen Greek Lecturer, and Moderator of the Classes proceeds Master of Arts his method of study i 67 becomes his father's cuordained Priest i 69 the direction of the infant society at Oxford committed to greatly opposed in his at-tempts to do good i 77, 78 becomes acquainted with the Rev. William Law i 87, 88 refuses to apply for the living at Enworth 199-109 the character of the, till the period of his going to Georgia 116-119 Georgia commences his voyage from Gravesend his manner of spending his time on ship-board i 123, 124 arrives at Georgia i 127

Wesley, Rev. John, enters on his ministry at Savannah 131 Wesley, Rev. John, visits Dr. Dodd in prison his manner of catechising at Savanum 145, 146 pious edings against, by the court of Savanum 1152—154 157 em sarks for England ı 164 his feelings on ship-board arrives in England 1 171 conv noed of the necessity diffication 1 172 of justification i 172
warts on the Board of
Trustees for Georgia i 180 hegins to pray extempore obtains a sense of his acceptance with God 1 194, 195
visits the Moravians in Germany 1 197-237 returns to London 1 237 preaches at Hintid 1251
deteads the work wrought
by his ministry 1259, 260
justifies his irregularity
1263—266
visits Wales 1287—289 separates from the Mora remonstrates with Mi 1 343 his letters to the Moravi-ans at Hernbuth 1858—365 his remarkable illness 1 372-375 377 waits on the Archbishop of Cauterbury, and the Bishop of London 1 393 draws up the state of the case between the Clergy and the Methodists 11 37—39 his first visit to Ireland his reply to the message of the Mayor of Shaftshury if 222 enters the marriage state 11 233 Tiaita Scotland 11 237 preaches in a Scotch 11 281 his reflections on the non improvement of time ii 394
prepares materials for
compiling his Natural Philosopby writes a circular letter to si veral pions clergymen in 132—134
his pious reflections on
the state of his health in 304
his views of the Slave m 341 preserved in imminent III 394 dangerously ill at Bristol his re-dections on his birth-day by 96, 246, 247 why he wrote his Calm

m 423, 424

writes against Popery IV 53 a singalai paragraph published concerning 1v 60 visits Holland 1v 108—115 ordains preachers for America and Scotland visits Helland a second visits Helland a second visits Helland a second time on his reflections on entering on his 86th year iv 274, 275 writes to a bishop on the abuse of the Toleration Act ıv 296--298 the nature and origin of his power in 397-400 how he defended himself against the charge of loving money vill 357 to money lus candi ur in speaking of Mr Whitefield ix 89, 90 the consistency of his actions x 284, 285 to money which is a money of the consistency of the consistency of the section of the consistency of the how much secluded from the world preaches his last sermon IV 307 his sickness and death 1v 307-311 his funeral iv 311, 312 his will iv 312-314 his character iv 317-327 Rev. Samuel, jun. noticed by the Bishop of Rochester when at School 1 37 elected to Christ Church, Oxford his acquaintance with Lord Oxford, &c 139 removes to Tiver-1.39 his poetical talent exemplified his poetical letter to his brother Chailes 185 his death, character, and epitaph 1 41-43 Rev. Chailes, a remarkable ancedote οĒ 1 41 elected to Christ Church, Oxford 1 44
obtains the name of Methodist awakened to a serious concern for his soul takes his degree of Master of Arts i 48 ordained Deacon and Priest, and goes to America with his brother John 1 45 his character as a preaches and a poet 149 his death and character Westminster Abbey, remarks on the tombe m na S19 Weston, Mr. his Dissertations on the Wonders of Antiquity mentioned Whale, observations on the ¥1 219

Whiskins, Sarah, the experience and happy death of i \$50, 381 Women, remarks on their preaching is 112 Wood, Martha, some account of the death Whiston Cliffs, a strange account of iii 418, 419 Woodraw, Mr. his History of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland mentioned vin 166 -168 Whitby, the History of, alluded to iv 41 the character of the society at iv 133 White hoys, some account of the iii 75 Whitefield, Het. George, syons his determination to neach account Mr. Work of God, how to be revived in 401-403 in London, remarks on the mination to preach against Mr. viii 27 Wesley in England, the character of his Answer to Mr. the 11 816 causes of the de- \ in 393 cay of the \ iv 184, 135 remarks on extraordinary cir-Wesley's Sermon on Free Grace noticed lii 180 attends a Conferisi 224 cutestances attending the a letter from Alr. 11 432, 453 vii 285 Workhouse, a pleasing account of a in 319 in Amsterdam, an account of a Wesley to his death fii 316 his foneral sermon, Working for life; remarks on iv 404 Works before justification, remarks on viii by Mr. Wesley vi 125-137 dr. Peter, his Defence of the Hebrew Points commended good, subsequent to faith cannot supply the place of love vii 94 how a condition of salvation ix 487, Wh-, James, an awful account of ii 243, 244 Whition Cliffs, a remarkable phenomenon at 488, 520 of supercrogation, remarks on x 18, 19, 50 ii 313--- 915 Wickedness, a life of, not a life of happiness vii 34-35 of God, the original state of the universal how to be accounted ix 243, 249, 259, 267, 269, 278, 285, 286. the incomprehensibility of vi 331—340 vii 187—189 288. 308. 312 reflections on § Widows, an institution for the support of the viii 480, 481 vi 275—277 of the Devil, what? iv 342 ri 60-62 how the Son of God de-Wilderness state, what? vi 279-291 stroys the Ti 62--66 the causes of of piety and mercy, what? the cure of vi 66--70 vii 292 remarks on World, remarks on the term Wilkes, Mr. observations on his expulsion from the House of Commons x in what sense to be understood vi 423 106, 107 viii 223 what implied in leaving the vii 5-7 the consequences of not leaving the Will, free, the doctrine of, what? vii 7-11 remarks on ix 397, 399, 400 Will, the corruption of ix 363-370, 429, 429 the most effectual way of leaving the vii 11, 12 Williams, Tho Thomas, his retraction of gross what implied in gaining the ii 29 Wills, the making of, ought not to be dethe reasonableness of renonucing the ferred iv 47. 491 Wind, the dreadful effects of a violent ii 371 Worlds, observations on the plurality of vii burning, the effects of a ii 392, 383 Windser, Robert, a short account of iv 287 Wisdom, the import of the term x 302 of God's counsels vi 320—329 "Worm dieth not," what meant by vi 367-369 Worship, religious, what? 261
acceptable, remarks on ix 112—115
public, observations on x 232—234
Worthiness of Christ, remarks on the from above, marks of the vili 23 and knowledge of God, remarks on the terms fleshly, the insufficiency of v 115 (iii 305, 436 (ix 32, 33, 55 Witness of the Spirit, what? v 94-97, 102. 218 917 Wraxal, Mr. a remark on his Travels in 423
Wrocked vessels, how to prevent the plundering of
Wright, Mrs. some account of
i 23-34 106. 175, 176 how to distinguish from Peter, a strange account of presumption v 96. 100 objections against the, remarks on the Vill Xenophon's Kupe Hateria some remarks on 243, 249 of our own spirit, what? v 99-Witnesses, remarks on the credibility of ix 54, 55 Yarmouth, the disasters of the society at Wollaston, his scheme of religion stated and censured vii 214, 253 100 Woman, an unhappy, a word to vili 145-147 Yew-tree, an old, mentioned iv 19

Zeal, the effects of, what? in 99, 100 the nature of vn 101, 102, 103—105

Young, Dr Mr. Wesley calls his Night
Thoughts a noble work in 264, 265
a stricture on a saying of x 309

Toughts a noble work in 264, 265
Cinzendorf, Count, his curious advertisement in 60 a specimen of his doc-trines in 97, 98 a Narrative of, referred to in 223

A TABLE OF THE TEXTS,

From which Mr Wesley preached the Sermons contained in his Works; with the subject or occasion of each Sermon.

BOOL	SUBJECT	BOOK.	SUBJECT
Genesis		Jes emuah	
CH. YER.		CH. YER.	Vol Pa.
1 5	God's Approbation of his Works	8 22	Causes of the Inefficacy of Chris-
	vi 214		tranity vii-266
3 19	Fall of Man 11 221	17 4 9	Decentiulness of the Human Heart
6 5	Original Siti v 188		v₁ 300
Levilicus		23 6	The Lord our Righteousness
19 17	Duty of Reproving our Neighbour		11 242
37 2	VI 279	23 24	Omnipresence of God vi 46
Numbers		Ezektel	5 A A CAL 1 A TOTAL TO A
23 10	Death of the Ret. Geo. White-	1 16	Some Account of the late Work of
an an	field vi 125	4	God in North America vii 401
23 23	Laying the Foundation of the	Anios	Dublic Deserver and 901
	New Chapel, City-Road, Lon-	3 6	Public Diversions via S61
Joshua	don vi 106	Maiachi 3 7	Means of Grace v 150
24 15	Family Religion vn 114	Matthew	Means of Grace V 150
1 Samuel		5 1-4	Our Lord's Sermon on the Mount
2 17		0 1-4	1 214
20 1.0	vii 193	5 5-7	Ditto - v 227
2 Samue		5 8-12	Ditto 1239
12 23	Death of John Griffith vit 331	5 13-16	Ditto v 255
24 17	National Sins and Miseries vii 394	5 16-20	Duto v 275
2 Kings		6 1-15	Ditto _ v 275
10 15	Catholic Spirit v 410	6 16-18	Ditto v 292
Psalms	Cuthono Spare	6 19-23	Ditto v 305
8 4	What is Man vn 231	6 22, 23	Single Eye vii 268
8 3,4	Ditto vii 187	6 24-34	Our Lord's Sermon on the Mount
97 57	Death of the Rev. Mr. Fletcher	1 2 2 2 2	v 319
	vi 138	7 13, 14	Ditto v 340
46 8	The Cause and Cure of Earth-	7 1-12	Ditto v 331
	quakes vii 384	7 15-20	Dato v 347
62 10	The Danger of Increasing Riches	7 21-27	Ditto v 355
	ับม 316	12 20	Worldly Folly vn 275
73 20	Human Life a Dream vii 285	16 3	Signs of the Times vi 503
77 7,8	Call to Bu kshders vii 44	16 26	The Important Question vii 27
90 2	Eternity of God vi 200	18 15-17	Cure of Lvil Speaking vi 89
94 16	Reformation of Manners vi 21	19 24	Riches vii 224
Proverbs		22 12	Wedding Garment vii 280
22 6	Education of Children vii 122	25 34	Reward of the Righteons vii 155
Ecclena		25 36	Visiting the Sich vin 147
7 10	Former Times vii 179	Mark	
Isaiah		1 15	The Way to the Kingdom v 64
1 21	True Christianity Defended	1 15	Repentance of Believers v 128
	VII 522	9 38, 39	Caution against Bigotry v 400
5 4	God's Vineyard vii 215	9 48	Hell vi 365
11 9	General Spread of the Gospel	12 32	The Unity of the Divine Being via
	vi 281	1	249

	ook.	SUBJECT.	BOOK.	SUBJECT.
	YER.	W-1 W-	2 Car.	No. 4. 41
9	2S	Self-Denial Vol. Pa.	CH. VER.	Vol. Pa,
		77 40	3 17	The Holy Spirit vii 370
19	7	Divine Providence vi 310	4 7	The Heavenly Treasure in
16	2	The Good Steward vi 36		Earthen Vessels vii 307
16	9	The Use of Money vi 97	5 7	The Difference of Walking by
16	31	The Rich Man and Lazarus vi 51		Faith, and Walking by Sight
22	19	The Duty of Constant Communion		vii 242
		vii 171	5 16	Knowing Christafter the Flesh vii
J	John			~ 263
1	47	An Israelite Indeed vii 83	5 17	Sin in Believers v 118
3	7	The New Birth v 197	6 17, 18	In what sense we are to Leave the
3	8	Marks of the New Birth v 171		World vii 5
16	22	Wilderness State vi 60	10 4	Wandering Thoughts vi 5
2	Acts .		Galatian	3
4	31	Scriptural Christianity v 33	4 18	Zeal vii 99
26	24	The Nature of Enthusiasm v 390	Ephesian	
26	26	The Almost Christian v 17	2 8	Salvation by Faith v 9
Ro	mans		2 8	The Scripture Way of Salvation
0	29	The Circumcision of the Heart v		v 206
		163	2 12	Living without God vii 311
3	91	The Law Established through	: 4 1-6	The Church vi 373
		Faith v 374	4 30	Grieving the Holy Spirit vii \$49
3	31	Ditto 5 383	5 14	Awake, thou that Sleepest v 23
4	5	Justification by Faith v 46	5 16	Redeeming the Time vii 107
å	15	God's Love to Fallen Man vi 234	6 12	Evil Angels vi 356
3	12	The Origin, Nature, Proporties,	Philippia	
		and Use of the Law v 363	2.12, 13	Working out our Salvation vii 38
8	1	The First Fruits of the Spirit v 72	3 12	Christian Perfection v 420
8	15	The Spirit of Bondage and Adop-	Colossian	
IQ.	10	tion v 81	3 20	
8	16	The Witness of the Spirit v 92	2 Thess.	Obedience to Parcuts vii 132
8	16	Duto villess of the Spirit visa	2 7	Mystery of Iniquity vi 262
	19-22	The Great Deliverance vi 252		
	29, 30	Predestination vi 229	1 Timoth	The Danger of Riches vii 55
8	32	Free Grace vi 115		The Danger of Riches #1 33
10	5-8	The Righteousness of Faith v 55	Hebrews	Good Angels vi 349
11	33	The Wisdom of God's Counsels vi	. l 11	
8.3	33	320		
14	10	The Great Assize v 139	11 1	The Discoveries of Faith vil 236 Faith vil 292
15	20		11 6	
		Pleasing all Men vii 164		Faith vii 209 Obedience to Pastors vii 140
	Cor.	Dissipation vi 415	13 17	Obedience to Pastors vii 140
7	35		Jumes 1 4	Patience vii 21
10	13			
	25		4 4	Friendship with the World vi 421
12	31	The More Excellent Way vii 74 Charity vii 90	1 Peter	Thumbury through Manifeld
13	1-3		1 6	Heaviness through Manifold
13	. 3	Love vii 356	0 0 4	Temptations vi 71
13	10	The imperfection of Human	3 3,4	Dress vii 60
		Knowledge vi 329	1 John	ran of a Data Harman of Alasma makes
14	29	The Case of Reason Impartially	3 3	The Great Privilege of those who
		Considered vi 340	_	are born of God v 180
15	35	The Resurrection of the Dead vii	5 7	The Trinity vi 208
		340	5 8	The End of Christ's Coming vi
	Cor.		.)	279
1	12	The Witness of our own Spirit v	5 20	Spiritual Worship vi 399
		110	5 21	Spiritual Idolatry vi 407
-		Conscience vii 202	Rev.	(El St. Grantier -1 200
25	11	Satan's Devices vi 12	21 5	The New Creation vi 290
2	7	Corrupting the Word of God vii		
		336	,	

TABLE OF TEXTS,

ILLUSTRATED IN MR WESLEY'S WORKS.

gH.	YER	Vol	Page.	C.	v.	v.	P.	1 C.	∇_{\star}	v	P
	Gene		7 -B]	•		(continuei	l.)		Job (continued)
1	3 1	WE	227	19	17	31.	297	42	ū	_ v	73
1	9	V3	294			Tumbers.	4.1			Psalms	
1	16	131	318	16 23	14 10	VIII Vi	443 135		11 9	v	284
1	16 17	11	218 198 !	23	19	130	443	8	1, 2	711 111	386 187
1	21	v	198	23	23	77	106	B	ີ, ຣັ	Y).	206
i	24, 25	116	124	~~		teronomy		Š	3, 4	V11	187
- i	26	5.11	307	4	15, 16	13%	467	8	4	774	231
ī	26	Ĩ4	308	5	17	v	372	8	5	V1	41
1	26, 27	T	197	6	5	17	3ål	8	6	3.1	254
1	27		27. 275	6	5	TIII	22	11	6	338	73
ļ	28	Īll	120	25 29	10 10	¥1.	252	14 19	4	14	232
1	31 17	4.3	214 202	30	10, 12	18	159 351	19	10	711	244
5)	16, 17	1X 1X	327	34	6	X,	201	31	1, 2	V V	370 49
ő	17	7 V	25	0-8		Joshua -	~~	31	10	iv	303
2	18	12	168 (24	15	971	114	34	10	1X	263
2	19	12	241			Judges		37	37	Y1	138
2	21	43	217	30	20	Y	26	40	3	3 222	. 30
2	21	130	125		1	Samuel		47	20	11	316
3	1, &c.	A1	222	2	12 17	A11	197	49	12	V 23	235
3	7, &c		203 339 i 221 .	2	25	FU	193 194	50	16	×	238
3	7	13 1X	382	13	14	111 X	194	51 51	2 5	111	121
5	15	34	302	21	24	V11	138	31	3	12	229, 309 844
N N C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	3	111	120	28	19		49	58	8	18.	230, 845
5	3	vii.	307 !		2	Samuel		58	5	VIII	\$6
5	3	38.5	212. 342	12	23	541	331	62	10	3.18	316
5	23, 24	31	225	21	2	V	185	66	18	V	385
S	5	Y	188	21	25 l	Kings		68.	19	7313	116
6	5 5	VII	304 69. 227	21	23 29	Y 1	297 355	71 73	16	ж	198
U	J	12, 1	343	41	263 G	Kings 1		174	20 14	Y11.	, 285
6	12	18	201	10	15	1¥	413	77	7, 8	19 VII	164
7	iĩ	31	215	10	15	¥	410	81	1, 1	711	412
2	19	T2	215	17	33	8	319	82	6	VII	48
8	21	12 2	227 343	18	4	x	29	88	12	73	40
9	1, &c	3%	271	20	2,0	hronicles		89	31, 34	1%	440
9	2	LX S	271, 285	20	2	¥7-47 ¥	160	90	2	Y1	200
15	18	1X :	211, 271 404	5	13	Esther vn	35	94	16	3.1	21
17	1	18	512		10	Job	33	104	l, 18		62
22	16	1X	322	3	17	in	72	104	18	17	350 170
46	3	VII	549	4	17, 18	1%	233	101	27		224
49	10	3.1	301	5	7	130	310, 343	116	15	71	316
	Exo			19	8	118	121	119	18	Y	113
12	29	VI.	352	11	7	v	421	119	96	v	84
14	10 21, 22	V	159	11	12	1X	249, 344	119	197	17	145
20	21, 22	VIII	31	14	4	1X 1X	309 232, 344		5	₹	238
20	5	vui	157	15	14	IX	232, 344 232, 344		6	V1	312
20	8, &c	vui	140	16	19	VII	202		15 3	111	121 36
20	13	x	310	19	25	Alli	237		5	¥111	219
20	15	¥111	148	25	5	₹	286		2	7711	60
23	2_	v	277	33	3	₩.	53		3	vi	138
20	Levit		0.00	34	11	71	329	-	3, 4	VII	169
16	21	1X	260	4.0	3	x	833	145	9	ri fr	313

c.	v.	y.	P.	C.	v.	v.	P. :	C.	v.	V.	¥2.
145	Psalms	(continued.)	178	5	Jeremio	th.	31		V. Matthew	(continued.),,,,,
145	10	viii	108	6	16	i	319	5 5 5	8	i iv	133
146	4 8	vii viii	310 109	8	22	vii Viii	256 165	5	8, 12 11	¥	239
		roverbs		17	9	Y	85		11	viii	206
ن 10	6 19	" viii	179 58	17	9	vii	300 247	5 5	13, 16 17, 20	* V	252 265
13	12	x	184	23	6	ii	329	5	19	i	278
14 15	34 23	r)	26 301	23	6 6	vi ix	242 451	5	19 21	i	321 84
16	33	viii	433	23	23	viii	142	5	22	*	228
18	14 6	vii vii	369 122	23	24 24	Vi Vii	46 249	5	29 33, 34	V	240 242
22	15		. 345	31	1-4	ix	441	5	42, &c	a v	250
24 26	16 12	v Vii	426 306	31	18 2, &c.	iii Yii	155 144	5	44 45	iv viii	409 65
26	16	ũ	229	38	8	iv	126	5	48	iii	56
28 16	28 45	viii	317 484	18	Ezeki 4	er St	69	6	12 1, 15	X	236 279
,		tesiantes	42	18	4 24	viii	152 407	6	7	vi	402
l I	4 8	av jäi	176	18 18	32	ix vi	121	6	16—18 19	vii	292 57
3	18	vi	261 179	22 33	26 8	viii ×	316 323	6	19, 23	v	305
7	20	VII V	426	33	11	ix	401	6	24, 23 24, 34	VII.	268 319
7	29 29	vi ix 243.	221	33	14 26	viii	539 434	7	1, 12	V	331 298
	29	18, 2404	324	36	26	viii	19	7	7	A1	154
8	1 4	vii ii	345	37	1, &c. Danie	yii 1	341	7	12 13, 14	· V	131 340
9	Ž.	vii	289	3	17, 18	î	9	7	15, 20	7	347
9	10 10	¥ vi	40	4	9, 10	V X	294 32	7	15 16	viii vii	266 94
11	- 7	v	307	10	13	Υż	352	7	21,27	v	355
2	Song (of Solomon i	245	12	2 Hose	vii z	341	7 9	24 29	iii	433 94
ŝ	7	ν	237	1	7	îi	329 69	10	16	vi	250
1	16	saiah vili	539	3 4	14 6	vi i	273	10	23 25	i	107
1	21	vii	322	6	6	vii vii	103 190	10	26	V ::2::	144 276
5	3, 3	x vii	950		Joel	¥23		10	38	viii	281
01555	4	vii 2	215 248	2.00	12 28	v ix	299 28	11	4, 5	vi v	306 425
9	10	γį	307		Amos			11	28	viii	539
9	6	ii vi	329 296	3	6	vii ix	361 199,	12 12	31, 32 36, 37	VII.	52 143
11	9	vi	281	4	9	ix.	133	12	38, 39	viii	364 285
14 14	13 13	vi vii	358	S	Jonah 4	i. V	298	12	50 31	i	49
26	4	<u> </u>	375	3	S	viii	155	13	. 43 31	vii »	345 126
38	10	viii	148 370	5	Micah 2	vii	249	15	14	ž	271
35	9	v	421	6	5, &c.	vii	204 220	15	, 19 3	ix 229. vi	345
38 10	€, 8	iV i	51 39	6	8	Ÿ	365	16	26	vii	27
10	12	i	£5 370	7	19 Habakk	nk	74	18	15, 17	, vi ' vi	91 89
10 40	81	vii	347	0	4	ix	413	18	18	Z.	68
41 45	21 24	vi X	342	1.	Malacl	ix	403	18 18	20 20	ii Vi	329 376
45	25	×	190	9	1, 2	vii	195 304	18	28	ix	135
49 50	10	îX iv	230 358	3	7	Vi V	150	18 19	33 4, 5	ix	360 125
50	10	γì	68	3	17	Yii	354	19	17	i 1	971 54
53 53	4,5	ix k	335	1	Malthe 21	10 Vi	391	19	24	γii	224
53	6	ix	260	3	8	Ą	210 215	20 20	13, 14	ix ix	387 152
61 58	10 1	X. iiiy	286 286	5 5	1, 4	i	205	20	11	ię	932
61 38	6	×	236	Ş	4	i	280	22	12	vii i	260 5
1.0	0								-		

			4.7	(17/	DET TO	TH	E XI	AL	ə, O					
c.	ν.	v.	P.	C	∇_{\bullet}		v		P	C.	v		V	P.
٠.	Matthe	w (continu	icd.)	1	Luke	(con		d.)		}	John	con	tinued.)	244
23	1.3	Til	200 351	111	34 1—5		VI VI		419 965	14	15, 16	&c.	AITT	92
23 29 24	8, 9	7. 12.	152	12	1-0		A1		397	14	21		₹	98
24	26	î	?5 5	12	7		V2		310	14	22		W 04-	241
25	54	733	155	12	15		V		322	14	16 26	&c.	VIII 245	25 3
25 25	36	V11	147 590	12	20 31		VI		415 326	15	5	, au	A 300	37 158 428
25	46	Ϋ́	145	12	51-	-53	THE		276	15	8		VII .	158
26	26	x	39	13	26		Ţ		347	16	18 13		WIII	428 258
26 28	27 19	X IX	41 159, 163	19 13	29 54		i Yl		353 122	16	22		A111	60
20		Mark	1000	14	26		VIII		277 56 97	17	3		¥	29
3	15	Ψ	64. 128	16	2		T1		36	17	11 24		12	445 147
1	15	V11	\$74 890	16	9 14		71		51 51	19	34	•	Vi j	314
2	10	21	329	16	26		×		21 51	20	28		vin	239
3	5		62. 453	16	31		T1		51	22	19	Jake	V31	171
3	28, 29	TIE	52 392	16 17	31		VIII		443 348	1	15	Acts	VI	263
0101353444	19	YI	325	17	î		VI.		385	2	19		17	\$55
4	25	313	158	17	21		T)		306	04 94 97	38		1X	168
4	25 25	Ψ.	329 15	17	28		AIT		371 155	9	43 47		1311	521 264
4	26	¥112	221	18 18	10		v		272	4	12		¥	52
4	31	232	97 160	18	15		1%		161	4	31		v	33
5	40	18.9	160 193	19	17		7	1	329 46	4 5	35 17		Ali A	37 100
6	19	71.	45	20	41, 42		VILLE		345	6	'n		13	265
8	84	17	347	20	35, 36		X		124	6	15		TI3	346
8	54	VIII	84	22	19		3		323 397		8		1%	160 354
9	5 26	¥11 1	346 269	20	19 19		¥111		116	10	14		110	345
444566889999	58, 39	Ť	400	19 19 20 20 22 22 22 22 22	48		7		270	10	35		V1Ē	212
10	48 21	T1	965	23	43	7.1.	×		21	10 15	38 \$9		V13	163 376
10	23	V V	84 811	1	9	John	î		329	14	8		3212	443
10	24	111	225	i	S 9		v		207	14	17		1	376
10 12	29 30	1	151	1 1 1 1	12, 13		¥		171	14	17		T	260 284
12	30 S0	T V	66 321	÷	16 29		711 1X	•	371 138	15	18		V V1	235
12	30	VII	357	î	47		VIL		83	15	36,	&c.	111	93
12 12	32	111	249	3	5		1.%		156	15	39		v	235
13	34 99	Y Y	70 233	2	5, 6		1%		929 123	15 16	59 50		¥1 11	266 1
14	S6	Ŧ	129 132	3	š				257	16	31		3V	79
14 16	98	v	152	3	7		v		197	16	31		v	59
10	16	Luke	53	3	7 7		15		134 255	16 17	31 12, 13		vin	236 156
1	46, 47	F	72 116	3	12		VIII		221	17	26		18	219
1	7375	771	393	3	8			171.	199	20	28		¥1	375
3	75 8	7 m	279 338	3	16 23		1.2.2		214	91 93	20		Y	64
	8, 6 94	73	359	3	38		1	1	156 254	24	18		17	410
4	54	▼	10	3	36		1X		449	24	25		31	349
6	52 40	1 X	258 235	4	11 94		1X	151. !	27 260	26 26	8 24		¥31	160 390
6	41	vin.	. 179	4	34		· v		415	26	26		v	17
7	28	11.1	211	4	34		V1	3	244 228	26	28		212	12
44566768	8 18	n n	137 278	<i>5</i>	24 28		3.11		341	28 28	29		3	282
8	18	¥11	85	5	39		ν,,,		156	40		Roma	ns	38
9	23	AM	229	6	27		17		404	1	19		•	221
9 10	55 12	17 111	67 232	6	29 38		¥11		26 427	1	19 21		12	135
10	27	A TIT	20. 257	15533333333333334444555667777889910	46) P		217	1 1 1 1	19 21 20		1X V	223
10	39	33	416	7	51		¥211		187 97		20		VI.	331
10	42	Y	24 282	8	11		31		97 206	1	21	c -	7	117
11	2 2	7111	512	9	1% 25		111		206	1 2	21, 13	&c.	1X 173	174
11	23	¥1	60	10	27-29		1E		444	2003	14		1.	49 243
11	34	1¥	410	13	0		X		235	2	29		*	163
11	34 Voz	10.—Y	114	13	34, 35		T		411	3	7, 8		AIT	87
	Vol.	101	y											

C. V.	v. r.	. 01	*7	wr	73	1.675	2"		
Romanz (c	Endinson I 2	C.	V.	, V,	, P.	C.	V.	, V.	\mathcal{F}_{i}
3 10, 20	ix 221	10	REDMONE	(continued.		1	Corinthians		inucd.)
3 10, 20 3 19, &c.	v 374		1 S	*	32	10	16	¥	157
3 20	v 19		3 A		326	10	36, 17	Z	41
3 23	i 102		4	îv	259	10	22	ix	156 240
3 28, &c.	ix 220	10	4	¥ 00	56	10	31	, i	240
3 24	ix 220 v 107	10	4	.¥ S∄		10	ສາ	iv	333
3 25			4	yjii	42	10	31	viii	10
3 28	viii 415	10	. 4	ix	451	11	18	×	238
3 1	viii 541	10	5, 8	v	55	111	18, &c.	γi	382
3 51	v 13. 52 v 374	10	9	, V	10	11	23	V	157
3 31	v 374	10	12	17	200	11	26	Xi.	116
	x 191	10	10	, v	14	11	28	130	164
4 5	lii 462	111	17	1%	408	11	29	vii	175
4 3	viii 385	11	20. 22	ix	442	12	3	viii	62
4 5 .	i 189	11	33	vi	320	12	8. 12	ix	17
4 5	iv 360	11	36	vi	402	12	25	T'İ	381
4 5	v 379	12		vii	67	12	25	30	238
4 17	ix 381	12	11	i	226	12	31	V	285
4 25	vi 134	12	33	v	324	12	31	vii	74
5 1 5 4	v 37. 241	12	15	v	205	13.	The whole ch	ap. ix	93
5 4	v 46	12	17	v	309	13	1, &c. 1, S	V	233
5 5	viil 264	12	18	vii	164	13	1, 3	TII	90
5 6	ix 224	12	19	ix	151	13	2	îik	369
5 7	ix 269	13	1	iz	154	13	3	vii	353
5 12	v 47	13	1	x	126	13	4	¥	35
5 12-19	ix 207, 220,	13	7	viti	148	13	4	vii	35 92
	308	13	10	vi.	390	13	4	vii :	357, 359
5 14	ix 157	13	10	v 167	, 260	13	7	X	11
5 15	vi 234	14	§.	iv	29	13	8	viii	444
5 15, &c.	ix 251	14	10	¥	139	13	5	ж	16
5 18	iv 350	14	19	iv	411	13	8	ix	93
5 ' 18	vi 257	14	17	î	302	13	10	¥2	329
5 18, 19	ix 251	14	17	v 9	7. 64	13	12	ix	93
5 19	iv 350	15	2	YĬĬ	164	13	12	X	16
5 19	vi 234	15	2	x	238	14	2	źΧ	259
5 18 5 18 5 18, 19 5 19 5 19 5 21	x 198, 197	16	10	víi	89	14	15	ж	9
6 6	ix 334		1 Con	inthlans		14	15		250, 250
6 14	i 209	1	. 2	٧i	374	14	20	V*	93
Ē 15	v 380	1	10	×	238	14	20	ví	340
	w 69	1	10	vi	382	14	31	iv	338
6 23 7 1, &c.	v 363	1	20	¥	376	14	34, 35	ix	142
7 1, &c.	ix 246	2	3	iv	362	14	40	iv	333
v 4	viii 48	2	4, 5	viii 251		15	21, 22	is	329, 347
7 9	ix 278	2	10	Vå	212	15	22	v	188
7 9, &c.	v 87	2	12	Y	96	15	22	vi	227
7 12	v 363	2	12	viii	47	15	35	vii	340
7 13	₩ 368	2	14	V	163	15	41	vi	239
	117	3	1	, i	195	15	41	vii	348
7 14 7 19	vi 274	3	3	v	120	15	45	vii	372
8 1	v 72, 124, 175	3	10	îv	373	15	47	jж	274
š i	viii 543	3	12	v	46	15	53	vii	344
3 1, &c.	тііі 248	3	12	vi	382	16	14	v	51
8 4	x 199	3	16	vii	350		2 Corint	hians	
8 7, 8	ix 226	4	4	iv	362	1	12	v	104
8 7,9	viii 247	4	G	ii	194	I	12	VII	202
8 18	v 135	5	9	T.	255	1	99	371	375
\$ 14, 15	viii 247-254	5	9. 11	vii	6	9	11	vi	12
\$ 15	v 12. 81	5	11	Yii	199	2	17	v	384
8 16	v 92, 101	5	12	vii	314	0	17	vii	394
8 16	viii 48	63	10, 11	8'	128	3	3	V	266
8 18	x 22	6	11	riii	220	3	17	i 5	356.408
8 19-22	vi 252	7	4	ví	169	3	17	7.	88
8 22	ix 262	7	35	vi	415	3	17	vii	370
8 26, 27	viii 249	7	55	×	161	3	17	riii	15
8 29, 30	vi 229	3	1	Hi	333	4	7 _	vii	307
9 32	vi 115	8	å	vii	249	4	17	Z	19
8 \$3	v 78	9	9	318	252	4	18	vî.	206
8 33, 34	x 19	9	9	riii	28	Ã.	18	vii	190
S 38, 39	ix 443	š	21	ržii	43	5	4	¥	376
9 1	vii 202	9	27	i	104	5	4	x	163
9 12, 18	ix 402	10	12	vii	14	5 5 5	7	vii	242
9 15, 16	v 54	10	13	vi	85	5	15	íx	593
5 01	is 187	10	13	ity	14,	5	16	yii	263

			nt al	1411	22 20 24.		, -				
c.	V. '	V	P. 1	c.		v.	P	C	V.	V essalonian	P.
	2 Cor. (co		239	4	Eph. (cont.	Inned)	87	1		essaiomiai V	69
5 5 5 5 6 6 7	17 17	3 118	, 123	4	18	2	273	2	9 7	#X	262
G	19	1	215	4	22. 24	IX 279), 326 274	2	13 16	1¥ 17	421 362
5	14 14	X	274 425	4	24 24	YII	304	~	10	Trmothy	
6	17, 18	911	5	4	25	VIA	167	1	2	ix	224
7	1	V	191	4	29 30		349	1	4 8	VIII	75. 76
7	4 9	2	75 304	4 5 5 5	4	¥II V	18	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3	19, 19	130	75, 76 408 441
8	12	17	359	5	9	130	74	2	5	₹1	244 155
9	17	1 105	264 432	5	15 16	711 711	155	2	9, 10 11, 12	vı ix	112
10	4	v 165.	. 452 5	5	24	71	183	ລຸ	14	72	223
10	5	11	339	5	25	¥	125		10	v	408 207
12 13	7 5	Ţ	429 239	5 5 6	25, 2 6 33	11	157 181	4	13	¥11 1¥	378
13	5	Ý	89	6	ĭ	VI.	187	- 5	19, 20	¥711	464
	Galut		021	6	1 4	TH	68 173	6	1 8	VA VI	194 74
1	2 10	vi v	375 249	6	4	VII.	134	6	8	V/I	56
2	16	15	351	6	5	3.13	41	6	9	¥	311
2	16	¥	378	6	6 7	vi Vi	193 192	6	9 10	VII	131 202
2	20 20 °	V	359	8	ģ	7.7	190	6	17	Ÿ	312. 317
10100010000000	20	×	72	6	12	V)	356	6	20	17	260
3	17 99	7	366 282	6	18 13	1X 23	364 493	2	2 1	Simothy 18	378
S	23		351	ŭ	Philippi		100		15, 16	Y	156
3	27	X	158	1	9		404	2 3 2 2 3 3 3	26	¥	96
4	6 6	i v 86	245 104	1	9 5	vin 5	404 67, 58 0, 391	2	26 5	1X 1	237 427
3	•	v 36	209	0	5. &c.	11 000	39	3	12	VIII	280
4	18	vii	99	2	12, 13	3.88	38	3	12	AIII	523
5	1	36	351 79	3	13 6	V	89 25	3	12 15	12	79 322
5 5	8	Y	373	N 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	7, 8	v	115	3	16	¥1E	339
5	17	V	120	3	. 9	Ψ	73	4	2	m / IX	77
5 5 5	18 19	v v	75 73	3	12 21	V V	420 342	9	4	Trius	165
5	<u> </u>	v	102	3	21	×	235	2 2	9	71	192
5	22, 23	V1	390	4	6	1V	408	2	10	VI	195
5 5 6 6 6	24 3	V	104 327	4	7	72	247	2223	11 14	ZIII	339 157
6	10	34	411	4	Ż	VI.	139		2	31	93
6	10	W3	239	4	13 Colossi	X	144	3	8	77.7	323
6	10 14	¥111	191 410	1	11	ans X	297	1	3	Hebreics	253
8	16	γ	339	1	10	11	329		3	71	312
,	Ephes	luns	71	1	17 24	11	400 124	1	10 12 14	ν 7)	359 349
î	เน้	111	233	ê	18, 19	3/1	261	2	3	111	385
1	11, 19	3%	459	2	20	3	321	3	12	A.	274
1	13	Alti	50 196	10000000000	20 3	V V	160 201	4	5	V	407 136
2 2	13	av 222.	340,	8	9	v	125	4	13	ıĭ	183
_		0.00	341	ေ	10	R 24		4	12		371
01 61 61 61	2	VI 352	362 279	3	20 20	¥IL	273 132	4	13 15	V 11	84 186
~ ~	3	17	414	3	21	VL	186	4	16	Y	137
2	8	1 9	163	S 4	22 6	TX.	191	5	4	7.112	356
a	8	vm 189	238	4	1 Thessale	onzans	73	6	9	vi v	252 128
ĩ	10	VIII 100	147	4	3	Y	395	6	î	Y2	388
ପେ ଦା ହୋଇ ପ୍ରଥମ ବ୍ୟବ	12	ATI	311	4	5 13	vit -	252 334	6 6 6	1	AN	241
0	12 15	1x 1x 279	179	5	13	W11	252	6	4	JX.	257 411, 446
2	22		30	5	17	14	408	6	4 8	AII	51
2	29	v1 4	8, 49 334 321	5	18 19	itta	338 397	6	11 19	¥	174
3	9 10	YA Y	334	5 5 5	22	3	138	7	19 25	ı in	409 441
4	16	¥2	373		23	VI.	395	7	27	· ×	42
4	14	¥ 25	3. 82	5	23	λ	162	8	10	¥	113

C.	. V.	. V. ews (continued.	P. 1	C.	V.		V.		P. :	C.	v.	v.	P.
9	14	E COMMUNEU.	100	1	. Pet	er (co	ntinue vi	d.}	345	3	1 John	(continue	ed.)
9	27	vi	42	î	4, 5		vii		23	3	9 13	X Vi	155 428
10	10	iķ	138	1	5		v	1	176	3	15	Ψi	299
10	22 24	· vi vi	118	1	6		vi		71	3	15	ix	340
10	24	viii	45	1	8		vii V		375 127	3	· 18	¥	12
10	26	ix 414.		î	10		νi		391	3	20	Y	178 79
10	26. 31	vii	50	2 0	5		vi		391	4	~~	i	268
10	29 35	ij	105		17		vi		123	4	1	yiii	53
10	36	i Y	321	2	18		Vi		[91	4	3	, v	53 30
10	37	· v	14	3	3, 4 3, 4		vi Vii		66	4	11 17	vi X	237 235
10	38	i x 4 12.		3	8		vii		16	4	17	ŵ	433
11	1		34	3	13		î		73	4	17	viii	14
11	1	Vi Vii	344 292	3	21		v		103	4	18	yiii	64
ii	â	A	9	4	1, 2		v		124 33	4	19 19	% V	219 104
11	6	vii	209	4	12		ĭ		317	4	19	vi	16
11	29	X.	85	4	12		v		136	4	19	viii	237
12	1 9	îv vi	186	5	. 8		viii		72 101	5	3	viii	479
12	ē.	ix	132	9	. 0	2 Pe		*	101	5	4	v i	177
12	9	îlî	120	1	4		î		383	5	7	vi	208
12 12	14 14	iii	451	1	19		Ϋ́		57	5	8	Υź	273
12	16, 17	v ix	201 402	2 2 0	1 01		vi ix 4	110. 4	145	5 5	10 10	v vi	74 213
12	22	7.	124	2 7	99		ši		275	5	iĭ	V	102
13	5	ix	316	23	7,	&c.	vi	2	25	5	11	γi	404
13	17	vii iii	140 160	\$ \$	8		Y		42	5	13	jy	362
13	22	James	100	3	10 12		vî v		202 145	5 5	17 19	rii ii	49 284
1	4	vii	21	3 18			vi		29	5	19	Ÿ	401
1	5	ì	322			1 Ja				5	19	viii	48
ì	12, 1S	₩	155 289	ì	6,	&c.	¥		133 235	5 5	20 21	· vi vi	399 407
i	12, 13	v	187	i	8, 9		X V		131	- 2	2-8	Jude	407
1	14	ix	228	2	1		¥	1	133		21	rii	86
1	20	Vi	300	2	1,	&c.	v	4	131			evelation	004
1	20 27	viii viii	156 235	2 19	13		vii i		241 316	1	10	viii	284 87
2	10	V A A	269	2	15		vi		326	î	15	iii	61
0	10	vii	175	2	16			130. i		2	2.4	V	121
2	14	v	361	2 2	16			325.4		2	14	į	365 329
2	14	yiii yii	236	2	16		VIII -	59. 19	227	3	25 2	ii	121
ñ	20	viii	526	2	20		v		22	3		i	269
79	21	wiii	542	2 20			viii ?	252. 2		8	17		69
200	22	X	192	2	27		vii :		138 170	5 5	1, 8	ke. ix ii	217 329
Am A clot of motal man month	2 €	iii X	236	3	1		VII 2		121 ;	7	9	¥	142
3	2	v	431	3	î		viii	2	37	7	15	vi	218
3	17	v	84	3	9		×		35	13	6	viii	280
3	17	V	368	3	3		T C	189. 4	67	19 19	3 9	vî vîi	971 282
3	17 4	ix vi	326	3	8,9		x		35	20	12	¥	141
â	4	yii	421	3	8		iv		164	21	1		227, 307
4	11	<u>.</u>	373	3	8		V ₂	71.		21	3, 4	vi	296 258, 290
4	13, 14 17	∀ii V	289	3	9		y 7	29.17	299 73.	21	5 27	vî : Z	235
	15, 16	ν Χ	323		a		, ,	1	80	20	5	vì	217
5	14, 15	x	45	3	9		îx		28	22	9	vii	, 297
4	3	1 Peter	174										
i	3	£.	214										

















